



# 2021 G20 Rome Summit Final Compliance Report

Prepared by  
Kaylin Dawe, Sonja Dobson and the G20 Research Group  
University of Toronto  
Toronto  
and  
Alexander Ignatov and the Center for International Institutions Research  
Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration,  
Moscow

From 1 November 2021 to 14 October 2022

12 November 2022

**Feedback, as always, is welcome and is kept anonymous.  
We encourage readers to send comments to  
[G20@utoronto.ca](mailto:G20@utoronto.ca)**

## Contents

<b>Preface</b> .....	3
Research Teams .....	4
Toronto G20 Research Group Team .....	4
G20 Research Group Lead Analysts .....	4
G20 Research Group Analysts .....	4
CIIR G20 Research Team .....	5
Introduction and Summary .....	6
Methodology and Scoring System .....	6
Commitment Breakdown .....	6
Selection of Commitments .....	6
Final Compliance Scores .....	7
Final Compliance by Member .....	7
Final Compliance by Commitment .....	7
Table 1: 2021 G20 Rome Summit Commitments Selected for Compliance Monitoring .....	8
Table 2: 2021 G20 Rome Summit Final Compliance Scores .....	10
Table 3: 2021 G20 Rome Summit Final Compliance by Member .....	11
Table 4: 2021 G20 Rome Summit Final Compliance by Commitment .....	12
Table 5: G20 Compliance by Member, 2008-2021 .....	13
Conclusions .....	15
Future Research and Reports .....	15
Considerations and Limitations .....	15
Appendix: General Considerations .....	16
1. Macroeconomics: Inclusive Growth .....	17
2. Macroeconomics: Local Currency Capital Markets .....	88
3. Trade: Fair Competition .....	114
4. Digital Economy: Challenges .....	147
5. Digital Economy: Artificial Intelligence .....	182
6. International Taxation: Base Erosion and Profit Shifting .....	211
7. Crime and Corruption: Financial Action Task Force .....	211
8. Labour and Employment: Social Inclusion .....	256
9. Infrastructure: Public-Private Partnerships .....	283
10. Gender: Sustainable Development Goals .....	331
11. Development: Inclusive Recovery .....	407
12. Food and Agriculture: Malnutrition .....	559
13. Health: Vaccines .....	635
14. Health: One Health .....	687
15. Health: Digital Innovations .....	772
16. Energy: Coal .....	801
17. Environment: Land Degradation .....	818
18. Environment: Natural Resources .....	869
19. Climate Change: Paris Agreement .....	909
20. Climate Change: Developing Countries .....	985
21. Climate Change: National Plans .....	1020

## Preface

Since the G20 leaders met at their first summit in 2008 in Washington, the G20 Research Group at the University of Toronto and the Center for International Institutions Research of the Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration (RANEPA), and formerly with the International Organizations Research Institute at the National Research University Higher School of Economics (HSE), in Moscow have produced reports on their progress in implementing the priority commitments made at each summit. These reports monitor each G20 member's efforts to implement a carefully chosen selection of the many commitments produced at each summit. The reports are offered to the general public and to policy makers, academics, civil society, the media and interested citizens around the world in an effort to make the work of the G20 more transparent, accessible and effective, and to provide scientific data to enable the meaningful analysis of the causes of compliance, including those that leaders can change to improve compliance and the impact of this important informal international institution. Previous reports are available at the G20 Information Centre at <http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/analysis>.

The G20 Research Group has been working with Marina Larionova's team at RANEPA and previously at HSE since initiating this G20 compliance research in 2009, after the Washington Summit in November 2008. The initial report, covering only one commitment made at that summit, tested the compliance methodology developed by the G8 Research Group and adapted it to the G20.

To make its assessments, the G20 Research Group relies on publicly available information, documentation and media reports. To ensure accuracy, comprehensiveness and integrity, we encourage comments from stakeholders. Indeed, scores can be recalibrated if new material becomes available. All feedback remains anonymous. Responsibility for the contents of this report lies exclusively with the authors and analysts of the G20 Research Group.

Professor John Kirton  
Director, G20 Research Group

## Research Teams

### Toronto G20 Research Group Team

Professor John Kirton, Director	Wenny (Yiyao) Jin, Compliance Director	Keah Sharma, Compliance Director
Kaylin Dawe, Co-chair, Summit Studies	Omar Kassam, Compliance Director	Brett Szmul, Compliance Director
Sonja Dobson, Co-chair, Summit Studies	Saarah Khan, Compliance Director	Karči Aldridge Végi, Compliance Director
Joy Fan, Editor	Samantha Moura Novais de Quadros, Compliance Director	Jennifer Miao Wang, Compliance Director
Chan Wai Suet Gi Gi, Compliance Director	Srijan Sahu, Compliance Director	Brandon Yih, Compliance Director
Angela Minyi Hou, Compliance Director	Surabhi Pradhan, Compliance Director	
Jenna Im, Compliance Director		

### G20 Research Group Lead Analysts

Tammy Cheng	Ziyi (Angela) Liu	Surabhi Pradhan
Arees Chooljian	Danielle Maragh	Chan-Min Roh
Sydney Grad	Erica Matsui	Yana Sadeghi
Olivia Klasios	Amanda Morana	
Leila Koohi	Thérèse Perucho	

### G20 Research Group Analysts

Sarah Afiane	Je Ho Cho	Kate Hu
Armaan Ahmad	Da Seul Chong	Iman Hussain
Raza Akbari	Anna Clark	Taisei Inoue
Bhavana Akilan	Kristine Deki	Ahana Jain
Hossen Alyazgi	Maria del Mar Cuevas	Elizay Jaweed
Garima Amarnath	Mariam Dhanani	Yuyang Jiang
Santiago Ardisson	Ronnie Di Iorio	Jessica Johnson
Charran Augustine	Nouran El Atreby	Mohammad Rasoul Kailani
Evelyn JaeHa Baek	Jad El Ghali	Jyoti Kalsi
Reem Baghdady	Halit Selim Erdogan	Farhan Sakkir Karepilakkiyil
Yuchen Bai	Alan Chin Wen Fan	Yasna Khajeh-Hosseini
Chiara Barsanti	Arad Farhadi-Niaki	Eisha Khan
Brinda Batra	Diego Arreola Fernandez	Firaz Khan
Raile Baya-Khenti	Tyler Fu	Jaerin Kim
Clémentine Benoit	Zoë Grossman	Ricky Kyung Min Kim
Stephanie Bernard	Khanak Gupta	Shinyoung Kim
Giuliana Bertoia-Agrede	Anahit Hakobyan	Jake Knight
Vismay Buch	Olivia Hamilton	Jackie Jiwoo Ko
Lucy Peng Lu Cai	Frederik Hayward	Jevan Konyar
Erica Chan	Samraggi Hazra	Jennifer Lam
Shuaib Chauhan	Lauren Hill	Julian Lam
Pengyu Chen	Madeline Hirons	Mateo Larrazabal
Wesley Cheung	Serena Honekin	Emma Yehyun Lee
Jade Wong Yin Chi	Fung Yu Nancy Hsiung	Natalie Lee

Eva Li	Frederico Hideo Muguiuda	Yi Xuan Leo Teng
Zexi Li	Rodrigues	Timothy Tennant
Isabella Liu	Emma Rogers	Samantha Tozzi
Ka Ieng Charlotte Lo	Julia Rychlik	Daniel Wang Han Wang
Manahil Malik	Tamara Saadi	Jessica Xinxuan Wang
Daniel Yihan Mao	Adit Shah	Jonathan Wang
Amelia Marlow	Tejas Tanay Sharma	Kaitlyn Zhaoyue Wang
Zackery Martins	Jenny Chenye Shen	Sifan Wang
Miloni Mehta	Joseph MuQin Shen	Xiaolong (James) Wang
Kyra Menezes	Koji Shiromoto Harrison	Jonathan Chin Wei
Paul Meyer	Shum	Sarah Weiner
Kaamilah Moola	Amardeep Singh	Jessie Wu
Reshad Mubtasim-Fuad	Gayathri Siva	Hannah Wu
Sylvia Murshed	Vennila Sivakumaran	Emma Wymant
Pantéa Jamshidi Nouri	Julind Skenderi	Cecilia Zhehui Xie
Anna Novak	Hayley Spent	Shiri Yeung
Adriana Park	Elizaveta Strelnikova	Jun Yi Liu
Tara Parsons	Vartika Suneja	Thomas Yue
Abigail Potter	Vlada Taits	Chi Zhang
Tisya Raina	Kenji Tan	Katherine Zhang
Muhammad Bilal Raza	Lipeng Tan	

**CIIR G20 Research Team**

Marina Larionova, Director  
Alexander Ignatov  
Irina Popova  
Andrei Sakharov  
Andrey Shelepov  
Ksenia Dorokhina  
Pavel Doronin  
Elena Alekseeva  
Nikita Shilikov

## Introduction and Summary

The G20 2021 Rome Final Compliance Report is prepared by the G20 Research Group based at the University of Toronto and the Center for International Institutional Research of the Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration (RANEPA). The report analyzes compliance by G20 members with 21 priority commitments carefully selected from the total of 225 commitments made at the Rome Summit hosted by Italy on 30–31 October 2021. This final report covers relevant actions taken by the G20 members between 1 November 2021 to 14 October 2022.

## Methodology and Scoring System

This report draws on the methodology developed by the G7 Research Group, which has been monitoring G7/8 compliance since 1996. The International Organisations Research Institute at the Higher School of Economics joined this multi-year project in 2005, followed by RANEPA. The use of this methodology builds cross-institutional and cross-member consistency and also allows compatibility with compliance assessments of other institutions.

The methodology uses a scale from  $-1$  to  $+1$ , where  $+1$  indicates full compliance with the stated commitment,  $-1$  indicates a failure to comply or action taken that is directly opposite to the stated instruments or goal of the commitment, and  $0$  indicates partial compliance or work in progress, such as initiatives that have been launched but are not yet near completion and whose full results can therefore not be assessed. Each member assessed receives a score of  $-1$ ,  $0$  or  $+1$  for each commitment. For convenience, the scores in the tables have been converted to percentages, where  $-1$  equals 0 per cent and  $+1$  equals 100 per cent.<sup>1</sup>

A compliance score of  $-1$  does not necessarily imply an unwillingness to comply on the part of G20 members. In some cases, policy actions can take multiple compliance cycles to implement and measure. As the G20 Research Group and RANEPA continue to monitor developments, progress made by members can be recorded in future compliance reports.

The Compliance Coding Manual that describes the methodology in detail is available on the G20 Information Centre website at <http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/analysis/index.html#method>.

## Commitment Breakdown

The G20 made a total of 225 commitments at the Rome Summit.<sup>2</sup> These commitments, as identified by the G20 Research Group and RANEPA, are drawn from the official Rome Leaders' Declaration.

## Selection of Commitments

For each compliance cycle (that is, the period between summits), the research team selects commitments that reflect the breadth of the G20 agenda and also reflect the priorities of the summit's host, while balancing the selection to allow for comparison with past and future summits, following the methodology developed by the G7 Research Group. The selection also replicates the breakdown of issue areas and the proportion of commitments in each one. Primary criteria for priority commitment selection are the comprehensiveness and relevance to the summit, the G20 and the world, as well as individual and collective pledges. Selected commitments must also meet secondary criteria of performance measurability and ability to comply to some degree within a year, as well as the tertiary criterion of significance as identified by scientific teams and relevant stakeholders in the host country.

---

<sup>1</sup> The formula to convert a score into a percentage is  $P = 50 \times (S + 1)$ , where  $P$  is the percentage and  $S$  is the score.

<sup>2</sup> A commitment is defined as a discrete, specific, publicly expressed, collectively agreed statement of intent; a promise by summit members that they will undertake future action to move toward, meet or adjust to an identified target. More details are contained in the Reference Manual for Summit Commitment and Compliance Coding).

For the 2021 G20 Rome Summit Final Compliance Report, 21 priority commitments were selected for assessment by the University of Toronto and the RANEPAs teams (see Table 1).

### **Final Compliance Scores**

This assessment is based on relevant, publicly available information relating to actions taken from 1 November 2021 to 14 October 2022. The final compliance scores by commitment are contained in Table 2. Country rankings are listed in Table 3 and commitment rankings are listed in Table 4.

For the final compliance period, including all 21 commitments, G20 members achieved average compliance of +0.45 (73%).

### **Final Compliance by Member**

For final compliance with the Rome Summit's priority commitments, the United Kingdom had the highest compliance at +0.90 (95%), followed by the European Union at +0.80 (90%), and Germany at +0.75 (88%). Indonesia, which hosts the 2022 summit, placed 17th for compliance alongside Turkey at +0.19 (60%). South Africa had the lowest compliance at 0 (50%), and Russia had the second lowest compliance at +0.14 (57%). The difference between the highest and lowest G20 member compliance scores is 0.90. All the scores by G20 members are listed in Table 3.

### **Final Compliance by Commitment**

At +0.90 (95%), the development commitment on inclusive recovery and the macroeconomics commitment on inclusive growth had the highest final compliance. Next came three commitments with +0.85 (93%): the climate change commitment on national plans, the food and agriculture commitment on malnutrition, and the environment commitment on natural resources. These commitments were followed by the health commitment on One Health at +0.80 (90%). The energy commitment on unabated coal power had the lowest compliance at -0.35 (33%). All the scores by commitment are listed in Table 4.

**Table 1: 2021 G20 Rome Summit Commitments Selected for Compliance Monitoring**

1	Macroeconomics: Inclusive Growth	We reiterate our commitment to strengthening long-term financial resilience and supporting inclusive growth, including through promoting sustainable capital flows.
2	Macroeconomics: Local Currency Capital Markets	[We reiterate our commitment to strengthening long-term financial resilience and supporting inclusive growth, including through]...developing local currency capital markets.
3	Trade: Fair Competition	We underscore the importance of fair competition and] we will continue to work to ensure a level playing field to foster a favourable trade and investment environment.
4	Digital Economy: Challenges	We will continue to work on addressing challenges such as those related to privacy, data protection, security and intellectual property rights, in accordance with the relevant applicable legal frameworks.
5	Digital Economy: Artificial Intelligence	Well aware of the benefits stemming from the responsible use and development of trustworthy human-centered Artificial Intelligence (AI), we will advance the implementation of the G20 AI Principles, while considering the specific needs of MSMEs and start-ups to encourage competition and innovation.
6	International Taxation: BEPS	We call on the OECD/G20 Inclusive Framework on BEPS to swiftly develop the model rules and multilateral instruments as agreed in the Detailed Implementation Plan, with a view to ensure that the new rules will come into effect at global level in 2023.
7	Crime and Corruption: FATF	We will also provide competent authorities with adequate, accurate and up-to-date information by adopting legally appropriate measures to improve international and domestic beneficial ownership transparency of legal persons and arrangements and real estate, especially trans-national flows, in line with the Financial Action Task Force recommendations.
8	Labour and Employment: Social Inclusion	[we will]...strive to adapt our regulatory frameworks to new forms of work, ensuring that these are fair and inclusive, leaving no one behind, while paying special attention to addressing the digital gender divide and intergenerational inequalities.
9	Infrastructure: Public- Private Partnerships	In line with the G20 Roadmap for Infrastructure as an Asset Class, and building on the G20 Infrastructure Investors Dialogue, we will continue, in a flexible manner, to develop further the collaboration between the public and private investors to mobilise private capital.
10	Gender: SDGs	[we reaffirm our commitment to...support a sustainable, inclusive and resilient recovery across the world, able to promote equity and accelerate progress on all SDGs, recognizing the importance of]...women empowerment.
11	Development: Inclusive Recovery	[we reaffirm our commitment to]...support a sustainable, inclusive and resilient recovery across the world, able to promote equity and accelerate progress on all SDGs, recognizing the importance of nationally owned strategies.
12	Food and Agriculture: Malnutrition	We will foster sustainable and resilient food systems and agriculture innovation, which are vital to end hunger and malnutrition, eradicate poverty and ensure sustainability, also by increasing access to finance through responsible investment.

13	Health: Vaccines	To help advance toward the global goals of vaccinating at least 40 percent of the population in all countries by the end of 2021 and 70 percent by mid-2022, as recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO)'s global vaccination strategy, we will take steps to help boost the supply of vaccines and essential medical products and inputs in developing countries and remove relevant supply and financing constraints.
14	Health: One Health	We will integrate the implementation of a One Health approach in relevant policies and decision-making processes.
15	Health: Personal Health Data	We will pursue our efforts to enhance innovation in digital and other health-related technologies, taking into account the need to protect personal health data.
16	Energy: Unabated Coal Power	We will put an end to the provision of international public finance for new unabated coal power generation abroad by the end of 2021.
17	Environment: Land Degradation	Acknowledging the urgency of combating land degradation and creating new carbon sinks, we share the aspirational goal to collectively plant 1 trillion trees, focusing on the most degraded ecosystems in the planet.
18	Environment: Natural Resources	We will pursue our efforts to ensure the conservation, protection and sustainable use of natural resources.
19	Climate Change: Paris Agreement	In this endeavour, informed by the IPCC assessments, we will accelerate our actions across mitigation, adaptation and finance, acknowledging the key relevance of achieving global net zero greenhouse gas emissions or carbon neutrality by or around mid-century and the need to strengthen global efforts required to reach the goals of the Paris Agreement.
20	Climate Change: Developing Countries	We recall and reaffirm the commitment made by developed countries, to the goal of mobilizing jointly USD 100 billion per year by 2020 and annually through 2025 to address the needs of developing countries, in the context of meaningful mitigation actions and transparency on implementation and stress the importance of meeting that goal fully as soon as possible.
21	Climate Change: National Plans	We will deliver national recovery and resilience plans that allocate, according to national circumstances, an ambitious share of the financial resources to mitigating and adapting to climate change and avoid harm to the climate and environment.

**Table 2: 2021 G20 Rome Summit Final Compliance Scores**

	Argentina	Australia	Brazil	Canada	China	France	Germany	India	Indonesia	Italy	Japan	Korea	Mexico	Russia	Saudi Arabia	South Africa	Turkey	United Kingdom	United States	European Union	Average		
1	Macroeconomics: Inclusive Growth	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	0	+1	+1	+1	+0.90	95%	
2	Macroeconomics: Local Currency Capital Markets	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	-1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	-1	+1	+0.75	88%	
3	Trade: Fair Competition	0	0	0	0	0	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50%
4	Digital Economy: Challenges	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	0	0	0	+1	+1	+1	+0.65	83%	
5	Digital Economy: AI	+1	0	+1	0	+1	+1	0	+1	0	+1	0	0	0	0	-1	0	+1	+1	+1	+0.45	73%	
6	International Taxation: BEPS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	50%
7	Crime and Corruption: FATF	0	-1	-1	0	-1	0	-1	-1	+1	0	-1	0	0	-1	-1	-1	+1	0	0	-0.35	33%	
8	Labour and Employment: Social Inclusion	0	-1	+1	0	0	0	-1	0	0	0	-1	0	0	-1	+1	-1	+1	0	+1	-0.05	48%	
9	Infrastructure: Public-Private Partnerships	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	0	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+0.75	88%	
10	Gender: SDGs	0	0	0	+1	0	0	+1	-1	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	0	+1	+1	0	+1	+0.10	55%	
11	Development: Inclusive Recovery	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+0.90	95%	
12	Food and Agriculture: Malnutrition	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+0.85	93%	
13	Health: Vaccines	0	+1	0	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	0	+1	+1	0	0	+1	0	0	+1	+1	+1	+0.55	78%
14	Health: One Health	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	0	0	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+0.80	90%	
15	Health: Health Data	+1	0	+1	0	+1	0	+1	+1	0	0	+1	0	0	0	0	0	+1	+1	+1	+0.45	73%	
16	Energy: Coal Power	-1	-1	-1	0	-1	0	+1	-1	-1	0	0	-1	-1	+1	-1	-1	+1	+1	0	-0.35	33%	
17	Environment: Land Degradation	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	0	0	0	+1	+1	0	+1	+0.65	83%	
18	Environment: Natural Resources	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+0.85	93%	
19	Climate: Paris Agreement	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	0	0	0	0	0	+1	+1	+1	+0.70	85%	
20	Climate: Developing Countries	-1	+1	-1	+1	-1	+1	+1	-1	-1	-1	+1	+1	-1	0	-1	-1	0	+1	+1	+1	0	50%
21	Climate: National Plans	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	0	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+1	+0.85	93%	
	Final Score	+0.52	+0.38	+0.43	+0.62	+0.52	+0.60	+0.75	+0.33	+0.19	+0.45	+0.67	+0.43	+0.29	+0.14	+0.24	0	+0.19	+0.90	+0.62	+0.81	+0.45	73%
		76%	69%	71%	81%	76%	80%	88%	67%	60%	73%	83%	71%	64%	57%	62%	50%	60%	95%	81%	90%	73%	

**Table 3: 2021 G20 Rome Summit Final Compliance by Member**

Rank	Member	Average	
1	United Kingdom	+0.90	95%
2	European Union	+0.81	90%
3	Germany	+0.75	88%
4	Japan	+0.67	83%
5	Canada	+0.62	81%
	United States		
7	France	+0.60	80%
8	Argentina	+0.52	76%
	China		
10	Italy	+0.45	73%
11	Brazil	+0.43	71%
	Korea		
13	Australia	+0.38	69%
14	India	+0.33	67%
15	Mexico	+0.29	64%
16	Saudi Arabia	+0.24	62%
17	Indonesia	+0.19	60%
	Turkey		
19	Russia	+0.14	57%
20	South Africa	0	50%

**Table 4: 2021 G20 Rome Summit Final Compliance by Commitment**

Rank	Commitment	Average	
1	Development: Inclusive Recovery	+0.90	95%
	Macroeconomics: Inclusive Growth		
3	Environment: National Contributions	+0.85	93%
	Environment: Natural Resources		
5	Food and Agriculture: Malnutrition	+0.85	93%
6	Health: One Health	+0.80	90%
7	Infrastructure: Public-Private Partnerships	+0.75	88%
	Macroeconomics: Local Currency Capital Markets		
9	Climate Change: Paris Agreement	+0.70	85%
10	Digital Economy: Challenges	+0.65	83%
	Environment: Land Degradation		
12	Health: Vaccines	+0.55	78%
13	Digital Economy: Artificial Intelligence	+0.45	73%
	Health: Personal Health Data		
15	Gender: SDGs	+0.10	55%
16	Climate Change: Developing Countries	0	50%
	International Taxation: BEPS		
	Trade: Fair Competition		
19	Labour and Employment: Social Inclusion	-0.05	48%
20	Crime and Corruption: FATF	-0.35	33%
	Energy: Unabated Coal Power		

**Table 5: G20 Compliance by Member, 2008-2021**

Member	Final Washington 2008		Final London 2009		Final Pittsburgh 2009		Final Toronto 2010		Final Seoul 2010		Final Cannes 2011		Final Los Cabos 2012	
	Argentina	0	50%	-0.60	20%	-0.13	44%	0	50%	-0.08	46%	0	50%	+0.31
Australia	n/a	–	+0.60	80%	+0.50	75%	+0.56	78%	+0.85	93%	+0.67	84%	+0.94	97%
Brazil	+1.00	100%	+0.20	60%	-0.63	19%	+0.29	65%	+0.42	71%	+0.60	80%	+0.56	78%
Canada	+1.00	100%	+0.60	80%	+0.63	82%	+0.78	89%	+0.69	85%	+0.73	87%	+0.75	88%
China	0	50%	-0.40	30%	+0.13	57%	+0.38	69%	+0.42	71%	+0.53	77%	+0.38	69%
France	+1.00	100%	+0.80	90%	+0.63	82%	+0.56	78%	+0.77	89%	+0.60	80%	+0.69	85%
Germany	+1.00	100%	+0.80	90%	+0.63	82%	+0.56	78%	+0.54	77%	+0.67	84%	+0.56	78%
India	0	50%	-0.40	30%	-0.38	31%	-0.29	36%	+0.42	71%	+0.60	80%	+0.50	75%
Indonesia	n/a	–	-0.40	30%	-0.63	19%	-0.13	44%	+0.36	68%	+0.14	57%	+0.47	74%
Italy	+1.00	100%	0	50%	+0.13	57%	+0.56	78%	+0.77	89%	+0.80	90%	+0.19	60%
Japan	+1.00	100%	+0.20	60%	+0.50	75%	+0.56	78%	+0.62	81%	+0.47	74%	+0.50	75%
Korea	n/a	–	0	50%	+0.75	88%	+0.56	78%	+0.46	73%	+0.60	80%	+0.63	82%
Mexico	+1.00	100%	0	50%	+0.25	63%	-0.14	43%	+0.58	79%	+0.67	84%	+0.69	85%
Russia	0	50%	+0.40	70%	+0.38	69%	+0.13	57%	+0.59	80%	+0.60	80%	+0.63	82%
Saudi Arabia	n/a	–	+0.20	60%	-0.13	44%	-0.13	44%	+0.08	54%	+0.21	61%	+0.50	75%
South Africa	+1.00	100%	+0.40	70%	+0.63	82%	-0.14	43%	+0.33	67%	+0.47	74%	+0.47	74%
Turkey	n/a	–	+0.20	60%	-0.25	38%	-0.14	43%	+0.17	59%	+0.20	60%	+0.25	63%
United Kingdom	+1.00	100%	+1.00	100%	+0.50	75%	+0.78	89%	+0.77	89%	+0.87	94%	+0.81	91%
United States	0	50%	+0.40	70%	+1.00	100%	+0.33	67%	+0.38	69%	+0.53	77%	+0.81	91%
European Union	+1.00	100%	+0.60	80%	+0.38	69%	+0.57	79%	+0.82	91%	+0.85	93%	+0.75	88%
Average	+0.67	83%	+0.23	62%	+0.24	62%	+0.28	64%	+0.50	75%	+0.54	77%	+0.57	79%

n/a = not available

Member	Final St. Petersburg 2013		Final Brisbane 2014		Final Antalya 2015		Final Hangzhou 2016		Final Hamburg 2017		Final Buenos Aires 2018		Final Osaka 2019	
	Argentina	+0.06	53%	+0.06	53%	+0.53	76%	+0.63	+0.06	53%	+0.06	53%	+0.53	76%
Australia	+0.63	81%	+0.59	79%	+0.65	82%	+0.79	+0.63	81%	+0.59	79%	+0.65	82%	+0.79
Brazil	+0.31	66%	+0.12	56%	+0.53	76%	+0.58	+0.31	66%	+0.12	56%	+0.53	76%	+0.58
Canada	+0.44	72%	+0.71	85%	+0.65	82%	+0.84	+0.44	72%	+0.71	85%	+0.65	82%	+0.84
China	+0.19	59%	+0.59	79%	+0.59	79%	+0.74	+0.19	59%	+0.59	79%	+0.59	79%	+0.74
France	+0.69	84%	+0.63	81%	+0.71	85%	+0.63	+0.69	84%	+0.63	81%	+0.71	85%	+0.63
Germany	+0.75	88%	+0.69	84%	+0.71	85%	+0.79	+0.75	88%	+0.69	84%	+0.71	85%	+0.79
India	+0.63	81%	+0.59	79%	+0.65	82%	+0.63	+0.63	81%	+0.59	79%	+0.65	82%	+0.63
Indonesia	+0.50	75%	+0.12	56%	+0.18	59%	+0.53	+0.50	75%	+0.12	56%	+0.18	59%	+0.53
Italy	+0.44	72%	+0.13	56%	+0.71	85%	+0.32	+0.44	72%	+0.13	56%	+0.71	85%	+0.32
Japan	+0.31	66%	+0.65	82%	+0.35	68%	+0.68	+0.31	66%	+0.65	82%	+0.35	68%	+0.68
Korea	+0.38	69%	+0.65	82%	+0.53	76%	+0.68	+0.38	69%	+0.65	82%	+0.53	76%	+0.68
Mexico	+0.38	69%	+0.47	74%	+0.53	76%	+0.53	+0.38	69%	+0.47	74%	+0.53	76%	+0.53
Russia	+0.44	72%	+0.47	74%	+0.47	74%	+0.68	+0.44	72%	+0.47	74%	+0.47	74%	+0.68
Saudi Arabia	+0.06	53%	-0.24	38%	+0.35	68%	+0.42	+0.06	53%	-0.24	38%	+0.35	68%	+0.42
South Africa	+0.25	63%	-0.12	44%	+0.24	62%	+0.37	+0.25	63%	-0.12	44%	+0.24	62%	+0.37
Turkey	+0.25	63%	0	50%	+0.41	71%	+0.37	+0.25	63%	0	50%	+0.41	71%	+0.37
United Kingdom	+0.75	88%	+0.76	88%	+0.71	85%	+0.47	+0.75	88%	+0.76	88%	+0.71	85%	+0.47
United States	+0.69	84%	+0.76	88%	+0.71	85%	+0.42	+0.69	84%	+0.76	88%	+0.71	85%	+0.42
European Union	+0.63	81%	+0.75	88%	+0.81	91%	+0.84	+0.63	81%	+0.75	88%	+0.81	91%	+0.84
Average	+0.44	72%	+0.42	71%	+0.55	77%	+0.60	+0.44	72%	+0.42	71%	+0.55	77%	+0.60

2021 G20 Rome Summit Final Compliance Report

Member	Interim		Final		Interim		Final	
	Riyadh 2020	70%	Riyadh 2020	80%	Rome 2021	71%	Rome 2021	76%
Argentina	+0.40	70%	+0.60	80%	+0.43	71%	+0.52	76%
Australia	+0.40	70%	+0.90	95%	+0.33	67%	+0.38	69%
Brazil	+0.50	75%	+0.65	83%	+0.19	60%	+0.43	71%
Canada	+0.70	85%	+0.85	93%	+0.57	79%	+0.62	81%
China	+0.35	68%	+0.70	85%	+0.48	74%	+0.52	76%
France	+0.79	78%	+0.90	95%	+0.50	75%	+0.60	80%
Germany	+0.95	97%	+1.00	100%	+0.75	88%	+0.75	88%
India	+0.05	53%	+0.35	68%	+0.24	62%	+0.33	67%
Indonesia	+0.50	75%	+0.55	78%	+0.10	55%	+0.19	60%
Italy	+0.53	76%	+0.63	82%	+0.35	68%	+0.45	73%
Japan	+0.60	80%	+0.75	88%	+0.62	81%	+0.67	83%
Korea	+0.65	83%	+0.95	98%	+0.24	62%	+0.43	71%
Mexico	+0.20	60%	+0.40	70%	+0.19	60%	+0.29	64%
Russia	+0.35	68%	+0.55	78%	0	50%	+0.14	57%
Saudi Arabia	+0.35	68%	+0.65	83%	+0.14	57%	+0.19	60%
South Africa	+0.05	53%	+0.45	73%	0.00	50%	0	50%
Turkey	+0.40	70%	+0.70	85%	+0.14	57%	+0.19	60%
United Kingdom	+0.85	93%	+0.95	98%	+0.81	90%	+0.86	93%
United States	+0.50	75%	+0.85	93%	+0.62	81%	+0.62	81%
European Union	+0.85	93%	+0.95	98%	+0.62	81%	+0.71	86%
Average	+0.50	75%	+0.72	86%	+0.37	68%	+0.44	72%

## **Conclusions**

Many of the commitments assessed in this report have timelines that extend beyond the next summit or reflect medium- and long-term priorities. A unique feature of this report is the incorporation of deadlines for commitments monitored over multiple compliance cycles. The convergence of medium- and long-term commitments and those with deadlines in the near future reflects the nature of G20 decisions as a crisis management forum and a global governance steering institution. It also illustrates the multifaceted nature of compliance assessment. As the relationship among short, medium, and long-term commitments becomes clearer, the compliance landscape for many of these priority commitments may change over the course of future compliance periods.

## **Future Research and Reports**

The information contained in this report provides G20 members and other stakeholders with an indication of their compliance in period immediately following the Riyadh Summit. This report has been produced as an invitation for others to provide additional or more complete information on compliance during the period under study. Feedback should be sent to [g20@utoronto.ca](mailto:g20@utoronto.ca).

## **Considerations and Limitations**

Several elements affect the findings contained in this report. While the purpose of the report is to monitor compliance with G20 commitments, it is necessary to ensure that the monitoring mechanism is realistic and considers the context within which the commitments are made. With new commitments, more attention must be paid to the initial implementation constraints faced by members. One way to accommodate these constraints is to regard the intent to implement policy measures as an illustration of compliance or being “on track” towards compliance. This initial leeway should only be granted for new commitments; intent is not a suitable indicator of compliance for medium-term or longstanding commitments. Over time as commitments become integrated in the G20 compliance mechanism, compliance guidelines should become more stringent (as members become more accustomed to the nature of the issue and the requirements for compliance).

See also Appendix: General Considerations.

## Appendix: General Considerations

In evaluating the results of this report, the following considerations should be kept in mind.

Assessments contained in this report apply to commitment-related actions taken by G20 members only since the commitments were declared publicly at the last summit.

Compliance has been assessed against a selected set of priority commitments, rather than all commitments contained in the summit documents. The selection is intended to produce a representative subset of the total body of commitments. An ideal set of priority commitments represents proportionally the amount of attention paid to each policy area in summit documents, reflects the relative ambition of summit commitments, and holds as many G20 members to account for compliance as possible.

In addition to producing commitments, summits provide value by establishing new principles and norms, creating and highlighting issues and issue areas and altering the traditional discourse used to discuss priorities. Some of the most important decisions reached at summits may be done in private and not encoded in the public record of the summit documents.

Some commitments cover several years and thus compliance takes longer than the summit-to-summit timeframe applied in this report. For this reason, full compliance (denoted by a +1 score) might not require that G20 members carry out a given commitment completely, but might instead demand clear, visible progress commensurate with the overall timetable as well as public statements of support of commitment objectives.

In some cases, a G20 member might choose not to comply with a particular summit commitment for good reason, for example if global conditions have changed dramatically since the commitment was made or if new knowledge has become available about how a particular problem can best be solved.

As each G20 member has its own constitutional, legal and institutional processes for undertaking action at the national level (and in the case of the European Union at the supranational level), each member is free to act according to its own legislative schedule. Of particular importance here is the annual schedule for creating budgets, seeking legislative approval and appropriating funds.

Commitments in G20 summit documents might also be included, in whole or in part, in documents released by other international forums, as the decisions of other international organizations or even national statements such as the State of the Union Address in the US, the Queen's Speech in the UK and the Speech from the Throne in Canada. Merely repeating a G20 commitment in another forum does not count fully as compliant behaviour.

This report assesses G20 members' action in accordance with the text of actual, specific commitments made in G20 summit documents. Because commitments demand that policymakers and regulators act specifically to meet the identified objectives, this report holds policymakers accountable for pushing and passing recommended policies. Furthermore, compliance is assessed against the precise, particular commitment, rather than what might be regarded as a necessary or appropriate action to solve the problem being addressed.

As individual members can often take different actions to comply with the same commitment, no standardized cross-national evaluative criterion produced by external parties can be universally applied. The interpretive guidelines attempt to provide a clear, consistent, equitable method for assessing compliance.

Because the evaluative scale used in this compliance report runs from -1 to +1, any score in the positive range represents at least some degree of compliance.