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“The University of Toronto … produced a detailed analysis to the extent of which each G20 country
has met its commitments since the last summit … I think this is important; we come to these
summits, we make these commitments, we say we are going to do these things and it is important
that there is an organisation that checks up on who has done what.”

— David Cameron, Prime Minister, United Kingdom, at the 2012 Los Cabos Summit
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Preface

Since the G20 leaders met at their first summit in 2008 in Washington, the G20 Research Group at the University of Toronto and the Center for International Institutions Research of the Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration (RANEPA), formerly with the International Organizations Research Institute at the National Research University Higher School of Economics (HSE), in Moscow have produced reports on their progress in implementing the priority commitments made at each summit. These reports monitor each G20 member’s efforts to implement a carefully chosen selection of the many commitments produced at each summit. The reports are offered to the general public and to policy makers, academics, civil society, the media and interested citizens around the world in an effort to make the work of the G20 more transparent, accessible and effective, and to provide scientific data to enable the meaningful analysis of the causes of compliance and the impact of this important informal international institution. Previous reports are available at the G20 Information Centre at http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/analysis.

The G20 Research Group has been working with Marina Larionova’s team at RANEPA and previously at HSE since initiating this G20 compliance research in 2009, after the Washington Summit in November 2008. The initial report, covering only one commitment made at that summit, tested the compliance methodology developed by the G8 Research Group and adapted it to the G20.

To make its assessments, the G20 Research Group relies on publicly available information, documentation and media reports. To ensure accuracy, comprehensiveness and integrity, we encourage comments from stakeholders. Indeed, scores can be recalibrated if new material becomes available. All feedback remains anonymous. Responsibility for the contents of this report lies exclusively with the authors and analysts of the G20 Research Group.
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Introduction and Summary

The G20 2016 Hangzhou Interim Compliance Report is prepared by the G20 Research Group based at the University of Toronto and its Russian partners at the Center for International Institutions Research of the Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration (RANPEPA). The report analyzes compliance by G20 members with a selection of 19 priority commitments of a total of 213 commitments made at the Hangzhou Summit hosted by China on 4-5 September 2016. The report covers relevant actions taken by the G20 members between 6 September 2016 to 17 February 2017. This timeframe allows for an assessment of compliance at the midway point between the 2016 Hangzhou Summit and the 2017 Hamburg Summit, which will be hosted by Germany on 7-8 July 2017.

Methodology and Scoring System

This report draws on the methodology developed by the G8 Research Group, which has been monitoring G7/8 compliance since 1996 (the International Organisations Research Institute at the Higher School of Economics (IORI HSE) joined this multiyear project in 2005, and Bond University participated in 2014). The use of this methodology builds cross-institutional and cross-member consistency and also allows compatibility with compliance assessments of other institutions.

The methodology uses a scale from −1 to +1, where +1 indicates full compliance with the stated commitment, −1 indicates a failure to comply or action taken that is directly opposite to the stated instruments or goal of the commitment, and 0 indicates partial compliance or work in progress, such as initiatives that have been launched but are not yet near completion and whose full results can therefore not be assessed. Each member assessed receives a score of −1, 0 or +1 for each commitment. For convenience, the scores in the tables have been converted to percentages, where −1 equals 0 per cent and +1 equals 100 per cent.¹

A −1 compliance score does not necessarily imply an unwillingness to comply on the part of G20 members. In some cases, policy actions can take multiple compliance cycles to implement and measure. As the G20 Research Group and RANPEPA (formerly the research team at IORI HSE) continue to monitor developments, progress made by members can be recorded in future compliance reports.

The Compliance Coding Manual that describes the methodology in detail is available on the G20 Information Centre website at http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/analysis/index.html#method.

Commitment Breakdown

The G20 made a total of 213 commitments at the Hangzhou Summit.² These commitments, as identified by the G20 Research Group and RANPEPA, are drawn from the official G20 Leaders’ Communiqué, Blueprint on Innovative Growth and the Hangzhou Action Plan.

Selection of Commitments

For each compliance cycle (that is, the period between summits), the research team selects commitments that reflect the breadth of the G20 agenda and also reflect the priorities of the summit’s host, while balancing the selection to allow for comparison with past and future summits, following the methodology developed by the G8 Research Group. The selection also replicates the breakdown of issue areas and the proportion of commitments in each one. Primary criteria for

¹ The formula to convert a score into a percentage is P = 50 × (S + 1), where P is the percentage and S is the score.
² A commitment is defined as a discrete, specific, publicly expressed, collectively agreed statement of intent; a promise by summit members that they will undertake future action to move toward, meet or adjust to an identified target. More details are contained in the Reference Manual for Summit Commitment and Compliance Coding).
Priority commitment selection are the comprehensiveness and relevance to the summit, the G20 and the world, as well as individual and collective pledges. Selected commitments must also meet secondary criteria of performance measurability and ability to comply to some degree within a year, as well as tertiary criteria of significance as identified by scientific teams and relevant stakeholders in the host country.

For the 2016 Hangzhou Interim Compliance Report, 19 priority commitments were selected for assessment by the University of Toronto and RANEPA teams from the 213 commitments made at the Hangzhou Summit (see Table 1).

**Interim Compliance Scores**

The assessment is based on relevant, publicly available information relating to actions taken from 6 September 2016 to 17 February 2017. The interim compliance scores by commitment are contained in Table 2. Country rankings are listed in Table 3 and commitment rankings are listed in Table 4.

For the period from 6 September 2016 to 17 February 2017, G20 members achieved an average final compliance score of +0.45, which translates to 72%.

**Interim Compliance by Member**

For compliance with the Hangzhou Summit’s priority commitments at the midway point between summits, Canada and Australia have the highest rate of compliance at +0.79 (89%), followed by China at +0.63 (82%), and Germany, France and the European Union at +0.58 (79%). The lowest scoring member is Italy with +0.16 (58%). The difference between the highest and lowest G20 member compliance scores is +0.63. For more information about compliance by G20 members, see Table 3.

**Interim Compliance by Commitment**

This particular compliance cycle has produced a high level of compliance for several areas so far. The commitment on technologies and innovation (knowledge diffusion and technology transfer) ranked highest at +1.00 (100%), followed by the commitment on investment at +0.95 (98%) and on development (tax administration) at +0.90 (95%). The lowest score was on energy (fossil fuels subsidies) at −0.80 (10%). For more information on scoring by commitment, see Table 4.
Table 1: 2016 G20 Hangzhou Summit Commitments Selected for Compliance Monitoring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Macroeconomics: Growth policy tools</th>
<th>“We are determined to use all policy tools — monetary, fiscal and structural — individually and collectively to achieve our goal of strong, sustainable, balanced and inclusive growth.” (G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>“To achieve innovation-driven growth and the creation of innovative ecosystems, we support dialogue and cooperation on innovation, which covers a wide range of domains with science and technology innovation at its core.” (G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Development: Tax administration</td>
<td>“[We will continue our support for international tax cooperation to achieve a globally fair and modern international tax system and to foster growth, including advancing] tax capacity-building of developing countries” (G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Corruption</td>
<td>“We endorse the 2017-2018 G20 Anti-Corruption Action Plan to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity, implementing our stance of zero tolerance against corruption, zero loopholes in our institutions and zero barriers in our actions.” (G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Energy: Fossil Fuel Subsidies</td>
<td>“We also reaffirm our commitment to rationalize and phase-out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption over the medium term, recognizing the need to support the poor.” (G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Climate Change</td>
<td>“We reiterate our commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.” (G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Trade: Anti-protectionism</td>
<td>“We extend our commitments to standstill and rollback of protectionist measures till the end of 2018, reaffirm our determination to deliver on them.” (G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Trade: E-commerce</td>
<td>“[We endorse the G20 Strategy for Global Trade Growth, under which the G20 will lead by example to] promote e-commerce development” (G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2030 Agenda on Sustainable Development</td>
<td>“We commit to contributing to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda by setting an example through bold, transformative collective and intended national actions in a wide range of areas.” (G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Employment: Gender</td>
<td>“We will further develop the G20 employment plans in 2017 to address these commitments and monitor progress in a systemic and transparent manner in achieving the G20 goals especially on youth employment and female labor participation.” (G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Migration and Refugees</td>
<td>“The G20 will continue to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.” (G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Financial Regulation: Terrorism</td>
<td>“In confronting terrorism, we remain committed to effectively exchanging information, freezing terrorist assets, and criminalizing terrorist financing.” (G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Technologies and Innovation: Knowledge diffusion and technology transfer</td>
<td>“We support effort to promote voluntary knowledge diffusion and technology transfer on mutually agreed terms and conditions.” (G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Financial Regulation: Financial Sector Reform Agenda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>“To this end, we remain committed to finalizing remaining critical elements of the regulatory framework and to the timely, full and consistent implementation of the agreed financial sector reform agenda, including Basel III and the total-loss-absorbing-capacity (TLAC) standard as well as effective cross-border resolution regimes.” (G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tax: Base erosion and profit shifting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>“We will continue our support for international tax cooperation to achieve a globally fair and modern international tax system and to foster growth, including advancing on-going cooperation on base erosion and profits shifting (BEPS)” (G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Energy: Energy efficiency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>“We encourage members to significantly improve energy efficiency based on the specific needs and national circumstances of each member” (G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Trade: Trade costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>“We endorse the G20 Strategy for Global Trade Growth, under which the G20 will lead by example to lower trade costs” (G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Investment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>“We endorse the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking, which will help foster an open, transparent and conductive global policy environment for investment.” (G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Corporate governance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>“We support the effective implementation of the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance” (G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: 2016 G20 Hangzhou Summit Interim Compliance Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Argentina</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Brazil</th>
<th>Canada</th>
<th>China</th>
<th>France</th>
<th>Germany</th>
<th>India</th>
<th>Indonesia</th>
<th>Italy</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>Korea</th>
<th>Mexico</th>
<th>Russia</th>
<th>Saudi Arabia</th>
<th>South Africa</th>
<th>Turkey</th>
<th>United Kingdom</th>
<th>United States</th>
<th>European Union</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Macroeconomic: Growth</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development: Tax administration</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corruption</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy: Fossil fuel subsidies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate change</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade: Antiprotectionism</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade: E-commerce</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable development</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>+0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour and employment: Gender</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration and refugees</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial regulation: Terrorism</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technologies and innovation</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial regulation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes: Base erosion and profit shifting</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy: Energy efficiency</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade: Trade costs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate governance</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average                                      | +0.42     | +0.79     | +0.32  | +0.79  | +0.63 | +0.58  | +0.58   | +0.32 | +0.37      | +0.16 | +0.37 | +0.37 | +0.42  | +0.53  | +0.26     | +0.21     | +0.21 | +0.53        | +0.53        | +0.58        | +0.45   | 72%            |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Argentina</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Brazil</th>
<th>Canada</th>
<th>China</th>
<th>France</th>
<th>Germany</th>
<th>India</th>
<th>Indonesia</th>
<th>Italy</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>Korea</th>
<th>Mexico</th>
<th>Russia</th>
<th>Saudi Arabia</th>
<th>South Africa</th>
<th>Turkey</th>
<th>United Kingdom</th>
<th>United States</th>
<th>European Union</th>
<th>71%</th>
<th>89%</th>
<th>66%</th>
<th>89%</th>
<th>82%</th>
<th>79%</th>
<th>68%</th>
<th>68%</th>
<th>88%</th>
<th>71%</th>
<th>76%</th>
<th>63%</th>
<th>61%</th>
<th>76%</th>
<th>79%</th>
<th>0.72</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>+0.65</td>
<td>+0.80</td>
<td>+0.90</td>
<td>+0.30</td>
<td>+0.65</td>
<td>+0.63</td>
<td>+0.58</td>
<td>+0.32</td>
<td>+0.37</td>
<td>+0.16</td>
<td>+0.37</td>
<td>+0.37</td>
<td>+0.42</td>
<td>+0.53</td>
<td>+0.26</td>
<td>+0.21</td>
<td>+0.21</td>
<td>+0.53</td>
<td>+0.53</td>
<td>+0.58</td>
<td>+0.45</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3: 2016 G20 Hangzhou Summit Interim Compliance by Member

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>+0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>+0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>European Union</td>
<td>+0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>+0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>+0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>+0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>+0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>+0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>+0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>+0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>+0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: 2016 G20 Hangzhou Summit Interim Compliance by Commitment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Commitment</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Technologies and Innovation: Knowledge diffusion and technology transfer</td>
<td>+1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Investment</td>
<td>+0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Development: Tax administration</td>
<td>+0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Corporate governance</td>
<td>+0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>+0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Financial Regulation: Terrorism</td>
<td>+0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trade: Trade costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Macroeconomics: Growth policy tools</td>
<td>+0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Base erosion and profit shifting</td>
<td>+0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Migration and refugees</td>
<td>+0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Climate Change</td>
<td>+0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Corruption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Financial regulation: Financial sector reform agenda</td>
<td>+0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trade: Antiprotectionism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Trade: E-commerce</td>
<td>+0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2030 Agenda on Sustainable Development</td>
<td>+0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Energy efficiency</td>
<td>+0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Employment: Gender</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Energy: Fossil fuel subsidies</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: G20 Compliance by Member, 2008–2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>+0.60</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>+0.50</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>+0.56</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>+0.67</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>+1.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>+0.29</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>+0.60</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>+1.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>+0.63</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>+0.78</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>+0.69</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>+0.13</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>+0.38</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>+0.42</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>+1.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>+0.63</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>+0.56</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>+0.60</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>+1.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>+0.63</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>+0.56</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>+0.67</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>+0.60</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>+0.56</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>+1.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>+0.13</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>+0.56</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>+0.80</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>+1.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>+0.50</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>+0.56</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>+0.62</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>+0.75</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>+0.56</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>+0.60</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>+1.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>+0.25</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>+0.67</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>+0.38</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>+0.13</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>+0.60</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>+0.21</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>+1.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>+0.47</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>+0.20</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>+1.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>+0.78</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>+0.81</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>+1.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>+0.33</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>+0.53</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>+1.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>+0.38</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>+0.57</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>+0.63</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>+0.67</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>+0.24</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>+0.28</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>+0.50</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n/a = not available
Conclusions
G20 compliance performance for the chosen priority commitments, measured as a summit average, improved incrementally from the 2009 London Summit and 2009 Pittsburgh Summit (both at 62%) to the 2010 Toronto Summit (64%) and then rose with the 2010 Seoul Summit (75%), the 2011 Cannes Summit (77%) and the 2012 Los Cabos Summit (79%). With the 2013 St. Petersburg Summit, compliance dropped to 72% and the compliance with the 2014 Brisbane Summit commitments dropped to 71%. The final score for the 2015 Antalya Summit was 77%. The interim score for the 2016 Hangzhou Summit is 72%. If the G20 can improve its performance on delivering on its promises, it may validate its claim for legitimacy as a global governance institution.

Many of the commitments assessed in this report have timelines that extend beyond the 2016 Hangzhou Summit or reflect medium- and long-term priorities. A unique feature of this report is the incorporation of deadlines for commitments monitored over multiple compliance cycles. The convergence of medium- and long-term commitments and those with deadlines in the near future reflects the nature of G20 decisions as a crisis management forum and a global governance steering institution. It also illustrates the multifaceted nature of compliance assessment. As the relationship among short, medium, and long-term commitments becomes clearer, the compliance landscape for many of these priority commitments may change over the course of future compliance periods.

Future Research and Reports
The information contained in this report provides G20 members and other stakeholders with an indication of their compliance in the period immediately following the Antalya Summit. This report has been produced as an invitation for others to provide additional or more complete information on compliance during the period under study. Feedback should be sent to g20@utoronto.ca.

Considerations and Limitations
Several elements affect the findings contained in this report. While the purpose of the report is to monitor compliance with G20 commitments, it is necessary to ensure that the monitoring mechanism is realistic and considers the context within which the commitments are made. With new commitments, more attention must be paid to the initial implementation constraints faced by members. One way to accommodate these constraints is to regard the intent to implement policy measures as an illustration of compliance, or being “on track” towards compliance. This initial leeway should only be granted for new commitments; intent is not a suitable indicator of compliance for medium-term or longstanding commitments. Over time as commitments become integrated in the G20 compliance mechanism, compliance guidelines should become more stringent (as members become more accustomed to the nature of the issue and the requirements for compliance).

See also Appendix: General Considerations.
Appendix: General Considerations

In evaluating the results of this report, the following considerations should be kept in mind.

Assessments contained in this report apply to commitment-related actions taken by G20 members only since the commitments were declared publicly at the last summit.

Compliance has been assessed against a selected set of priority commitments, rather than all commitments contained in the summit documents. The selection is intended to produce a representative subset of the total body of commitments. An ideal set of priority commitments represents proportionally the amount of attention paid to each policy area in summit documents, reflects the relative ambition of summit commitments, and holds as many G20 members to account for compliance as possible.

In addition to producing commitments, summits provide value by establishing new principles and norms, creating and highlighting issues and issue areas and altering the traditional discourse used to discuss priorities. Some of the most important decisions reached at summits may be done in private and not encoded in the public record of the summit documents.

Some commitments cover several years and thus compliance takes longer than the summit-to-summit timeframe applied in this report. For this reason, full compliance (denoted by a +1 score) might not require that G20 members carry out a given commitment completely, but might instead demand clear, visible progress commensurate with the overall timetable as well as public statements of support of commitment objectives.

In some cases, a G20 member might choose not to comply with a particular summit commitment for good reason, for example if global conditions have changed dramatically since the commitment was made or if new knowledge has become available about how a particular problem can best be solved.

As each G20 member has its own constitutional, legal and institutional processes for undertaking action at the national level (and in the case of the European Union at the supranational level), each member is free to act according to its own legislative schedule. Of particular importance here is the annual schedule for creating budgets, seeking legislative approval and appropriating funds.

Commitments in G20 summit documents might also be included, in whole or in part, in documents released by other international forums, as the decisions of other international organizations or even national statements such as the State of the Union Address in the US, the Queen’s Speech in the UK and the Speech from the Throne in Canada. Merely repeating a G20 commitment in another forum does not count fully as compliant behaviour.

This report assesses G20 members’ action in accordance with the text of actual, specific commitments made in G20 summit documents. Because commitments demand that policymakers and regulators act specifically to meet the identified objectives, this report holds policymakers accountable for pushing and passing recommended policies. Furthermore, compliance is assessed against the precise, particular commitment, rather than what might be regarded as a necessary or appropriate action to solve the problem being addressed.

As individual members can take different actions to comply with the same commitment, no standardized cross-national evaluative criterion can be universally applied. The interpretive guidelines attempt to provide an equitable method for assessing compliance.

Because the evaluative scale used in this compliance report runs from −1 to +1, any score in the positive range represents at least some degree of compliance.
1. Macroeconomics: Growth Policy Tools

“We are determined to use all policy tools - monetary, fiscal and structural - individually and collectively to achieve our goal of strong, sustainable, balanced and inclusive growth.”

G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué

Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No Compliance</th>
<th>Partial Compliance</th>
<th>Full Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background

At the 2013 St. Petersburg Summit, G20 leaders agreed to develop and implement “Comprehensive Growth Strategies.” G20 leaders presented peer-reviewed strategies as part of the 2014 Brisbane Action Plan, acknowledging that these strategies represented a key mechanism through which global economic recovery could be achieved. In February 2014, the finance ministers and central bank governors indicated that adherence to this commitment by G20 countries, rather than reliance on existing macroeconomic policies, would raise the collective gross domestic product (GDP) by more than 2 per cent over the next five years.¹ Subsequent analysis by the International Monetary Fund and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development revealed that this increase in collective GDP would be closer to 2.1 per cent, attributing a quarter of the increase to positive spillovers to the global economy resulting from simultaneous implementation of policies.²

On 15-16 November 2014, G20 leaders at the Brisbane Summit acknowledged that recovery from the global financial crisis remained uneven, hampered by “weak cyclical recovery...weakened

---

productive capacity in key economies, and a legacy of vulnerabilities from the financial crisis.”

G20 leaders reaffirmed the need for a concerted effort towards strong, sustainable and balanced growth, and agreed that implementing flexible fiscal strategies, which take into consideration national economic circumstances, are key to recovery and job creation.

On 15-16 November 2015, G20 leaders at the Antalya Summit renewed their commitment to “fully implement our comprehensive growth strategies in a timely manner, as well as all our past commitments, to move towards our overarching objective of strong, sustainable and balanced growth.” Many members “updated their St. Petersburg fiscal strategy as part of their Growth Strategy for the Brisbane Summit and their adjusted Growth Strategy for the Antalya Summit taking into account policy development, short-term growth and inflation developments.”

On 4-5 September 2016, G20 leaders at the Hangzhou Summit acknowledged that while resilience had improved in some economies, growth was still weak and desirable. They reiterated their commitment to full implementation of the growth strategies that were published in Brisbane and subsequently adjusted in Antalya.  “We are using fiscal policy flexibly and making tax policy and public expenditure more growth-friendly, including by prioritizing high-quality investment, while enhancing resilience and ensuring debt as a share of GDP is on a sustainable path.”

Commitment Features

The G20 committed to use all policy tools - monetary, fiscal and structural - individually and collectively to achieve its goal of strong, sustainable, balanced and inclusive growth.

Monetary policy is the macroeconomic policy laid down by the central bank. It involves management of money supply and interest rate and is the demand side economic policy used by the government of a country to achieve macroeconomic objectives like inflation, consumption, growth and liquidity. The G20 stated “Monetary policy will continue to support economic activity and ensure price stability, consistent with central banks’ mandates, but monetary policy alone cannot lead to balanced growth.” The G20 committed specifically to refrain from competitive devaluations and not to target exchange rates for competitive purposes. “We will carefully calibrate and clearly communicate our macroeconomic and structural policy actions to reduce policy uncertainty, minimize negative spillovers and promote transparency.”

Fiscal policy is the means by which a government adjusts its spending levels and tax rates to monitor and influence a nation’s economy. It is the sister strategy to monetary policy through which a central bank influences a nation’s money supply. The G20 stated “We are using fiscal policy flexibly and making tax policy and public expenditure more growth-friendly, including by prioritizing high-quality investment, while enhancing resilience and ensuring debt as a share of GDP is on a sustainable path.”

---

Structural refers to a set of economic policies often introduced as a condition for gaining a loan from the IMF. Structural adjustment policies usually involve a combination of free market policies such as privatisation, fiscal austerity, free trade and deregulation. The G20 underscored the essential role of structural reforms and emphasized that its fiscal strategies are equally important to supporting common growth objectives.

During the 2014 Brisbane Summit, each G20 member submitted individual Comprehensive Growth Strategies, which describe each member’s policy objectives. Adjusted growth strategies were submitted ahead of the 2015 Antalya Summit and the 2016 Hangzhou Summit. The objectives outlined in the growth strategies have taken into account each member’s near-term economic conditions, which is evident in the variance — in strength and nature — of policy commitments across countries.\(^8\) Moreover, each member’s Growth Strategy outlines measures within their fiscal objectives that address putting debt-to-GDP ratios on a sustainable path. Each member report below, summaries the New and Adjusted Policy Commitments made since Antalya, as part of the updated Growth Strategies made at the Hangzhou Summit.

Therefore, each G20 member must adhere to the monetary, fiscal and structural policies as laid out in each individual member Growth Strategy. Each individual member’s report below will begin by identifying the policy objectives outlined in each Growth Strategy. The report will then assess compliance based on actions taken by each member to fulfill the stated policy objectives.

Full compliance is achieved if the G20 member takes actions towards implementing all three types of policy tools- monetary, fiscal and structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy. Partial compliance will be achieved if the G20 member takes actions toward implementing some policy tools- either monetary, fiscal or structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy. No compliance is achieved if the G20 member does not take action to implement any policy tool- monetary, fiscal nor structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy.

**Scoring Guidelines**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Member has made NO progress towards implementing monetary, fiscal or structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Member has made SOME progress towards implementing monetary, fiscal OR structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>Member has made progress towards implementing monetary, fiscal AND structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lead Analyst: Doris Li**

**Argentina: 0**

Argentina has partially complied with its commitment to implement monetary, fiscal, and structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy.

Argentina has outlined the following fiscal, structural, and monetary strategies in its comprehensive Growth Strategy:

- Belgrano Plan
- Increasing regional connectivity- implementing the infrastructure of Transport Plan
- Completion of the Embalse Nuclear Power Plant Refurbishment
- Construction of the IV and V Nuclear Power Plants in Argentina
- National Securities Commission (CNV) measures for SME

---

• Promote transparency and competition in the financial sector
• Promote long run savings and credit in domestic currency and increasing mortgage loans
• Family Allowance Scheme
• Trade facilitation & policy
• Competition policy- To improve the institutional mechanisms of defense of competition and the consumer

On 21 October 2016, Minister of Finance Alfonso Prat-Gay announced that Argentina posted a primary fiscal deficit of ARS38.9 billion in September 2016, which is an increase from ARS37.2 billion in August 2016.\(^9\)

On 31 October 2016, the Argentinean government presented a report allowing ARS94.285 billion to develop the north of Argentina as a part of the Belgrano plan. This amount is mainly reserved to improve the infrastructures of the different regions of the north.\(^10\)

On 7 November 2016, Vice President Gabriela Michetti announced during a state visit to Qatar that both countries are setting up an ARS1.3 billion fund focused on infrastructure projects. The fund’s initial focus will be infrastructure projects but could extend to other areas including energy.\(^11\)

On 25 January 2017, Argentina’s central bank announced it will set its reference rate every two weeks starting in March 2017 to provide more stability and certainty.\(^12\) Under President Mauricio Macri the central bank has been striving to normalize monetary policy and prove its independence.\(^13\) The rate is currently set every week with the bank’s goal being to eventually get to a monthly policy rate. In a quarterly press conference, central bank President Federico Sturzenegger said Argentina hoped to renew a currency swap program with China when it expires in June 2017.\(^14\) Sturzenegger also said the central bank is working on a deal with 20 other banks to develop instruments to invest reserves, which in October 2016 swelled above ARS40 billion for the first time since 2013.\(^15\)

Argentina has made some progress towards implementing fiscal policies as listed in its Growth Strategy. Argentina has announced funding for infrastructure projects, including under the Belgrano plan. Argentina has made some progress towards implementing monetary policies and restoring market certainty. However, it has not made progress in implementing structural policies nor has it made progress towards decreasing the fiscal deficit. Thus, Argentina receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Marwan Bennis
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**Australia: +1**

Australia has fully complied with its commitment to implement monetary, fiscal, and structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy.

Australia has outlined the following fiscal, structural, and monetary strategies in its comprehensive Growth Strategy:

- Ten-year enterprise tax plan
- National Innovation and Science Agenda
- Youth Employment Package
- Trans-Pacific Partnership
- Harper Review Response
- Boost water infrastructure investment through the National Water Infrastructure Loan Facility
- Information Technology Agreement\(^{17}\)

On 10 October 2016, the Senate committee approved changes to Enterprise Tax bill. The amendment makes changes to tax legislation related to the government’s commitment to progressively reduce company tax rates for small and large companies under its Ten Year Enterprise Tax Plan.\(^ {17}\)

On 11 October 2016, the Government of Australia launched grant guidelines for the second round of the Empowering Youth Initiatives.\(^ {19}\)

On 20 October 2016, Assistant Minister for Immigration and Border Protection Peter Dutton introduced the Expanded Information Technology Agreement Bill 2016 to the House of Representatives. This bill is meant to reduce custom duty rates on certain information technology products.\(^ {20}\)

On 28 October 2016, the Australian government announced a AUD440 million investment in key water structure projects across the country. This investment was announced with the National Water Infrastructure Development Fund guidelines, which made AUD60 million available to get major projects started.\(^ {21}\)

On 3 December 2016, the Australian government announced an investment of AUD36 million over five years in a Global Innovation Strategy designed to help companies compete on an international level and to improve innovation and science collaboration.\(^ {22}\)


On 6 December 2016, the Reserve Bank of Australia maintained its interest rate at 1.5 per cent. In August 2016, Australia lowered its interest to 1.5 per cent, due to low inflation.

On 7 February 2017, Australia’s central bank held rates at its first policy meeting of the 2017, playing down a recent soft patch in economic growth as a temporary interruption that would not prevent a pick up to a healthy 3 per cent pace over time. The Reserve Bank of Australia’s (RBA) optimistic tone lifted the local currency AUD0.7662 as markets widened the odds on another policy easing. The central bank kept rates at a record low of 1.5 per cent for a seventh straight month, following easing in August and May last year. This is in line with Australia’s commitment, in its Growth Strategy, “Monetary policy will continue to be set to foster sustainable growth in demand and achieve inflation outcomes consistent with the Reserve Bank of Australia’s inflation target of 2-3 per cent, on average, over the cycle.

Australia has made progress towards implementing fiscal policies as listed in its Growth Strategy. Australia has boosted water infrastructure investment and worked towards improving innovation and science collaboration. Australia has made progress towards implementing structural reforms through tax reforms Australia has made progress towards implementing monetary policies. Thus, Australia receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Isra Batool

**Brazil: +1**

Brazil has fully complied with its commitment to implement monetary, fiscal, and structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy.

Brazil has outlined the following fiscal, structural, and monetary strategies in its comprehensive Growth Strategy:

- Rescind various tax relief measures and impose stricter rules for obtaining benefits
- Promote expenditure cuts
- Develop new revenue sources and reform existing taxes
- Facilitate access of micro and small enterprises to private capital
- Brazil More Productive Program: A federal government program to raise SMEs productivity by at least 20 per cent

---


On 8 September 2016, Minister of Trade, Industry and Services Marcos Pereira launched the National Plan of Export Culture as well as the Brazil More Productive Program. Both programs intend to improve domestic industries by improving efficiency in order to compete with foreign firms. The Brazil More Productive Program aims to improve the efficiency of 3000 Brazilian firms by 20 per cent. The program received an initial contribution of BRL50 million to distribute to state governments and businesses. Minister Pereira made the announcement in the State of Bahia, where more than ninety companies in the State are registered.\footnote{Marcos Pereira leads Brazil More Productive to Bahia, sixth state to receive the program, Ministry of Industry Foreign Trade and Services (Salvador) 8 September 2016. Access Date: 25 January 2017. http://www.mdic.gov.br/noticias/1857-marcos-pereira-leva-brasil-mais-produtivo-a-bahia-sexto-estado-a-receber-o-programa.} As of 27 January 2017, thirteen states have collaborated with the federal government in launching the ‘Brazil More Productive’ program. Minister Pereira stated that some companies registered with the program have achieved efficiency improvements exceeding 80 per cent.\footnote{Brazil More Productive and PNCE will support exports of companies from inland cities, Comex Do Brasil (Brazilia) 27 January 2017. Access Date: 27 January 2017. https://www.comexdobrasil.com/brasil-interior/eua-em-inovacao-e-convergencia-regulatoria.}

On 15 November 2016, the International Monetary Fund (IMF) released a country report assessing Brazil’s macroeconomic policy progress.\footnote{2016 Article IV consultation, IMF November 2016. Access Date: 7 February 2017. https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/scr/2016/cr16348.pdf} The report stated, “Since May 2016, the government announced a series of measures to strengthen macro policies and restore credibility. Notably, the government has sent to Congress a constitutional amendment limiting the growth in federal noninterest spending to the rate of consumer price inflation of the previous year for the next 20 years. The government has also announced a reform of the social security system, needed in its own right and also necessary to make the expenditure limit viable.” The report also found the central bank has intervened in the foreign exchange market less frequently than in the past and broadly symmetrically, limiting corrective action to containing short-term excessive volatility.\footnote{2016 Article IV consultation, IMF November 2016. Access Date: 7 February 2017. https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/scr/2016/cr16348.pdf}

On 5 December 2016, President Michel Temer sought support from political leaders for his pension reforms proposal, which would set a minimum retirement age of 65, reduce death pension benefits, and increase social security contributions by civil servants. These measures aim to reduce government expenditure on various social services which presently comprise 40 per cent of the government’s budget.\footnote{Brazil’s Temer unveils pension reform, sets retirement age at 65, Reuters (Brasilia) 5 December 2016. Access Date: 15 January 2017. http://www.reuters.com/article/us-brazil-economy-pension-idUSKBN13U1V1.}


On 13 December 2016, the Brazilian Senate passed a proposal by President Temer that will cap growth in public spending for a minimum of 20 years.\footnote{Brazil Senate passes spending cap in win for Temer, Reuters (Brasilia) 13 December 2016. Access Date: 15 January 2017. http://www.reuters.com/article/us-brazil-politics-idUSKBN142203.} This is in line with Brazil’s fiscal goal, “to improve the budgetary and financial execution of public expenditures, contributing to the
achievement of the fiscal targets, the optimization of the efficiency of public spending and the enhancement of public policy and government management programs.”

On 15 December 2016, President Michel Temer announced stimulus measures “to reduce the debt burden of businesses and consumers.” Some measures include writing off some of the taxes owed by companies and allowing others to pay their debts in installments.

On 11 January 2017, the central bank lowered its interest rates by 75 base points (bps) to 13 per cent. It is the third straight rate decline, bringing borrowing cost to the lowest since March of 2015 amid slowing inflation and a severe contraction. In Brazil’s 2016 Growth Strategy, short term (st) interest rate projections were 12 per cent for 2017.

Brazil has made some progress towards implementing fiscal policies such as reducing public spending. Brazil has made some progress towards implementing monetary policies and reducing market volatility. Brazil has made progress in implementing structural policies such as improving the efficiency of trade. Thus, Brazil receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Miguel Martins**

**Canada: +1**

Canada has fully complied with its commitment to implement monetary, fiscal, and structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy.

Canada has outlined the following fiscal, structural, and monetary strategies in its comprehensive Growth Strategy:

- New Infrastructure Plan
- Middle Class Tax Cut- Reduce taxes for middle-income earners.
- Increased support for families- New Canada Child Benefit.
- Improving the Retirement Income System
- Improving Employment Insurance
- Making Post-Secondary Education More Affordable
- Helping Youth Obtain Valuable Work Experience
- Improving the Education Outcomes for First Nations Children
- Strategic Infrastructure Investments at Post-Secondary Institutions
- Investing in and Promoting Clean Growth
- Expanding Trade Opportunities- Eliminate or waive tariffs on a number of goods.

---

• Strengthen resiliency on Canada’s housing finance system

On 14 October 2016, the Canadian government amended housing market regulations, including new regulations for mortgages. These include making mandatory stress tests regardless of down payment, increasing restrictions on insurance for low-ratio mortgages, and enforcing mandatory reporting of primary residence sales to the Canada Revenue Agency.

On 30 October 2016, Prime Minister Justin Trudeau signed the Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA) in Brussels, which began the ratification process of the free trade agreement between Canada and the European Union.

On 1 November 2016, Finance Minister Bill Morneau announced the creation of a Canada infrastructure bank, which the government will contribute CAD35 billion towards major construction projects.


On 23 November 2016, the Business Development Bank of Canada announced that it is launching a CAD135 million fund “that will invest in entrepreneurial startup companies in the energy and clean technology sector.”

On 13 January 2016, Finance Minister Bill Morneau said the government is not considering any additional measures to tighten housing finance rules "at this stage" but is monitoring the market to ensure risk levels are appropriate.

On 18 January 2017, the Bank of Canada announced that it is maintaining its target for the overnight rate at 0.50 per cent. In Canada’s 2016 Adjusted Growth Started report, Canada noted “The Bank of Canada’s target for the overnight rate has remained unchanged at 0.5 per cent since July 2015, a historically low level. As such, monetary conditions are very accommodative. While conventional monetary policy rates are low, there is room for the Bank of Canada to use

---

unconventional monetary policies if warranted.” Maintaining the interest rate is seen in line with the growth strategies.50

Canada has made some progress towards implementing fiscal policies, such as increasing infrastructure spending. Canada has made progress in implementing structural policies, such as amending housing market regulations. Canada has made some progress towards implementing monetary policies and decreasing market volatility. Thus, Canada receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Kyle VanHooren

China: +1

China has fully complied with its commitment to implement monetary, fiscal, and structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy.

China outlined the following fiscal, structural and monetary strategies in its comprehensive Growth Strategy:

• Cut the basic pension insurance contribution rate, unemployment insurance contribution rate and housing provident fund contribution rate progressively to reduce the burden of market players and increase cash income of workers;
• Promote the tax reform of changing Turnover Tax to Value Added Tax (VAT), further reduce the burden on enterprises, and facilitate economic restructuring and upgrading;
• A fresh round of power grid upgrading projects was implemented in rural areas, to improve the working and living conditions, facilitate the development of related industries, and stimulate investment and consumption;
• Continue to open up and accelerate the development of service trade.
• Legal and market-based measures to reduce overcapacity in steel and coal industries, facilitate supply-side structural reform, and promote the optimization and upgrading of industrial structure;
• Reduce enterprises’ burden, promote energy saving and emission reduction, as well as structural adjustment, through the relief of electricity price contradictions;
• Expand the income tax pilot policy in National Innovation Demonstration Zone to nationwide, so that proactive fiscal policy plays a more important role in promoting the mass entrepreneurship and innovation and fostering new driving forces of economic growth;
• Optimizing the Investment Environment;
• Strengthening Infrastructure Investment;
• Use multiple instruments including Open Market Operations, Short-term Liquidity Operations (SLO), Standing Lending Facility (SLF) and Medium-term Lending Facility (MLF) in a combined way to ensure banking liquidity is kept at an appropriate and adequate level.
• Promoting the Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SME) Investment.51

On 9 September 2016, the National Development and Reform Commission of the People’s Republic of China held a meeting to examine methods to shore up fields where China falls behind other major economies, such as poverty reduction, sustainable agriculture, and corporate technological upgrading.


The commission concluded that the priority will be to adopt public-private partnerships (PPP) model in primary infrastructure projects to stimulate investment from private investors.\textsuperscript{52}

On 13 September 2016, the People’s Bank of China (PBOC) injected funds into the financial system for the first time since February 2016 by auctioning RMB60 billion of reverse repurchase agreements in open market operations. Interest rate lowered after the use of a 28 day lending tool was resumed, from 2.6 per cent to 2.55 per cent. The PBOC also sold RMB70 billion of one-week contracts and RMB30 billion of 14 day reverse repos, which maintained the interest rate at 2.25 per cent and 2.4 per cent respectively.\textsuperscript{53}

On 25 October 2016, China’s central bank revealed that it will broaden its Macro Prudential Assessment (MPA) risk-tool framework starting the first quarter of 2017 to incorporate wealth management products. This adjustment to the MPA system aims to help the PBOC to curb rising debt levels in its financial system.\textsuperscript{54}

On 7 November 2016, Chinese Premier Li Keqiang and Russian Prime Minister Dmitry Medvedev met in St. Petersburg for a signing ceremony to support the development of small and medium-sized enterprises (SME) between two countries.\textsuperscript{55}

On 30 November 2016, the PBOC released a moderate amount of liquidity into the market after four consecutive days of reducing liquidity. This decision was made after the market went into crisis on 29 November 2016, which was caused by the limitations the PBOC placed on large banks’ lending to smaller banks.\textsuperscript{56}

On 9 December 2016, Vice Premier Wang Yang and Thai Deputy Prime Minister Somkid Jatusripitak met in Beijing to extend their five-year plan for economic and trade cooperation.\textsuperscript{57}

On 28 December 2016, Yu Xuejun, an official from China Banking Regulatory Commission, urged for a reduction of the required reserve ratio. The senior banking regulator was cited saying “China’s requirement for how much cash banks must hold as reserves is very high and should be reduced at an appropriate time.”\textsuperscript{58}

On 13 January 2017, the PBOC injected 305.5 billion yuan for the first time via its medium-term lending facility (MLF) to 21 financial institutions to maintain liquidity in the interbank market. The


MLF liquidity included RMB182.5 billion in one-year maturities and RMB123 billion in six-month maturities.59

China has made some progress towards implementing fiscal policies, such as increasing funding to fight poverty. China has made progress in implementing structural policies, such as promoting SMEs abroad. China has made some progress towards implementing monetary policies such as, broadening its Macro Prudential Assessment (MPA). Thus, China receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Michelle Tham

France: 0

France has partially complied with its commitment to implement monetary, fiscal, and structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy.

France has outlined the following fiscal, structural, and monetary strategies in its comprehensive Growth Strategy:

- Functioning of the labour market — Improve the flexibility and the functioning of the labour market and promote labour-management dialogue in companies.
- Cost competitiveness — Continue to cut taxes and labour costs for businesses, to boost investment and create jobs.
- Unemployment insurance — Ensure the sustainability and the efficiency of the unemployment insurance system.
- Business environment — Heighten economic transparency to build investor confidence and promote France’s attractiveness.
- New economic opportunities — Capitalize on new business opportunities.60

In June 2016 France released its Stability Programme which outlines France’s fiscal strategy for the period 2016-2019. The strategy “aims to continue fiscal consolidation and reduce the general government deficit to less than 3% of GDP in 2017 and then achieve structural balance in 2019. The Programme adds $3.8 billion in additional savings measures for 2016 that seek to mitigate against the negative impacts of low inflation resulting in efforts to reduce government deficit. These include:

- EUR1 billion on central government and agency expenditures
- EUR1 billion on healthcare and social protection expenditure
- EUR1.8 billion less in interest expenditure due solely to the lower interest rate forecast.

In 2017 France will adjust these expenditures to EUR2 billion, EUR2 billion and EUR1 billion, respectively. Further, for the purposes of promoting employment, sustaining productive investment capacity and underpinning competitiveness France will continue, as per its Responsibility and Solidarity Pact, with tax cuts in the following areas: cuts in social security contributions, elimination of corporate income tax payments for large corporations, a further cut in the corporate social solidarity contribution, cut in income tax bill for households. On social security, France projects that revenue should increase by 2.6% by 2017, “sustained by strong growth of private-sector wages and

---

salaries (2.5%) as the economy recovers and by the government’s measures to boost employment.”

Progress made within the compliance period is not known.

On 28 September 2016, the Autorité de contrôle prudentiel et de résolution and the Autorité des marchés financiers announced reforms to streamline prospective business investment from British firms in response to the result of the British referendum on European Union membership. These measures include providing English speaking officials to support firms in the licensing process as well as distributing a preauthorization document for prospective companies within two weeks of applying.

On 8 November 2016, France adopted the Law on Transparency, the Fight against Corruption and Modernization of Economic Life. The main provisions include expanding jurisdiction to allow “French authorities to prosecute acts of corruption committed abroad by any company that carries on business or part of its business in France” and the creation of an anti-corruption agency.

On 19 November 2016, France “enacted a bill creating a legal basis for class actions against data controllers and processors resulting from data protection violations.”

France has made progress in implementing structural policies, such as streamlining prospective business investment. France has not made progress towards implementing fiscal policies or monetary policies. Thus, France receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Miguel Martins

Germany: +1

Germany has fully complied with its commitment to implement monetary, fiscal, and structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy.

Germany has outlined the following fiscal, structural, and monetary strategies in its comprehensive Growth Strategy:

• Promote digitalisation to increase Germany’s role as an innovative and powerful economy, with additional EUR2.7 billion to be spent on broadband internet in 2015-19;
• Offer various funding instruments for founding and expanding innovative small and medium-sized enterprises;
• Establish an infrastructure entity that manages the national highway system;
• Implementing a second Bureaucracy Relief Act to reduce red tape and improve the investment ecosystem;
• Continue to implement programmes aimed at further reducing youth unemployment;
• The new or adjusted policy action- Promoting Digitalisation.
• Boost female labour force participation by implementing an act to alleviate unjustified pay inequities between women and men.

On 12 October 2016, the German Education Minister Johanna Wanka unveiled the “Digital Pact” plan to invest EUR5.5 billion in 40,000 schools to provide "primary schools, advanced general schools and vocational schools with digital equipment such as broadband connection, Wi-Fi and equipment." 66

On 11 January 2017, the German cabinet supported a draft bill to make salaries more transparent for employees in an attempt to close the gender pay gap. The bill would allow employees to see what their colleagues in equivalent positions were earning within companies with over 200 employees. In addition businesses with over 500 workers would need to publish regular updates on their salary structure and show that they were complying with equal pay rules. 67

On 12 January 2017, State Secretary at the Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy, Matthias Machnig, launched the digital conference entitled "Key Issues for Digital Transformation in the G20" which will culminate in a meeting of G20 digital ministers in Düsseldorf on 6-7 April 2017. 68

On 12 January 2017, the Finance Ministry announced that Germany had achieved a surplus of EUR6.2 billion in 2016. The government is still debating whether to spend it on tax reductions and paying off old debts, or on investment in infrastructure and education. 69

On 3 January 2017, German consumer prices soared by 0.7 per cent compared to November 2016, bringing the annual rate of increase to 1.7 per cent, according to the country’s Federal Statistics Office. This represents Germany’s highest rate of inflation since 2013. This is in line with protections in Germany’s Growth Strategy. 70

Germany has made some progress towards implementing fiscal policies, such as the Digital Pact. Germany has made progress in implementing structural policies, such as supporting a draft bill to make salaries more transparent for employees. Germany has made some progress towards implementing monetary policies decreasing market volatility. Thus, Germany receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Ce Shang

India: +1

India has fully complied with its commitment to implement monetary, fiscal, and structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy.

India has outlined the following fiscal, structural, and monetary strategies in its comprehensive Growth Strategy:


• Improving and strengthening the financial system.
• Promoting Competition and Enabling Environment.
• Enhancing Environmental Sustainability and Encouraging Innovation
• Advancing Labour Market Reform, Educational Attainment and Skills
• Promoting Fiscal Reform
• Promoting Trade and Investment Openness
• Improving Infrastructure
• Promoting Competition and Enabling Environment
• Enhancing Environmental Sustainability
• Inclusive Growth
• Encouraging Innovation 71

On 17 October 2016, the Ministry of Labour and Employment launched a model career centre in Mumbai. Union Minister for Labor and Employment Bandaru Dattarayya announced that six more model career centers would be opened this year. 72

On 24 October 2016, the Government of India made Aadhaar, a unique identification number for citizens, mandatory for receiving cooking gas (LPG) subsidies but gave a two month grace period for citizens to get the unique identification number. 73 This is in line with India’s Growth Strategy commitment to “Inclusive growth and Improving and strengthening the financial system: The Indian Parliament has passed the Aadhaar (Targeted Delivery of Financial and Other Subsidies, Benefits and Services) Bill, 2016 on March 2016.” 74

On 7 November 2016, India and the United Kingdom agreed to create an infrastructure development fund for India to strengthen economic ties. The fund will initially have GBP120 million in seed money but “private-sector funds will also be sought later from London-based financial institutions and others.” 75

On 8 November 2016, Prime Minister Narendra Modi announced the demonetisation of the INR500 and INR1000 notes to prevent counterfeiting of notes and curb the flow of black money. 76

On 11 November 2016, in collaboration with the Indian government, Japan announced that it would “set up institutes in the country to train 30,000 people in 10 years, particularly in rural areas, in Japanese style manufacturing skills and practices.” Prime Minister Modi said that the dialogue
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between Japan and India in regard to training and skills development was “an important component of [their] economic partnership.”

On 7 December 2016, the Reserve Bank of India decided to maintain its key lending rate, the repo rate at 6.25 per cent.

On 19 December 2016, Prime Minister Modi laid the foundation of the first ‘Indian Institute of Skills’ in the country and launched several skill development initiatives for the youth, including Pradhan Mantri Kaushal Kendras (PMKs) and Drivers’ Training Institutes.

On 24 December 2016, Prime Minister Modi kicked of INR1.06 lakh crore worth of infrastructure projects. These projects include the country’s longest sea bridge and two metro lines in the city.

On 8 January 2017, Prime Minister Modi said his government will soon launch a skill development programme, Pravasi Kaushal Vikas Yojana, for Indian youth seeking overseas employment.

India has made some progress towards implementing fiscal policies, such as the infrastructure development fund. India has made progress in implementing structural policies, such as opening model career centers. India has made some progress towards implementing monetary policies decreasing market volatility. Thus, India receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Isra Batool*

**Indonesia: 0**

Indonesia has partially complied with its commitment to implement monetary, fiscal, and structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy.

Indonesia has outlined the following fiscal, structural, and monetary strategies in its comprehensive Growth Strategy:

- Improving infrastructure investment policy framework (Adjusted Brisbane Commitment).
- Improving market competition to support investment and trade activities (Adjusted Antalya Commitment).
- Enhancing employment policy reform (Adjusted Brisbane Commitment).
- Strengthening the financial system (New Commitment).
- Promoting more inclusive growth (New Commitment).  

---


On 31 October 2016, Indonesia’s central bank adopted new regulation that requires banks to attach supporting documents detailing the purpose of every transfer of foreign currencies of more than USD100,000 to increase transparency and “the amount of information available regarding foreign exchange traffic.”

On 17 November 2016, Indonesia’s central bank announced that it will increase the time period over which central banks must hold the required per centage (6.5 per cent) of total deposits from one day to a period of one to two weeks. This will enable banks to absorb liquidity shocks and “not inflict excessive volatility in interest rates.” The Governor of the Central Bank of Indonesia Agus Martowardjo announced that this measure will be introduced in the second half of 2017.

Indonesia has made some progress towards implementing monetary policies such as increasing transparency. Indonesia has not made progress towards implementing fiscal policies or monetary policies. Thus, Indonesia receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Miguel Martins

Italy: +1

Italy has fully complied with its commitment to implement monetary, fiscal, and structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy.

Italy has outlined the following fiscal, structural, and monetary strategies in its comprehensive Growth Strategy:

- Promote economic activity to increase real Gross Domestic Product (GDP) by 1.4 per cent in 2017, 1.5 per cent in 2018 and 1.4 per cent in 2019 by implementing rigorous yet employment based fiscal policy
- Review the public budget composition to free resources which can be better devoted to promote investment, jobs, and general growth
- Leverage budgetary flexibility as per European rules to support comprehensive structural reform plans to increase potential GDP and achieve a budget balance in the medium-term and to support investment expenditure
- Refrain from implementing restrictive policies to avoid other economic issues including deflation, stagnation, inadequate eurozone fiscal policies coordination, higher public debt ratio, and short term cost of structural reform
- Reduce tax burden and introduce tax incentives under 2016 Stability Law.
- Revise public spending and increase fiscal compliance while reducing margins for tax avoidance and evasion.
- Neutralize ‘safeguard clauses’ under Stability Law by including deficit reduction measures.
- Continue the implementation of the multi-year structural reform agenda.

On 27 September 2016, the Government of Italy increased its target for its 2017 budget deficit to 2.0 per cent from 1.8 per cent and cut its economic growth forecasts for 2017 to 1.0 per cent from 1.4 per cent.

---

On 15 October 2016, Prime Minister Matteo Renzi announced the 2017 budget plan, which “raises health spending and sets aside EUR7 billion over three years for measures relating to pensions.”\(^{87}\) In the budget, the tax credit available to companies for research and development will increase from 25 per cent to 50 per cent and the maximum tax credit will increase from EUR5 million to EUR20 million per company.\(^{88}\)

In December 2016, Italian lawmakers created a EUR20 billion fund to recapitalize some of Italy’s banks, Rome rushed to approve the fund after Monte dei Paschi di Siena failed to attract enough fresh private capital to satisfy regulators. Italy is currently in talks with Brussels about using the same mechanism for an EUR8.8 billion rescue of Monte dei Paschi di Siena. Italy is also currently considering a EUR5 billion state rescue of two struggling regional banks.\(^{89}\)

On 7 December 2016, the Italian parliament approved the government’s 2017 budget.\(^{90}\) The 2017 Budget Law includes a corporate income tax rate reduction from 27.5 per cent to 24 per cent, and other measures “aimed at rendering Italy more attractive for foreign investments, supporting domestic investments by Italian companies, and promoting economic growth in general.”\(^{91}\) This is in line with Italy’s Growth Strategy commitments to the 2016 Stability Law. Under the Law, Italy launched a broad plan to reduce the tax burden on workers, households and businesses, “including – among other measures- the abolition of taxation on main primary residential properties ("TASI" and "IMU"), the reduction of corporate income taxation (the “IRES” rate was reduced from 27.5 per cent to 24 per cent starting from 1 January 2017)."\(^{92}\)

Italy has made some progress towards implementing fiscal policies, such as increased public spending. Italy has made progress in implementing structural policies, such as reducing the corporate income tax rate. Italy has made some progress towards implementing monetary policies, such as decreasing negative spillovers. Thus, Italy receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Isra Batool**

**Japan: +1**

Japan has fully complied with its commitment to implement monetary, fiscal, and structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy.

Japan has outlined the following fiscal, structural, and monetary strategies in its comprehensive Growth Strategy:

- Facilitating the Fourth Industrial Revolution: IoT, Big Data, Robot
- The new or adjusted policy action- Creating new growing markets

---


\(^{89}\) Italy considers €5bn state bailout of regional banks, Financial Times 14 February 2017. Access Date: 17 February 2017. https://www.ft.com/content/b7b55e26-f2a1-11e6-95ee-f14e55513608


• Introduction of "Quantitative and Qualitative Monetary Easing (QQE) with a Negative Interest Rate"
• Strengthening local economies ("Local Abenomics")
• Working Style Reform.93

On 21 September 2016, the Bank of Japan said in a meeting that it would make yield-curve control a centerpiece of its new policy framework; buy ten-year Japan government bonds (JGBs) so that the yield would hover around 0 per cent while keeping a lid on short-term rates; and continue making JGB purchases more or less in line with the current JPY80 trillion annual pace of expansion of its holdings.94

On 11 October 2016, Japan’s parliament approved a JPY4.11 trillion supplementary budget, most of which will be used to fund Prime Minister Shinzo Abe’s JPY28 trillion stimulus package announced in August 2016. Under this extra budget, JPY710 billion will be used to improve welfare services, JPY1.41 trillion will be used for infrastructure development, and JPY430 billion will be allocated to support small and medium-sized companies and to revitalize local economies.95

On 25 November 2016, the Council on Economic and Fiscal Policy approved guidelines for the fiscal 2017 budget with a spending target of around JPY97 trillion. Prime Minister Abe “called for particular focus on such policy priorities as child care and nursing care as well as research and development spending” when planning the budget.96

On 8 December 2016, Prime Minister Shinzo Abe unveiled a fiscal 2017 tax reform plan that seeks to expand spousal tax breaks. Previously, a dependent spouse of a household’s main earner can trim their taxable annual income by JPY380 thousand but this benefit is lost if the dependent spouse earns more than JPY1.03 million a year. The new fiscal plan raises the threshold to JPY1.5 million.97

On 20 December 2016, the Japanese government said it plans to review drug prices annually instead of biannually in an attempt to curb rising health care spending. The government also plans to “expand the scope of the review to all prescription drugs on the domestic market, although not all drugs would see their prices cut each year.”98

On 20 December 2016, the central bank said it would keep overnight interest rates at minus 0.1 per cent and its ten-year bond yield target around zero. Furthermore, it will continue to purchase

---

government bonds at a pace of JPY80 trillion a year, equities at a pace of JPY6 trillion annually and corporate bonds at a pace of JPY3.2 trillion.  

On 22 December 2016, Japan’s cabinet approved a USD830 billion spending budget for fiscal 2017 that counts on low interest rates and a weak yen to limit borrowing. The budget marks an increase of JPY733 billion from this year’s initial plan due to a rising social security bill to fund the cost of services for a fast-ageing society.

On 22 December 2016, Prime Minister Shinzo Abe set aside JPY7 billion in the budget to launch government scholarships in the year starting next April in an effort to make higher education affordable.

Japan has made some progress towards implementing fiscal policies, such as the supplementary budget. Japan has made progress in implementing structural policies, such as the 2017 tax reform plan. Japan has made some progress towards implementing monetary policies increasing transparency. Thus, Japan receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Di An (Dion) Hu

Korea: +1

Korea has fully complied with its commitment to implement monetary, fiscal, and structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy.

Korea has outlined the following fiscal, structural, and monetary strategies in its comprehensive Growth Strategy:

- Reorganizing the tax system to nurture new industries
- Supporting new industries
- Providing tax supports to underpin restructuring
- Implementing the second phase of financial reform
- Reforming the public sector
- Reforming the education sector
- Aggressive macroeconomic policy
- Reviving the housing market
- Enhancing the support for Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs)
- Boosting infrastructure investment

On 7 September 2016, the Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning said it will create KRW40 billion in special funds to foster the virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) industries. The

ministry will invest KRW12 billion annually into the fund for the next two years and the rest of the KRW20 billion will be supplemented from private funds.\(^{103}\)

On 30 October 2016, the government unveiled plans to spend about KRW11 trillion on the shipbuilding industry. It intends to order more than 250 vessels and provide about KRW6.5 trillion in financing support to strengthen shipping companies' efficiency through the end of 2020.\(^{104}\)

On 28 December 2016, the Ministry of Strategy and Finance released its 2016 revision to 19 tax enforcement decrees, which includes an increase of up to 30 per cent in the Research & Development (R&D) tax credit rate on new growth sectors for large and medium-sized companies; a restructure of tax incentives for Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) companies engaged in high-tech businesses to boost investment in new growth sectors; a tax deferral for domestic companies in overseas mergers of full subsidiaries; and measures to strengthen tax measures on financial instruments.\(^{105}\)

On 29 December 2016, the Bank of Korea said that it plans to “keep its monetary policy accommodative next year to support economic recovery and to push inflation to reach the bank’s target of 2 per cent.”\(^{106}\)

On 5 January 2017, the Financial Services Commission (FSC) outlined in its Financial Policy Direction for 2017 a series of policies regarding household debt management, corporate restructuring, support for SMEs with KRW128.2 trillion, and support for funding start-ups and tech companies.\(^{107}\)

On 10 January 2017, the Ministry of Strategy and Finance said it will seek changes in tax incentives to promote marriage. It will also offer additional tax deductions for SMEs and conglomerates based on employment and investment.\(^{108}\)

On 12 January 2017, the FSC announced its financial reform plan for 2017 that includes plans to revise regulations that oversee trust funds and enact a new trust investment law. The FSC plans to draft the bill by June 2017 and submit it to the National Assembly around October.\(^{109}\)

Korea has made some progress towards implementing fiscal policies, such as increased funding for new industries. Korea has made progress in implementing structural policies, such as tax reform. Korea has made some progress towards implementing monetary policies decreasing market uncertainty. Thus, Korea receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Ce Shang*


**Mexico: +1**

Mexico has fully complied with its commitment to implement monetary, fiscal, and structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy.

Mexico has outlined the following fiscal, structural, and monetary strategies in its comprehensive growth strategy:

- Increase productivity, boost employment and create wealth in less developed regions through the creation of Special Economic Zones.
- Improving the rule of law to lift potential growth by strengthening the legal framework to realize the reforms’ full potential.
- Implementation of a multi-year public spending adjustment plan to secure fiscal sustainability and preserve macroeconomic stability in the current adverse environment.110

On 4 October 2016, the President of Inadem, the National Institute of Entrepreneurs, announced that the Youth Credit Program would be extended to entrepreneurs up to the age of 35, rather than the previous limit of 30 years.111

In November 2016, the Mexican Peso falls as much as 13 per cent in largest drop since 1994 devaluation crisis. The Mexican central bank and finance ministry called a joint pre-market press conference, raising expectations that they would move to market intervention. Instead, they did not, leaving the currency alone. Finance Minister José Antonio Meade, said Mexico did not need to rush to act and was “in a position of strength to face the new environment” because of fiscal stability; solid public and private institutions; USD175.1 billion in central bank reserves; and a flexible credit line from the International Monetary Fund (IMF) worth USD86.2 billion. He said, however, that the authorities stood ready to act and would remain vigilant.112

On 11 November 2016, Mexico’s lower house of Congress approved the 2017 budget and sent the package to Mexican President Enrique Peña Nieto for him to sign into law. The budget cut planned spending by MXN239.7 billion and targets a primary surplus of 0.4 per cent of gross domestic product in 2017. It also included a MXN100 billion reduction in funding for the state owned oil company Pemex.113

On 15 December 2016, the central bank raised its benchmark interest rate to 5.75 per cent.114

Mexico has made some progress towards implementing fiscal policies, such as reducing funding for Pemex. Mexico has made progress in implementing structural policies, such as extending the Youth Credit Program Mexico has made some progress towards implementing monetary policies decreasing market uncertainty. Thus, Mexico receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Marwan Bennis*

---


Russia: +1

Russia has fully complied with its commitment to implement monetary, fiscal, and structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy.

Russia has outlined the following fiscal, structural, and monetary strategies in its comprehensive Growth Strategy:

- Inflation targeting implementation
- Capital increase of the Industry Development Fund
- Support sustainability of regional labour markets
- Establish Agency for Technological Development
- Improve and strengthen the financial system

On 26 September 2016, President Vladimir Putin announced that there would be no tax increases other than in the oil industry until 2019.

On 31 October 2016, the Russian Central Bank (Bank of Russia) announced that it would not be cutting interest rates any further for the year and keep its benchmark interest rate at 10 per cent in a bid to control inflation.

On 11 November 2016, President Vladimir Putin said that the federal budget deficit for 2016 could slightly exceed 3.7 per cent of Gross Domestic Product (GDP), and that although the government should target a figure of 3.7 per cent, a deficit of 3.8 to 3.9 per cent of GDP would “not be a disaster.”

On 16 December 2016, the Board of Directors of the Russian Central Bank decided to keep the key rate at 10 per cent per annum. The Board of Directors noted that “the dynamics of inflation and economic activity are overall in line with the forecast and inflation risks have subsided somewhat.” The Bank of Russia forecasted that “considering that the moderately tight monetary policy is maintained” inflation will slow to the 4 per cent target in late 2017.

On 10 January 2017, the Ministry of Finance of Russia reported on the results of the Reserve Fund and the National Welfare Fund investments management in 2016. In December 2016, the Russian federal budget received the sum of RUB10.51 billion and RUB8.76 billion as a result of the Reserve Fund and NWF assets deposition in the foreign currencies in the Bank of Russia. It helped to stabilize the federal budget and lower the budget deficit.

According to the Russian Government report published on 27 November 2016, Russia allocated RUB9 billion in 2016 to the implementation of the National Technology Initiative (NTI)\(^{121}\) which is the program for “creation of fundamentally new markets and the creation of conditions for global technological leadership of Russia by 2035.”\(^{122}\) These resources included RUB716 million for the support of approved NTI projects, RUB 2 billion for the support of projects implemented by Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs) and RUB1 billion for the support of the creation of for children technological clusters.\(^{123}\)

According to the Action Plan (Road Map) on the Key Measures for the Development of the Financial Market of the Russian Federation in 2016-2018, in 2016 the Russian Government and Bank of Russia implemented the following actions: development of a composite financial literacy index, establishment of the Bank of Russia public reception office for interaction with financial service consumers, establishment of the requirements for the substance of basic standards of self-regulatory organisations in the financial market for protecting the rights and interests of financial service consumers.\(^{124}\)

Russia has made some progress towards implementing fiscal policies, such as funding for the National Technology Initiative. Russia has made progress in implementing structural policies, such as its tax policies. Russia has made some progress towards implementing monetary policies decreasing market uncertainty. Thus, Russia receives a score of +1.

**Analysts: Qasim Sheikh & Mark Rakhmangulov**

**Saudi Arabia: +1**

Saudi Arabia has fully complied with its commitment to implement monetary, fiscal, and structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy.

Saudi Arabia has outlined the following fiscal, structural and monetary strategies in its comprehensive Growth Strategy:

- National program for occupational health and safety (OSH)
- National Labour Gateway Program (Taqat)
- Employment Development Program
- Improve business environment
- Boost female labor force participation
- Enhance logistics Services — Building a unique Regional Logistical Hub.
- Improve government efficiency

On 26 September 2016, the Saudi cabinet announced it would cut ministers’ salaries by 20 per cent and housing and car allowances for members of the appointed Shoura Council by 15 per cent.\(^{125}\)

On 13 November 2016, Mohammed bin Ali al-Abbar, an Emirati businessman, reported Saudi Arabia’s Public Investment Fund will invest in a stake of 50 per cent to the largest platform of e-

---


\(^{122}\) National Technology Initiative, Agency for Strategic Initiatives. http://asi.ru/eng/nti/


commerce in the Arab world named “Noon.com,” in which all investors have put a total of USD1 billion. The platform will launch operations in the United Arab Emirates and Saudi Arabia in January.\textsuperscript{126}

On 23 November 2016, Saudi Arabian Mining Co. announced it plans on doubling its gold production by 2020 as the country seeks to diversify its economy. The government aims for mining to contribute SAR96 billion to its economy by 2020, and create 90,000 jobs as a result.\textsuperscript{127}

On 16 January 2017, Energy Minister Khalid al-Falih said Saudi Arabia would launch a renewable energy program in coming weeks. The program is expected to involve investment of between USD30 billion and USD50 billion by 2023.\textsuperscript{128}

On 19 January 2017, Saudi Arabia’s central bank Governor Ahmed Alkholifey said a cash shortage, that stressed commercial lenders last year is over and that he’s open to more foreign financial institutions operating. The Saudi Arabian Monetary Authority sees no need for further steps to boost banking liquidity, Alkholifey said in an interview. In 2016, with falling revenue, the government drew down on its deposits in the banking system, causing a cash shortage that sent a key measure used to price loans to the highest level since 2008. Policy makers responded by injecting billions of rivals into the banking system and deploying other monetary policy tools to ease the strain. Authorities also sold the biggest ever bond from an emerging market in October 2016 and cut weekly domestic debt issuance. According to Alkholifey, stability has been retained and negative spillovers avoided.\textsuperscript{129}

Saudi Arabia has made some progress towards implementing fiscal policies, such as investing in renewable energy. Saudi Arabia has made progress in implementing structural policies, such as reducing public sector salaries. Saudi Arabia has made some progress towards implementing monetary policies. Thus, Saudi Arabia receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Karam Shahbour}

South Africa: +1

South Africa has fully complied with its commitment to implement monetary, fiscal, and structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy.

South Africa has outlined the following fiscal, structural, and monetary strategies in its comprehensive Growth Strategy:

- Restoring confidence, boosting investment and improving policy certainty (new policy)- Measures to improve environment for private sector investment and improve cooperation between the public and private sectors.
- Streamline regulatory regime (adjusted policy)- Measures to improve the regulatory regime and to reduce red tape.


• Stimulate employment (adjusted policy)- Measures to unblock obstacles to faster employment growth in eight key sectors and SMEs.
• Fiscal reform measures- Reprioritise spending and reduce budgets for non-essential goods and services in national government departments.130

On 22 September 2016, the National Treasury announced the postponement of the implementation of the environmental tyre levy to 1 February 2017. Previously, Minister of Finance Pravin Gordhan announced that an environmental levy on tyres will become effective on 1 October 2016 but the Minister has decided on the postponement to allow further consultation between the South African Revenue Service and all affected parties.131

On 30 September 2016, South Africa placed USD3 billion in new notes maturing in 2028 and 2046 in the international capital markets through an innovative one-day new issue and tender switch transaction.132

On 26 October 2016, Finance Minister Pravin Gordhan released the Medium-term Budget Policy statement. In this statement, Gordhan said that students at South African universities and higher education institutions will receive an extra ZAR17 billion over the next three years.133

On 26 October 2016, the National Treasury announced the launch of “Municipal Money,” which is an open local government budget data portal that provides citizens and other stakeholders with access to comparable, verified information on the financial performance of each municipality. This launch is a response to the commitment made in the 2016 Budget speech to launch a data portal that will provide stakeholders with municipal financial information to stimulate citizen involvement in local governance.134

On 3 November 2016, the National Treasury invited taxpayers, tax practitioners and members of the public to provide tax proposals of a technical nature to be considered for possible inclusion in Annexure C of the 2017 Budget Review.135

On 20 November 2016, Deputy President Cyril Ramaphosa said South Africa has given credit ratings agencies “positive news” in recent meetings after announcing a proposal for a national

minimum wage. A government advisory panel suggested a national minimum wage of ZAR3,500 per month, or around ZAR20 an hour.\footnote{UPDATE 1- South Africa’s Ramaphosa says has given rating agencies positive news, Reuters Africa (Johannesburg) 20 November 2016. Access Date: 21 November 2016. http://af.reuters.com/article/southAfricaNews/idAFL8N1DL0KS.}

On 21 November 2016, the National Treasury and Telkom through Business Connexion concluded negotiations on the existing contract for fixed-line telecommunications services to government for national and provincial departments. This renegotiated contract is expected to save hundreds of millions of rand per year, in the next two years.\footnote{Telkom and Government Cooperation Saves Government Hundreds of Millions of Rands per year, National Treasury (South Africa) 21 November 2016. Access Date: 21 November 2016. http://www.treasury.gov.za/comm_media/press/2016/2016112101per cent20Telkomper cent20andper cent20Governmentper cent20Cooperation.pdf.}

South Africa has made some progress towards implementing fiscal policies, such as increased funding to universities. South Africa has made some progress in implementing structural policies, such as reducing public sector expenses. South Africa has made some progress towards implementing monetary policies decreasing market volatility. Thus, South Africa receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Di An (Dion) Hu}

**Turkey: 0**

Turkey has partially complied with its commitment to implement monetary, fiscal, and structural policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy.

Turkey has outlined the following fiscal, structural, and monetary strategies in its comprehensive Growth Strategy:

- Minimum wage hike
- Reduce the share of young people who are most at risk of being permanently left behind in the labor market by 15 per cent by 2025
- National Transportation Master Plan (2015-2018)
- Establishment of fund of funds for early stage investing in innovation-driven enterprises, as well as crowdfunding and co-financing mechanisms, and strengthening the legal infrastructure on microfinance
- Meetings with Chambers of Commerce and Universities
- Industry Reports

On 22 September 2016, the Central Bank of the Republic of Turkey (CBRT) cut the marginal funding rate by 25 basis points (bps) from 8.50 per cent to 8.25 per cent and left the one-week repo rate at 7.50 and the overnight borrowing rate at 7.25 per cent.\footnote{Turkey: Central Bank cuts the marginal rate in September, Focus Economics (Istanbul) 22 September 2016. Access Date: 20 November 2016. http://www.focus-economics.com/countries/turkey/news/monetary-policy/central-bank-cuts-the-marginal-rate-in-september.}

On 19 October 2016, the Monetary Policy Board (PPK) meeting saw the CBRT leave key interest rates unchanged. The benchmark one-week repo rate was held at 7.5 per cent and the “upper band of
the interest rate corridor (marginal funding rate) at 8.25 per cent while keeping the borrowing rate at 7.25 per cent.” This decision followed the US dollars’ record high at 3.1055 on 13 October 2016.140

On 13 November 2016, the CBRT announced its decision to simplify its monetary policy, citing a switch to a “single interest rate policy” that does not change often from its current “interest rate corridor.” Deputy Prime Minister Mehmet Şimşek stated that the CBRT will be able to better focus on its main tasks and look at more data with the new policy. The CBRT also reduced the number of annual Monetary Policy Committee meetings in order to foster efficiency.141

On 8 December 2016, the Turkish government announced a large fund aimed at “[accelerating] the credit volume’ and creating 600,000 new jobs. Prime Minister Binali Yıldırım outlined measures to achieve this end that included cheaper funding for companies, easier loan restructuring for banks, and a tighter lid on public spending. This will also take in the form of a credit line up to TRY250 billion particularly aimed for small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) as a means to “ease the cash flow problem under the Treasury.”142

Turkey has made some progress towards implementing fiscal policies, such as increased funding for jobs. Turkey has not made some progress in implementing structural policies. Turkey has made some progress towards implementing monetary policies decreasing market volatility. Thus, Turkey receives a score of 0.

**Analyst: Hivda Ates**

**United Kingdom: +1**

The United Kingdom has fully complied with its commitment to implement fiscal, structural, and monetary policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy.

The United Kingdom has outlined the following fiscal, structural, and monetary strategies in its comprehensive Growth Strategy:

- Tax-free personal allowance and higher rate threshold
- National Insurance contributions
- Abolition of Class 2 National Insurance contributions
- Reduction in Corporation Tax Rate
- Major Rail Projects in London-
- Transport in the North of England
- Devolution- Devolving powers over transport, planning and skills, as well as control over investment funds to boost economic growth, to areas which choose to have elected mayors.
- Business Impact target- Cut at least GBP10 billion of red tape on business.143

On 12 October 2016, the Public Accounts Committee reported that the government achieved less than GBP1 billion for its Business Impact Target, which aims to reduce the total cost of business regulation by GBP10 billion between 2015 and 2020. This includes the mandatory GBP0.05 plastic bag charge as a ‘saving’ for retailers because of the additional revenue it brings them.144

On 17 November 2016, the government announced an additional GBP10 million to help strengthen the resilience of the railway line from Exeter to Newton Abbot via Dawlish. 145

On 21 November 2016, Prime Minister Theresa May promised to maintain “lowest corporate tax rate in the G20.”146

On 23 November 2016, Chancellor of the Exchequer Philip Hammond said his government had raised the tax-free personal allowance from around GBP6,000 to GBP11,000 and now to GBP12,500.147

On 13 January 2017, Transport Minister Andrew Jones allocated GBP1.2 billion in local roads funding to councils across England to “improve roads, cut congestion and improve journey times.”148

On 17 February 2017, it was reported that for the very first time the Bank of England is publishing monthly data revealing the amount of gold it holds on behalf of other central banks. As a leading custodian of gold, with one of the largest vaults in the world, the World Gold Council says the Bank of England’s decision is highly significant. A report from the World Gold Council states: “Not only will it enhance the transparency of the Bank’s own gold operations; it will also support the drive towards greater transparency across the gold market.” The new data reveals the total weight of gold held within the Bank of England’s vaults and includes five years of historical data.149

The UK has made some progress towards implementing fiscal policies, such as increased funding for infrastructure. The UK has made some progress in implementing structural policies such as increasing the personal tax-free allowance. The UK has made some progress towards implementing monetary policies increasing transparency. Thus, the United Kingdom receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Kelly Yang

United States: –1

The United States has not complied with its commitment to implement fiscal, structural, and monetary policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy.

The United States has outlined the following fiscal, structural, and monetary strategies in its comprehensive Growth Strategy:

- The new or adjusted policy action- 21st Century Clean Transportation Plan.
- Implement an omnibus spending package of USD$80 billion sequestration cap over two years, with USD$31 billion in additional funding, which incorporates funding to new areas include accounting for risk through natural disasters, and tax cuts for renewable energy to put the United States back on track for sustainable public finance of the national debt;
- Retain a commitment through the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) for a flexible and stable monetary policy;
- Boost incomes of low-wage workers and address inequality by raising the minimum wage and expanding selected individual tax credits;
- Undertake comprehensive immigration reform by continuing to press for passage of comprehensive immigration reform legislation in Congress and by implementing executive actions to streamline the legal immigration system and promote naturalization;
- Secure sustained and strong economic expansion through the implementation of cross-border trade agreements such as the ratification of the Trans Pacific Partnership (TPP);
- Affirms its prior commitment to create a robust labour market through comprehensive immigration reform, increase the federal minimum wage, and through business tax reform;
- Boost employment and labor force participation by investing in education, training, and skills, and by promoting female labor force participation.\(^{150}\)

On 23 January 2017, President Donald Trump formally withdrew the United States from the Trans-Pacific Partnership.\(^{151}\)

On 27 January 2017, President Trump signed the Executive Order: Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry into the United States, halting immigration to nationals of Countries of Particular Concern.\(^{152}\)

On 1 February 2017, the Federal Open Market Committee held its benchmark overnight lending rate target steady at a range of 0.5 per cent to 0.75 per cent.\(^{153}\)

The US has not made some progress towards implementing fiscal policies, structural policies or monetary policies. Thus, the United States receives a score of –1.

**Analyst: Kyle Van Hooren**

**European Union: 0**

The European Union has partially complied with its commitment to implement fiscal, structural, and monetary policies as laid out in its Growth Strategy.

---


The European Union has outlined the following fiscal, structural, and monetary strategies in its comprehensive Growth Strategy:

- Expand and extend large-scale purchasing programme
- Single Market Strategy
- Collaborative economy
- Anti-Tax Avoidance Package and Financial Transparency
- A New and Comprehensive Skills Agenda for Europe
- European Open Science Cloud
- European Pillar of Social Rights
- Integration of Third-Country Nationals
- A New Global Climate Agreement
- Advance multilateral trade liberalisation and rulemaking and the EU bilateral trade and investment agenda.\(^{154}\)

On 30 October 2016, the Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA) was signed at the EU-Canada Summit. The free trade agreement will “remove customs duties, end restrictions on access to public contracts, open-up the services market, offer better conditions for investors and help prevent illegal copying of EU innovations and traditional products.”\(^{155}\)

On 1 December 2016, the European Commission launched the Digital Skills and Jobs Coalition to reduce the digital skills gap and “help meet the high demand for digital skills in Europe.”\(^{156}\) The Digital Skills and Jobs Coalition is one of the ten key initiatives proposed by the Commission under the New Skills Agenda for Europe, presented in June 2016.\(^{157}\)

On 5 December 2016, the European Commission organized its first European Vocational Skills Week to “inspire people to discover, use and improve their talents and abilities through vocational training.”\(^{158}\)

On 8 December 2016, the European Central Bank announced it would cut asset buys from April 2017. Monthly purchases will decrease from EUR80 billion to EUR60 billion until the end of 2017.\(^{159}\)

On 23 January 2017, the European Commission held a conference in Brussels to further establish a European Pillar of Social Rights.\(^{160}\)


The EU has made some progress towards implementing fiscal policies, such as funding innovation coalitions. The EU has made some progress in implementing structural policies such as signing CETA. The EU has not made some progress towards implementing monetary policies. Thus, the European Union receives a score of 0.

*Analyst: Kelly Yang*

---

2. Innovation

“To achieve innovation-driven growth and the creation of innovative ecosystems, we support dialogue and cooperation on innovation, which covers a wide range of domains with science and technology innovation at its core.”

G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué

Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No Compliance</th>
<th>Partial Compliance</th>
<th>Full Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background

At the 2016 Hangzhou Summit, Chinese President Xi emphasized the importance of innovation for economic growth and chose to focus on an “innovative, invigorated, interconnected and inclusive world economy.” At the summit, innovation emerged as one of the priorities of the G20 for the first time. With 90 per cent of global gross domestic product, more than 80 per cent of global research and development (R&D) and 70 per cent of the global patent applications, the G20 is in a unique position to encourage innovation-driven growth.

Technological breakthroughs and the New Industrial Revolution, provide new opportunities for the G20 to lead and advance international economic cooperation in cooperation with the international community. Innovation is a fundamental means to an inclusive world economy and the achievement

---

of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development including the eradication of hunger and extreme poverty.\textsuperscript{164}

Following the 2016 Hangzhou Summit, the G20 released the G20 Innovation Plan. The Innovation Plan outlines ways in which the G20 will encourage innovation to promote sustainable economic growth. It further advances innovation and assists members in creating innovative ecosystems.\textsuperscript{165} The G20’s Blueprint on Innovative Growth defines innovation-driven growth as a concept that “encompasses actions in support of innovation, the New Industrial Revolution and the digital economy.”\textsuperscript{166} The New Industrial Revolution is characterized by the “intelligent interconnectedness of people, machine and resources driven by the convergence of Next Generation Information Technology and advanced manufacturing.”\textsuperscript{167} It provides new possibilities for economic growth by harnessing modern technologies and has the potential to create more efficient and environmentally friendly business processes.\textsuperscript{168} The digital economy refers to “a broad range of economic activities that include using digitized information and knowledge as the key factor of production, modern information networks as an important activity space, and the effective use of information and communication technology (ICT) as an important driver of productivity growth and economic structural optimization.”\textsuperscript{169} The Blueprint on Innovative Growth also encourages the creation of innovative ecosystems that “catalyze creativity and support the combination of creative ideas with entrepreneurship, science and technology for innovative growth and job creation.”\textsuperscript{170}

**Commitment Features**

The G20 committed “To achieve innovation-driven growth and the creation of innovative ecosystems, we support dialogue and cooperation on innovation, which covers a wide range of domains with science and technology innovation at its core.” There are two parts to this commitment, both paying particular attention to science and technology; 1) commitment to support dialogue on innovation and 2) commitment to support cooperation on innovation. Support is defined as the action, or act of providing aid, assistance, or backing up an initiative, or entity.

The G20’s Innovation Action Plan defines innovation as “the embodiment of an idea in a technology, product, or process that is new and creates value. An innovation is the implementation of a new or significantly improved product (good or service), or process which derives from creative ideas, technological progress, a new marketing method or a new organizational method in business practices, workplace organization or external relations. Innovation covers a wide range of domains with science and technology innovation as the core.”\textsuperscript{171}

http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/2016/160905-innovation.html

\textsuperscript{165}G20 Innovation Action Plan, G20 Research Group (Toronto) 5 September 2016. Access Date: 26 October 2016.  
http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/2016/160905-innovation.html

\textsuperscript{166}G20 Blueprint on Innovative Growth, G20 Research Group (Toronto) 5 September 2016. Access Date: 26 October 2016.  
http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/2016/160905-innovation.html

http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/2016/160905-industrial.html

http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/2016/160905-industrial.html

\textsuperscript{169}G20 Digital Economy Development and Cooperation Initiative, G20 Research Group (Toronto) 5 September 2016.  
Access Date: 31 October 2016.  
http://www.g20.org/English/Documents/Current/201609/P020160908736971932404.pdf

\textsuperscript{170}G20 Blueprint on Innovative Growth, G20 Research Group (Toronto) 5 September 2016. Access Date: 26 October 2016.  
http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/2016/160905-innovation.html

http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/2016/160905-innovation.html
Part One: Support dialogue on innovation

The G20 committed to support dialogue on innovation to achieve innovation-driven growth and the creation of innovative ecosystems. Dialogue can be, but is not limited to, meetings, conferences, symposiums, panels, consultations, and other exchanges of discourse on innovation. In the G20’s Innovation Action Plan members specifically commit to stimulate dialogue among governments, businesses and other stakeholders, including Think 20 (T20), universities, research institutes and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) on topics related to innovation. G20 members must therefore, must support dialogue internally, within the G20, on platforms such as the T20, Business 20 (B20) or with other members, as well as support dialogue externally, such as with members of the private sector, or NGOs. Members must also specifically support dialogue related to science and technology innovation.

Part Two: Support cooperation on innovation

The G20 committed to support cooperation on innovation to achieve innovation-driven growth and the creation of innovative ecosystems. Cooperation in defined by the G20’s Innovation Action Plan as policy coordination, sharing best practices and experience, and promoting collaboration to address common challenges. Cooperation includes partnerships between G20 members and other states. The G20 also recognizes the specific importance of cooperation with the business sector in areas such as entrepreneurship, and between large companies and small and medium enterprises (SMEs). The G20’s Innovation Action Plan specifically commits to supporting innovation cooperation regarding science and research activities. This includes open science which is voluntary knowledge diffusion and technology transfer as well as appropriate access to publicly-funded research results on Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Re-usable (FAIR) principles. Therefore, cooperation must specifically include business as well as science, research and technology.

To achieve full compliance, G20 members must comply with all aspects of both parts of the commitment. The G20 member must support innovation dialogue that specifically addresses science and technology innovation within the G20 and externally, as well as support innovation cooperation that addresses research, science and technology, specifically with business. Partial compliance is achieved if the G20 member complies with all aspects of one part but not the other. No compliance is achieved when the G20 member complies with some aspects of both parts or no aspects of both parts.

Scoring Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>The G20 member does not support dialogue AND cooperation on innovation, with science and technology innovation at its core.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>The G20 member supports dialogue OR cooperation on innovation, with science and technology innovation at its core.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>The G20 member supports dialogue AND cooperation on innovation, with science and technology innovation at its core.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lead Analyst: Mridvika Sahajpal

---

Argentina: +1

Argentina has fully complied with its commitment to support dialogue and cooperation in innovation, with science and technology innovation at its core.

On 12 September 2016, Minister of Science, Technology and Productive Innovation Lino Barañao signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) with Italian company Leonardo – Finmeccanica regarding cooperation on defense and safety technology. The MoU promotes government-commercial cooperation in aerospace safety and defense activities through high technology solutions and abilities, with special emphasis on airships, helicopters, and safety and defense solutions for the control of frontiers and territory. The MoU is “intended to regulate the terms and conditions for the development of business opportunities and cooperation in common interest areas and/or joint companies in defense and safety activities, especially in high technology abilities and solutions in order to develop joint research and development programs.”

On 13 September 2016, President Mauricio Macri delivered the keynote address at the inaugural Argentina Business and Investment Forum. Launched by President Mauricio Macri and hosted by the Argentine Investment and Trade Promotion Agency, the forum brought together 1,900 global and local business leaders and 400 government representatives for the first time in Buenos Aires and promoted sectors such as agribusiness, conventional and renewable energies, mining, tourism and value-added services, biotechnology, and other top industrial services and investors.

On 14 September 2016, the Foreign Ministry issued a joint communiqué with the Foreign and Commonwealth Office of the United Kingdom (UK) reaffirming the agreement regarding scientific dialogue at the ministerial level following a series of Argentine-UK bilateral meetings which took place on 12 and 13 September 2016. At the meetings, Argentine Deputy Foreign Minister Carlos Foradori and UK Minister of State for Europe and the Americas Alan Duncan welcomed the increase in bilateral links, including areas for scientific exchange such as agri-technology, advanced materials and nanotechnology, information and communications technology, life sciences, and the development of opportunities for students via the Beca en Ciencia y Tecnología -Argentina (BECAR) scholarship program in collaboration with British universities, the Argentine National Scientific and Technical Research Council, and the Royal Society. Additionally, areas of possible cooperation were
evaluated on the subject of Antarctica including exchanges, joint work and agreements between scientific programs of the Argentine Antarctic Institute and the British Antarctic Survey.\(^{180}\)

On 15 September 2016, the Argentina Business and Investment Forum held Innovation Day, a day dedicated to showcasing government policies supporting innovation and entrepreneurship.\(^{181}\)

From 13-14 October 2016, the Ministry of Science and Technology, Universidad Nacional del Litoral, and the Ministry of Science of the Province of Santa Fe held the First International Conference on Technological Marketing at Research and Development (R & D) Institutions in collaboration with the National Council of Scientific and Technical Research and the Secretariat of University Policies of the Ministry of Education and Sports.\(^{182}\) The conference focused on policies, tools, and best practices for technological marketing, and included the validation of a best practices manual developed from a regional perspective and supported by the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD).\(^{183}\)

On 19 October 2016, the National Scientific and Technical Research Council announced its intention to host the 2016 America Regional Meeting of the Global Research Council (GRC), comprised of the main scientific institutions in the continent, on 25-26 October 2016.\(^{184}\) According to the announcement, at the meeting, the Global Research Council will announce a joint regional vision for developing capabilities and connections between financial institutions around the world and the dynamic interaction between basic research and innovation. The GRC will present conclusions drawn from the America Regional Meeting at the 2017 Annual GRC meeting in Ottawa, Canada.\(^{185}\)

On 2 November 2016, President of the National Communications Agency of Argentina (ENACOM) Miguel De Godoy met with United States Coordinator for International Communications and Information Policy Ambassador Daniel A. Sepulveda in a joint Working Group meeting to discuss concrete steps needed to advance cooperation under the Global Connect Initiative (GCI). The Working Group also included United States Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Thomas Wheeler, Argentine Secretary of State for Investment Promotion Clarisa Estol, and non-governmental stakeholders from the communications sector. The meeting addressed the specific steps that parties could take to “increase deployment of Argentina’s Internet and broadband infrastructure as part of the GCI goal of bringing 1.5 billion people worldwide online by 2020.”\(^{186}\)


Argentina has promoted dialogue on innovation growth by leading meetings, forums, and conferences on science and technology innovation. It has also supported innovation cooperation through securing bilateral agreements and partnerships with G20 members, sharing best practices, and addressing common challenges through collaboration. It has also taken steps towards supporting national and international business and research cooperation on innovation. Thus, Argentina receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Kamara Jeffrey**

**Australia: +1**

Australia has fully complied with its commitment to support dialogue and cooperation in innovation, with science and technology innovation at its core.


On 20 September 2016, the Government of Australia launched the Incubator Support Initiative, which will work to “increase innovation capacity in Australia’s urban areas.” The initiative will invest AUD23 million into the creation of start-up companies and give them access to capital, advice, and connections. The Incubator Support Initiative emphasises the importance of increasing knowledge exchange and collaboration between groups of entrepreneurs, university precincts, and urban and rural regions. Applicants will be able to apply for grants between AUD10,000-AUD500,000.\footnote{Building better incubators to support new Australian start-ups (Canberra) 20 September 2016. Access Date: 13 November 2016. http://minister.industry.gov.au/ministers/hunt/media-releases/building-better-incubators-support-new-australian-start-ups}


On 13 October 2016, the Australian government passed the Innovation and Science Australia Bill. The bill highlighted the importance of research and development, and created the Innovation and Science Australia (ISA), an organization that will help the government complete its National
Innovation and Science Agenda. The organization will help to guide the government’s AUD10.1 billion investment in innovation, science and research and work to collaborate with national, international, and business sectors in order to “improve the overall performance of the national innovation and science system.”

In November 2016 the Australian government executed the second and third phase of the Review of the Research and Development Tax Incentive consultation, as part of a larger National Innovation and Science Agenda.

On 24-27 October 2016, the Secretary of the Department of Industry, Innovation and Science Glenys Beauchamp led an Australian science and innovation delegation to Europe. The delegation held officials-level meetings with the governments of Germany, Switzerland, France, and the EU. The meetings provided a platform to reinforce principles of cooperation, discuss broad policies, and identify opportunities to integrate and improve science and innovation collaboration.

On 4 November 2016, the Australian Ministry of Industry, Innovation and Science announced an investment of AUD3.2 million under the National Innovation and Science Global Innovation Strategy to increase collaboration between Australian researchers and other researchers within the Asia-Pacific region. The Regional Collaborations Programme is administered by the Australian Academy of Science, and will support collaborative research and solutions to “regional challenges like food and energy security, aging populations, biosecurity, disaster resilience, and environmental threats.” Universities, research organizations, and businesses will be encouraged to apply for funding. The initiative is a part of the National Innovation and Science Agenda.

On 6 December 2016, the Ministry for Industry, Innovation and Science “announced $3.9 million in funding for projects that will encourage women’s participation in science, technology, engineering and maths (STEM) education and careers.”

On 2 February 2017, the Australian Government’s Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet, opened the Cyber Security Challenge Australia. Students and women are encouraged to apply.

Australia has promoted dialogue on innovation growth through public consultations and leading bilateral communication on science and innovation collaboration. It has also taken steps towards supporting national and international business and research cooperation on innovation. It has also supported innovation cooperation through securing bilateral agreements and partnerships with G20

---

members, sharing best practices, and addressing common challenges through collaboration. Thus, Australia receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Kaira Jakobsh

Brazil: +1

Brazil has fully complied with its commitment to support dialogue and cooperation on innovation, with science and technology at its core.

On 20-23 September 2016, Brazil hosted a conference with the European Union (EU) during Information and Communications Technology (ICT) Week. The Director of the Ministry of Science, Technology, Innovation and Communications (MCTIC) José Gontijo, stated that the seminar aimed to build ties between industries, universities, and companies in the EU and Brazil. Panels discussed topics such as the Internet of Things, cyber security, 5G technology, Over The Top services, and development strategies in each of these sectors.\(^{199}\)

On 8 October 2016, the fourth Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa (BRICS) Science, Technology and Innovation Ministerial Meeting was held in Jaipur to reinforce cooperation and collaboration amongst the BRICS countries in areas of science, technology and innovation.\(^{200}\)

From 15 - 16 October 2016, Brazil attended the eighth BRICS Summit with a theme of Building Responsive Inclusive, and Collective Solutions to enhance people to people contacts of BRICS member countries. Innovation was one of the focuses of the summit.\(^{201}\)

On 4 November 2016, the United Kingdom (UK) announced a Collaborative Research and Development Programme, co-funded by the Brazilian government and Innovative UK, and administered by the Newton Fund. The competition will provide funding for collaborative technological solutions for issues in Brazil’s urban areas. The Brazilian Development Bank, the Ministry of Industry, Foreign Trade and Services, and the Brazilian Agency for Industrial Research and Innovation (Embrapii) will all be involved in facilitating the competition. The competition will sponsor solutions focusing on integration and governance of city systems, infrastructure, smart mobility, and sustainable urban environments.\(^{202}\)

On 10 November 2016, MCTIC held a seminar to evaluate the Technical Cooperation Project (Prodoc), and announced a new cooperative agreement. Prodoc is a collaborative project between MCTIC and the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), which began in 2010. The assessment found that since 2010, BRL29 million has been invested in Prodoc, directly resulting in the funding of 850 studies. The director of Prodoc Flavio Bittencourt said that a new agreement between MCTIC and UNESCO is being prepared, and will take into account the successes and failures of the current agreement. The seminar noted that the current and


upcoming agreements are important in expanding knowledge bases and developing national and international policy.

Brazil has promoted dialogue on innovation through public consultations and leading bilateral communication on science and innovation collaboration. It has also taken steps towards supporting national and international business and research cooperation on innovation. It has supported innovation cooperation through securing bilateral agreements and partnerships with G20 members, sharing best practices, and addressing common challenges through collaboration. Thus, Brazil receives a score of +1

**Canada: +1**

Canada has fully complied with its commitment to support dialogue and cooperation in innovation, with science and technology innovation at its core.

On 21 September 2016, German Parliamentary Secretary to the Federal Minister of Economy and Energy Iris Gleichie and Canadian Minister of Science Kristin Duncan signed a declaration to strengthen innovative collaboration. This collaboration aims to increase scientific and industrial research and development projects between Canada and Germany. Moreover, this collaboration enables both countries to build on existing partnerships to expedite the development of new innovations that have a high potential for commercialization.

On 23 September 2016, Minister Duncan gave the opening remarks at “From Basic to Applied Research,” a conference organized by ERA-Can+. ERA-Can+ stands for Advancing EU-Canada Research and Innovation Collaboration and is a 3-year project, funded by the EU Seventh Framework Programme, to promote bilateral Science, Technology and Innovation cooperation between Canada and Europe.

On 30 September 2016, Member of Parliament for London North Centre Peter Fragiskatos announced CAD45 million of federal funding to support Western University’s Interdisciplinary Research Building and The Three C+ (Connect, Collaborate, and Create) Innovation Centre. The new building will be the location of the Research Cluster for Cognitive Neuroscience, including the Brain & Mind Institute, the Rotman Institute of Philosophy, and mixed-use spaces. The Three C+ Innovation Centre will provide practical working spaces for students in Engineering. The federal funding comes from the Post-Secondary Institutions Strategic Investment Fund, a CAD2 billion federal investment fund seeking to create “state-of-the-art facilities” in Canadian universities to spark innovation.

---

203 Cooperation between MCTIC and Unesco has produced more than 850 studies in science and telecommunications (Brasilia) 11 November 2016. Access Date: 13 November 2016. [http://www.mcti.gov.br/noticia/-/asset_publisher/epbV0Pr6eIS0/content/cooperacao-entre-mctic-e-unesco-ja-produzui-mais-de-850-estudos-em-ciencia-e-telecomunicacoes](http://www.mcti.gov.br/noticia/-/asset_publisher/epbV0Pr6eIS0/content/cooperacao-entre-mctic-e-unesco-ja-produzui-mais-de-850-estudos-em-ciencia-e-telecomunicacoes)


On 12 October 2016, the Canadian government published the Declaration between the Department of Foreign Affairs, Trade and Development of Canada and the Ministry of the Economy and Finance of the French Republic on cooperation in innovation for 2016-2018. The purpose of the declaration is to support bilateral cooperation by enhancing partnerships between government bodies, knowledge-based institutions, and businesses in the areas of technology, innovation and commercialization.\(^\text{208}\)

On 13 October 2016, the federal government published the “Canada-France Enhanced Cooperation Agenda.” It reaffirmed that Canada and France will continue to cooperate in innovation, promoting areas of mutual priority through the France-Canada Research Fund.\(^\text{209}\)

On 13 October 2016, the Minister of Innovation, Science and Economic Development Navdeep Bains, announced USD9 million of federal funding for Confederation College’s new Technology Education and Collaboration Hub (TEC Hub). The TEC Hub will have new workshop and lab space for the College’s technology programs.\(^\text{210}\)

On 20 October 2016, the meeting of the Canada-Japan Joint Economic Committee, “cooperative working group led by Global Affairs Canada and Japan’s Ministry of Foreign Affairs” convened in Ottawa.\(^\text{211}\) The working group aims to promote progress within the areas of “infrastructure, energy, science and technology cooperation, improving the business environment and promoting investment, and tourism and youth exchanges.”\(^\text{212}\) Their commitments included “enhanced partnerships on clean technologies,” increased government investment in clean energy innovation, explore bilateral collaboration on research and development, and to “build on the outcomes from the Joint Committee on Science and Technology Cooperation,” among others.\(^\text{213}\)

On 21 November 2016, Minister Kirsty Duncan announced USD18.4 million of federal funding for the construction of a new aerospace campus at Centennial College. The USD72 million project will also be financed by the Ontario government, Centennial College, and the College’s donors. The Downsview Aerospace Innovation and Research consortium of educational institutions and companies holds that the new campus is a first step for the creation of a hub for aerospace activity in


the area, which will create 14,400 jobs and USD2.3 billion in economic activity over 20 years. This new campus is also part of the Strategic Investment Fund Federal Policy. 214

On 12 January 2017, India and Canada launched the 2017 Request for Proposal for a program that aims to promote collaboration on research and development in areas of technology and innovation. 215

On 20 January 2017, Minister of Science Kirsty Duncan, officially launched the cross-Canada Innovation 150 tour. A suite of programming including over 600 events in more than 60 communities will travel the country celebrating Canadian ingenuity and inspiring youth to harness their own creativity, innovative thinking, and collaborative spirit in building a brighter future for all. The tour is in part sponsored by the government, international organizations and is organized by five partner organizations. 216

On 6-10 February 2017, request of proposals were accepted to the Smart Cities program initiated by Government of India in collaboration with High Commission of Canada located in New Delhi. The purpose of this initiative was to develop new cities and redevelop existing cities through the use of smart technology and innovative solutions, that partnering Canadian technology companies can offer. 217

Canada has promoted dialogue on innovation growth through public consultations and leading bilateral communication on science and innovation collaboration. It has also taken steps towards supporting national and international business and research cooperation on innovation. It has also supported innovation cooperation through securing bilateral agreements and partnerships with G20 members, sharing best practices, and addressing common challenges through collaboration. Thus, Canada receives a score of +1

**Analyst: Alejandra Bellatin**

**China: +1**

China has fully complied with its commitment to support dialogue and cooperation in innovation, with science and technology innovation at its core.

On 23 September 2016, the United Kingdom Science & Technology Facilities Council (STFC) visited China to develop a research and innovation relationship, including an extension on an existing Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), the establishment of the 11th annual UK-China Space Workshop, and a new agreement between the STFC and the Open University and the Centre for Excellence in Advanced Materials in Dongguan. 218

---

On 8 October 2016, the fourth Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa (BRICS) Science, Technology and Innovation Ministerial Meeting was held in Jaipur to reinforce cooperation and collaboration amongst the BRICS countries in areas of science, technology and innovation.\(^{219}\)

On 14 October 2016, more than 300 scholars, experts, businesses, and political leaders from over 50 countries gathered in Chongqing for the 2016 Communist Party of China (CPC) in Dialogue with the World Conference under the theme of “Innovation in Global Economic Governance.”\(^{220}\) Sponsored by the International Department of the CPC Central Committee, the annual dialogue connects the CPC, China’s leadership, and other countries. The conference aimed to address issues regarding global economic governance and cooperation by “translating public wisdom into the theory and guidelines for national development.”\(^{221}\) At the conference, many participating country representatives also urged for increased action on global sustainable development.

On 15–16 October 2016, President Xi Jinping attended the eighth Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa (BRICS) summit in Goa, India, themed “Building Responsive, Inclusive and Collective Solutions.”\(^{222}\) In his speech, President Xi mapped out a shared development vision to “combine the implementation of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and outcomes from the G20 Hangzhou Summit and enhance [...] cooperation to push for the robust, sustainable, balanced and inclusive growth of the global economy.”\(^{223}\)

On 24 October 2016, the State Council released new agricultural modernization initiatives for the next five years as part of the 13th Five-Year Plan. It highlights the need for innovative technological reform in agriculture and rural Chinese areas.\(^ {224}\) The plans included specific targets to promote innovation, coordination, green development, transparency, and farmers’ welfare,\(^ {225}\) such as “70 percent of mechanization rate in farming, and 40 percent of land under moderately large-scale

---


operation by 2020." According to the plan, by 2020 food security will be effectively guaranteed as a result of the government's agricultural modernization commitments.227

On 5 November 2016, the fifth Summit of China and Central and Eastern European Countries (CEECs) provided a platform for political leaders from China and CEECs to speak about enhancing "the scope and magnitude of cooperation among the 17 countries,"228 also known as 16+1 Cooperation. The participating countries reaffirmed the intention to build an open, inclusive, and mutually beneficial partnership around the theme of "Connectivity, Innovation, Inclusiveness, and Common Development."229 The summit confirmed the date for the 2017 China-CEEC Conference on Innovation Cooperation. The Riga guidelines focuses primary on economic cooperation opportunities, but outlines mutual support for environmental protection authorities, knowledge sharing in sustainable practices, and the 2nd China-CEEC Conference on Innovation Cooperation.230

On 5 November 2016, Premier Li Keqiang presented a six-pronged proposal for innovative development and production capacity and a joint communiqué for enhanced practical cooperation on security, economic development, and production capacity at the 15th Shanghai Cooperation Organization (SCO) meeting.231 As part of his six prong proposal, Premier Li proposed that SCO members should follow a common, comprehensive, cooperative and sustainable security concept, further strengthen cooperation in security as well as improve capacity cooperation, and explore opportunities for innovation cooperation.232

On 8 November 2016, China hosted the first China-Central and Eastern European countries (CEEC) Conference on Innovation Cooperation as per initiatives outlined in the 5 November 2016 Riga Guidelines.233 The conference "effectively open[ed] up new channels for technological innovation cooperation and exchanges between China and CEEC."234 More than 300 representatives from China and CEEC attended the cooperation forum in Jiangsu which was under the theme of "Open

---

Innovation along the Belt and Road, Long-term Collaboration Featured by Connectivity. They released the Nanjing Declaration on China-CEEC Innovation Cooperation and jointly inaugurated the China-CEEC Virtual Technology Transfer Center.

On 16-18 November 2016, the Cyberspace Administration of China and Zhejiang Provincial People’s government hosted the third annual World Internet Conference, an international forum that showcases new technologies and state-of-the-art devices. The conference, under the theme "Innovation-driven Internet Development for the Benefit of All – Building a Community of Common Future in Cyberspace," had more than 1200 worldwide guest in attendance.

On 14-16 December 2016, the State Council and the Central Committee of the Communist Party hosted the annual Central Economic Work Conference which set out the national agenda for the economy of China and its financial and banking sectors. Six main points for the country’s economic direction were concluded, such as “adopting the concept of innovative, coordinated, green, open and shared development.”

China has promoted dialogue on innovation growth through public consultations and leading bilateral communication on science and innovation collaboration. It has taken steps towards supporting national and international business and research cooperation on innovation. It has also supported innovation cooperation through securing bilateral agreements and partnerships with G20 members, sharing best practices, and addressing common challenges through collaboration. Thus, China receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Jessica Li

France: +1

France has fully complied with its commitment to support dialogue and cooperation in innovation, with science and technology innovation at its core.

On 28 September 2016, France expanded its French-American Innovation Day to include Boston, Massachusetts as well as Houston, Texas. This annual program is aimed to foster constructive dialogue on innovation between the two countries by bringing together French and American experts on specific topics of research, science, technology, and industry.

On 12 October 2016, the Canadian government published the Declaration between the Department of Foreign Affairs, Trade and Development of Canada and the Ministry of the Economy and Finance.
of the French Republic on cooperation in innovation for 2016-2018. The purpose of the declaration is to support bilateral cooperation by enhancing partnerships between government bodies, knowledge-based institutions, and businesses in the areas of technology, innovation and commercialization.242

On 13 December 2016, French Ministers Michel Sapin and Axelle Lemaire met with German Ministers Wolfgang Schäuble and Sigmar Gabriel in Berlin to promote stronger Franco-German cooperation on digital technology innovation. The two countries aim to make Europe a global leader in the digital economy through increased cooperation and dialogue on digital technology innovation.243

From 27 February to 2 March 2017, Business France along with the French Agency for International Business Development hosted the French Tech Pavilion at the Mobile World Congress in Barcelona, Spain. New innovations from more than 100 French exhibitors will be showcased at the French Tech Pavilion.244

France has promoted dialogue on innovation growth through public consultations and leading bilateral communication on science and innovation collaboration. It has also taken steps towards supporting national and international business and research cooperation on innovation. It has also supported innovation cooperation through securing bilateral agreements and partnerships with G20 members, sharing best practices, and addressing common challenges through collaboration. Thus, France receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Elie Atieh

Germany: +1

Germany has fully complied with its commitment to support dialogue and cooperation in innovation, with science and technology innovation at its core.

On 21 September 2016, German Parliamentary Secretary to the Federal Minister of Economy and Energy Iris Gleiße and Canadian Minister of Science Kristin Duncan signed a declaration to strengthen innovative collaboration. This collaboration aims to increase scientific and industrial research and development projects between Canada and Germany. Moreover, this collaboration enables both countries to build on existing partnerships to expedite the development of new innovations that have a high potential for commercialization.245

On 28 September 2016, German Federal Ministry of Education and Research introduced the new KMU-NetC grant program, which is designed to aid small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) in moving innovative products into local and global markets. A key role of cluster and network

---


8 April 2017

61
management is to contribute strategic and organizational capabilities to establish lasting cooperation and coordinate the goals of the SMEs through a joint innovation strategy.246

On 5 October 2016, the German Research Centre for Artificial Intelligence (DFKI), with the sponsorship of the German Federal Ministry for Education and Research, and the American technology company NVIDIA, announced an expansion in their cooperation in innovative Deep Learning and Artificial Intelligence (AI) research. The goal of this collaboration is to promote the innovative work of AI researchers at DFKI and NVIDIA, and to broaden the exchanges and cooperation among the leading scientists in the area of Deep Learning.247

On 7 December 2016, the German government joined the Open Government Partnership (OGP) and attended the fourth global summit in Paris from 7-9 December 2017. The OGP summit involved 30000 representatives from 70 countries sharing their experiences and pushing forward an open government agenda. The German OGP working group’s open draft paper, which allowed for comments and feedback in the months prior to the summit, included innovation management and open innovation as a key thematic area.248

On 13 December 2016, French Ministers Michel Sapin and Axelle Lemaire met with German Ministers Wolfgang Schäuble and Sigmar Gabriel in Berlin to promote stronger Franco-German cooperation on digital technology innovation. The two countries aim to make Europe a global leader in the digital economy through increased cooperation and dialogue on digital technology innovation.249

Germany has promoted dialogue on innovation growth through public consultations and leading bilateral communication on science and innovation collaboration. It has also taken steps towards supporting national and international business and research cooperation on innovation. It has also supported innovation cooperation through securing bilateral agreements and partnerships with G20 members, sharing best practices, and addressing common challenges through collaboration. Thus, Germany receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Elie Atieh

India: +1

India has fully complied with its commitment to support dialogue and cooperation in innovation, with science and technology innovation at its core.

---

On 8 September 2016, India participated in a dialogue with Canada’s Minister of Natural Resources Jim Carr in order to strengthen the relationship and collaboration on clean energy and innovation.\textsuperscript{250}

On 21 September 2016, India hosted the European Union - India Science, Technology and Innovation (STI) Cooperation Days. The event focused on “bio-economy, including marine and maritime research, in order to maximize future scientific and business collaboration in these fields.”\textsuperscript{251}

On 8 October 2016, the fourth Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa (BRICS) Science, Technology and Innovation Ministerial Meeting was held in Jaipur to reinforce cooperation and collaboration amongst the BRICS countries in areas of science, technology and innovation.\textsuperscript{252}

From 15 - 16 October 2016, India chaired the eighth BRICS Summit with a theme of Building Responsive Inclusive, and Collective Solutions to enhance people to people contacts of BRICS member countries. Innovation was one of the focuses of the summit.\textsuperscript{253}

On 12 January 2017, India and Canada launched the 2017 Request for Proposal for a program that aims to promote collaboration on research and development in areas of technology and innovation.\textsuperscript{254}

On 6-10 February 2017, request of proposals were accepted to the Smart Cities program initiated by Government of India in collaboration with High Commission of Canada located in New Delhi. The purpose of this initiative was to develop new cities and redevelop existing cities through the use of smart technology and innovative solutions, that partnering Canadian technology companies can offer.\textsuperscript{255}

India has promoted dialogue on innovation growth through public consultations and leading bilateral communication on science and innovation collaboration. It has also taken steps towards supporting national and international business and research cooperation on innovation. It has also supported innovation cooperation through securing bilateral agreements and partnerships with G20 members, sharing best practices, and addressing common challenges through collaboration. Thus, India receives a score of +1

\textit{Analyst: Diva Turial}

\textbf{Indonesia: 0}

Indonesia has partially complied with its commitment to support dialogue and cooperation in innovation, with science and technology innovation at its core.


On 11 October 2016, Indonesian Director General for Innovation Enhancement in Jakarta signed an agreement with the United Kingdom (UK) Minister for Asia. The UK Royal Academy of Engineering will provide funded training for Indonesia’s “technopreneurs” and innovation grants for joint technological projects worth GBP800,000.

On 11 November 2016, the Indonesian government published the 14th Economic Policy Package on the e-Commerce Road Map, which provides incentives to e-commerce and creative industries. The package aims to coordinate state bodies “to better support digitally focused businesses” in order to support Indonesia’s transition to a digitally focused economy. With initiatives, such as decreased corporate income tax for new businesses in technology sphere with turnovers of less than IDR4.8 billion per year, the framework hopes to “boost creative innovation and invention of new economic activities.”

On 14-15 November 2016, the Indonesian government hosted the third annual Indonesian Economic Forum (IEF) under the theme of “Driving Innovation.” As the country’s premier economic forum, it aims to address issues such as “financial inclusion through fintech, geospatial intelligence and health care,” and to host workshops for business leaders and policymakers to discuss Indonesia’s socio-economic challenges.

Indonesia has supported dialogue on innovation domestically with businesses and policy-makers. Indonesia has supported innovation cooperation through securing bilateral agreements and partnerships with G20 members, sharing best practices, and addressing common challenges through collaboration. Indonesia has not supported dialogue externally. Thus, Indonesia receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Jessica Li

Italy: +1

Italy has fully complied with its commitment to support dialogue and cooperation in innovation, with science and technology innovation at its core.

On 21 September 2016, the Italian Ministry of Economic Development introduced the “Industria 4.0” National Plan for 2017-2020. The aim of the Industria 4.0 National Plan is to promote private investment in technologies, increase financial support for research, development and innovation, promote investment in venture capital and innovative small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs), and support large investments in innovation.264

On 18 October 2016, the Italian Trade Agency attended a conference at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) to promote collaboration between innovative Italian and American companies in the field of advanced manufacturing. The conference was attended by Italian Trade Agency President Michele Scannavini, Automobili Lamborghini Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Stefano Domenicali, Federmacchine Board Member and former President Alberto Maria Sacchi, and MIT Technology Review Chief Operating Officer Elizabeth Bramson-Boudreaux.265

From 25-27 October 2016, the Italian Trade Association, with the sponsorship of the Italian Ministry of Economic Development, held the Italia ReStartsUp 2016 conference. The Italia ReStartsUp initiative brought together innovative Italian and international start-ups and investors in order to stimulate dialogue and cooperation between Italian and international innovators.266

From 21-22 November 2016, the Italian Chamber of Commerce in Singapore held a two day conference titled the Italian Innovation Days. The aim of this conference was to promote 60 Italian companies that have created innovative applications in the fields of aerospace, cleantech, information and communications technology, fintech digital, green power, meditech and smart cities to the Association of Southeast Asian Nations, in order to increase cooperation and dialogue between innovative Italian and Southeast Asian companies and entrepreneurs.267

Italy has promoted dialogue on innovation growth through public consultations and leading bilateral communication on science and innovation collaboration. It has also taken steps towards supporting national and international business and research cooperation on innovation. It has also supported innovation cooperation through securing bilateral agreements and partnerships with G20 members, sharing best practices, and addressing common challenges through collaboration. Thus, Italy receives a score of +1

 Analyst: Elie Atieh

Japan: +1

Japan has fully complied with its commitment to support dialogue and cooperation in innovation, with science and technology innovation at its core.

On 19-21 October 2016, the Japan Robot Association and NikkanKogyo Shinbun hosted the annual Japan Robot Week supported by the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry.268 Taking place in Tokyo, Robot Week is part of the Japanese government’s 2015 Robot Strategy to “significantly

---

268 http://www.singaporeinnovationdays.it/
increase growth in the robot industry,” and to “focus on robotics innovation” within the country. The convention, focusing primarily on service robotics, aims to “stimulate business negotiations, industry cooperation, and technology exchange.”

On 19-20 October 2016, the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, the Japan Sports Agency, and the Agency for Cultural Affairs hosted the World Forum on Sport and Culture. Government officials and business representatives in sports and culture from over 50 countries participated in workshops focused on innovation, digital revolution, and future Tokyo, such as “Innovation for 2020 and beyond,” “Future Tokyo as Innovative City,” “Sustainable Management.”

On 20 October 2016, the meeting of the Canada-Japan Joint Economic Committee, “cooperative working group led by Global Affairs Canada and Japan’s Ministry of Foreign Affairs” convened in Ottawa. The working group aims to promote progress within the areas of “infrastructure, energy, science and technology cooperation, improving the business environment and promoting investment, and tourism and youth exchanges.” Their commitments included “enhanced partnerships on clean technologies,” increased government investment in clean energy innovation, explore bilateral collaboration on research and development, and to “build on the outcomes from the Joint Committee on Science and Technology Cooperation,” among others.

On 5 January 2017, the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI) issued a news release on the “HIYAKU Next Enterprise” program, which is part of the “Silicon Valley-Japan Bridge Project” announced by Prime Minister Shinzo Abe on 30 April 2015. The “HIYAKU Next Enterprise” program sent 55 small and medium size enterprises (SMEs) with cutting-edge technologies and excellent ideas to the world’s leading innovation ecosystems, such as Silicon Valley, New York, Austin, and Singapore, from January to March 2017. The project is intended to bridge the Japanese start-up ecosystem with those in Silicon Valley, hence continuously create innovations that are globally influential.

---


Japan has promoted dialogue on innovation growth through public consultations and leading bilateral communication on science and innovation collaboration. It has also taken steps towards supporting national and international business and research cooperation on innovation. It has also supported innovation cooperation through securing bilateral agreements and partnerships with G20 members, sharing best practices, and addressing common challenges through collaboration. Thus, Japan receives a score of +1.

** Analyst: Jessica Li **

** Korea: 0 **

Korea has partially complied with its commitment to support dialogue and cooperation in innovation, with science and technology innovation at its core.

On 15 December 2016, the Minister of Trade, Industry and Energy Joo Hwan and the United Kingdom (UK) Secretary of Business and Energy Greg Clark emphasized their continued commitment to working together on research, innovation and technology. The two parties signed a memorandum of understanding to trigger greater partnership in areas of research and innovation. 278

On 20 December 2016, Korean Foreign Minister Yun Byung-se and Canadian Ambassador to Korea Eric Walsh signed the Science, Technology and Innovation Agreement for bilateral cooperation and partnership in science, technology and innovation. 279

Korea has taken actions to support bilateral cooperation on innovation in areas of science, research, and technology; but has not significantly supported innovation dialogue through participation in multilateral meetings, conferences, or panels, internally or externally. Thus, Korea receives a score of 0.

** Analyst: Diva Turial **

** Mexico: 0 **

Mexico has partially complied with its commitment to support dialogue and cooperation in innovation, with science and technology innovation at its core.

On 18 November 2016, the European Union (EU) and Mexico launched a joint geothermal research project worth EUR20 million. The project aims to find innovative ways to access new resources of geothermal energy in Mexico. 29 partners from Belgium, Germany, Greece, France, Iceland, Italy, Mexico, Netherlands, Poland, and the United Kingdom will collaborate in the three year project. 280

From 14-15 November 2016, Germany-Mexico Science, Technology and Innovation Forum was held in Mexico City. A number of government officials, industry official and researchers were

---


gathered for the event to discuss the present situation and future of German-Mexican collaboration in science, technology and innovation.281

From 9-10 November 2017, the 19th International Conference on Administrative Science, Technology and Innovation Management was held in Mexico with an aim to gather academic scientists, research scholars and researchers on a platform in order to share their experiences, exchange scientific research results, and to discuss the current trends, innovations, challenges and solution in areas of administrative science, technology and innovation management.282

Mexico has supported dialogue on innovation by both hosting and participating in multilateral conferences and forums on research, science, and technology. Mexico however has not supported innovative cooperation with businesses.

Therefore, Mexico receives a score of 0.

**Analyst: Diva Turial**

**Russia: +1**

Russia has fully complied with its commitment to support dialogue and cooperation on innovation, with science and technology at its core.

On 20 September 2016, the city of Moscow hosted the International Association of Science Parks and Areas of Innovation (IASP) 2016 Conference.283 Arkady Dvorkovich, the Deputy Prime Minister of Russia led the organizing committee.284 The Moscow City Government, the Russian Venture Company (RVC), the Foundation for Assistance to Small Innovative Enterprises (FASIE), Skolkovo Innovation Center, Lomonosov Moscow State University Science Park, and Technopark “STROGINO” sponsored the conference.285

On 8 October 2016, the fourth Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa (BRICS) Science, Technology and Innovation Ministerial Meeting was held in Jaipur to reinforce cooperation and collaboration amongst the BRICS countries in areas of science, technology and innovation.286

From 15 - 16 October 2016, Russia attended the eighth BRICS Summit with a theme of Building Responsive Inclusive, and Collective Solutions to enhance people to people contacts of BRICS member countries. Innovation was one of the focuses of the summit.287

From 26-28 October 2016, the Skolkovo Technopark hosted “Open Innovation,” an annual forum dedicated to new technologies and prospects for international cooperation in the field of

---
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innovation.\textsuperscript{288} The Ministry of Economic Development of the Russian Federation, the Government of Moscow, the Skolkovo Foundation, the Bank for Development and Foreign Economic Affairs (Vneshekonombank), JSC RVC, RUSNANO Group, and the Foundation for Assistance to Small Innovative Enterprises in Science and Technology co-organized the forum.\textsuperscript{289}

On 24 November 2016, the Trade Representation of the Russian Federation in Sweden held the sixth annual Russian-Swedish Economic Forum in cooperation with the Russian Ministry for Economic Development to demonstrate the potential of Russian innovation and investment projects, and establish Swedish partnerships.\textsuperscript{290}

Russia has promoted dialogue on innovation growth through public consultations and leading bilateral communication on science and innovation collaboration. It has also taken steps towards supporting national and international business and research cooperation on innovation. It has also supported innovation cooperation through securing bilateral agreements and partnerships with G20 members, sharing best practices, and addressing common challenges through collaboration.

Therefore, Russia receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Bushra Ebadi}

\section*{Saudi Arabia: +1}

Saudi Arabia has fully complied with its commitment to support dialogue and cooperation on innovation.

From 16-20 October 2016, the Ministry of Interior showcased its electronic and smart services for citizens and residents at GITEX Technology Week 2016.\textsuperscript{291} The official spokesperson of the National Information Centre from the Saudi Ministry of Interior stated that the government had opened channels of communications with the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) counterparts to build a secure electronic collaboration system across national identification.\textsuperscript{292}

On 4 November 2016 the President of King Abdul Aziz City for Science and Technology (KACST), Prince Turki bin Saud bin Mohammed Al Saud, met with the Chinese Minister of Science and Technology, Wan Gang during the G20 Science, Technology and Innovation Ministers’ Meeting in Beijing to discuss boosting cooperation between the two countries in the areas of water, energy and materials, biotechnology, space and aviation technologies, innovation, technology incubators, and the creation of small- and medium-sized enterprises.\textsuperscript{293}

Saudi Arabia has promoted dialogue on innovation growth through public consultations and leading bilateral communication on science and innovation collaboration. It has also taken steps towards supporting national and international business and research cooperation on innovation. It has also

supported innovation cooperation through securing bilateral agreements and partnerships with G20 members, sharing best practices, and addressing common challenges through collaboration. Thus, Saudi Arabia receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Bushra Ebadi*

**South Africa: 0**

South Africa has partially complied with its commitment to support dialogue and cooperation in innovation, with science and technology innovation at its core.

On 8 October 2016, the fourth Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa (BRICS) Science, Technology and Innovation Ministerial Meeting was held in Jaipur to reinforce cooperation and collaboration amongst the BRICS countries in areas of science, technology and innovation. From 15 - 16 October 2016, South Africa attended the eighth BRICS Summit with a theme of Building Responsive Inclusive, and Collective Solutions to enhance people to people contacts of BRICS member countries. Innovation was one of the focuses of the summit.

On 2 November 2016, the South African Department of Science and Technology (DST) and the Economic Commission of Africa (ECA) co-hosted the third Annual Senior Experts Dialogue on Science, Technology and the African Transformation Agenda to improve knowledge and understanding of how Africa’s emerging cities can be transformed into innovation hubs. At the dialogue, DST Director General Phil Mjwara pledged that South Africa will dedicate 5 per cent of its Gross Domestic Product towards science, technology and innovation.

On 11 November 2016, the Department of Small Business Development officially launched the 2016 South African Chapter of the Global Entrepreneurship Week (GEW), which took place from 14-20 November 2016. A collaboration between several high level private and public sector stakeholders, GEW is the largest gathering of innovators and entrepreneurs from over 160 countries through local, national and global activities designed to unleash the potential of entrepreneurs and innovators.

On 11 November 2016, Minister of Small Business Development Ms. Lindiwe Zulu delivered a speech at the South African GEW launch stating that the event was an opportunity to hold a dialogue between the world of business, academia, non-governmental organizations (NGOs),

---


research organizations and government. Minister Zulu also stressed the need for stakeholders to “collaborate with various organizations to ensure that entrepreneurship remains on the centre of our stakeholder engagement in South Africa and the continent.”

South Africa has supported dialogue on innovation through meetings, conferences, and dialogues among government, businesses, and other stakeholders. South Africa, however, has not demonstrated support for innovation cooperation that addresses policy coordination, sharing best practices, and promoting collaboration to address common challenges. Thus, South Africa receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Kamara Jeffrey

Turkey: +1

Turkey has fully complied with its commitment to support dialogue and cooperation on innovation, with science and technology innovation at its core.

From 9-13 October 2016, Istanbul hosted the 23rd World Energy Congress with the theme of “Embracing New Frontiers” in new and innovative ways. The event brought together over 100 government ministers, heads of states, and 10,000 senior energy leaders, as well as media, universities, business and finance. The format of the programme featured keynotes and panel sessions ensuring a narrative to the event based on sharing best practice and identifying solutions for the key issues facing the sector. Day two, specifically, focused on business opportunities, technologies, and innovation to ensure a secure and reliable energy system.

From 8-10 December 2016, the Turkish Exporters Assembly in coordination with the Ministry of Economy organized Turkey’s fifth Innovation Week in Istanbul. Innovation Week provided a platform for thousands of people from state and international organizations, the business world, industry, and academia, to start a dialogue on an innovation-focused Turkey, exhibiting research and development centres, technology centers, workshops and training seminars, and start-up projects. Additionally, the Minister of Science, Technology and Industry Faruk Özlü delivered an opening speech stressing the role of youth in innovation driven growth.

Turkey has promoted dialogue on innovation growth through public consultations and leading bilateral communication on science and innovation collaboration. It has also taken steps towards supporting national and international business and research cooperation on innovation. It has also supported innovation cooperation through securing bilateral agreements and partnerships with G20 members, sharing best practices, and addressing common challenges through collaboration. Thus, Turkey receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Bushra Ebadi

United Kingdom: +1

The United Kingdom has fully complied with its commitment to support dialogue and cooperation in innovation, with science and technology innovation at its core.

---

On 12 September 2016, Innovate UK opened a call for applications for projects “addressing technical or commercial challenges in health and life sciences.” Innovate UK will provide GBP15 million worth of funding for several GBP100,000 projects.  

On 23 September 2016, UK Minister of State for Universities, Science, Research and Innovation Jo Johnson, and a delegation of senior British researchers, attended the Puijiang Innovation Forum in China. The UK Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) and the Chinese Academy of Sciences extended their Memorandum of Understanding for five more years. As a result, the “STFC now has agreements with two major Chinese Funding Agencies.”

On 23 September 2016, the United Kingdom (UK) Science & Technology Facilities Council (STFC) visited China to develop a research and innovation relationship, including an extension on an existing Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), the establishment of the 11th annual UK-China Space Workshop, and a new agreement between the STFC and the Open University and the Centre for Excellence in Advanced Materials in Dongguan.

On 11 October 2016, UK Minister for Asia Alok Sharma signed agreements with the Indonesian Director General for Innovation Enhancement in Jakarta. The UK Royal Academy of Engineering will provide funded training for Indonesian “technopreneurs” and innovation grants for joint technological projects worth BRP800,000.

On 19 October 2016, the British Chamber of Commerce co-hosted the UK-China Business Innovation Seminar. The event brought UK companies to China to explore innovation opportunities.

On 15 December 2016, the Minister of Trade, Industry and Energy Joo Hwan and the United Kingdom (UK) Secretary of Business and Energy Greg Clark emphasized their continued commitment to working together on research, innovation and technology. The two parties signed a memorandum of understanding to trigger greater partnership in areas of research and innovation.

On 2-3 November 2016, Innovate UK hosted Innovate 2016: The Global Spotlight on UK Innovation. The event gathered more than 2,500 innovators, investors, and business leaders to discuss research on health, technology, and manufacturing.

On 4 November 2016, the United Kingdom (UK) announced a Collaborative Research and Development Programme, co-funded by the Brazilian government and Innovative UK, and administered by the Newton Fund. The competition will provide funding for collaborative technological solutions for issues in Brazil’s urban areas. The Brazilian Development Bank, the Ministry of Industry, Foreign Trade and Services, and the Brazilian Agency for Industrial Research and Innovation (Embrapii) will all be involved in facilitating the competition. The competition will sponsor solutions focusing on integration and governance of city systems, infrastructure, smart mobility, and sustainable urban environments.

The UK has promoted dialogue on innovation growth through public consultations and leading bilateral communication on science and innovation collaboration. It has also taken steps towards supporting national and international business and research cooperation on innovation. It has also supported innovation cooperation through securing bilateral agreements and partnerships with G20 members, sharing best practices, and addressing common challenges through collaboration. Thus, the UK receives a score of +1.

**United States: +1**

The United States has fully complied with its commitment to support dialogue and cooperation in innovation, with science and technology innovation at its core.

On 26 September 2016, the White House announced a USD80 million federal investment in the White House Smart Cities Initiative. The Smart Cities Initiative aims to increase technological solutions to urban issues through collaboration between cities, universities, government agencies, and industry. Key areas of research and development include climate, transportation, public safety, and transforming city services. A large focus in funding and research is developing smart cities through the Internet of Things, ICT, and other technological advancements. The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) also announced an international coalition to further global collaboration towards smart cities. The Smart Cities Initiative also includes the launch of the Urban Innovation Council, which will be comprised of start-ups, cities, and corporate stakeholders from countries around the world. The council will attempt to ease the transition to becoming a smart city through entrepreneurship.

---


On 28 September 2016, the White House, the US Small Business Administration, the General Services Administration, and the Data Foundation co-hosted the Open Data Innovation Summit to assess and highlight the Obama Administration’s efforts to open up accessibility to government data. The summit focused on how open data has had an effect on efficiency and effectiveness, health and wellness and innovation, job growth, and economic opportunity. Government representatives, entrepreneurs, companies, and innovators discussed how Federal open data is being used within their fields.

On 13 October 2016, President Obama hosted the White House Frontiers Conference. The conference aims to bring together top American innovators from the government, academia, industry, civil service, and other sectors to discuss cross sector collaboration, education innovation, health care, job creation, and equity. The scope of the discussions ranges from personal, local, national, global, and interplanetary frontiers.

On 17 October 2016, the European Commission and the US government signed an agreement to facilitate cooperation in research and innovation. The agreement, called “Implementing Arrangement” will enable American researchers and selected European researchers from the Horizon 2020 project to work together in US funded projects.

The US has promoted dialogue on innovation growth through public consultations and leading bilateral communication on science and innovation collaboration. It has also taken steps towards supporting national and international business and research cooperation on innovation. It has also supported innovation cooperation through securing bilateral agreements and partnerships with G20 members, sharing best practices, and addressing common challenges through collaboration. Thus, the US receives a score of +1.

**European Union: +1**

The European Union has fully complied with its commitment to support international cooperation on innovation, with science and technology innovation at its core.

On 20-23 September 2016, Brazil hosted a conference with the European Union (EU) during Information and Communications Technology (ICT) Week. The Director of the Ministry of Science, Technology, Innovation and Communications (MCTIC) José Gontijo, stated that the seminar aimed to build ties between industries, universities, and companies in the EU and Brazil. Panels discussed

---


topics such as the Internet of Things, cyber security, 5G technology, Over The Top services, and development strategies in each of these sectors.\textsuperscript{319}

On 21 September 2016, the European Commission and the Indian Ministry of Science and Technology co-hosted the EU-India Science Technology and Innovation (STI) Cooperation Days. The event brought together over 200 policymakers, investors, funding agencies, non governmental organizations, and other relevant actors for two days of networking and discussion on marine and maritime research.\textsuperscript{320}

On 23 September 2016, Minister Duncan gave the opening remarks at “From Basic to Applied Research,” a conference organized by ERA-Can+.\textsuperscript{321} ERA-Can+ stands for Advancing EU-Canada Research and Innovation Collaboration and is a 3-year project, funded by the EU Seventh Framework Programme, to promote bilateral Science, Technology and Innovation cooperation between Canada and Europe.\textsuperscript{322}

On 17 October 2016, the European Commission and the US government signed an agreement to facilitate cooperation in research and innovation. The agreement, called “Implementing Arrangement” will enable American researchers and selected European researchers from the Horizon 2020 project to work together in US funded projects.\textsuperscript{323}

On 20 October 2016, the European Commission launched the public stakeholder consultation on Horizon 2020, which is “the EU’s EUR77 billion research and innovation funding scheme running from 2014 to 2020.”\textsuperscript{324} The public consultation is open to all citizens and organizations and aims to receive feedback particularly from researchers and entrepreneurs.\textsuperscript{325}

On 20 October 2016, the European Commission launched the public consultation on Euratom Research and Training Programme. The public consultations will be used for interim evaluations of both programmes.\textsuperscript{326}

On 2-8 November 2016, the European Commission co-hosted the 11th EU-China Business & Technology Cooperation Fair. The event brought together 1,500 from companies, governments,

---

\textsuperscript{319} In partnership with the European Union, MCTIC seminar on Internet of Things and 5G technology (Brasilia) 9 September 2016. Access Date: 13 November 2016. http://www.mcti.gov.br/noticia/-/asset_publisher/epbv0pr6eI5O/content/em-parceria-com-uniao-europeia-mctic-promove-seminario-sobre-internet-das-coisas-e-tecnologia-5g


universities, research and development institutions, and other relevant actors. This event fosters cooperation in innovation between the EU and China, by bringing together researchers from the two countries.\textsuperscript{327}

On 7-9 November 2016, the European Commission and several companies hosted Bio-Europe: the 22nd Annual International Partnering Conference, a networking event to expand innovation in the life sciences industry.\textsuperscript{328}

On 10 November 2016, the European Investment Fund (EIF) signed a loan guarantee deal with Iceland’s Arion Bank. The loan is part of a larger plan to generate EUR107 million in innovative companies over two years. Loans are expected to reach small and medium-sized companies for the development of innovative ideas. The loan is meant to increase risk and innovation, as losses will be guaranteed by 50% by the EU.\textsuperscript{329}

On 18 November 2016, the EU and Mexico launched a joint geothermal research project worth EUR20 million. The project aims to find innovative ways to access new resources of geothermal energy in Mexico. 29 partners from Belgium, Germany, Greece, France, Iceland, Italy, Mexico, Netherlands, Poland, and the United Kingdom will collaborate in the three year project.\textsuperscript{330}

On 23 November 2016, the EU co-hosted the “Spreading Excellence and Crossing the Innovation Divide” Conference in Brussels. The conference provided a platform for actors to discuss opportunities for European research and Innovations, and possibilities to close the innovation gap between countries and institutions. At the end of the conference, participants wrote a paper on recommendations to increase innovation in low performing states. The other organizers were the Slovak Centre of Scientific and Technical Information, the Slovak Liaison Office for Research and Development, the Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak Republic and the Slovak Presidency of the Council of the European Union. The project received funding from the EU’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program.\textsuperscript{331}

The EU has promoted dialogue on innovation growth through public consultations and leading bilateral communication on science and innovation collaboration. It has also taken steps towards supporting national and international business and research cooperation on innovation. It has also supported innovation cooperation through securing bilateral agreements and partnerships with G20 members, sharing best practices, and addressing common challenges through collaboration. Thus, the EU receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Alejandra Bellatin}

\textsuperscript{327} The 11th EU China Business & Technology Cooperation Fair, EU Project Innovation Center (Chengdu) Access Date: 21 November 2016. http://www.eu-china.org.cn/
\textsuperscript{328} 22nd Annual International Partnering Conference BIOEUROPE, EBD Group (Cologne) Access Date: 21 November 2016. https://ebdgroup.knect365.com/bioeurope/sponsors
3. Development: Tax Administration

“[We will continue our support for international tax cooperation to achieve a globally fair and modern international tax system and to foster growth, including advancing] tax capacity-building of developing countries...”

*G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>No Compliance</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Background

Following the 2008 financial crisis, the G20 recognized that narrowing the development gap and reducing poverty were essential to fulfilling its core objective of strong, sustainable and balanced growth.332

At the 2009 Pittsburgh Summit, the G20 determined that the exchange of information and experience was fundamental to securing a healthy global economy.333 The G20 supported the expansion of the Global Forum on Transparency and Exchange of Information to include the participation of developing countries. The Global Forum on Transparency and Exchange of Information focused on improving tax transparency and exchange of information so that countries can effectively enforce their tax laws and protect their tax base.334
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77
During the 2010 Seoul Summit, the G20 launched its development agenda with the Multi-Year Action Plan on Development (MYAP). The MYAP established nine pillars in which G20 actions were identified as necessary to resolving the largest obstacles to inclusive, sustainable and resilient growth in developing countries. The eighth pillar, domestic resource mobilization, stressed the importance of strengthening “tax regimes and fiscal policies in developing countries to provide a sustainable revenue base for inclusive growth and social equity, as well as to enhance the transparency and accountability of public finances.” As a framework for fulfilling the eighth pillar, the MYAP recommended two actions: support the development of more effective tax systems and support work to prevent erosion of domestic task revenues. The Action Plan called on the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Task Force on Tax and Development, United Nations (UN), International Monetary Fund (IMF), World Bank and regional organizations to support the G20’s initiative to improve domestic resource mobilization by reporting back to the G20 at the next summit.

The results were presented to the G20 at the 2011 Cannes Summit in a report entitled, Supporting the Development of More Effective Tax Systems. According to the report, tax system design is closely linked to domestic and international investment decisions, including in terms of transparency and fairness. Thus, a strong tax administration is essential to strengthening domestic resource mobilization. Despite this, in 2013, the median tax ratio in low-income countries (LICs) was around 13 percent, with 16 LICs having ratios below 15 percent.

During the 2013 St. Petersburg Summit, the G20 affirmed that developing countries would benefit from a more transparent tax system that would allow them to “enhance their revenue capacity, as mobilizing domestic resources is critical to financial development.” As a result, the OECD was invited to submit a report to the G20 DWG on the impact of Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) on developing countries and to determine what type of assistance they may need.

On 6 October 2016, the fourth G20 Finance Ministers and Central Bank Governors Meeting of 2016 was held in Washington DC. The meeting was the last G20 Finance Ministers and Central Bank Governors Meeting under the Chinese Presidency. The Finance Ministers reaffirmed commitment to issues concerning Global Economy, Tax, Beneficial Ownership and Anti-Money Laundering.

Commitment Features
The G20 committed to “continue our support for international tax cooperation to achieve a globally fair and modern international tax system and to foster growth, including advancing] tax capacity-building of developing countries.” In Supporting the Development of More Effective Tax Systems two broad ways in which the G20 can work with developing countries to support the efforts for strengthening developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda were identified 1) leading by example in addressing common issues and furthering common interests, and 2) act as shareholders or members of the international organisations most closely concerned with taxation and development.

Part One: Common issues, common interests — leading by example and developing partnerships
To be considered as leading by example in addressing common issues and furthering common interests, the G20 member must take action in two or more of the following ways:

1. Estimate and publish tax expenditures, and the cost of special provisions, in regular tax expenditure and budgets. G20 members could lead and encourage a more rigorous assessment of the costs and benefits of such provisions.

2. Develop analytical frameworks, suitable to the varying needs and circumstances of different countries, to assess the costs and benefits of preferential tax treatments, including in particular tax incentives aimed at foreign direct investment (FDI), and provide guidelines for members which use such incentives.

3. Disclose and consider reducing the scope of tax exemptions required by G20 members from country recipients of aid-funded projects.

4. Undertake “spillover” analyses of proposed changes to tax law in G20 members, for example in trade and international taxation — which could have effects on the fiscal circumstances of developing countries.

Part Two: Acting as shareholders or members in international organizations
To be considered as acting as shareholders or members in international organizations most closely concerned with taxation and development the G20 member must take action in two or more of the following ways:

1. Encourage international organizations to more thoroughly assess and act upon linkages of tax and expenditure policies in their technical assistance to developing countries.

2. Encourage the International Monetary Fund and World Bank, working with other international and regional organizations as appropriate, to further develop and make publicly available consistent and detailed revenue data sets for the developing countries.

3. Encourage the international organizations, including the Forum on Tax Administrations, to develop a toolkit of measures to counter tax evasion and avoidance, based on best practices and guidelines adapted to the needs of developing countries.

4. Promote the Multilateral Convention on Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters. The Multilateral Convention on Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters was developed jointly by the OECD and the Council of Europe in 1988. It is the most comprehensive instrument available for all forms of tax co-operation and to tackle tax evasion and avoidance.

To achieve full compliance, the G20 member must comply with both parts of the commitment. Partial compliance is achieved when the G20 member complies with one part in two or more ways. No compliance is achieved if the G20 member does not fully comply with either part of the commitment. Actions that were initiated outside of the compliance period (before 6 September 2016)
and continued throughout the compliance period until 17 February 2017 will be considered for compliance, as the commitment states ‘we will continue to…”

**Scoring Guidelines**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Member does not lead by example in addressing common issues and furthering common interests AND does not act as a shareholder or member of the international organisations most closely concerned with taxation and development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Member takes significant action to EITHER lead by example in addressing common issues and furthering common interests OR to act as a shareholder or member of the international organisations most closely concerned with taxation and development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>Member does lead by example in addressing common issues and furthering common interests AND does act as a shareholder or member of the international organisations most closely concerned with taxation and development.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Lead Analyst: Jiyoon Han*

**Argentina: +1**

Argentina has fully complied with its commitment to help strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda.

As of December 2016, Argentina continues to publish monthly tax collection reports on its Federal Administration of Public Revenue (AFIP) tax administration website.342

On 9 September 2016, the tax administrations of Argentina and Uruguay met to discuss issues of mutual interest in international taxation. Both parties reaffirmed commitment to the Agreement for the Exchange of Tax Information and Method for Avoidance of Double Taxation.343

On 21-23 September 2016, Argentina sent a delegation to the Regional Meeting of the Inclusive Framework on Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) for Latin America and the Caribbean (LAC) in Montevideo, Uruguay. The meeting, organized by Uruguay in partnership with the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), the World Bank Group, the Inter-American Center of Tax Administrations, and the Inter-American Development Bank served as a discussion on the implementation of the BEPS Package following the first meeting of the Inclusive Framework in Kyoto, Japan on 29 June – 1 July 2016.344

On 26 September 2016, the Minister of the Economy Alfonso Prat-Gay met with United States Treasury Secretary Jack Lew in Buenos Aires regarding cooperation on exchanging fiscal data to fight tax evasion and doubling joint efforts to fight against money laundering.345 US Treasury Secretary

---

Jack Lew announced that the US and Argentina will begin negotiations on a tax treaty on the exchange of information.\textsuperscript{346}

From 26-27 September 2016, Argentina held the sixth Latin American and Caribbean (LAC) Fiscal Policy Forum in Buenos Aires which aimed to promote tax reform, share best practices on international tax developments and domestic tax reforms, and to improve the effectiveness of tax systems. The forum was part of the LAC Fiscal Initiative and OECD programme that promotes cooperation on taxation and public expenditure policies in the LAC region.\textsuperscript{347}

On 11 October 2016, the Tax Treaty for the Avoidance of Double Taxation with Respect to Taxes on Income and on Capital and to Prevent Fiscal Evasion and Tax Avoidance entered into force between Argentina and Chile after the Argentine Congress notified the Chilean government that its internal requirements were satisfied.\textsuperscript{348}

From 2-4 November 2016, the Global Forum on Transparency and Exchange of Information for Tax Purposes, of which Argentina is a member, held its ninth annual meeting in Tbilisi, Georgia to further the shared goal of improving tax transparency. This meeting marked the completion of the first round of the Forum’s peer review process, and resulted in the publication of a second phase of reviews on the Exchange of Information on Request standards.\textsuperscript{349}

On 16 November 2016, Argentina signed a joint declaration with Switzerland on the introduction of Automatic Exchange of Information (AEOI) in tax matters on a reciprocal basis.\textsuperscript{350}

As of January 2017, Argentina remains under tax amnesty, which came into force on 25 July 2016.\textsuperscript{351} The amnesty allows Argentinians to disclose previously undeclared funds and be taxed at 0 per cent or preferable rates if they invest them in the country. Gabriel Martino, president of the Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation (HSBC) Argentina, estimated that the amnesty could provide up to USD80 billion.\textsuperscript{352}

Argentina has supported efforts to strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda as a member of an international organization and has taken actions to address common issues and interests. Thus, Argentina receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Katie Fettes}

Australia: +1

Australia has partially complied with its commitment to help strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda.


The OECD’s 2016 Global Forum on Transparency and Exchange of Information for Tax Purposes progress report states that Australia was fully compliant (rather than “largely,” “partially,” or “non-compliant”) completed in 2016 (no specific date given). Australia’s second review was scheduled for the third quarter of 2016. The Global Forum is a coalition of 137 countries and jurisdiction “working together to fight international tax evasion through improved international standards of transparency and exchange of information.” This effort includes the Common Reporting Standard (CRS) for Automatic Exchange of Financial Account Information (the AEOI Standard. It comprises 101 jurisdictions that have agreed to exchange tax information beginning in 2017 and 2018. Argentina is committed to undertaking its first exchange in September 2018.

Argentina’s 2016-17 Budget includes tax provisions in line with BEPS, such as combating tax avoidance by multinational corporations.

On 26 September 2016, the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) issued guidance material on Australia’s participation in Automatic Exchange of Information (AEOI) regimes. It also released clarification material about exemptions for multinational groups from local filing requirements in Australia in relation to country-by-country (CbC) reporting.

On 17 October 2016, Australia announced that it will redefine rules for determining whether structures create debt or equity interest. This decision was based on advice provided by its Board of Taxation over concerns of uncertainty and over the possibility of taxpayers artificially manipulating schemes to create favourable tax outcomes.

---

On 7 December 2016, Australia and Germany exchanged instruments of ratification for the Australia-Germany tax treaty that was signed on 12 November 2015. It includes three overarching provisions:

1. “modernize arrangements between Australia and Germany in line with changes to commercial practices, domestic tax systems and recent treaty policies and practices in both countries;”
2. “align with recent OECD tax treaty developments, including new provisions recommended by the OECD/G20 that are intended to minimize tax avoidance opportunities and create a more certain business environment for taxpayers;”
3. “enhance the exchange of information and assistance in the collection of outstanding tax debts between our tax officials, with these provisions”

Australia has led by example and has supported efforts to strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda as a member of an international organization. Thus, Australia receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Yuliya Gorelkina

Brazil: +1

Brazil has fully complied with its commitment to help strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda.

On 3 and 13 October 2016, the Brazilian Revenue Service (RFB) published Normative Instructions 1,662 (NI 1662) and 1,664 (NI 1664), amending the current rules related to withholding income tax on transactions between Brazilian residents and non-residents.361

On 21 October 2016, Brazil signed the Multilateral Competent Authority Agreement (MCAA) to increase transparency of multinational enterprises (MNEs) through the annual automatic exchange of country-by-country reports and to ensure that the confidentiality of such information is safeguarded.362

On 6 December 2016, Brazil pledged to share tax information automatically and adopt global standards on tax transparency to check cross border tax evasion. It also resolved to support other developing nations in increasing their tax administrations’ capacity to implement the Organization for Economic Cooperation (OECD)/G20’s standard on Automatic Exchange of Information (AEOI).363

---


Brazil has supported efforts to strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda through national actions that address common issues and common concerns and as a member of international organizations. Thus, Brazil receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Sangeetha Ganesh**

**Canada: +1**

Canada has fully complied with its commitment to help strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda.

On 16 September 2016, the Canadian Department of Finance released new draft legislative proposals regarding technical amendments to the Income Tax Act and Income Tax Regulations. These amendments are part of an effort by the Department of Finance to “improve the accuracy and consistency of the income tax legislation and regulations.”

On 21 September 2016, the Government of Canada signed a new Convention with the Government of the State of Israel. The Convention for the Avoidance of Double Taxation and the Prevention of Fiscal Evasion with respect to Taxes on Income includes provisions reflecting the standard developed by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development for the exchange of information for tax purposes.

On 7 October 2016, the Government of Canada announced that it will begin negotiations for the conclusion of a tax treaty between Canada and the Republic of San Marino. The tax treaty will seek to resolve issues such as double taxation.

On 24-25 November 2016, the Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) Project ad hoc Group, of which Canada is a member, adopted a multilateral instrument that will allow countries to easily amend their tax treaties to implement tax treaty-related BEPS recommendation.

Canada has supported efforts to strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda as a member of an international organization and has taken actions to address common issues and interests. Thus, Canada receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Yuliya Gorelkina**

**China: +1**

China has fully complied with its commitment to help strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda.

On 28 September 2016, China’s State Administration of Taxation issued the Announcement on Further Improving the Administration for the Export Tax Rebate (Exemption) of Comprehensive Service Enterprises in Foreign Trade Industry to improve the measures for the exemption of

---


comprehensive service enterprises in the foreign trade industry and boost the stability and development of foreign trade industry.\(^{368}\)

On 18 October 2016, China announced the Pilot Program on Qualifying Enterprises inside the Special Customs Supervision Zones for General Value Added Tax (VAT) Taxpayers to promote tax equality, eliminate double taxation, and provide more favorable tax environment for investment decisions.\(^{369}\)

On 24 October 2016, China and Cambodia signed an agreement for the avoidance of double taxation and the prevention of fiscal evasion with respect to taxes on income.\(^{370}\) The agreement included methods for elimination of double taxation in both countries.\(^{371}\)

On 29 November 2016, China sent delegates to the first regional meeting of the Inclusive Framework on Base Erosion and Profit shifting (BEPS) in the Asia-Pacific region in Manila, and discussed the latest developments on the implementation work on BEPS.\(^{372}\)

On 5 December 2016, China, as a member of Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa (BRICS) participated in a meeting in Mumbai to discuss possible areas of cooperation as laid out in the Goa Declaration.\(^{373}\) China agreed to help promote international tax cooperation and exchange relevant knowledge and experience in these areas: combating base erosion and profit shifting, implementing the automatic exchange of information, extending the reach of tax cooperation and building capacity, international tax cooperation.\(^{374}\)

China has supported efforts to strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda as a member of an international organization by encouraging the international organizations to develop a tool kit of measures to counter tax avoidance, based on best practices and guidelines adapted to the needs of developing countries. Also, China has taken actions to address common issues and interests by publishing tax revenues and undertaking “spillover” analyses of proposed changes to tax law in G20 countries, which could have effect on the fiscal circumstances of developing countries. Thus, China receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Ze Chen


France: +1

France has fully complied with its commitment to help strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda.

On 1 October 2016, the French government published a decree on implementing the provisions of the General Tax Code on Country-by-Country (CbC) reporting which was introduced by the Finance Law for 2016.\(^{376}\) The decree makes recommendations in accordance with the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development’s (OECD) standards on what information needs to be included in the CbC report. Additionally, it requires that companies meeting the CbC reporting requirements will need to communicate this through an electronic support provided by the French Public Finances General Directorate.\(^{376}\)

From 18-20 October 2016, France Expertise, alongside partners in the Dutch Ministry of Finance, Center of Excellence in Finance (CEF), and the International Monetary Fund (IMF) delivered a course on Supporting Capacity Development of Tax Administrations in South East Europe in Ljubljana Slovenia.\(^{377}\)

On 21 November 2016, the French government proposed a diverted profits tax in the draft Finance Bill for 2017. A newly created diverted profits tax (DPT) would apply to the portion of profits realized by a legal entity domiciled or established outside of France and related to an activity carried out either through a permanent establishment in France, or by a legal person or individual where it can be legally established that the activity of such legal person or individual aims at avoiding or reducing the tax burden that should be due in France, by not declaring therein a permanent establishment.\(^{378}\)

On 20 December 2016, the French government approved the Finance Bill for 2017. The bill includes articles on diversion of profits outside of France, decreases to the French Corporate Income Tax (CIT) rate, increases of the fourth CIT installment due by large entities, strengthening of the Tax Credit for Competitiveness and Employment (CICE), changes affecting the French Transactional Tax (FFT), extension of the French start-up regime until 31 December 2019, acquired software no longer subject to a favorable tax amortization regime, and extension of the French impatriate tax regime.\(^{379}\)

France has supported the efforts to strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda as a member of an international organization and has taken action to address common issues and interests. Thus, France receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Erwin Voloder**

---


**Germany: +1**

Germany has fully complied with its commitment to help strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda.

On 6 September 2016, Minister of Finance Wolfgang Schäuble spoke at the Bundestag, the National Parliament of the Federal Republic of Germany regarding the presentation of the draft federal budget for 2017. Germany reaffirmed its commitment to exchange information on income from savings and investments globally.\(^\text{380}\) Within the G20 framework, Germany continued to uphold the Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) initiative, which Germany implemented in European and national law.\(^\text{381}\)

On 21 November 2016, Germany signed a convention with Panama on the Avoidance of Double Taxation with respect to taxes on income derived from the use of ships and aircrafts\(^\text{382}\).

On 7 December 2016, Germany ratified an Agreement with Australia for the Elimination of Double Taxation with Respect to Taxes on Income and Capital and the Prevention of Fiscal Evasion and Avoidance.\(^\text{383}\) The revised treaty replaces the existing 1972 treaty and reflects much of the updated wording in the current OECD Model Convention as well as recommendations in the OECD final reports in its Action Plan on BEPS (2015 BEPS Reports).\(^\text{384}\)

Germany has supported the efforts to strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda as a member of an international organization and has taken action to address common issues and interests. Thus, Germany receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Erwin Voloder*

**India: +1**

India has fully complied with its commitment to help strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda.

On 29 September 2016, the Protocol Amending the Convention between the Government of Japan and the Government of the Republic of India for the Avoidance of Double Taxation and the Prevention of Fiscal Evasion with respect to Taxes on Income was signed. On 29 October 2016, the Protocol had entered into force.\(^\text{385}\)

---


On 25-30 September 2016, an official from the Indian Revenue Department (IRS) reaffirmed India’s work towards the Base Erosion Profit Shifting (BEPS) Project.\textsuperscript{386}

On 30 September 2016, the four month window for tax evasion amnesty in India uncovered INR652.5 billion in undisclosed wealth.\textsuperscript{387}

On 8 November 2016, INR500 and INR1000 notes were removed from circulation to reduce tax evasion.\textsuperscript{388}

On 6 December 2016, India, as a member of Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa (BRICS) pledged to share tax information automatically and adopt global standards on tax transparency to check cross border tax evasion. They also resolved to support other developing nations in increasing their tax administrations’ capacity to implement the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development/G20 standard on Automatic Exchange of Information (AEoi).\textsuperscript{389}

On 30 December 2016, India and Singapore signed a protocol to limit abuse of their double taxation avoidance agreement.\textsuperscript{390}

India has supported the efforts to strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda through national actions that address common issues and common concerns and as stakeholders or members of international organizations. Thus, India receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Sangeetha Ganesh}

\textbf{Indonesia: +1}

India has fully complied with its commitment to help strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda.

On 30 September 2016, the first phase of Indonesia’s tax amnesty program ended.\textsuperscript{391} The aim of the tax amnesty program is to increase tax compliance in the country, boost tax revenue, and encourage repatriation of offshore assets into Indonesia.\textsuperscript{392}

As of 16 October 2016, a total of IDR3,842.9 trillion worth of assets has been claimed to Indonesian tax authorities by more than 420,000 people.\textsuperscript{393}

\textsuperscript{388} India withdraws 500 and 1,000 rupee notes in effort to fight corruption (New Delhi) 8 November 2016. Date of Access: 8 November 2016. https://www.theguardian.com/world/2016/nov/08/india-withdraws-500-1000-rupee-notes-fight-corruption.
On 24 October 2016, a meeting was held between Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Secretary General Angel Gurria and President of Indonesia Joko Widodo during which President Widodo iterated that Indonesia would implement tax reforms soon.\footnote{Tax Amnesty Indonesia: Regulations for Asset Repatriations Eased, Indonesia-Investments (Jakarta) 17 October 2016. Date of Access: 14 November 2016. http://www.indonesia-investments.com/news/todays-headlines/tax-amnesty-indonesia-regulations-asset-repatriation-eased/item7274.}

On 31 December 2016, the second phase of Indonesia’s tax amnesty program ended. As of this date, a total of IDR4,295.9 trillion worth of assets has been declared. Additionally, IDR 140.5 trillion of overseas assets were reclaimed. Asset repatriation remains unsuccessful as the goal of of IDR1,000 trillion has yet to be reached.\footnote{Indonesia must tell its taxpayers its amnesty is the last – OECD, Reuters (London) 24 October 2016. Date of Access: 16 November 2016. http://www.reuters.com/article/us-indonesia-tax-oecd-idUSKCN12O0WN.}

Indonesia has supported the efforts to strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda through national actions that address common issues and common concerns and as stakeholders or member of international organizations. Thus, Indonesia receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Alyssa Atef}

\textbf{Italy: 0}

Italy has partially complied with its commitment to help strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda.


Italy has led by example however, has not acted as a shareholder or member of an international organization. Thus, Italy receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Sonja Dobson}

\textbf{Japan: +1}

Japan has fully complied with its commitment to help strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda.


\begin{flushleft}
\footnotesize
\end{flushleft}
On 29 November 2016, Japan sent delegates to the first regional meeting of the Inclusive Framework on Base Erosion and Profit shifting (BEPS) in the Asia-Pacific region in Manila, and discussed the latest developments on the implementation work on BEPS.  

On 8 December 2016, Japan issued the 2017 Tax Proposal, which included amendments consistent with the BEPS recommendations with the stated purpose to support the healthy expansion of Japanese companies into growing global markets while limiting tax avoidance.  

On 1 January 2017, the increase in scope of taxation for Non-Permanent Resident taxpayers of Japan became effective. The new rule on income from the sale of other personal property will still apply given that 2017 Japan Tax Reform Proposal provides a potential exemption on capital gains on foreign-transacted securities for Non-Permanent Residents.

Japan has supported efforts to implement national actions that address common issues and common interests and has implemented actions to strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda as a member of an international organization. Thus, Japan receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Ze Chen

Korea: +1

Korea has fully complied with its commitment to help strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda.

On 12 September 2016, the new income tax treaty signed between India and Korea entered into force. This treaty is designed to prevent avoidance or evasion of taxes.

On 27 September 2016, the income tax treaty between Hong Kong and Korea, signed on 8 July 2014, entered into force. The treaty included exemption or reduction of tax on dividends, interest and royalties.

---

On 14 October 2016, Korea signed an agreement on the automatic exchange of financial account information to improve international tax compliance with Singapore.\textsuperscript{408}

On 29 November 2016, Korea sent delegates to the first regional meeting of the Inclusive Framework on Base Erosion and Profit shifting (BEPS) in the Asia-Pacific region in Manila, and discussed the latest developments on the implementation work on BEPS.\textsuperscript{409}

Korea has supported efforts to implement national actions that address common issues and common interests and has implemented actions to strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda as a member of an international organization. Thus, Korea receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Ze Chen}

**Mexico: +1**

Mexico has fully complied with its commitment to help strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda.

From 26-30 September 2016, Mexico held a conference on Transfer Pricing Documentation and Country-by-Country Reporting, as part of the Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) Action Plan, through its Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD) Multilateral Tax Centre.\textsuperscript{410}

From 10-11 October 2016, the OECD Secretary-General Angel Gurría and Director-General of the Mexican Social Security Institute (IMSS), Mikel Arreola, signed a cooperation agreement on regulatory policy aiming at simplifying IMSS formalities and making them readily available for the benefit of citizens and businesses.\textsuperscript{411}

On 14 October 2016, Mexico and the United States announced an agreement on a transfer pricing framework for US multinational enterprises that have maquiladora operations in Mexico, which will require US firms to accept Mexico’s tax administration’s advance pricing agreements at arm’s length. This represents Mexican efforts to address corporate tax avoidance, one of the major challenges outlined in the BEPS framework and a major risk to the tax base of developing countries.\textsuperscript{412}

---


On 27 October 2016, the Mexican Congress approved the 2017 Bill, which includes amendments to
the Mexican tax legislation, including incentives to multinational companies in terms of corporate
income tax and value added tax (VAT).\textsuperscript{413}

From 2-4 November 2016, the Global Forum on Transparency and Exchange of Information for
Tax Purposes, of which Mexico is a member, held its 9th annual meeting in Tbilisi, Georgia to
further the shared goal of improving tax transparency. This meeting marked the completion of the
first round of the Forum’s peer review process, and resulted in the publication of a second phase of
reviews on the Exchange of Information on Request standards.\textsuperscript{414}

On 18 November 2016, Mexico signed a joint declaration with Switzerland on the introduction of
automatic exchange of information (AEOI) in tax matters.\textsuperscript{415}

Mexico has supported efforts to strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international
tax agenda as a member of an international organization and has taken actions to address common
issues and interests. Thus, Mexico receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Katie Fettes}

\textbf{Russia: +1}

Russia has fully complied with its commitment to help strengthen developing economies’
engagement in the international tax agenda.

On 6 December 2016, Russia with other Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa (BRICS)
nations pledged to share tax information automatically and adopt global standards on tax
transparency to check cross border tax evasion. They also resolved to support other developing
nations in increasing their tax administrations’ capacity to implement the Organization for Economic
Co-operation and Development (OECD)/G20 standard on Automatic Exchange of Information
(AEOI).\textsuperscript{416}

Russia is a signatory of the Multilateral Competent Authority Agreement on Automatic Exchange of
Financial Account Information and intends to implement first information exchange in September
2018.\textsuperscript{417}

On 12 December 2016, the Government of Russia decided to sign the Multilateral Competent
Authority Agreement on the Exchange of Country-by-Country Reports (CbC MCAA).\textsuperscript{418}


On 1 January 2017, the Agreement between Russia and China on Avoidance of Double Taxation entered into force.\(^{419}\)

According to the Main Directions for Tax Policy in 2017 and 2018-2019 Period, published by the Russian Ministry of Finance on 30 November 2016, Russia will continue to conduct new agreements on avoidance of double taxation with other countries.\(^{420}\)

Russia has supported efforts to strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda through national actions that address common issues and common concerns and as a member of international organizations Thus, Russia receives a score of +1.

*Analysts: Sangeetha Ganesh & Mark Rakhmangulov*

**Saudi Arabia:** 0

Saudi Arabia has partially complied with its commitment to help strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda.

On 11 October 2016, the Council of Ministers authorized the minister of finance or his deputy to discuss two draft uniform agreements on the value added tax and selective tax agreements for the Gulf Cooperation states.\(^{421}\)

On 15 November 2016, Saudi Arabia signed a Model 1 intergovernmental agreement with the United States. On this day, Saudi Arabia also signed an understanding regarding the treatment under Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act of securities registered in the Securities Depository Centre within the Saudi Stock Exchange.\(^{422}\)

Saudi Arabia has partially supported efforts to strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda as a member of an international organization however, has not implemented national actions that address common issues and common interests. Thus, Saudi Arabia receives a score of 0.

*Analyst: Alyssa Atef*

**South Africa:** +1

South Africa has fully complied with its commitment to help strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda.


\(^{419}\) List of International Agreements on Avoiding Double Taxation between Russia and Other Countries, Ministry of Finance of Russia 30 December 2016. [http://minfin.ru/ru/performance/tax_relations/international/?id_57=117045&page_id=179&popup=Y&area_id=57](http://minfin.ru/ru/performance/tax_relations/international/?id_57=117045&page_id=179&popup=Y&area_id=57)


On 7 September 2016, the South African Standing Committee on Finance officially approved several international agreements. These include the Amending protocol to the South Africa-Brazil double taxation agreement (DTA), the South Africa-United Arab Emirates DTA, the South Africa-Singapore DTA, the South Africa-Zimbabwe DTA, the South Africa-Uruguay Exchange of Information Agreement (EIA), the South Africa-Turks and Caicos Islands EIA, and the South Africa-St. Kitts and Nevis EIA.\footnote{South African Finance Committees approve several international agreements, Ernst & Young Tax Insights 19 September 2016. Date of Access: 13 November 2016. http://taxinsights.ey.com/archive/archive-news/south-african-finance-committees-approve-several-international.aspx.}

On 9 September 2016, the South African Revenue Service (SARS) announced that the South Africa-Chile DTA was approved by Parliament.\footnote{South Africa – Chile double taxation agreement enters into force, Ernst & Young Tax Insights 15 September 2016. Date of Access: 13 November 2016. http://taxinsights.ey.com/archive/archive-news/south-africa-chile-double-taxation-agreement-enters-into-force.aspx.}


From 3-7 October 2016, South Africa hosted the 4th General Assembly meeting of the African Tax Administration Forum in Durban, in order to further the shared goals of strengthening the capacity of African tax administrations to achieve their revenue objectives and developing partnerships between African countries with regards to the role of taxation in governance and state-building.\footnote{Logistics Note: Welcome to the 4th ATAF General Assembly at the Fairmont Zimbali Resort in Durban South Africa from the 3rd to the 7th of October 2016, African Tax Administration Forum (Pretoria) 3-7 October 2016. Date of Access: 12 November 2016. http://www.ataftax.org/en/Conferences/Documents/LOGISTICAL%20NOTE%20Eng.pdf.}


On 30 November 2016, South Africa entered signed a new tax treaty with Singapore. On 16 December 2016, the treaty came into force.430

On 6 December 2016, during a two day meeting of the heads of Revenue of BRICS (Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa) countries, South Africa pledged to share tax information automatically, adopt global standards on tax transparency to check cross border tax evasion, and support other developing nations to increase the capacity of their tax administrations to implement the global standard on AEOI.431

South Africa has supported efforts to strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda as a member of an international organization and has taken actions to address common issues and interests. Thus, South Africa receives a score of +1.

Analyt: Katie Fettes

Turkey: +1

Turkey has fully complied with its commitment to help strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda.

On 8 October 2016, Deputy Prime Minister Mehmet Şimşek issued a statement at the thirty-fourth meeting during of the International Monetary and Financial Committee of the International Monetary Fund calling upon the need for the council member states to adopt tax reform in addition to implementing other economic reform measures.432

On 20 October 2016, Turkish Finance Minister Naci Ağbal stated that Turkey will introduce tax breaks for regular contributors in 2017, whereby those who have declared their taxes within the last three to five years and paid on time will receive a discount.433

On 25 October 2016, Turkey implemented a range of tax reforms for their tax inspections, the Tax Inspection Board, and Tax Commissions. The reforms included investigations by the Tax Inspection Board being categorized as administrative, the legal obligation of Tax Inspectors to notify authorities of any acts which may constitute as crime, and tax inspections being conducted relative to the subject and period outlined on the notice among others.434

On 30 October 2016, the Finance Minister of Turkey extended the deadline for the law on Restructuring Certain Public receivable or “Tax Amnesty” from 31 October 2016 to 25 November 2016. This law dictates the restructuring of outstanding tax and other public receivables and allows


8 April 2017
for Turkish companies to close their accounts when faced with potential tax audits through voluntary tax base increases.\footnote{435} Turkey has supported the efforts to strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda through national actions that address common issues and common concerns and as stakeholders or member of international organizations. Thus, Turkey receives a score of +1.

\textit{United Kingdom: +1}

The United Kingdom has fully complied with its commitment to help strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda.

On 15 September 2016, the UK Finance Act 2016 received Royal Assent enacting legislation. It requires large businesses to publish their UK tax strategy publicly.\footnote{436} This will require any multinational group with a turnover of over GBP750 million and at least one UK subsidiary or a UK private equity to publish its UK tax strategy.\footnote{437}

On 11 October 2016, as a member of the Council of the European Union, the United Kingdom issued a press release setting out the Council’s conclusions on Tax Transparency. It outlined the intention of the Commission to explore possibilities for Mandatory Disclosure Rules which was inspired by Action 12 of the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) project.\footnote{438}

On 13 October 2016, HM Revenue and Customs (HMRC), the UK’s tax, payments and customs authority published a draft that will form part of the Criminal Finances Bill on legislation and guidance for a corporate offence of failure to prevent the criminal facilitation of tax evasion.\footnote{439}

On 13 October 2016, as a member of the European Court of Auditors, the UK gave the EU annual accounts of a clean bill of health for the 9th year in a row.\footnote{440}

On 17 October 2016, the UK HMRC released updated guidance on Disclosure of Tax Avoidance Schemes (DOTAS). The guidance supplements the DOTAS rules on tax disclosure.\footnote{441}

On 25 October 2016, as a member of the European Commission, the UK announced plans to refurbish the way in which companies are taxed in the Single Market, creating a growth-friendly and

\footnotesize{\par


fair corporate tax system. This plan is part of a broader package of corporate tax reforms, the Common Consolidated Corporate Tax Base (CCCTB), a plan first created in 2011 as a tool against tax avoidance. Pierre Moscovici, Commissioner for Economic and Financial Affairs, Taxation and Customs said: "With the rebooted CCCTB proposal, we’re addressing the concerns of both businesses and citizens in one fell swoop. The many conversations I’ve had as Taxation Commissioner have made it crystal-clear to me that companies need simpler tax rules within the EU. At the same time, we need to drive forward our fight against tax avoidance, which is delivering real change."

On 25 October 2016, as a member of the European Commission, the UK announced a new package of corporate tax reforms. This package includes: a two stage proposal towards a Common Consolidated Corporate Tax Base; a Directive on Double Taxation Dispute Resolution MEchanism in the EU; and amendments to the Anti-Tax Avoidance Directive agreed in June 2016, as regards hybrid mismatches with third countries. It is proposed that Member States should transpose these proposals by 31 December 2017 at the latest, and that it shall apply from 1 January 2019. These rules are modelled on the rules contained in the OECD Action Plan on BEPS report.\(^{443}\)

On 2 November 2016, the UK Financial Secretary to the Treasury Jane Ellison signed a double taxation agreement with the Colombian Finance Minister Mauricio Credens. This agreement includes provisions which will help both countries work together to tackle tax avoidance and evasion.\(^{444}\) This agreement will not enter into force until both countries have completed their legislative procedures and exchanged diplomatic notes.\(^{445}\)

On 3 November 2016, the UK government signed a double taxation agreement with the Lesotho government. This agreement aims to avoid double taxation and prevent fiscal evasion with respect to taxes on income and on capital gains. This agreement will not enter into force until both countries have completed their legislative procedures and exchanged diplomatic notes.\(^{446}\)

On 8 November 2016, as a member of the Economic and Financial Affairs Council (ECOFIN) of the EU, the UK agreed on the criteria and the process for the establishment of an EU list of non-cooperative jurisdictions that do not respect the tax good governance standards. This is a goal of the External Strategy for Effective Taxation as part of the Anti-Tax Avoidance Package introduced in January 2016.\(^{447}\)

On 10 November 2016, as a member of the European Commission, the UK launched a public consultation to gather feedback on the war forward for EU action on advisers and intermediaries who


facilitate tax evasion and tax avoidance. This reflects the goal of the Commission to shed more light on the activities of tax advisers laid out in the Communication on Further Measures to Enhance Transparency and Fight Against Tax Evasion and Avoidance. This is apart of the Commission’s interest in gathering views on how a mandatory disclosure scheme for tax advisers could be implemented, which reflects the goals of the OECD’s non-binding guidelines (BEPS Acion 12) for the disclosure of aggressive tax planning strategies.\(^{448}\)

On 24 November 2016, as a member of the OECD, the UK and more than 100 other jurisdictions have concluded negotiations on the Multilateral Convention to Implement Tax Treaty Related Measures to Prevent BEPS. It will implement minimum standards to counter treaty abuse and to improve dispute resolution mechanism while providing flexibility to accommodate specific tax treaty policies. It will also allow governments to strengthen their tax treaties with other tax treaty measures developed in the OECD/G20 BEPS project.\(^{449}\)  

On 30 November 2016, the UK published their Consumption Tax Trends for 2016 It provides information on value added tax/goods and services tax (VAT/GST) and excise duty rates. It also contains information about indirect tax topics such as international aspects of VAT/GST developments and its efficiency.\(^{450}\)

On 30 November 2016, the UK published data on government sector receipts, and on taxes in particular.\(^{451}\)

On 5 December 2016, as a member of the OECD, the UK released two new documents to support the global implementation of Country-by-Country (CbC) reporting. It includes key details of jurisdiction’s domestic legal frameworks for CbC reporting and additional interpretive guidance on the CbC reporting standard. These documents will provide essential information that will give certainty to tax administrations and MNE groups on the implementation of CbC reporting.\(^{452}\)

On 5 December 2016, as a member of the OECD, the UK released its annual statistical publication on the Mutual Agreement Procedure (MAP) caseloads of all OECD member countries and partner economies for the 2015 reporting period.\(^{453}\)

On 6 December 2016, as a member of the European Council, the UK agreed to a draft directive aimed at closing down 'hybrid mismatches' with the tax systems of third countries. The issue agreed


Upon will prevent corporate tax paid from exploiting disparities between tax jurisdictions and allow tax authorities to access information held by authorities responsible for the prevention of money laundering.454

On 6 December 2016, the UK Government is proceeding with its planned reform of the corporation tax loss rules. It includes an expansion of the type of profits against which brought forward losses incurred on or after 1 April 2017 can be offset and a restriction, in relation to profits incurred on or after 1 April 2017, of the utilization of brought forward losses to 50 per cent of profits (above a GBP 5 million allowance per group).455

On 12 December 2016, the UK introduced an online, digital system for anti-money laundering supervision through HM Revenue and Customs, which will be launched in 2017.456

On 22 December 2016, as a member of the OECD, the UK released an updated version of Action 4 of the BEPS Action Plan. It includes further guidance on the design and operation of the group ratio rule as well as approaches to deal with risks posed by the banking and insurance sectors.457

On 1 January 2017, the comprehensive Double Taxation Convention between the UK and Uruguay has taken effect. It entered into force on 14 November 2016 and has taken effect for taxes withheld at source, and in respect of other taxes, for taxable periods beginning on or after 1 January 2017.458

On 1 January 2017, the UK has put into effect a law that will allow HR Revenue and Customs, for the first time, to charge civil penalties on the facilitators of the tax evasion who provide planning, advice or other professional services or physically move funds offshore.459

The UK has supported the efforts to strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda through national actions that address common issues and common concerns and as stakeholders or member of international organizations. Thus, the UK receives a score of +1

Analyst: Sonja Dobson

United States: +1

The United States has fully complied with its commitment to help strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda.

On 22 September 2016, United States Representative Mark Pocan introduced the Corporate Transparency and Accountability Act. This legislation seeks mandatory disclosure of country-by-country and bottom line information about companies’ taxes in order to increase transparency for investors and the public and to increase awareness of tax avoidance and profit-shifting activities.660

On 26 September 2016, the Minister of the Economy Alfonso Prat-Gay met with United States Treasury Secretary Jack Lew in Buenos Aires regarding cooperation on exchanging fiscal data to fight tax evasion and doubling joint efforts to fight against money laundering.661 US Treasury Secretary Jack Lew announced that the US and Argentina will begin negotiations on a tax treaty on the exchange of information.662

On 6-8 October 2016, the United States hosted the 2016 Annual Meetings of the International Monetary Fund and World Bank Group in Washington, D.C. During the meeting of the G20 Finance Ministers and Central Bank Governors, the Secretary-General of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Angel Gurría, delivered remarks regarding international co-operation on tax matters.663 He addressed issues pertaining to tax transparency, the Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) project, and the formulation of tax policy to support structural reforms and to enhance certainty.664

On 8 October 2016, the United States hosted the Millennium Challenge Corporation-OECD event “Joining Forces to Catalyse Investments in the Developing World” in Washington, D.C. Leaders identified five areas for reform, made 83 recommendations, and outlined 370 actions to help transform Côte d’Ivoire into an emerging economy by 2020. During the event, leaders identified “modernising the tax system by broadening and simplifying the tax base and streamlining tax administration to strengthen the level and management of public finances” as one of the priorities of the project.665

On 14 October 2016, the United States Internal Revenue Service announced that American taxpayers seeking unilateral advance pricing agreements with Mexico for maquiladora operations will

---

not be subject to double taxation as long as intercompany pricing complies with the framework previously established by American and Mexican authorities.\footnote{US taxpayers seeking unilateral APAs with Mexico for maquiladoras will not be subject to double taxation as long as certain requirements are met, EY 20 November 2016. Date of Access: 24 November 2016. http://www.ey.com/gl/en/services/tax/international-tax/alert--us-taxpayers-seeking-unilateral-apas-with-mexico-for-maquiladoras-will-not-be-subject-to-double-taxation-as-long-as-certain-requirements-are-met.}


The United States has supported efforts to strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda as a member of an international organization and has taken actions to address common issues and interests. Thus, the United States receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Yuliya Gorelkina**

**European Union: +1**

The European Union has fully complied with its commitment to help strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda.


On 25 October 2016, the European Commission announced plans to refurbish the way in which companies are taxed in the Single Market, creating a growth-friendly and fair corporate tax system. This plan is part of a broader package of corporate tax reforms, the Common Consolidated Corporate Tax Base (CCCTB), a plan first created in 2011 as a tool against tax avoidance. Commissioner for Economic and Financial Affairs Taxation and Customs Pierre Moscovici, said: “With the rebooted CCCTB proposal, we’re addressing the concerns of both businesses and citizens in one fell swoop. The many conversations I’ve had as Taxation Commissioner have made it crystal-clear to me that companies need simpler tax rules within the EU. At the same time, we need to drive forward our fight against tax avoidance, which is delivering real change.”\footnote{European Court of Auditors signs off the EU accounts for 9th year in a row, European Commission (Brussels) 13 October 2016. Date of Access: 11 November 2016. http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_IP-16-3343_en.htm.}

On 25 October 2016, the European Commission announced a new package of corporate tax reforms. This package includes; a two stage proposal towards a Common Consolidated Corporate Tax Base; a Directive on Double Taxation Dispute Resolution MEchanism in the EU; and amendments to the Anti-Tax Avoidance Directive agreed in June 2016, as regards hybrid mismatches with third
countries. It is proposed that Member States should transpose these proposals by 31 December 2018 at the latest, and that it shall apply from 1 January 2019. These rules are modelled on the rules contained in the (OECD) Action Plan on Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) report.\footnote{The Latest on BEPS - 7 November 2016, EY 7 November 2016. Date of Access: 13 November 2016. http://www.ey.com/gl/en/services/tax/international-tax/alert--the-latest-on-beps---7-november-2016.}

On 8 November 2016, the Economic and Financial Affairs Council of the EU agreed on the criteria and the process for the establishment of an EU list of non-cooperative jurisdictions that do not respect the tax good governance standards. This is a goal of the External Strategy for Effective Taxation as part of the Anti-Tax Avoidance Package introduced in January 2016.\footnote{European Council agrees on criteria for screening of third country jurisdictions, EY 10 November 2016. Date of Access: 13 November 2016. http://www.ey.com/gl/en/services/tax/international-tax/alert--european-council-agrees-on-criteria-for-screening-of-third-country-jurisdictions.}

On 10 November 2016, the European Commission launched a public consultation to gather feedback on the war forward for EU action on advisers and intermediaries who facilitate tax evasion and tax avoidance. This reflects the goal of the Commission to shed more light on the activities of tax advisers laid out in the Communication on Further Measures to Enhance Transparency and Fight Against Tax Evasion and Avoidance. This is apart of the Commission’s interest in gathering views on how a mandatory disclosure scheme for tax advisers could be implemented, which reflects the goals of the OECD’s non-binding guidelines (BEPS Action 12) for the disclosure of aggressive tax planning strategies.\footnote{Commission gathers views on future rules to deter promoters of aggressive tax planning scheme, European Commission (Brussels) 10 November 2016. Date of Access: 13 November 2016. http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_IP-16-3618_en.htm.}

On 6 December 2016, the European Council agreed to a draft directive aimed at closing down ‘hybrid mismatches’ with the tax systems of third countries. The issue agreed upon will prevent corporate tax paid from exploiting disparities between tax jurisdictions and allow tax authorities to access information held by authorities responsible for the prevention of money laundering.\footnote{Economic and Financial Council, European Council (Brussels) 6 December 2016. Date of Access: 11 January 2017. http://www.consilium.europa.eu/en/meetings/ecofin/2016/12/06/.}

On 3 January 2017, the European Commission welcomed the entry into force of new rules to ensure that Member States have all the information they need on tax rulings given to multinational companies in other EU countries. As of 1 January 2017, Member States are obliged to automatically exchange information on all new cross-border tax rulings that they issue.\footnote{Commission welcomes entry into force of new transparency rules for tax rulings, European Commission (Brussels) 3 January 2017. Date of Access: 12 January 2017. http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_IP-16-4494_en.htm.}

The European Union has supported the efforts to strengthen developing economies’ engagement in the international tax agenda through national actions that address common issues and common concerns and as stakeholders or members of international organizations. Thus, the European Union receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Sonja Dobson}
4. Corruption

“We endorse the 2017-2018 G20 Anti-Corruption Action Plan to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity, implementing our stance of zero tolerance against corruption, zero loopholes in our institutions and zero barriers in our actions.”

_G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué_

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No Compliance</th>
<th>Partial Compliance</th>
<th>Full Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background

At the 2016 Hangzhou Summit, the G20 endorsed the 2017-2018 Anti-Corruption Action Plan to improve public and private sector transparency, emphasizing its stance of zero tolerance against corruption, zero loopholes in its institutions, and zero barriers in its actions.476 Leaders also endorsed the G20 High Level Principles on Cooperation on Persons Sought for Corruption and Asset Recovery, and committed to continuing the G20 Denial of Entry Experts Network.477

At the 2009 Pittsburgh Summit, the G20 first introduced the crime and corruption commitment, declaring in the Pittsburgh Leaders’ Communiqué that: “We are committed to maintain the momentum in dealing with tax havens, money laundering, proceeds of corruption, terrorist financing, and prudential standards.”478 At the 2010 Toronto Summit, this commitment continued to develop and the G20 agreed that corruption threatens the integrity of markets, undermines fair competition,

---

distorts resource allocation, destroys public trust, and undermines the rule of law.\textsuperscript{479} At the 2010 Toronto Summit, in response to such agreement, the G20 leaders called for all countries to ratify and fully implement the United Nations Convention against Corruption (UNCAC), and to fully implement reviews in accordance with the provisions of UNCAC.\textsuperscript{480} The G20 also agreed to establish the G20 Anti-Corruption Working Group (ACWG), which was tasked with making comprehensive recommendations on how member countries could engage in the international effort of combating corruption.\textsuperscript{481}

At the 2010 Seoul Summit, the ACWG presented the first G20 Anti-Corruption Action Plan. At the 2011 Cannes Summit, the G20 presented a report on its members’ progress on the Action Plan.\textsuperscript{482} The G20 also committed to accelerating the ratification and implementation of UNCAC and increasing its engagements with the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Working Group on Bribery (OECD WGB).\textsuperscript{483}

At the 2012 Los Cabos Summit, the G20 reiterated its previous two commitments mentioned above. The G20 also committed to enforcing anti-corruption legislation, and called for the publication of a guide on mutual legal assistance.\textsuperscript{484} The G20 extended the ACWG mandate to the end of 2014 in order to prepare an updated comprehensive action plan for the 2015-16 year as well as a second monitoring report indicating G20 member progress against the previous action plan for 2013-14.\textsuperscript{485}

At the 2013 St. Petersburg Summit, the G20 endorsed its declaration of High-Level Principles on Mutual Legal Assistance, commitment to promote integrity in buy-and-sell relations between public and private sectors, and maintenance of a dialogue between the ACWG, the Business 20 (B20) and Civil 20 (C20).\textsuperscript{486} At the 2014 Brisbane, the G20 endorsed the 2015-2016 Anti-Corruption Action Plan, and implemented the G20 High-Level Principles on Beneficial Ownership Transparency.\textsuperscript{487} At the 2015 Antalya Summit, the G20 endorsed the G20 Anti-Corruption Open Data Principles and the G20 Principles for Promoting Integrity in Public Procurement.\textsuperscript{488}

\textsuperscript{479} The G20 Toronto Summit Commitments, the G20 Information Centre (Toronto). Access Date: 27 October 2016. http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/2010/to-communique.html
\textsuperscript{480} The G20 Toronto Summit Commitments, the G20 Information Centre (Toronto). Access Date: 27 October 2016. http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/2010/to-communique.html
\textsuperscript{481} The G20 Toronto Summit Commitments, the G20 Information Centre (Toronto). Access Date: 27 October 2016. http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/2010/to-communique.html
\textsuperscript{484} G20 Leaders Declaration Los Cabos, Mexico, the G20 Information Centre (Toronto) 19 June 2012. Access Date: 27 October 2016. http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/2012/2012-0619-loscabos.html
\textsuperscript{485} G20 Leaders Declaration Los Cabos, Mexico, the G20 Information Centre (Toronto) 19 June 2012. Access Date: 27 October 2016. http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/2012/2012-0619-loscabos.html
\textsuperscript{486} G20 Leaders’ Declaration St. Petersburg (Intensifying Fight Against Corruption), the G20 Information Centre (Toronto) 6 September 2013. Access Date: 27 October 2016. http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/2013/2013-0906-declaration.html#corruption
\textsuperscript{487} G20 Leaders’ Communique Brisbane, the G20 Information Centre (Toronto) 16 November 2014. Access Date: 27 October 2016. http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/2014/2014-1116-communique.html
\textsuperscript{488} G20 Leaders’ Communique Antalya, Turkey, the G20 Information Centre (Toronto), 16 November 2015. Access Date: 27 October 2016. http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/2015/151116-communique.html
Commitment Features
The G20 has endorsed the 2017-18 Anti-Corruption Action Plan and committed to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity by implementing its stance of zero tolerance against corruption, zero loopholes in its institutions, and zero barriers in its actions.

This commitment has two parts: 1) improve public sector transparency and integrity and 2) improve private sector transparency and integrity. In the 2017-2018 Anti-Corruption Action Plan, the G20 defines both of these parts.

1) Private sector integrity and transparency:

The G20 member must work closely with business and civil society in tackling corruption. The G20 member must explore means of promoting a culture of integrity and supporting private sector anti-corruption initiatives, including for small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) and in the non-financial professional services sector. The G20 member must encourage stronger partnerships, consistent with national law, between governments, anti-corruption authorities, regulators, law enforcement, financial intelligence units (FIUs), business and civil society.

2) Public sector integrity and transparency:

The G20 member must promote greater transparency in public sector spending, including in public contracting, budget processes and customs. This may be achieved through citizen engagement, strengthening anti-corruption authorities, public-private partnerships and the use of open data, building on the G20 Open Data Principles. The G20 member must also promote a culture of integrity and accountability in its institutions, including by preventing and resolving conflicts of interest affecting public officials. The G20 member’s priorities include organising against corruption (i.e. structuring the public administration to detect and minimise corruption risks), encouraging public institutions to implement anti-corruption initiatives, building international integrity partnerships and networks, and addressing immunities. Encouraging the reporting of suspected actions of corruption is critical to deterring and detecting it. The G20 will promote this goal, including reviewing its progress in implementing legislative and institutional protections for whistleblowers.

For full compliance, the G20 member must comply with both parts of the commitment by taking action in all or almost all components of each part. Partial compliance will be received if the G20 member complies with one of the two parts or complies with only some components of both parts. Noncompliance will be received if the G20 member does not comply with either part.

Scoring Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>G20 member did not take actions to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>G20 member has taken actions to improve public OR private sector transparency and integrity OR G20 member has taken few actions to improve both public AND private sector transparency and integrity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>G20 member has taken actions to improve both public AND private sector transparency and integrity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Argentina: +1
Argentina has fully complied with its commitment to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity.
On 8 September 2016, the senate unanimously approved the Access to Public Information bill, which aims to allow all citizens to request and receive information from the state in a timely and accurate manner.

On 12 September 2016, the Treasury and Public Finance Minister met with the Federal Council of Fiscal Responsibility for the first time in five years to discuss improved economic coordination between all levels of government. On 25 October 2016, the group reconvened and agreed on amendments to the Fiscal Responsibility Act, promising transparency in provincial public accounts.

On 15 September 2016, the Minister of Treasury and Public Finance Alfonso Prat-Gay presented the 2017 draft budget to the Budget Committee of the Chamber of Deputies. The budget details funding for Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs), investment in public social programs, and an effort to govern with transparency by limiting the “super powers” of the federal government that led to financial mismanagement in the past. The budget went on to be approved on 30 November 2016 by the Argentine Senate.

On 21 September 2016, the senate approved the Private Public Participation (PPP) initiative proposed by the executive branch. This initiative partners the private sector and the state in public infrastructure projects and establishes set obligations and penalties for noncompliance.

On 22 September 2016, the federal government introduced a new tool for citizens to voice opinions online regarding the drafting of the Converged Communications Act, which will improve the providing of feedback and services to citizens.

On 27 September 2016, the Modernisation Minister Andrés Ibarra announced that the regional Alliance for Open Government Summit will be held in Argentina in 2017. This summit brings...
together government agencies, businesses, and civil society from over 60 countries with the goal of sharing ideas and putting forward commitments to more open, accountable government while promoting civic engagement and responsiveness to citizen demands.  

On 29 September 2016, the Supreme Court of Justice and the National Congress signed an agreement to ensure transparency in judicial processes.  

On 11 October 2016, President Mauricio Macri announced the Open Data Portal Justice which is a program to establish a modern national system of judicial statistics that are in line with the idea of open government and transparency in justice.  

On 19 October 2016, President Macri and representatives from various government agencies and private sector actors held a meeting for the Dialogue for Production and Work and introduced the National Productive Plan. The plan hopes to create fiscal efficiency, de-bureaucratization, skilled workforce development, and greater cross sector partnerships.  

During the week of 22 October 2016, the federal government sent a series of laws to Congress that would sanction businesses found guilty of corruption involving the public sector. Current laws only punish individuals and fail to hold the companies accountable as well. New laws would decrease punishment for cooperative companies during investigations of corruption.  

On 28 October 2016, the President of the Chamber of Deputies of the Nation Emilio Monzó and the Minister of Modernization of the Nation Ibarra signed a Cooperation Agreement that introduces

---


new information and communication technologies to administrative management. The agreement will facilitate the Program for Parliamentary Modernization, Innovation, Transparency, and Democratic Strengthening approved in June 2016 by Congress. The aim is to improve management, facilitate administration of information, and promote transparency.

On 29 October 2016, the National Congress approved a bill to extend benefits for whistleblowers in crimes against the public administration, corruption, and criminal offenses in relation to economics and finance. The addition to the Argentine Criminal Code reduces penalties for individuals who provide reliable information, facts, or statistics in cases under investigation.

On 16 November 2016, the senate voted to convert the Public Private Participation (PPP) project into law with the changes introduced by the Chamber of Deputies. The changes introduced were prioritization of national industries for contracts and environmental requirements.

On 21 November 2016, the President of the Senate Gabriela Michetti announced the creation of an Information Access Directorate and the application of a biometric system. The biometric system is to enhance security of information, while the directorate will be created to enhance public official accountability to citizens and strengthen transparency in the Senate.

On 1 December 2016, the National Congress hosted a debate on Access to Public Information of the Parliaments. Led by the Coordinating Director of the Program for Modernization, Innovation, Transparency, and Democratic Strengthening, the panel sought to learn from experiences presented by a Chilean delegation to improve government transparency in terms of information access for

---

citizens.\textsuperscript{518} The debate centred on improvements to the Law on Access to Public Information and increased modernization of current government infrastructure.\textsuperscript{519}

On 2 December 2016, the European Investment Bank (EIB) approved a EUR60 million line of credit for the Bank of Investment and Foreign Trade (BICE) to develop micro and SMEs.\textsuperscript{520} The loan is intended to provide credit for SMEs, develop 200 investment projects, and lines of credit for SMEs and renewable energy projects.\textsuperscript{521} “The loans are intended to strengthen the financial and working relationship between the government and SMEs and to foster growth and accountability in the private sector.”\textsuperscript{522}

On 23 December 2016, the Minister of Treasury and Public Finance Prat-Gay and the US Ambassador to Argentina Noah Mamet signed an agreement on information exchange.\textsuperscript{523} This agreement is designed to combat tax evasion and promote fiscal transparency.\textsuperscript{524} It created the legal framework to share information between Argentina and the US, promoting the ability to use information to prosecute individuals undertaking financial tax crimes and financial corruption in both countries.\textsuperscript{525}

On 27 December 2016, a federal judge approved charges of illicit association and fraudulent administration against former Argentinian President Cristina Fernández de Kirchner.\textsuperscript{526} The

\textsuperscript{520} The European Investment Bank provides a line of credit of 60 million euros for the development of SMEs, Ministry of Treasury and Public Finances (Buenos Aires) 7 December 2016. Access Date: 3 January 2017. http://www.economia.gob.ar/el-banco-europeo-de-inversiones-otorga-una-linea-de-credito-de-60-millones-de-euros-para-el-desarrollo-de-pymes/
\textsuperscript{521} The European Investment Bank provides a line of credit of 60 million euros for the development of SMEs, Ministry of Treasury and Public Finances (Buenos Aires) 7 December 2016. Access Date: 3 January 2017. http://www.economia.gob.ar/el-banco-europeo-de-inversiones-otorga-una-linea-de-credito-de-60-millones-de-euros-para-el-desarrollo-de-pymes/
\textsuperscript{522} The European Investment Bank provides a line of credit of 60 million euros for the development of SMEs, Ministry of Treasury and Public Finances (Buenos Aires) 7 December 2016. Access Date: 3 January 2017. http://www.economia.gob.ar/el-banco-europeo-de-inversiones-otorga-una-linea-de-credito-de-60-millones-de-euros-para-el-desarrollo-de-pymes/
\textsuperscript{526} Judge indicts former Argentine President in corruption case, Associated Press (Buenos Aires) 27 December 2016. Access Date: 04 January 2017. http://bigstory.ap.org/article/1c322aa54c8f409aa9db91b11f83b2e2/judge-indicts-former-argentine-president-corruption-case
indictment is part of ongoing investigations of former officials that left the government over allegations of corruption.\textsuperscript{527}

Argentina has taken substantial actions to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity. Thus, Argentina receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Alexander Fernandes}

\textbf{Australia: +1}

Australia has fully complied with its commitment to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity.

On 6 September 2016, the Australian Transactions Reports Analysis Centre (AUSTRAC) revealed its partnership with other agencies in the Serious Financial Crime Taskforce (SFTC) to investigate over 1,000 Australian entities identified in the Panama Papers leak.\textsuperscript{528} Paul Jevtic, CEO of AUSTRAC, stated that the agency has been working with the private sector and financial intelligence units to "generate and share financial intelligence arising from the Panama Papers." He also stated that Australia was working to "safeguard the integrity of Australia's financial system and the economy" in regard to laundering of illicit funds.\textsuperscript{529}

On 12 September 2016, the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade published the 2016 grant agreement, Anti-Corruption for Peaceful and Inclusive Societies in the Asia Pacific Program, for the United Nations Development Program's (UNDP).\textsuperscript{530} The Government of Australia will contribute AUD6,550,665 to help integrate anti-corruption mechanisms into national government policies.\textsuperscript{531}

On 13 September 2016, Justice Minister Michael Keenan stated that the Australian government will be extending anti-money laundering laws to lawyers, accountants, real estate agents, and jewelers.\textsuperscript{532}

On 18 October 2016, AUSTRAC published its 2015-16 annual report that revealed the agency’s continuous focus on preventing money laundering.\textsuperscript{533} Mr. Jevtic stated that AUSTRAC’s goal is

\textsuperscript{527} Judge indicts former Argentine President in corruption case, Associated Press (Buenos Aires) 27 December 2016. Access Date: 04 January 2017. http://bigstory.ap.org/article/1c322aa54c8f409aa9db911f83b2e2/judge-indicts-former-argentine-president-corruption-case
not to keep up with environmental and technological changes, but to be ahead of the change “in order to protect the integrity of Australia’s financial sector.”

On 31 October 2016, the Australian government published its first draft of the Open Government National Action Plan. The final plan, released on 7 December 2016, contains 15 commitments that will promote transparency and accountability in business, open data and digital transformation, access to government information, integrity in the public sector, and public participation and engagement.

On 2 November 2016, AUSTRAC signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) with the China Anti-Money Laundering Monitoring and Analysis Centre (CAMLMAC) that will support transparency and accountability on how intelligence is used by both actors.

On 3 November 2016, the AUSTRAC website provided an online contact form to make the agency more accessible for start-up businesses and FinTech operators who seek guidance on Australia’s anti-money laundering framework.

As of 12 November 2016, the Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC) enacted a new law intended to remove unfair contract terms in small businesses. The law is a response to a report released by the ACCC which revealed “common terms of concern” in small business contracts.

On 17 November 2016, AUSTRAC announced that it is working with the Australian Charities and Not-for-profits Commission (ACNC) and the Australian Taxation Office (ATO) to conduct a

---

national risk assessment on the not-for-profit sector.\textsuperscript{541} The risk assessment aims to better understand the money laundering, as well as terrorist financing, risks faced by the not-for-profit sector.\textsuperscript{542}

On 22 November 2016, AUSTRAC’s first ever risk assessment of money laundering and terrorism financing in the country’s superannuation sector identified medium risks of fraud and cybercrime.\textsuperscript{543} Paul Jevovic, CEO of AUSTRAC, stated that the centre will work with the superannuation sector in preventing financial crime in the future.\textsuperscript{544}

On 24 November 2016, AUSTRAC and Jordan’s counterpart, the Anti-Money Laundering Unit (AMLU), signed a MoU to permit the exchange of financial intelligence to combat serious financial crime in Australia or Jordan, as part of a global effort.\textsuperscript{545}

On 15 December 2016, AUSTRAC published two fact sheets for pubs and clubs that outline myths about money laundering and how they can protect themselves from money laundering.\textsuperscript{546}

On 15 December 2016, AUSTRAC became the Chair of the International Supervisors Forum (ISF).\textsuperscript{547} ISF was created by government agencies from Australia, the United States, Canada, the United Kingdom, and New Zealand to strengthen domestic and international compliance programs to combat financial crimes such as money laundering.\textsuperscript{548}

On 15 December 2016, ATO published its corporate tax transparency report detailing tax information of 1,904 large companies.\textsuperscript{549} In response to the report, Tax Commissioner Chris Jordan stated that the ATO has renewed its efforts to provide taxpayers and their advisers early warnings regarding these large companies so that taxpayers make better informed decisions.\textsuperscript{550} Commissioner


Jordan added that the ATO engages with the top 100 public and foreign companies to keep a “watchful eye” on these large players.551

On 20 December 2016 Australia opened a consultation paper to the public for comments regarding its review of tax and corporate whistleblower protections. Submissions closed on 10 February 2017.552

On 21 December 2016, the Australian Securities and Investments Commissions (ASIC) accepted enforceable undertakings, alternatives to civil or administrative action, from the National Australia Bank Limited (NAB) and the Commonwealth Bank of Australia.553 “The undertakings concern the banks’ wholesale spot foreign exchange businesses.554 ASIC is committed to ensuring that these financial institutions establish changes to the existing systems so that all participants act “with integrity and fairness.”555

On 13 February 2017 began a public consultation on the “details, scope and implementation of a beneficial ownership register for companies.” This being done with the overall goal to increase transparency. The submission deadline is 13 March 2017.556

Australia has taken substantial actions to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity. Thus, Australia receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Keshini Mahesan**

**Brazil: +1**

Brazil has fully complied with its commitment to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity.

On 6 September 2016, the Brazilian Senate approved Provisional Decree 727, which established the Investment Partnership Program (PPI).557 The program ensures public infrastructure projects

outsourced to the private sector are carried out with full review and consultation from the federal government.\textsuperscript{558}

On 13 September 2016, President Temer announced the Projeto Crescer (Grow Project), an offshoot of PPI that is intended to strengthen the legal certainty, regulations, and streamlining of public and private sector partnerships. Existing regulatory agencies will play a major role in project oversight and approval.\textsuperscript{559}

On 19 September 2016, President Temer announced to a group of Japanese and Brazilian businesspeople in Tokyo that his government had approved the State Company Responsibility Act, which establishes technical regulations and oversight mechanisms for the management of state-owned enterprises.\textsuperscript{560}

On 28 September 2016, Brazilian federal officials designated the Ministry of Justice and Citizenship (MJC) as the central authority for international legal cooperation within the Community of Portuguese Language Countries (CPLP) under Decree No. 8861.\textsuperscript{561} The department will oversee dealings within this collection of countries and investigate any criminal activity that goes against international law through its Department of Asset Recovery and International Legal Cooperation.\textsuperscript{562} It will act as a further regulatory agency across the region and promote transparency in all domestic and international dealings.\textsuperscript{563}

On 5 October 2016, President Temer announced a set of policies designed to foster growth for micro and small enterprises (MSEs).\textsuperscript{564} The federal government announced R30 billion in credits to MSEs

and proposed the Simple Exports project which will reduce the bureaucracies of MSEs so they are able to trade internationally under the guidance and supervision of a Logistics Operator.\footnote{565}

On 6 October 2016, the Proposal for Constitutional Amendment (PEC) no. 241/2016 was approved by a special committee of the Chamber of Deputies.\footnote{566} The amendment proposes limiting primary expenditures by the Federal Government to the previous year’s expenses adjusted for inflation.\footnote{567} The goal of the amendment, according to the government, is to reign in government spending and ensure it is routed to projects that benefit public programs and projects that will boost employment.\footnote{568} The amendment passed a second round of voting in the Chamber of Deputies with a vote of 356 to 116 on 25 October 2016.\footnote{569}

On 13 October 2016, Minister of Industry, Foreign Trade and Services Marcos Pereira attended the Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa (BRICS) Sixth Meeting of Trade Ministers in New Delhi, India.\footnote{570} He signed a set of instruments that will open barriers to trade, limit the size of bureaucracies, and facilitate the growth of small and medium size enterprises.\footnote{571} Further, Brazil established the Special Secretariat for Small and Medium Enterprises to facilitate these instruments and private sector growth in general.\footnote{572}

From 15-16 October 2016, Brazil took part in the Eighth annual BRICS Summit in Goa, India. The summit put forward a commitment to strengthen cooperation against corruption, specifically through the BRICS Anti-Corruption Working Group.\footnote{573}

On 21 November 2016, President Michel Temer set up the Social and Economic Development Council (CDES).\footnote{574} The CDES is a group made up of civil servants and civil society representatives


created to provide direct advice to the President on public policy and measures that encourage development.\textsuperscript{575} The council is meant to bring members of the society into public policy making and bridge the gap between citizens and the government.\textsuperscript{576}

On 27 November 2016, President Temer drafted an agreement with the President of the Senate, Renan Calheiros, and the President of the Chamber of Deputies, Rodrigo Maia, to prevent Congress from accepting to review any bill seeking amnesty for electoral slush fund practices.\textsuperscript{577} The leaders attempted to ensure no bill seeking amnesty for electoral crimes and corrupt practices would be considered for passing.\textsuperscript{578}

On 30 November 2016, the House of Deputies voted to pass an anti-corruption bill that would help shield lawmakers from prosecution and weaken the authority of public prosecutors.\textsuperscript{579} The bill passed with a vote of 450-1 despite protests from citizens and prosecutors.\textsuperscript{580} Days earlier, the President and the leaders of both the Senate and House of Representatives agreed to halt any bill that would shield lawmakers from corruption investigations.\textsuperscript{581} The President reiterated this position, but agreed only to consider vetoing the bill once reviewing it if it passes both house of Congress.\textsuperscript{582}

On 03 December 2016, Transparency International awarded federal prosecutors of the Carwash Task Force the 2016 Anti-Corruption Award.\textsuperscript{583} This task force has been investigating one of the world’s largest corruption scandals, the Petrobras case.\textsuperscript{584} To date, they have gotten 240 criminal charges and

\textsuperscript{583} Brazil’s Carwash Task Force wins Transparency International Anti-Corruption Award, Transparency International (Panama City) 03 December 2016. Access Date: 3 January 2017. http://www.transparency.org/news/pressrelease/brazils_carwash_task_force_wins_transparency_international_anti_corruption
\textsuperscript{584} Brazil’s Carwash Task Force wins Transparency International Anti-Corruption Award, Transparency International (Panama City) 03 December 2016. Access Date: 3 January 2017. http://www.transparency.org/news/pressrelease/brazils_carwash_task_force_wins_transparency_international_anti_corruption
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116
118 convictions totalling 1,256 years in prison against high-level politicians and businesspeople previously considered untouchable.\textsuperscript{585}

On 13 December 2016, the Senate announced the creation of a Joint Committee on Debureaucratization to reduce bureaucracy in federal public administration.\textsuperscript{586} The Committee is made up of seven senators and seven deputies that will create a report on current administration and suggest improvements to the current legal system, specifically proposals on supervision of departments and control.\textsuperscript{587}

On 15 December 2016, the National Congress promulgated Constitutional Amendment 95, establishing a new fiscal regime with an enforced ceiling on public spending by all three branches of government (Executive, Judiciary, and Legislative).\textsuperscript{588} Submitted by the President’s cabinet, the amendment passed in two rounds of voting in both houses of Congress.\textsuperscript{589} The amendment will control public spending, create more public accountability, and ensure growth for the country in the future.\textsuperscript{590}

On 15 December 2016, Senate President Calheiros signed the second amendment to the technical agreement between the Senate, Chamber of Deputies, and the Court of Audit of the Union (TCU).\textsuperscript{591} The partnership aims to better allow for exchanging information and facilitate the legislative process.\textsuperscript{592} Moreover, it allows citizens to participate in the legislative process and allows public ombudsmen to obtain data on public policies, thus improving the transparency of all three institutions.\textsuperscript{593}


\textsuperscript{586} Joint Commission on Debureaucratization was set up on Tuesday, Federal Senate (Brasilia) 13 December 2016. Access Date: 3 January 2017. http://www12.senado.leg.br/noticias/materias/2016/12/13/comissao-mista-da-desburocratizacao-foi-instalada-nesta-terca-feira

\textsuperscript{587} Joint Commission on Debureaucratization was set up on Tuesday, Federal Senate (Brasilia) 13 December 2016. Access Date: 3 January 2017. http://www12.senado.leg.br/noticias/materias/2016/12/13/comissao-mista-da-desburocratizacao-foi-instalada-nesta-terca-feira


On 30 December 2016, the Senate Economic Affairs Committee announced it was analyzing a proposal to give the Central Bank administrative, economic, and financial autonomy. This move would give monetary policy more transparency and leave little intervention possibility by the federal government. The proposal is expected to be discussed in the wider Senate in 2017 for potential enactment into law.

Brazil has taken substantial actions to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity. Thus, Brazil receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Alexander Fernandes**

**Canada: +1**

Canada has fully complied with its commitment to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity.

On 7 September 2016, the Honourable Diane Lebouthillier, Minister of National Revenue announced Canada’s participation in the Joint International Taskforce on Shared Intelligence and Collaboration (JITISC) network. Lebouthillier stated Canada’s participation was important “in order to identify and curb tax schemes and to bring those who choose to participate in such tactics to justice.” Currently, the Canada Revenue Agency is conducting audits on over 750 taxpayers and investigating 20 criminal cases of tax evasion.

On 16 September 2016, the National Energy Board (NEB) introduced updates to its website to improve public access to NEB’s data and information. Changes are intended to provide easier access to current market information, NEB’s roles and responsibilities, and current initiatives and activities.

---

From 21-24 September 2016, Chinese Premier Li Keqiang visited Canada to strengthen economic and trade ties with Canada. In their discussions, Prime Minister Justin Trudeau showed willingness to deepen cooperation with China to fight corruption. The two parties have yet to sign any extradition treaty.

On 28 September 2016, Minister of Innovation, Science and Economic Development, Navdeep Bains, proposed a bill labelled An Act to amend the Canada Business Corporations Act, the Canada Cooperatives Act, the Canada Not-for-profit Corporations Act and the Competition Act. The bill will introduce amendments to improve business framework laws and increase “corporate transparency and business certainty while reducing regulatory burden.”

On 29 September 2016, the Competition Bureau provided the Canadian legal and business communities with a consent agreement template. The template is intended to support transparency and predictability in the manner the Competition Bureau enforces the Competition Act.

On 3 October 2016, the Honourable Diane Lebouthillier announced the launch of national consultations in order to support small and medium sized Canadian businesses, while ensuring tax fairness for the middle class.

On 20 October 2016, the Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada, Jody Wilson-Raybould, put forth new measures to “increase the openness, transparency, accountability, and diversity of Canada’s judiciary.” Reforms include reorganized committees, revised committee

---


603 China, Canada explore free trade agreement, Global Times (Beijing) 24 September 2016. Access Date: 6 November 2016. http://www.globaltimes.cn/content/1008017.shtml


mandates, and an open selection process for the three members of each committee who represent the
general public.610

On 17 November 2016, the Minister of Finance Bill Morneau presented the 2016 Annual Report of
the Financial Transactions and Reports Analysis Centre of Canada (FINTRAC), Results in the Fight
Against Money Laundering and Terrorism Financing, detailing the financial intelligence unit’s work
from 2015 to 2016.611 The unit assisted in hundreds of money laundering investigations, provided
1,655 disclosures of actionable financial intelligence, and established public-private partnerships with
Canadian banks to combat trafficking and laundering.612

On 21 November 2016, the Canadian Radio-television and Telecommunications Commission
(CRTC) set out appropriate practices for television service providers to support Canadians in finding
the best services, and for providers to “keep their offers simple and transparent.”613

On 24 November 2016, the Government of Canada introduced Bill C-33, An Act to amend the
Canada Elections Act, with aims to increase voter participation, and improve the efficiency and
integrity of Canadian elections.614 Bill C-33 contains seven reforms on the Voter Information Card
(VIC), vouching, the Chief Electoral Officer’s communication mandate, the creation of a national
register of future electors, the improvement of the National Register of Electors, and the relocation of
the Commissioner of Canada Elections.615

On 27 November 2016, it was revealed that Canada Revenue Agency (CRA) will be reviewing all
electronic funds transfers of more than CAD10,000 going from Canada to four foreign

610 Government of Canada announces judicial appointments and reforms the appointments process to increase
openness and transparency, Department of Justice Canada (Ottawa) 20 October 2016. Access Date: 6 November 2016.

611 Results in the Fight Against Money Laundering and Terrorism Financing, Financial Transactions and Reports Analysis

612 Results in the Fight Against Money Laundering and Terrorism Financing, Financial Transactions and Reports Analysis

613 The CRTC wants Canadians to take back control of their TV services, Canadian Radio-television and

614 Bill C-33: 7 Reforms to Increase Voter Participation and Electoral Integrity, Government of Canada (Ottawa) 24

615 Bill C-33: 7 Reforms to Increase Voter Participation and Electoral Integrity, Government of Canada (Ottawa) 24
jurisdictions. The CRA also plans to review about CAD100,000 electronic funds transfers in 2017 as part of its investigation of offshore tax havens.

On 9 December 2016, Canada led the Open Government Partnership Global Summit which aims to support the global movement of improving transparency and open government. President of the Treasury Board, Scott Brison, stated that Canada will adopt the International Open Data Charter and will partner with other governments to make “government data open by default.”

On 9 December 2016, the Minister of International Development and La Francophonie, Marie-Claude Bibeau, announced that CAD13.6 million will be invested over the next four years to combat corruption in 12 countries in Africa and the Americas. Canada will work with communities, businesses, public institutions, and civil society organisations to improve anti-corruption policies, educate citizens about corruption, improve business standards and ethical practices, and assist civil society organisations in identifying corruption issues.

On 13 December 2016, the Minister of International Trade, Chrystia Freeland, announced that Canada ratified the United Nations Convention on Transparency in Treaty-Based Investor-State Arbitration. Through the ratification of the convention, Canada will update its transparency provisions regarding foreign investment, and protection and free trade agreements.

---

On 14 December 2016, Minister Navdeep Bains delivered a message at an event focused on the advancement of women in the financial sector.\(^{624}\) Minister Bains encouraged the need for publicly-traded companies to be more inclusive in their recruitment, as outlined in the proposed Bill C-25.\(^{625}\) He concluded his message by calling on the corporate sector to promote diversity and inclusion in their hiring practices.\(^{626}\)

On 14 December 2016, FINTRAC met with money services businesses as part of its collaboration with the International Supervisors Forum (ISF), to discuss money services businesses’ role in recognizing and preventing financial crimes such as money laundering.\(^{627}\)

From 16 to 17 December 2016, Canada and the European Union co-hosted a meeting in Geneva to promote transparency in international trade.\(^{628}\) The first initiative of its kind, the meeting involved discussions on the establishment of a multilateral investment court.\(^{629}\)

On 10 January 2017, the Government of Canada announced that it will invest an additional CAD1.8 million in the pork research cluster in response to consumer concerns regarding the treatment of animals.\(^{630}\) The government aims to respond to the interests of consumers while increasing healthy competition in the Canadian pork industry.\(^{631}\)

Canada has taken substantial actions to improve both public and private sector transparency and integrity. Thus, Canada receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Keshini Mahesan**

China: +1

China has fully complied with its commitment to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity.

---


On 8 September 2016, the Central Commission for Discipline Inspection (CCDI) created an online platform for the public to report any suspicious activities and spending of public officials. Following this development, the CCDI publicized corrupt public officials who misused public funds for private gain. Through the online reporting platform, the CCDI hoped to tighten its anti-corruption enforcement prior to Mid-Autumn Festival and the National Day, as gift-giving or abuse of public funds heightened during the holidays.

On 23 September 2016, China established the G20 Research Centre on Anti-Corruption, Fugitive Repatriation and Asset Recovery, based in Beijing Normal University. The research centre will focus on studying the differences in countries’ extradition and asset recovery laws, aiming to provide technical support for furthering international cooperation in these areas.

From 15-16 October 2016, China took part in the Eighth annual Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa (BRICS) Summit in Goa, India. The summit put forward a commitment to strengthen cooperation against corruption, specifically through the BRICS Anti-Corruption Working Group.

On 2 November 2016, the Sixth Plenary Session of the 18th Central Committee of the Communist Party of China (CPC) approved two disciplinary documents aimed to guide and supervise the political life of party members—“Norms of Political Life in the Party under Current Conditions” and the “Regulation on Intra-Party Supervision.” The “Norms of Political Life” stresses that party members should consolidate their ideological beliefs, follow the Party’s basic line, and safeguard the authority of CPC Central Committee. Furthermore, the “Norms” emphasizes the need for an increase of intra-party supervision to prevent abuse of authority by party members. The “Regulation on Intra-Party Supervision” aims to promote accountability and supervision of the Chinese Communist Party, such as encouraging party members to increase public transparency on party affairs.

On 2 November 2016, the Central Commission for Discipline Inspection (CCDI) started a new round of nationwide anti-corruption inspections, allowing inspection teams to review the implementation of party disciplines and anti-corruption initiatives in judicial organs, government agencies, and state media organisations. In addition, inspection teams will also conduct a second round of assessment on party officials in Beijing, Chongqing, Guangxi and Gansu. The Chief of CCDI, Wang Qishan, claimed that the new round of inspections will assess officials’ political loyalty, accountability, and discipline.

On 24 November 2016, the Beijing Second Intermediate People’s Court began trial of the former Minister of State Administration of Work Safety Yang Dongliang for allegedly accepting bribes totalling to approximately RMB28 million from 2002 to 2015. During the trial, Yang pleaded guilty and expressed regret for his wrongdoings. The judge adjourned the trial for sentencing.

On 30 November 2016, the CPC Central Committee of the Political Bureau Standing Committee, or Politburo Standing Committee (PSC), passed two new regulations to tighten the governance of state and party leaders—the “Regulation on Communist Party Working Institutions (Trial),” and the “Regulations on the Democratic Life Meeting of the Party Members and Leaders at the Country-Level and Above Party and State Organisations.” The former regulation stipulates the institutional setup and leadership of the CPC organs; whereas, the latter urges high ranking CPC members to consolidate their ideological beliefs and encourages self-criticism. Both documents have expanded on the initial Eight-Point Guidelines, which was published in 2012 to improve the discipline of party members, by introducing more specific regulations, such as requiring officials to vacate their office upon retiring from the position and prohibiting officials from buying luxurious motor vehicles.

On 3 January 2017, CCDI started airing a television series titled “Forging Steel Requires Strength in One’s Body” making an example of convicted officials to deter corruption. The television series

---


China has taken substantial actions to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity. Thus, China receives a score of +1.

\emph{Analyst: Hei Tung Isaac L}

\section*{France: 0}

France has partially complied with its commitment to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity.


\begin{itemize}
  \item an obligation to actively manage corruption risks for companies with at least 500 employees
  \item a penalty of mandatory compliance for companies convicted of acts of corruption
\end{itemize}
In all, the Law is designed as “part of a broader legislative package addressing transparency in
economic activities,” with its critical areas of focus in monitoring, detection and remediation of
corruption in commercial transactions.659

On 7-9 December 2016, France chaired the 4th Global Summit of the Open Government
Partnership (OGP). In the summit’s communiqué the members committed to the “purposes and
principles” stipulated in the UN Charter, as well as in the UN Declaration on Human Rights and
UN Convention against Corruption. It also stated members “will continue to raise the ambition
of our open government reforms, to deepen the collaboration between government and civil society.”660
This is a verbal declaration of support. More action is needed for compliance.

On 8 December 2016, former French Budget Minister Jerome Cahuzac was sentenced to three years
of imprisonment for hiding a personal bank account offshore, first in Switzerland and later in
Singapore.661

France has taken action to improve private sector transparency and integrity. Thus, France receives a
score of 0.

**Analyst: Rinchen-Dolma Karma**

### Germany: 0

Germany has partially complied with its commitment to improve public and private sector
transparency and integrity.

On 18 October 2016, following a bilateral meeting with Panama President Carlos Varela, German
Chancellor Angela Merkel stated that the two countries moved a step closer towards signing an
information-sharing agreement.662 President Varela commented that Germany and Panama would
“fight side-by-side for greater transparency in the global financial sector, as tax evasion had become a
global problem.”663 Negotiations between the two are expected to be completed by early 2017.664

On 9 December 2016, the German office of Transparency International released a report identifying
105 German lawmakers who had declared sources of supplementary income as having potential
conflicts of interests.665 They included party leaders, parliamentary leaders, committee heads and

---
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deputies, and ombudsmen. Transparency International noted that currently these lawmakers were only required to declare their supplementary income in a series of ten levels that bracket income ranges, without having to disclose whom they were working for, the exact amount they were paid, or if they were paid monthly or yearly. Transparency International called for more disclosure rules in the Bundestag, Germany’s Upper House.

On 11 January, 2017, the German government approved new legislation requiring companies to increase transparency around salaries paid to male and female employees, in efforts to address the gender pay gap. New regulations require companies with more than 200 employees to disclose what men and women in equal positions are earning, as well as businesses with more than 500 employees to publish regular updates on salary structures.

Germany has taken some actions to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity. Thus, Germany receives a score of 0.

**Analyst: Rinchen-Dolma Karma**

**India: 0**

India has partially complied with its commitment to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity.

From September 6 to 8, Prime Minister Theresa May visited India to strengthen the India-UK Strategic Partnership. During the visit, the prime ministers affirmed that they will increase interactions to resolve outstanding extradition cases from both sides because “fugitives and criminals should not be allowed to escape the law.”

On 1 October 2016, Finance Minister Arun Jaitley announced that the Indian government had recovered USD10 billion worth of undeclared income. This was the result of an official amnesty offer which guaranteed that suspected tax evaders “would not be pursued by authorities if they came clean and paid a penalty.” Minister Jaitley also stated that the four-month long scheme, which

---


ended on 30 September 2016, yielded 64,275 declarations equal to a ‘provisional’ amount of USD9.8 billion.\textsuperscript{675} Although there is “no public target for the initiative,” officials have set an internal recovery goal of USD7.5 SD billion.\textsuperscript{676} After accounting for taxes owed and penalties of 45 per cent the Indian government may raise more than USD4.41 billion.\textsuperscript{677}

From 15-16 October 2016, India hosted the Eighth annual Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa (BRICS) Summit in Goa. The summit put forward a commitment to strengthen cooperation against corruption, specifically through the BRICS Anti-Corruption Working Group.\textsuperscript{678}

On 1 November 2016, the Benami Transactions (Prohibition) Amendment Act, 2016 came into force.\textsuperscript{679} The act is an amendment to the original Prohibition of Benami Property Transactions Act, 1988 which defines a benami (nameless) purchase of property, imposes the penalties of fines and imprisonment on participants, and allows the government to confiscate these properties.\textsuperscript{680} A ‘benami’ or nameless transaction occurs when an individual buys a property using unaccounted for, untaxed money which he or she registers in another individual’s name to hide the money.\textsuperscript{681}

On 8 November 2016, Prime Minister Narendra Modi announced the demonetization of bills worth INR500 and INR1,000 in an effort “to curb the flow of counterfeit money and to take aim at terrorist organisations that rely on unaccounted-for cash.”\textsuperscript{682} Modi continued to defend the demonetization as a purification rite to restore honesty in the transaction in the country,\textsuperscript{683} and acknowledged that some government officials had obstructed the transition and promised to take steps to punish them.\textsuperscript{684} Nevertheless, Modi stated that demonetization in the country had successfully undermined terrorism, narcoism, maosim, counterfeit currency trade, human trafficking, and drug trade.\textsuperscript{685}

---


\textsuperscript{678} What are benami properties? All you need to know, The Indian Express (Mumbai) 25 December 2016. Access Date: 6 January 2017. http://indianexpress.com/article/india/what-are-benami-properties-all-you-need-to-know-modi-demonetisation/

\textsuperscript{679} What are benami properties? All you need to know, The Indian Express (Mumbai) 25 December 2016. Access Date: 6 January 2017. http://indianexpress.com/article/india/what-are-benami-properties-all-you-need-to-know-modi-demonetisation/


India has taken some actions to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity. Thus, India receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Sonali Gill

Indonesia: +1

Indonesia has fully complied with its commitment to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity.

On 17 September 2016, the Corruption Eradication Committee (KPK) arrested the Regional Representatives Council (DPD) speaker Irman Gusman for allegedly receiving bribes of Rp100 million from CV Semesta Berjaya to help his company acquire a sugar importing quota of 3,000 tons. Following the arrest, the Jakarta Corruption Court started the trial on 8 November 2016. The prosecutors charged Irman Gusman, along with the company’s owners Memi and Xaveriandy Sutanto, in violation of the Corruption Law.

On 17 October 2016, the KPK initiated the Professional with Integrity Movement (PROFIT) program to engage the private sector in taking actions against corruption. The movement encouraged businesses to increase transparency and accountability, stop giving illicit funds to state officials, and report any extortion by state officials.

On 26 October 2016, Graha Sabha Pramana Gadjah Mada University, in cooperation with the KPK, held the Anti-Corruption Summit. Academics from 86 universities and high-ranking government officials such as Vice President Jusuf Kalla and KPK Chairman Agus Rahardjo participated in the summit. During the summit, participants exchanged opinions on management strategies that would increase the transparency and accountability of their academic institutions. Participants vowed to actively combat corruption, maintain personal and institutional integrity.

during the anti-corruption movement, build anti-corruption networks among universities, and assist law enforcement officials to eradicate corruption.\textsuperscript{694}

On 7 November 2016, the KPK held a Joint Law Enforcement Training to enhance synergies among domestic law enforcement agencies, the Audit Board (BPK), and the Finance and Development Supervisory Agency on handling corruption cases.\textsuperscript{695} Participants from various government institutions, such as police and prosecutors from the Aceh province, KPK investigators, and the Attorney General attended the event.\textsuperscript{696}

On 16 November 2016, the KPK held the 2016 International Business Integrity Conference (IBIC) in Jakarta to engage the private sector on eradicating corruption.\textsuperscript{697} The conference aimed to share knowledge among participants on corruption prevention, enhance transparency and accountability in corporations, strengthen anti-corruption enforcement in the business sector, promote a culture of integrity in business transactions, and initiate corruption prevention programs in the private sector.\textsuperscript{698} Speakers from a range of backgrounds, including domestic and foreign government ministries, professional associations, private firms, and civil society organisations discussed trends and challenges in eradicating corruption.\textsuperscript{699}

On 13 December 2016, Saudi Arabia and Indonesia signed a memorandum of understanding (MoU) to enhance bilateral cooperation on combating corruption.\textsuperscript{700} Specifically, the anti-corruption agency of the two countries will work closely “to exchange research, studies and information on anti-corruption measures and criminal methods,” as well as to enhance technical cooperation through trainings and workshops.\textsuperscript{701}

Indonesia has taken substantial actions to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity. Thus, Indonesia receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Hei Tung Isaac Lo}


**Italy: 0**

Italy has partially complied with its commitment to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity.

In December 2016, the Guardia di Finanza, Italy’s financial crimes police, indicated that ten businessmen had been placed under house arrest as part of a larger probe of corruption in Rome’s municipal government. The probe also includes 27 suspects. The charges revolve around plans to renovate public schools in the city, and the intention to steal money from renovation funding without actually updating the buildings. According to Italian daily, Il Fatto Quotidiano, one of the contracts that was never executed was worth over EUR400,000. The suspects are also accused of having evaded taxes on payments. The Guardia di Finanza has seized over EUR1 million in real estate and financial assets belonging to those in the inquiry.\(^{702}\)

On 23 December 2016, Italy’s anti-corruption authority (ANAC) accused Rome mayor Virginia Raggi of being aware of Raffaele Marra’s conflict of interests. Marra, who was Raggi’s former head of city personnel, was arrested in mid-December over bribery accusations as well as promoting his brother Renato to the position of Rome’s tourism director.\(^{703}\) Raggi’s political party, the Five Star Movement, who vowed to “clean up” government and address issues of corruption and transparency have been halted after the links to Raggi and Marra have been exposed.\(^{704}\)

As of 10 January 2017, the President of ANAC Raffaele Cantone and the Secretary-General of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Angel Gurria have signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) at the International Anti-Corruption Summit in London, aimed at deepening cooperation through promoting the development of the High Level Principle for the integrity, transparency and the effective control of major events and related infrastructures. More specifically, the MoU aims to analyse governance models, methodologies and practices to prevent corruption and promote transparency of state-owned enterprises.\(^{705}\)

Italy has taken actions to improve public sector transparency and integrity, however, has not taken substantial actions to address private sector transparency and integrity. Thus, Italy receives a score of 0.

*Analyst: Harper Stewart*

**Japan: 0**

Japan has partially complied with its commitment to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity.

Japan has continued to sign further Statements of Cooperation (SCO) between the Japan Financial Intelligence Centre (JAFIC) and foreign Financial Intelligence Units (FIU) concerning information exchange dealing with money laundering and terrorist financing.\(^{706}\) On 6 September 2016, JAFIC

---


signed an SCO with Laos’ Anti-Money Laundering Intelligence Office, and on 14 October 2016 JAFIC signed an SCO with the Ghana’s Financial Intelligence Centre.\(^707\)

On 27 September 2016, the Japanese government announced it would close a gap in the corporate tax code where firms operating subsidiaries in countries with corporate tax rate higher than 20 per cent pay the local rate for most revenue (excluding income from stock dividends).\(^708\) Instead, the Japanese rate of 29.97 per cent will be imposed regardless of the local corporate tax rate.\(^709\)

In December 2016, the Organisation for Small and Medium Enterprises and Regional Innovation (SME Support) continued to sign Memoranda of Understanding (MoU) with foreign institutions.\(^710\) SME Support concluded a MoU with Bpifrance, a French investment bank, on 6 December 2016 and a MoU with the Taiwanese Kaohsiung Chamber of Industry on 13 December 2016.\(^711\)

Japan has taken some actions to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity. Thus, Japan receives a score of 0.

**Analyst: Christopher Sims**

**Korea: +1**

Korea has fully complied with its commitment to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity.

On 28 September 2016, the “Act on the Prohibition of Improper Solicitations and the Receipt or Offer of Money or Things of Value” (Kim Young-Ran Act) came into effect, with the aim of combating low-level corruption in public institutions.\(^712\) The Kim Young-Ran Act covers both civil servants and the employees of private-sector news organisations and educational institutions, and prohibits the giving of both money and cash equivalents such as meals and entertainment.\(^713\) Its only exceptions include low-value meals, gifts, and money for weddings and funerals given because of traditional cultural and social customs.\(^714\)

---


On 28 November 2016, the Financial Services Commission (FSC) announced that it would extend legislation combating money laundering to professionals in the non-finance sector, a “blind spot” in the legislation, according to FSC chair Yim Jong-yong. The previous legislation only covered financial firms and casinos.

On 9 December 2016, the Anti-corruption and Civil Rights Commission (ACRC) joined the “Network for Integrity,” a global network of public institutions aimed at “developing and promoting an international culture of integrity.” The Network currently has 14 members, and adopted its first charter at the 9 December meeting, which coincided with the United Nations’ “International Anti-Corruption Day.”

On 9 December 2016, the parliament voted by a large margin to impeach president Park Geun-hye over corruption and cronyism resulting from Park’s close association with confidante Choi Soon-sil, who has no official government role but was nonetheless viewed to be influencing government policy. Many allege that Park abused her presidential power to enable Choi to solicit large donations for her foundation. Other allegations claim that Choi’s daughter Chung Yoo-ra was corruptly granted admission to the elite Ewha Womans University in 2015. Choi has also been charged with several offenses including coercion and fraud, and Chung was detained by Danish police on 2 January 2017. Park will remain president until the Korean Constitutional Court rules on whether the impeachment is valid.

On 15 December 2016, the ACRC published a “Business Ethics Guide” to assist businesses in implementing measures to reduce corruption. The guide comes in the wake of the Kim Young-Ran

---

Act, which was targeted primarily at the public sector, to address concerns that the private sector must engage in similar anti-corruption efforts.725

Korea has taken substantial actions to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity. Thus, Korea receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Christopher Sim**

**Mexico: −1**

Mexico has not complied with its commitment to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity.

On 7 November 2016, the Selection Committee of the National Anticorruption System (SNA) called for the election of five non-partisan citizens with experience in combating corruption and knowledge about transparency to form a Committee for Citizen Participation (CPC).726 The Commission announced that this Committee would propose anti-corruption policies and monitor the functioning of SNA, and would be the first collegial body of citizens in Mexican history to be elected by citizens.727 This action aims to integrate the civil society in the SNA’s new governance structure to ensure its increased greater oversight.728

On 14 November 2016, Undersecretary of Expenses of the Ministry of Finance and Public Credit (SHCP) Fernando Galindo announced that the sum of MXN9 billion was designated in the 2017 Expenditure Budget to implement the National Anti-corruption System (SNA) and to avoid the misuse of public resources by governors.729 Galindo said in a telephone interview with Formula Group that “sufficient resources to the autonomous bodies that will review exercise of public resources” are necessary to strengthen the tools of the SNA.730

Mexico has taken some actions to improve public sector transparency and integrity, but has not taken actions to address private sector transparency and integrity. Thus, Mexico receives a score of −1.

**Analyst: Jessie Xie**


Russia: 0
Russia has partially complied with its commitment to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity.

From 15-16 October 2016, Russia took part in the Eighth annual Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa (BRICS) Summit in Goa, India. The summit put forward a commitment to strengthen cooperation against corruption, specifically through the BRICS Anti-Corruption Working Group.731

On 30 November 2016, Russian Presidential Aide Yevgeny Shkolov held a seminar on implementing Russian corruption prevention legislation. The heads of federal and regional agencies tasked with preventing corruption and other legal offences took part in the event. The participants discussed "raising the effectiveness of detecting and preventing conflict of interest in civil service at all levels, carrying out inspections to ensure anti-corruption standards are respected, anti-corruption education, and mechanisms to monitor expenses and material liability for corruption.732

On 15 December 2016, President of Russia submitted to the State Duma draft Federal Law On Amendments to Certain Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation to Improve State Policy in Countering Corruption. It is aimed at further improving the anti-corruption legislation of the Russian Federation. It provides for conferring "on Russian regions' heads the responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of income and property information submitted by municipal officials and persons holding certain positions in the municipal services." The draft federal law also includes other changes aimed at "specifying procedures for settling a conflict of interests and the scope of persons responsible for preventing or settling such conflict."733

Russia has taken actions to improve public sector integrity, despite not addressing private sector transparency and integrity. Thus, Russia receives a score of 0.

Analysts: Jessie Xie & Mark Rakhmangulov

Saudi Arabia: 0
Saudi Arabia has partially complied with its commitment to improve public and private sector transparency.

On 28 October 2016, the National Anti-Corruption Commission of Saudi Arabia, Nazala, was called to investigate an alleged case of corruption involving the appointment civil service minister’s son to a project manager position of a higher-than-average salary. Nazala monitored social media posts regarding the hiring to “ensure the implementation of the national strategy to protect integrity and fight against corruption.”734

On 22 November 2016, Nazaha participated in the first annual Nazaha club meeting organized by King Saud University. Nazaha officials participated in the meeting to share experiences in the fight

---

733 Vladimir Putin submitted to the State Duma draft law amending certain legislative acts to improve state anti-corruption policy, President of Russia 15 December 2016. http://en.kremlin.ru/catalog/keywords/93/events/53484
against corruption, and expressed hope to develop self-monitoring in the students through positive practise against corruption.735

On 28 November 2016, Nazaha discovered 10 ministries that did not commit to one or more conditions in the regulatory requirements necessary for contracting which are as follow: Ministry of Housing, Ministry of Economy and Planning, Ministry of Municipal and Rural Affairs, Ministry of Health, Ministry of Transport, Ministry of Culture and Information, Ministry of Trade and Investment, Ministry of Justice, Ministry of Labour and Social Development, Ministry of Communications and Information Technology.736

On 13 December 2016, the Form of the Anti-Corruption Day 2016 “United Against Corruption” concluded. At the beginning of the forum, the President of Nazaha Dr. Khaled Al-Muhaisen indicated that the forum brings together specialists and those interested in fighting corruption, promoting the principles of the United Nations Convention Against Corruption (UNCAC), and that countries should organize regional and international conferences to strengthen cooperation and exchange of experiences in the field of fighting corruption.737

On 13 December 2016, Saudi Arabia and Indonesia signed a memorandum of understanding (MoU) to enhance bilateral cooperation on combating corruption.738 Specifically, the anti-corruption agency of the two countries will work closely “to exchange research, studies and information on anti-corruption measures and criminal methods,” as well as to enhance technical cooperation through trainings and workshops.739

Saudi Arabia has taken actions to improve public sector transparency and integrity, however did not address private sector transparency and integrity. Thus, Saudi Arabia receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Jerry Zhu

South Africa: –1

South Africa has not complied with its commitment to improve public and private transparency and integrity.

From 15-16 October 2016, South Africa took part in the Eighth annual Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa (BRICS) Summit in Goa, India. The summit put forward a commitment to strengthen cooperation against corruption, specifically through the BRICS Anti-Corruption Working Group.740

---


South Africa has not taken substantial actions to improve public or private sector transparency and integrity. Thus, South Africa receives a score of −1.

*Analyst: Elise Wagner*

**Turkey: −1**

Turkey has not complied with its commitment to take action to improve public sector and private sector transparency and integrity.

No actions were registered during the compliance period.

Turkey has not taken substantial actions to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity. Thus, Turkey receives a score of −1.

*Analyst: Elise Wagner*

**United Kingdom: +1**

The United Kingdom has fully complied with its commitment to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity.

From September 6 to 8, Prime Minister Theresa May visited India to strengthen the India-UK Strategic Partnership. During the visit, the prime ministers affirmed that they will increase interactions to resolve outstanding extradition cases from both sides because “fugitives and criminals should not be allowed to escape the law.”

On 19 September 2016, Financial Secretary to the Treasurer, Jane Ellison, visited Singapore in support of Anti-Corruption Week. In her speech, Ellison claimed that the UK is intending to use technology to fight corruption, investigate methods of improving governance in the extractive industry, explore corruption in sports, and improve the justice system.

On 19 September 2016, the UK government announced funding of GBP1 million towards the creation of a new facility that will tackle a range of organised crimes including high value fraud. The new facility will allow for further intelligence sharing and collaboration between the agencies in tackling organised crime.

---


On 11 October 2016, the UK government stated that it will be adding an Inclusive Economy Unit to the Office for Civil Society in the Department for Culture, Media and Sport.\(^747\) The unit aims to collaborate with the public, private, and civil sectors to “build an economy and a Britain that works for everyone, not just the privileged few.”\(^748\)

On 13 October 2016, the UK government introduced the Criminal Finances Bill intended to “tackle money laundering and corruption.” The bill measures include investigating origins of unexplained wealth, increasing information sharing in public and private sectors, and better law enforcement to prevent tax evasion.\(^749\)

On 24 October 2016, the Competition and Markets Authority (CMA) launched a campaign in response to a survey conducted by the CMA which revealed that 54 per cent of UK businesses do not understand regulations regarding unfair terms for consumers.\(^750\) Consequently, the CMA provided guides to help businesses ensure the fairness of their terms.\(^751\)

On 31 October 2016, the Charity Commission partnered with the Fraud Advisory Panel to launch the Charities Against Fraud website to tackle fraud within not-for-profit sectors.\(^752\)

In November 2016, the Serious Fraud Office was given additional funding by the Treasury to investigate Unaoil, a company accused of corruptly securing contracts for multinationals through bribery.\(^753\)

On 1 November 2016, the UK government announced the addition of five standards for Tax Planning to the Professional Conduct in Relation to Tax (PCRT) in order to prevent tax avoidance and strengthen disciplinary action.\(^754\) The revision will come into effect in March 2017.\(^755\)

On 4 November 2016, the Cabinet Office revealed that its National Fraud Initiative “prevented fraud, overpayments and errors amounting to GBP198 million in England.”\(^756\)

On 7 November 2016, the CMA began a new campaign to prevent price fixing amongst online sellers.\footnote{756}

On 8 November 2016, the UK government’s Panama Taskforce opened investigations into 22 people suspected of tax evasion alongside 43 individuals who have been placed under special review.\footnote{758} The cross-agency taskforce has found links between the suspects and eight active Serious Fraud Office (SFO) investigations.\footnote{759}

On 8 November 2016, the UK government extended legal protection for whistleblowers applying for jobs in the children’s social care sector through an amendment to the Children and Social Work Bill.\footnote{760} In addition, the government will “review the whistleblowing code for employers by the end of 2017.”\footnote{761}

On 14 November 2016, the Office of Tax Simplification (OTS) reaffirmed its commitment to reforming the existing system by creating a simpler and fairer National Insurance contributions system for businesses and taxpayers.\footnote{762}

On 29 November 2016, the UK government launched a public consultation on measures to strengthen corporate governance.\footnote{763} New measures proposed include strengthening the corporate governance framework for privately-held companies, identifying which types of companies need to strengthen stakeholder voices, and increasing shareholder influence by improving transparency and long-term incentives.\footnote{764}

On 5 December 2016, Her Majesty’s Treasury announced that the Finance Bill 2017 will continue “the government’s commitment to tackle tax evasion and avoidance and support business through tax evasion.”\footnote{765} The draft legislation will prevent the use of disguised remuneration schemes, introduce a

\footnote{758} Panama Papers: UK Probe launched into 22 suspected tax evaders, Russia Today (Moscow) 9 November 2016. Access Date: 12 November 2016. https://www.rt.com/uk/366128-tax-evasion-panama-papers/

On 12 December 2016, Her Majesty’s Revenue and Customs (HMRC) announced that it will be introducing an online system for anti-money laundering supervision in 2017.\footnote{HMRC anti-money laundering supervision goes online, HM Revenue & Customs (London) 12 December 2016. Access Date: 10 January 2017. https://www.gov.uk/government/news/hmrc-anti-money-laundering-supervision-goes-online} The launch of the online system will make it easier for businesses to register and renew online, reduce error, and better meet customer needs.\footnote{HMRC anti-money laundering supervision goes online, HM Revenue & Customs (London) 12 December 2016. Access Date: 10 January 2017. https://www.gov.uk/government/news/hmrc-anti-money-laundering-supervision-goes-online}


On 15 December 2016, the Competition and Markets Authority (CMA) concluded its study into the legal services sector and reported that legal services for individual consumers and small businesses is ineffective.\footnote{Press Release: CMA demands greater transparency from legal service providers, Competition and Markets Authority (London) 15 December 2016. Access Date: 10 January 2017. https://www.gov.uk/government/news/cma-demands-greater-transparency-from-legal-service-providers} As a result, the CMA set out measures to help customers to better navigate the market. Some measures include a recommendation to the Ministry of Justice to change the current framework to increase flexibility and consumer protection, and a requirement for providers to display additional information to help customers.\footnote{Press Release: CMA demands greater transparency from legal service providers, Competition and Markets Authority (London) 15 December 2016. Access Date: 10 January 2017. https://www.gov.uk/government/news/cma-demands-greater-transparency-from-legal-service-providers}

On 27 December 2016, the UK government announced the introduction of measures to prevent electoral fraud. These measures aim to prevent intimidation and unwanted influence of voters, end the practice of postal vote harvesting, and consider nationality checking.

As of 1 January 2017, people and businesses which enable offshore tax evasion will face harsher sanctions in the UK. Individuals may face fines up to 100 per cent of the tax they helped evade or GBP3,000, whichever is higher. This year, the government will also be introducing a new corporate criminal offence for individuals failing to prevent the facilitation of tax evasion.

On 5 January 2017, the Ministry of Justice announced plans to introduce “a simpler and fairer pay system” for criminal defence advocates. The improved Advocates’ Graduated Fee aims to increase certainty and transparency for advocates, ensure fair payment for work done, and reduce bureaucracy in the system.

On 5 January 2017, the Secretary of State James Brokenshire wrote to Northern Ireland political parties to seek views on the transparency of political donations and loans. Brokenshire aims to “implement a change to the rules as soon as possible, bringing Northern Ireland into step with the wider UK.”

The United Kingdom has taken substantial actions to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity. Thus, the United Kingdom receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Keshini Mahesan**

**United States: +1**

The United States has fully complied with its commitment to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity.

---


On 15 September 2016, the Office of Government Ethics released its open government plan for 2016-17. The plan outlines how the Office will ensure transparency in its operations for the 2016-17 year. Several key contents include: how the department handles high value data and information, Congressional requests, privacy and whistleblower protection.784

On 16 September 2016, the Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security Jeh Johnson released a statement concerning the cybersecurity of the country’s election systems. The statement outlined what services the Department of Homeland Security will provide to ensure integrity against attacks in the nation’s state and local election systems.785

On 13 October 2016, the United States suspended financial aid to the South Sudan government over massive corruption and human rights violations. A statement released by the US embassy disclosed one condition for US financial aid as “reducing corruption related to the extraction of oil and gas”786

On 20 October 2016, Attorney General Loretta E. Lynch delivered an address on the Department of Justice’s efforts to fight corruption abroad. The address covered the United States’ role as a global player in the fight against corruption, and outlined the actions the US has taken. Several of these actions included: the public integrity units’ fight against corruption within the US government, the Foreign Corrupt Practises Act which has collected over USD4.4 billion in corporation penalties, and the Kleptocracy Asset Recovery Initiative which detects fraud in the US financial system and returns stolen assets.787

On 27 October 2016, Secretary Johnson released a statement on the department’s successes indicting 61 officials involved in a multi-million dollar telemarketing fraud scheme.788

On 15 November 2016, the Department of Homeland Security Acting Deputy Secretary Russell C. Deyo released a statement stating that the Department of Homeland Security has earned a clean audit opinion for the fourth year, citing financial integrity as the contributor for the good rating.789

---
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On 18 November 2016, the Office of Government Ethics amended its executive branch gift rules, now admonishing employees to decline otherwise permissible gifts for the appearance of impropriety. This was in accordance with the 14 General Principles of Ethical Conduct.\(^790\)

On 14 December 2016, the Department of Justice Office of Public Affairs released a statement noting that the Justice Department recovered over USD4.7 billion from false claims act cases in the fiscal year 2016, the third largest amount in recoveries from the False Claim Act in history. The statement notes that the largest fraud recoveries by the government come from the drug and healthcare sectors.\(^791\)

On 16 December 2016, the United States Secretary of State John Kerry released the National Action Plan for responsible business partnerships. Through partnerships with the private sector, labor groups, civil society, other governments, and international organisations, the United States fought corruption by encouraging companies overseas to embrace high standards of responsible business conduct.\(^792\)

On 23 December 2016, the Minister of Treasury and Public Finance Prat-Gay and the US Ambassador to Argentina Noah Mamet signed an agreement on information exchange.\(^793\) This agreement is designed to combat tax evasion and promote fiscal transparency.\(^794\) It created the legal framework to share information between Argentina and the US, promoting the ability to use information to prosecute individuals undertaking financial tax crimes and financial corruption in both countries.\(^795\)

On 29 December 2016, as a year-end report, the Department of Justice’s US Attorney’s Office released a statement noting that the US Attorney’s Office collected nearly USD60 million in civil, criminal and asset forfeiture actions in the 2016 fiscal year.\(^796\)


The United States has taken actions to improve public and private sector transparency and integrity, despite not addressing private sector transparency and integrity. Thus, the United States receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Jerry Zhu**

**European Union: 0**

The European Union has partially complied with its commitment to improve public and private sector transparency.

On 11 September 2016, President Jean-Claude Juncker announced that he had ordered a probe into Jose Manuel Barroso’s new position at Goldman Sachs. Barroso was the former head of the Commission. He took the position 20 months after leaving office, which was in compliance with the EU rules that restrict former commissioners from taking new jobs for 18 months, but his new role is arguably being seen as a violation of the rule stipulating that commissioners are obliged to act “with integrity and discretion after their time in office.”

On 28 September 2016, the European Commission proposed new legislation to strengthen the European Union’s transparency rules by creating a mandatory lobbying disclosure for all three institutions - the European Commission, the European Parliament and the European Council. Currently, the Commission allows its members and top staff to meet only with lobbyists who have publicly disclosed their activities on the European Union Transparency Register, the European Parliament restricts access to its buildings to lobbyists who have registered, whereas the Council has no such restrictions. The new proposal aims to unify the process so that all the institutions have the same rules to increase the European Union’s transparency and win back the trust of its citizens.

On 3 January 2017, the European Commission welcomed new transparency legislations aimed to ensure that members of the European Union have all the necessary information on tax rulings given to multinational companies in other EU countries. Under these new rules, member states are required to automatically exchange information of all new cross-border tax rulings through a central depository accessible to all members.

The European Union has taken some actions to improve public sector transparency and integrity, as well as addressed private sector transparency and integrity. Thus, the European Union receives a score of 0.

**Analyst: Rinchen-Dolma Karma**

---


5. Energy: Fossil Fuel Subsidies

“We also reaffirm our commitment to rationalize and phase-out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption over the medium term, recognizing the need to support the poor.”

_G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué_

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>No Compliance</th>
<th>Partial Compliance</th>
<th>Full Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background

At the 2009 Pittsburgh Summit, this commitment was first introduced. National Energy and Finance Ministers committed to assess their respective national circumstances in order to develop implementation strategies and a timeframe, and G20 members asked the International Energy Agency, Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, and World Bank (hereafter referred to as the IGO-4) to analyze the existing scope of energy subsidies and provide suggestions for initiative implementation.

At the 2010 Toronto Summit, 13 G20 members provided specific implementation strategies, and seven remaining members stated that they did not have inefficient fossil fuel subsidies (see Table 6). The IGO-4 presented a report with the analysis and suggestions requested by G20 members at the previous summit.\(^{804}\)

At the 2010 Seoul Summit, G20 members re-committed to rationalize and phase-out over the medium-term inefficient fossil fuel subsidies, and the IGO-4 released a second report providing 2009 data on fossil fuel consumption subsidies as well as strategies for their phase-out.\(^{805}\)

---


Cannes Summit, the IGO-4 provided a third report highlighting the extent of subsidies and other support to fossil-fuel production and consumption, potential economic and environmental benefits of subsidy reform, and guidance on how countries could undertake the reforms while still protecting the poor.806

At the 2012 Los Cabos Summit, G20 members requested Finance Ministers to explore options for a voluntary peer review process to assess commitment progress and report progress by the next summit. At the 2013 St. Petersburg Summit, Finance Ministers presented a Methodology for G20 Voluntary Peer Reviews on Inefficient Fossil Fuel Subsidies That Encourage Wasteful Consumption.807

At the 2014 Brisbane Summit, China and the United States agreed to be the first countries to engage in mutual peer reviews. As well, the World Bank Group prepared a report on transitional policies to assist the poor while rationalizing and phasing out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption.

At an Energy Ministers Meeting in 2015, a report providing updates on recent progress relating to this commitment was presented to the G20 Energy Sustainability Working Group.808 The same year, Germany announced it would serve on both the Chinese and American peer review teams and undergo a peer review of its own. Mexico announced that it would serve on the American peer review team and undergo a peer review as well. At the 2015 Antalya Summit, G20 leaders reiterated their commitment to rationalize and phase-out, over the medium term, inefficient fossil fuel subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption.

At the 2016 Hangzhou Summit, the G20 energy ministers “reaffirmed commitment to rationalize and phase-out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption over the medium term, recognizing the need to support the poor.”809 On 5 September, 2016, US and China published their G20 peer reviewed assessments of their efforts to phase out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies.810 Germany, Mexico, and Indonesia have since joined China and the US in agreeing to undertake peer reviews of their own under the G20.811

Table 6: Country-Specific Implementation Strategies, Delivered at the 2012 Los Cabos Summit812

These summaries describe G20 members’ self-reported implementation strategies pertaining to the progressive phase-out of inefficient fossil fuel subsidies and are not supported by material evidence. As such they will not be used to establish members’ compliance scores, and are provided for
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informative purposes only. In this report, Australia, Brazil, France, Japan, Saudi Arabia, South Africa and the United Kingdom all claimed to have efficient fossil fuel subsidies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Proposed Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Proposes to reduce household subsidy for propane gas consumption as natural gas access is expanded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Proposes to implement recently released draft legislation to phase-out the accelerated capital cost allowance for oil sands assets over the 2011-15 period. Previously phased-out other tax preferences applying to fossil fuel producers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Proposes to gradually reduce the urban land-use tax relief for fossil fuel producers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Proposes to discontinue subsidized coal mining in a socially acceptable manner by the end of 2018.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Proposes to work out implementation strategies and timetables for rationalizing and phasing out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies based on the recommendation of the Empowered Group of Ministers that has been constituted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Proposes to phase-out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies in a gradual manner in parallel with managing demand by adopting measures that will reduce fossil fuel energy consumption and by gradually narrowing the gap between domestic and international prices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Proposes to continue with the planned expiration of subsidies for certain cogeneration plants, and negotiate on a voluntary basis with private operators of these plants the timing of their recess from the subsidy scheme.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Proposes to phase-out subsidies to anthracite coal and briquette producers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Proposes to continue current policies. Based on current market conditions, subsidies to gasoline, diesel, and liquid petroleum gas are expected to disappear in the medium term.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>Proposes to implement the commitment to rationalize and phase-out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies through national economic and energy policy, within the framework of its Energy Strategy 2030 and the Concept of Long-Term Social and Economic Development, as well as in the context of its accession to the World Trade Organization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Proposes to work on a restructuring plan to rationalize the inefficient producer subsidies transferred to a stated-owned hard coal producing enterprise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Proposes to pass legislation to eliminate 12 preferential tax provisions related to the production of coal, oil and natural gas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Commitment Features**

The G20 reaffirm its commitment to rationalize and phase-out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption over the medium term, recognizing the need to support the poor.

This commitment has two parts: 1) rationalizing and phasing out fossil fuel subsidies over the medium-term and 2) providing support for the poor.

Part 1 - Rationalize and Phase out Fossil Fuel Subsidies (FFS):

While there is no universal definition of a FFS, the World Trade Organization (WTO) has developed a general definition that is accepted by 153 member states. There are various types of FFS:

Direct financial transfers: e.g. fuel vouchers or grants to producers or consumers
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Trade instruments: e.g. tariffs on imports of crude oil and petroleum products, making domestic fuel production more lucrative, quotas and restrictions

Regulations: e.g. gasoline prices regulated at below international market levels, regulations that prioritise the use of domestic coal for power generation, market-access restrictions

Tax breaks: e.g. favourable tax deductions for depletion or investment in oil and gas fields and coal deposits, excise exemptions for fuels used in international air, rail or water transport

Credits: e.g. loan guarantees to finance energy infrastructure or preferential rates on loans to producers

Risk transfers: e.g. insurance or indemnification provided to fossil-fuel producers at below-market levels, limitation of financial liability

Below-full cost access to government goods and services: e.g. provision of seismic data for oil and gas exploration.

Within the G20, inefficient FFS has not been strictly defined. FFS outlined as member-specific targets, are broad based and no consensus was made between G20 members as to what does and does not constitute a FFS. Furthermore, China and the United States peer review also did not refine or stipulate the parameters of an inefficient FFS. Although the G20 has not adopted a formal definition of what constitutes a fossil-fuel subsidy, the terms of reference prepared by China and the United States specify that the most common forms of subsidies include:

- direct budgetary support (or “fiscal transfer subsidies” as stated in China’s self-report);
- tax-code provisions (or “tax-preference provisions”);
- government provision either at no charge or for below-market rates of auxiliary goods or services that facilitate fossil-fuel use or production; and
- requirements that non-government entities provide particular services to fossil-fuel producers at below-market rates, or that require non-government entities to purchase above-market quantities of fossil fuels or related services.

Commodities and products that are to be considered “fossil fuels” for the purpose of the country’s peer review under the G20 may include coal (including raw coal, solid fuels, coal gas, and coal-bed methane), petroleum (including crude oil, natural gas liquids, and refined petroleum products), natural gas (including associated and nonassociated gases), and the heat and electricity generated using the above fuels. This scope does not include fossil fuels used for non-energy purposes (e.g. their transformation into solvents such as white spirit).

Activities that can attract subsidies in relation to fossil fuels are here taken to comprise the entire supply chain for fossil fuels, starting from the upstream segment (the exploration, development, and extraction of fossil resources) and moving down the chain to bulk transportation (e.g. by pipeline or freight train), refining, transformation, and wholesale and retail sales of refined products. They also include those fuels’ later combustion by the industrial, residential, governmental, and transport sectors.814

For the purpose of this report, all the above mentioned forms of FFS will count towards compliance. Inefficient is considered to be any subsidy that is not directly aimed at increasing environmental

http://www.g20.org/English/Documents/Current/201609/P020160919418466525465.pdf
efficiency. An inefficient FFS can be aimed to lower the price of fossil fuels to the end user, to below market value thereby increasing consumption and distorting the market.

Part 2 - Targeted Support for the Poor:

The G20 also recognized the need to support the poor. The World Bank found that even though FFS are economically inefficient, “price increases from the removal of fossil-fuel subsidies are likely to adversely affect lower-income households who are already struggling.” The report by the World Bank, submitted to the G20, identified two broad types of approaches available to members seeking to reduce subsidies and support the poor: 1) improving the poverty targeting of existing subsidies, including limiting the number of subsidized goods to those used by the poor or limiting the quantities subsidized; and 2) introducing or strengthening other forms of support for the livelihoods of the poor, in cash or in-kind.

In addition to assessing whether action is taken to rationalize and phase-out fossil fuel subsidies, G20 members must also provide targeted support for the poor.

**Scoring Guidelines**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Member did not rationalize or phase-out fossil fuel subsidies over the medium-term AND did not support the poor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Member rationalized and phased-out fossil fuel subsidies over the medium-term BUT did not support the poor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>Member rationalized and phased-out fossil fuel subsidies over the medium-term AND provided support to the poor.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Lead Analyst: Ethan Tsai*

**Argentina: 0**

Argentina has partially complied with its commitment to rationalize and phase-out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies, over the medium term, while recognizing the need to support the poor.

On 7 October 2016, the Energy Minister approved a 0.8 per cent month-on-month reduction of ethanol prices. The reduction will lessen the cost to oil refiners in producing the state-required 12 per cent ethanol-oil blend of gasoline.⁸¹⁵ Ethanol combinations are an attempt to reduce the country’s reliance on fossil fuels and diversify the energy sector.⁸¹⁶

On 7 October 2016, a new pricing scheme was enacted.⁸¹⁷ Natural gas regulator Energas published the resolutions, applying to residential, commercial, and small-medium enterprise (SMEs) segments.⁸¹⁸ The plan aims to phase out all government subsidies for natural gas by 2022, with most
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of the country making the transition by 2019. The goal is to encourage rational fuel consumption and support growth of local exploration and petroleum (E&P). Lower rates apply to the country’s poor and needy, including retirees, people dependent on electronic devices for their health, and households earning less than two minimum wages. The government implemented a cap such that residential users will only have to pay either ARS250 or 300 per cent more than past bills (whichever is higher). Payers that decrease their gas consumption by 15 per cent or more will also receive decreases in their bills.

Argentina has taken clear steps to decrease its fossil fuel subsidies by enacting a new pricing scheme that shifts consumption away from natural gas, and has implemented policies support the poor most affected by this change by providing them with lower rates. However, Argentina has also lowered the cost of ethanol production for oil refineries, thereby providing a direct subsidy. Thus, Argentina receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Ben Windeler

**Argentina: –1**

Australia has not complied with its commitment to rationalize and phase out inefficient subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption, while recognizing the need to support the poor.

On 3 November 2016, the Victorian government in Australia announced that the Hazelwood coal-fired power station in Victoria’s LaTrobe Valley would close on 31 March 2017. In response, the federal government offered financial packages to assist workers who would be affected by the closure. The closure lead to a loss of up to 1000 jobs and a rise in electricity prices by 4 per cent. The federal government also announced that it would provide the Victorian government AUD43 million to promote job opportunities: AUD20 million for infrastructure, AUD3 million to aid employees and AUD20 million for a regional jobs package.

Australia has supported the affected workers, however, has not phased out inefficient subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption in the medium term, nor supported the poor. Thus, Australia receives a score of –1.
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Brazil: −1

Brazil has not complied with its commitment to rationalize and phase out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies, over the medium term, while recognizing the need to support the poor.

On 8 November 2016, Petrobras said in a statement it planned to cut diesel and gasoline prices following a decline in oil prices. Diesel prices were expected to fall up to 6.6 per cent and gasoline prices up to 1.3 per cent.\(^\text{827}\) This follows cuts in wholesale gasoline and diesel prices from the previous month when Petrobras cut gasoline prices 3.2 per cent and diesel 2.7 per cent.\(^\text{828}\) These cuts were part of a new pricing policy based on International Price Parity, taking into account world oil prices, domestic refining costs and foreign exchange fluctuations and thus, is not considered a subsidy.\(^\text{829}\)

On 18 November 2016, President Temer vetoed USD1.5 billion in coal subsidies for the period 2023-2027, that were approved by Brazil’s senate in October 2016. Brazil’s Environment Ministry, Ministerio do Meio Ambiente (MMA), claimed that this incentive would jeopardize the commitments Brazil has made under the Paris Climate Agreement.\(^\text{830}\) These subsidies were not yet implemented and therefore do not count toward compliance.

On 29 December 2016, President Temer announced that structural reforms in early 2017 will include streamlining the complex tax regime of the oil and gas sector as part of a broader effort to lift Brazil from its deepest economic recession in decades.\(^\text{831}\) The outcome of these reforms will be monitored for the final report.

Brazil has taken steps to remove coal subsidies for the period 2023 to 2027, no evidence was found that Brazil has phased out inefficient subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption in the medium term, or supported the poor.

Therefore, Brazil has been awarded a compliance score of −1.

Canada: −1

Canada has not complied with its commitment to rationalize and phase out inefficient subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption, while recognizing the need to support the poor.

No actions were registered during the compliance period.

Canada has not phased out inefficient subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption in the medium term, nor supported the poor. Thus, Canada receives a score of −1.

---

\(^\text{827}\) Brazil’s Petrobras to cut diesel, gasoline prices as oil falls, Reuters, 8 November 2016, Access Date: 12 November 2016 http://www.reuters.com/article/us-petrobras-gasoline-idUSKBN1332T0

\(^\text{828}\) Brazil president says no tax increase after cut in fuel price, Reuters, 15 October 2016, Access Date 10 January 2017 http://www.reuters.com/article/us-petrobras-fuel-tax-idUSKBN12F0O1


China: 0
China has partially complied with its commitment to rationalize and phase out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies, over the medium term, while recognizing the need to support the poor.

On 18 January 2017, China’s National Energy Administration announced the cancellation of 103 national coal-fired power plants projects in an effort to move away from “the dirtiest forms of electricity generation.” This move directly shift funds away from nationally funded coal projects.

China has phased-out fossil fuel subsidies, however, has not taken steps to support the poor. Thus, China receives a score of 0.

French: −1
France has not complied with its commitment to rationalize and phase-out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies, over the medium term, while recognizing the need to support the poor.

On 17 November 2016, French President Francois Hollande announced plans to shut down all its coal-fired power plants by 2023. The extent of subsidies to these plants is unclear.

On 13 October 2016 it was announced that France will end the diesel-gasoline tax gap for companies’ car fleets. This will remove the incentive to buy diesel vehicles. This was also outlined in France’s Multinational Energy Plan (MEP) published in February 2016. The Plan is a comprehensive strategy that encompasses “all aspects” of energy policy and “all forms” of energy. Its three objectives to “ensure the success of the energy transition are: a legal framework with clear targets, a master plan setting priority actions, and additional plans and strategies to implement the overall plan, such as the strategy for biomass mobilization. On fossil fuels, the MEP aims to reduce dependence on imports. In this regard, France’s stated ambition is to “successfully make the transition to an energy system which is more efficient, less wasteful, more diverse and thus more resilient, protecting human health and the environment while guaranteeing access to energy.” The target is to reduce primary consumption of fossil fuels by 22% in 2023 compared with 2012. The primary tool identified to achieve this goal is a carbon price. France has a target to increase its carbon tax in 2017 to EUR30.5/tCO2. However, on 20 October 2016, it was reported that France planned to drop its
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carbon tax plans.\textsuperscript{838} This was also reported on 24 October 2016 in an article titled “Paris withdraws plans for carbon tax on coal.”\textsuperscript{839}

France has committed to closing coal-fired power plants however, has not phased out inefficient subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption in the medium term, nor supported the poor. Thus, France receives a score of −1.

\textit{Analyst: Friederike Wilke}

\textbf{Germany: −1}

Germany has not complied with its commitment to rationalize and phase-out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies, over the medium term, while recognizing the need to support the poor.

On 14 November 2016, the Federal Cabinet approved the Klimaschutzplan 2050.\textsuperscript{840} The plan contains a passage on the planned phase-out of lignite in German energy production but does not address a set date for the phase-out.\textsuperscript{841}

On 17 November 2016, Germany released its Climate Action Plan 2050. The framework includes target corridors for “reducing greenhouse gas emissions in individual economic sectors.” The plan focuses on the restructuring of the energy sector with renewable electricity generation, other sectors such as transport will be in a position to phase out climate-damaging fossil fuels.\textsuperscript{842}

Germany has presented broad plans regarding its goal to decrease subsidies for fossil fuels, but has not phased out inefficient subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption in the medium term, nor supported the poor. Thus, Germany receives a score of −1.

\textit{Analyst: Friederike Wilke}

\textbf{India: −1}

India has not complied with its commitment to rationalize and phase-out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies, over the medium term, while recognizing the need to support the poor.

On 13 October 2016, the Indian government projected that kerosene subsidies were to fall by 25 per cent this fiscal year. This estimate is based on a combination of factors such as the reduction in “kerosene supply by 5 per cent, voluntary cuts by some states, increases in retail prices and roll out of direct cash transfer for beneficiaries.”\textsuperscript{843} However, no new actions were registered.


\textsuperscript{839} Paris withdraws plans for carbon tax on coal, 24 October 2016, Euractive. Date of Access:


\textsuperscript{841} Mona Fromm, Marrakesh - Deutschland reist nur mit kleinem Gepäck an, Orange by Handelsblatt, 14 November 2016. Access Date: 10 January 2016 http://orange.handelsblatt.com/artikel/16813


India has not phased out inefficient subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption in the medium term, nor supported the poor. Thus, India receives a score of –1.

Analyst: Nidhi Varma

Indonesia: –1

Indonesia has not complied with its commitment to rationalize and phase-out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies, over the medium term, while recognizing the need to support the poor.

On 23 September 2016, Energy and Mineral Resources Ministry’s Oil and Gas Director general Wiratmaja Puja announced the removal of taxes on oil and gas exploration. Under this new regulation, the government will provide oil and gas contractors an internal rate of return above 15 per cent, in an effort to increase investment in the country’s flagging oil and gas sector.844

On 5 October 2016, Industry Minister Airlangga Hartarto announced that starting 1 January 2017, gas prices for ten industrial sectors will be reduced from USD9.50 per million British thermal unit (mmBtu) to USD6 per mmBtu. According to a government economic impact assessment, gas price cuts for the ten sectors would deliver IDR31 trillion (USD2.38 billion) in economic benefits every year.845 As of 30 January 2017, Indonesia’s gas price is at USD2.34 which is well below average global market value of USD3.88 per gallon.

On 27 October 2016, Coordinating Minister for Maritime Affairs Luhut Binsar Pandjaitan confirmed energy subsidies will be reduced from IDR 94.35 trillion in the 2016 Revised State Budget to IDR77.3 trillion in the 2017 State Budget.846

On 13 November 2016, Deputy Energy and Mineral Resources Minister Arcandra Tahar said that a single fuel price would be implemented across the country in January 21 2017. This policy has already been implemented in Papua and West Papua and reflects the “government’s efforts to make the retail fuel price structure more just, whereby all Indonesians will be entitled to subsidized fuel oil at the same price.”847

Indonesia has substantively increased subsidies and has not phased out inefficient subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption in the medium term, nor supported the poor. Thus, Indonesia receives a score of –1.

Analyst: Laila Kanji

Italy: –1

Italy has not complied with its commitment to rationalize and phase out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies, over the medium term, while recognizing the need to support the poor

No actions were registered during the compliance period.

844 Oil industry welcomes Indonesia’s tax reform, but says it’s not enough, Reuters, 23 September 2016, Access Date: 13 November 2016 http://www.reuters.com/article/us-indonesia-oil-gas-idUSKCN11T0E9
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Italy has not phased out inefficient subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption in the medium term, nor supported the poor. Thus, Italy receives a score of −1.

**Analyst: Novera Khan**

**Japan: −1**

Japan has not complied with its commitment to rationalize and phase out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies, over the medium term, while recognizing the need to support the poor.

No actions were registered during the compliance period.

Japan has not phased out inefficient subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption in the medium term, nor supported the poor. Thus, Japan receives a score of −1.

**Analyst: Anju Xing**

**Korea: −1**

Korea has not complied with its commitment to rationalize and phase out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies, over the medium term, while recognizing the need to support the poor.

No actions were registered during the compliance period.

Korea has not phased out inefficient subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption in the medium term, nor supported the poor. Thus, Korea receives a score of −1.

**Analyst: Anju Xing**

**Mexico: 0**

Mexico has partially complied with its commitment to rationalize and phase out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies, over the medium term, however it has failed to support the poor.

On 1 January 2017, the government raised gasoline prices between 14 and 20 per cent across the country, as part of a liberalization program aimed at gradually bringing prices up to market level. According to the Finance Ministry, the average price for gasoline climbed 14 per cent to MXN15.99 pesos per litre, the price for premium gasoline increased 20 per cent to MXN17.79 pesos per litre and diesel rose 16.5 per cent to MXN 17.05 pesos per litre.848 This is the largest price increase for gasoline since November 1998. Price caps will coexist with free prices during next year as the liberalization advances throughout the country. Capped prices will be different depending on the region and they will be first adjusted monthly, and in later stages weekly, and then daily, to ease the transition to free prices.849

Mexico has taken steps to decrease fossil fuel subsidies however, it has not supported the poor. Thus, Mexico receives a score of 0.

**Analyst: Laila Kanji**

---

Russia: 0
Russia has partially complied with its commitment to rationalize and phase out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies, over the medium term, while recognizing the need to support the poor.

On 1 February 2017, the Russian Ministry of Energy published a draft Russian Energy Strategy for the Period until 2035. It provides for gradual phasing out of cross-subsidization of gas supplies in different Russian regions and groups of consumers during the first phase of the strategy implementation (until 2020). It also provides for gradual phasing out of cross-subsidization in electric energy through setting market prices. The draft strategy mentions the need of introducing specific subsidies for poor and disadvantaged groups of population. However, it does not contain any specific targets on subsidies.\(^{850}\)

On 30 September 2016, at the Sochi International Investment Forum Russian Minister of Energy Alexander Novak, outlined some elements of a new model of heat supply system in Russia. The model is based on the mechanism of single heat providing entity in each heat providing system as well as a new more market dependable approach to price determination. The new model will ensure economic growth and additional tax flows to the budget in the amount of RUB800 billion up to 2025. According to the Minister it will exceed the amount of subsidies needed to compensate the increase of prices for citizens.\(^{851}\)

On 10 October 2016, Ministers of Energy of Russia and Turkey signed an agreement to construct the TurkStream natural gas pipeline between the two countries.\(^{852}\) The agreement includes a gas price discount mechanism for Turkey.\(^{853}\)

Russia has taken some actions to rationalise and phase-out fossil fuel subsidies over the medium-term but no actions to support the poor have been registered during the compliance period. Thus, Russia receives a score of 0.

*Analysts: Ben Windeler & Mark Rakhmangulov*

Saudi Arabia: −1
Saudi Arabia has not complied with its commitment to rationalize and phase out fossil fuel subsidies over the medium-term however, it has failed to support the poor.

No actions were registered during the compliance period.

Saudi Arabia has not phased out inefficient subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption in the medium term, nor supported the poor. Thus, Saudi Arabia receives a score of −1.

*Analyst: Bojana Radan*

South Africa: −1
South Africa has not complied with its commitment to rationalize and phase out fossil fuel subsidies over the medium-term and it has failed to support the poor.

---

852 Meeting with President of Turkey Recep Tayyip Erdogan, President of Russia 10 October 2016. Date of Access: 20 February 2017. http://en.kremlin.ru/events/president/news/53065
853 Meeting with President of Turkey Recep Tayyip Erdogan, President of Russia 10 October 2016. Date of Access: 20 February 2017. http://en.kremlin.ru/events/president/news/53065
No actions were registered during the compliance period.

South Africa has not phased out inefficient subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption in the medium term, nor supported the poor. Thus, South Africa receives a score of –1.

**Analyst: Bojana Radan**

**Turkey: –1**

Turkey has not complied with its commitment to rationalize and phase-out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies, over the medium term, while recognizing the need to support the poor.

On 7 September 2016, the Turkish government promulgated a new law including the provision of investment incentives. These incentives include exemptions from taxes, tariffs, stoppages, and environmental risk assessments as well as subsidies for wages, premiums, and interest. Although the provisions are not sector specific, they are expected to be most relevant to the energy sector, and in particular to act as a public subsidy to the development of new coal power plants.

Turkey has introduced new fossil fuel subsidies and has not phased out inefficient subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption in the medium term, nor supported the poor. Thus, Turkey receives a score of –1.

**Analyst: Ben Windeler**

**United Kingdom: –1**

The United Kingdom has not complied with its commitment to rationalize and phase out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies and to recognize the need to support the poor.

On 9 December 2016, the government awarded GBP1.2 billion of subsidised energy contracts through its capacity market auction. GBP130 million of these subsidies were awarded to coal plants, up from GBP80 million awarded in the 2015 auction. Between GBP26 million and GBP187 million was awarded to diesel in this year’s auction, compared to approximately GBP180 million in 2015’s auction.

---

The UK continues to subsidize coal and diesel facilities and has not phased out inefficient subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption in the medium term, nor supported the poor. Thus, the UK receives a score of −1.

*Analyst: Chadwick Meyers*

**United States: −1**

The United States has not complied with its commitment to rationalize and phase-out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies, over the medium term, while recognizing the need to support the poor.

No actions were registered during the compliance period.

The US has not phased out inefficient subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption in the medium term, nor supported the poor. Thus, the United States receives a score of −1.

*Analyst: Nidhi Varma*

**European Union: −1**

The European Union has not complied with its commitment to rationalize and phase out inefficient fossil fuel subsidies and to recognize the need to support the poor.

On 30 November 2016, the European Commission released a proposal to restructure the EU’s energy policies and direct investment to clean energy sources.\(^{862}\) Within the plan is an investigation into which fossil fuel subsidies persist throughout member states in order to determine the best way to reform energy markets.\(^{863}\)

The proposal also includes reforms directed towards energy poverty, calling on member states to build long-term strategies that address energy poverty in low-income households. The proposal includes legislative safeguards to protect low-income consumers from having their energy disconnected as well as the establishment of an Energy Poverty Observatory that will “provide better data on the problem and its solutions as well as to help Member States in their efforts to combat energy poverty.”\(^{864}\)

The EU has not phased out inefficient subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption in the medium term, nor supported the poor. Thus the EU receives a score of −1.

*Analyst: Chadwick Meyers*

---


6. Climate Change

“We reiterate our commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.”

*G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué*

## Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>No Compliance</th>
<th>Partial Compliance</th>
<th>Full Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td></td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Background

At the 2009 London Summit, the G20 pledged to do “whatever is necessary to: …build an inclusive, green, and sustainable recovery,” as well as committed to “address the threat of irreversible climate change, based on the principle of common but differentiated responsibilities, and to reach agreement at the United Nations (UN) Climate Change conference in Copenhagen in December 2009.”

At Pittsburgh in 2009, the G20 endorsed the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) negotiations and the Copenhagen Accord from the 2009 15th Conference of the Parties (COP) meeting in Denmark. Also in Pittsburgh, the G20 committed, for the first time to foster sustainable development. At the 2010 Seoul Summit, the G20 recognized that sustainable green growth as inherently a part of sustainable development.

At the 2014 Brisbane Summit, the G20 pledged “consistent with the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and its agreed outcomes, our actions will support sustainable development.” At the 2015 Antalya Summit the G20 stated “We affirm our determination to adopt a protocol, another legal instrument or an agreed outcome with legal force under the UNFCCC that is applicable to all Parties. Our actions will support growth and sustainable development.”

---

development.\textsuperscript{866} On 12 December 2015, at the 21st Conference of the Parties of the UNFCCC in Paris, the 2015 Paris Climate Change Agreement was adopted by consensus.

On 29 June 2016, the G20 energy ministers issued a communique, recognizing the importance of sustainable development and expressing support for climate-related action.\textsuperscript{867}

At the 2016 Hangzhou Summit, China’s presidency introduced green-financing into the G20 agenda for the first time. The summit released the Green Finance Synthesis Report that encouraged G20 members to increase green financing in their respective countries.\textsuperscript{868}

At the 2016 Hangzhou Summit, G20 leaders once again reiterated its commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change. Ahead of the summit, the United States (US) and China ratified the Paris Agreement and called for other G20 members to do the same, according to national circumstance.

On 5 October 2016, the threshold for entry into force of the Paris Agreement was achieved. The Paris Agreement entered into force on 4 November 2016.

**Commitment Features**

The G20 reiterated its commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change. This commitment has two parts 1) commitment to sustainable development and 2) strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.

**Part One: Commitment to Sustainable Development**

‘Sustainable development’ is understood as the pattern of resource use aimed to meet human needs while preserving the environment both domestically and internationally. The commitment is a reiteration of the 2014 Brisbane commitment and therefore, G20 member actions must be consistent with the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and its agreed outcomes, which includes the 2015 Paris Agreement.

The 2015 Paris Agreement builds upon the Convention with the central aim to keep a global temperature rise this century well below two degrees Celsius above pre-industrial levels and to pursue efforts to limit the temperature increase even further to 1.5 degrees Celsius. It also aims to strengthen the ability of countries, particularly developing countries, to deal with the impacts of climate change. The Paris Agreement requires all Parties to put forward their best efforts through “nationally determined contributions” (NDCs) and to strengthen these efforts in the years ahead. This includes requirements that all Parties report regularly on their emissions and on their implementation efforts. Therefore, G20 members, must act according to their NDCs to achieve the aims of the Agreement, particularly aiding developing countries, as well as report regularly on their emissions and on their implementation efforts.

**Part Two: Strong and Effective Support and Actions to Address Climate Change.**

In addition to its commitment to sustainable development in accordance with the UNFCCC, the G20 committed to addressing climate change. ‘Climate change’ is understood as a change of climate which is attributed directly or indirectly to human activity that alters the composition of the global


atmosphere and which is in addition to natural climate variability observed over comparable time periods. This definition is as per Article 1: Definitions, United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.⁶⁶⁹

‘Support’ is understood as the action, or act of providing aid, assistance, or backing up an initiative, or entity.

‘Effective’ is interpreted to mean operating in a way that produces the intended result. It does not mean any effort, especially thoughtless ones. ‘Strong’ is interpreted to mean deliberate, widespread, unique and grand. Actions must be outside the status quo, generate a possibility for new strategies, ways to engage others, and create breakthrough results. For example, actions such as allocating additional funding to an existing program will not count towards compliance. Effective and strong actions are evident as such. Actions that will contribute toward compliance include, but are not limited to, initiatives such as passing new and unique wide-spread legislation, implementing new and innovative policy initiatives, and executing nation-wide programs to combat climate change.

Thus, full compliance is achieved when the G20 member takes steps to comply with both parts of the commitment. The G20 member must act in accordance with the UNFCCC and Paris Agreement, as well as take effective and strong actions to address climate change. Partial compliance is achieved when the G20 member complies with one part of the commitment but not the other. No compliance will result from the G20 member not complying with either part of the commitment.

### Scoring Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>G20 member does not commit to sustainable development AND does not make strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>G20 member is committed to sustainable development BUT not strong and effective support and actions to address climate change OR G20 member is committed to strong and effective support and actions to address climate change BUT is not committed to sustainable development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>G20 member is committed to sustainable development AND strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Lead Analyst: Angela Min Yi Hou*

### Argentina: +1

Argentina has fully complied with its commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.

On 21 September 2016, Argentina ratified the Paris Agreement.⁶⁷⁰

Argentina outlined the following goals in its Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC), submitted 1 October 2015 to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC).⁶⁷¹ The Argentinian government unconditionally agreed to lower greenhouse gas emissions by 15 per cent by 2030 compared to the anticipated business-as-usual (BAU) emissions of that year. The country’s conditional goal agreed to lower greenhouse gas emissions by 30 per cent by 2030. Argentina further stated it would enhance adaptation actions in early warning systems for

---


climate disasters, sustainable forest and biodiversity management, and water resource management with the assistance of international finance and capacity development.\textsuperscript{872}

On 7 October 2016, Argentina’s Energy Minister approved a 0.8 per cent month-on-month reduction of ethanol prices. The reduction will lessen the cost to oil refiners in producing the state-required 12 per cent ethanol-oil blend of gasoline.\textsuperscript{873} Ethanol combinations are an attempt to reduce the country’s reliance on fossil fuels and diversify the energy sector.\textsuperscript{874}

On 15 October 2016, Argentina agreed to the Kigali Amendment of the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer.\textsuperscript{875} The amendment calls for the reduction of hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), powerful chemicals that impact the ozone layer and contribute to climate change. The Kigali agreement includes a timetable to reduce production of HFCs in developed and developing countries. Argentina agreed to stop its production and use of the pollutants by 2024.

On 20 October 2016, the Argentine Ministry of Energy and Mining announced the successful completion of the first round of its energy auction, as part of the government’s RenovAR program. 29 projects were awarded, including companies specializing in biogas, biomass, wind, solar, photovoltaic, and hydroelectric technologies. 1,142 megawatts of energy was auctioned off, constituting an additional 2.8 per cent of national electricity consumption. The Ministry also announced that it will be hosting another round of solar and wind projects. The RenovAR initiative was introduced as an attempt to transition Argentine energy usage from fossil fuels to renewable resources.\textsuperscript{876}

On 26 October 2016, Argentina announced a management support program in 21 urban areas, supported by the Inter-American Development Bank (IDB) and other investment lines of credit. The IDB project leader, Francisca Rojas, stated that “effective management of these [metropolitan] areas requires coordinated actions that tackle critical issues such as transportation and climate change adaptation.” The plan will implement a series of climate related adaptation initiatives, including integrated watershed management, integrated solid waste management, sustainable mobility systems (like pedestrian paths and bicycle lanes), parks, and public spaces.\textsuperscript{877}

On 17 November 2016, the Government of Argentina submitted its first Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) at the 22nd Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (Bonn) 1 October 2015. Access Date: 10 November 2016. http://www4.unfccc.int/Submissions/INDC/Published per cent20Documents/Argentina/1/Argentina per cent20INDC per cent20Non-Official per cent20Translation.pdf.

\textsuperscript{872} Argentine Republic Intended Nationally Determined Contribution, United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (Bonn) 1 October 2015. Access Date: 10 November 2016. http://www4.unfccc.int/Submissions/INDC/Published per cent20Documents/Argentina/1/Argentina per cent20INDC per cent20Non-Official per cent20Translation.pdf.


\textsuperscript{875} Countries Adopt Kigali Amendment to Phase Down HFCs, NRDC (New York) 14 October 2016. Access date: 2 January 2017. https://www.nrdc.org/experts/david-doniger/countries-adopt-kigali-amendment-phase-down-hfc


Convention on Climate Change in Marrakesh, Morocco.\(^{878}\) Minister of the Environment and Sustainable Development Sergio Bergman announced that Argentina will “reduce carbon dioxide emissions from 570 to 483 million tonnes by 2030...raising the [country’s previous] commitment to reduce unconditional emissions - that is, those not tied to external financing - from 15 to 18 per cent.”\(^{879}\)

On 24 November 2016, the Argentinian government launched the Biogas Bureau. The department will promote bioenergy and attempt to increase biomass production by 10 to 15 per cent, further reducing the country’s reliance on fossil fuels.\(^{880}\)

On 25 November 2016, the Government of Argentina completed round 1.5 of the RenovAR renewable energy program. 30 contracts were distributed to wind and solar companies, totalling 1,281.5 megawatts of renewable energy. Minister of Energy and Mining Juan José Aranguren concluded that in addition to the first round of awarded projects in October, the RenovAr program will produce 2,423.5 megawatts of renewable energy.\(^{881}\)

On 30 November 2016, the Argentine Ministry of Agriculture announced a bioplastic production program. The initiative is a collaboration of academic, public, and private participants to transform agricultural residue into usable materials and encourage sustainable development.\(^{882}\)

On 15 December 2016, President Mauricio Macri and Minister of Energy and Mining Juan José Aranguren opened the 1st National Energy Efficiency Conference in Buenos Aires. The conference discussed the importance of energy management. Undersecretary of Savings and Energy Efficiency Andrea Heins announced plans for a national energy efficiency bill in 2017 to enhance Argentina’s energy matrix.\(^{883}\)

On 21 December 2016, President Mauricio Macri announced the creation of the 50,000 hectares Bicentennial National Park located in the Tucuman province. The space consists of protected forest and snow-covered regions. During the announcement, President Macri stated, “We Argentines have made … the commitment … (to) fight against climate change, with the responsibility to take care of this planet and, clearly, expanding, caring for (and) strengthening our national park system goes

\(^{878}\) NDC Registry, United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (Bonn) 11 November 2016. Access Date: 2 January 2017. http://www4.unfccc.int/ndcregistry/Pages/All.aspx


along these lines.” The Bicentennial National Park is an attempt to safeguard Argentina’s biodiversity and encourage sustainable environmental management.884

Argentina has taken strong and effective action in its commitment to sustainable development by taking steps inline with the UNFCCC and its agreed outcomes, such as its RenovAr program and continued public statements in accordance with its NDCs. Thus, Argentina receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Harrison Myles

Australia: +1

Australia has fully complied with its commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.

On 8 September 2016, the Australian Renewable Energy Agency (ARENA) announced its solar power-related projects. The plants will generate about one-tenth of the power needed to meet Australia’s 2020 Renewable Energy Target, supply enough electricity for 150,000 average homes, and create 2,300 direct jobs alone. They are expected to enable an extra 480 megawatts of solar capacity.885

On 9 September 2016, the Prime Minister of Australia pledged “a major package of new Australian support to manage climate change and improve resilience in the Pacific.” Australia will provide AUD300 million to Pacific Island countries over the next four years including AUD75 million for disaster preparedness.886

On 17 October 2016, the Australian Renewable Energy Agency (ARENA) pledged AUD1 billion to bioenergy, enabling, geothermal, hybrid, ocean and solar PC and solar thermal power projects.887

On 7 November 2016, the Joint Standing Committee on Treaties tabled Report 163: Paris Agreement, Kyoto Protocol - Doha Amendment. In the report, the National Interest Analysis outlined the following domestic economy-wide targets to achieve Australia’s Nationally Determined Contribution. The Australian NDC is an economy-wide target to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 26 to 28 per cent below 2005 levels by 2030: halving Australia’s per capita emissions compared with 2005 levels and reduce the emissions intensity of the Australian economy by two-thirds; support obligations including the Emissions Reduction Fund and its Safeguard mechanism, a Renewable Energy Target and a National Energy Productivity Plan; a commitment of AUS200 million over four years to the Green Climate Fund to support developing countries to grow their economies in a sustainable way and help adapt to climate change; a commitment of at least AUS1 billion over five years from Australia’s existing aid budget to support developing countries in their efforts to build resilience to climate change and reduce their emissions; and maintenance of Australia’s national system for


As of 9 November 2016, Australia ratified the Paris Agreement. Australia has also put additional policy measures in place to promote the deployment of renewable energy and improve energy efficiency. Under Australia’s Renewable Energy Target scheme, over 23 per cent of Australia’s electricity will come from renewable sources by 2020.\footnote{CAIT Climate Data Explorer, INDC Detailed View, Australia, 8 November 2015. Access date: 14 November 2016. http://cait.wri.org/indc/#/profile/Australia}

Australia has fully complied with its commitment by supporting sustainable development in neighbouring Small Island Developing States (SIDS) and committing resources to local climate change resistance initiatives. Australia has demonstrated commitment to sustainable development by taking action to effectively manage green domestic initiatives. Australia has provided strong and effective support and actions to address climate change. Thus, Australia receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Zoë David-Delves}

**Brazil: 0**

Brazil has partially complied with its commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective climate change action.

On 13 September 2016, Brazil ratified the Paris Agreement and submitted its NDC with a commitment to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 37 per cent below 2005 levels by 2025. Specific goals include increasing the share of sustainable biofuels in the Brazilian energy mix by 18 per cent, achieve 45 per cent of renewables in the energy mix by 2030.\footnote{Brazil’s Intended Nationally Determined Contribution, UNFCCC. Access Date 4 February 2017. http://www4.unfccc.int/ndcregistry/PublishedDocuments/Brazil per cent20First/BRAZIL per cent20english per cent20FINAL.pdf}

On 4 October 2016, the Brazil’s National Development Bank (BNDES) increased solar funds while cutting the cost for fossil fuels and large hydro. The new policy removed investments in new coal and oil-fired power stations.\footnote{Brazil shifts funds from coal to solar power, Climate Change News. 4 October 2016. Access Date: 4 February 2017. http://www.climatechangenews.com/2016/10/04/brazil-shifts-funds-from-coal-to-solar-power/}

On 18 November 2016, President Temer vetoed USD1.5 billion in coal subsidies for the period 2023-2027, that were approved by Brazil’s senate in October 2016. Brazil’s Environment Ministry, Ministerio do Meio Ambiente (MMA), claimed that this incentive would jeopardize the commitments Brazil has made under the Paris Climate Agreement.\footnote{Brazil’s President Vetoes $1.5B in Coal Subsidies, Ministerio do Meio Ambiente, 18 November 2016, Access Date: 11 January 2017 http://www.mma.gov.br/index.php/comunicacao/agencia-informma?view=blog&id=2006}

Brazil has taken some steps to encourage sustainable development, however has not taken strong and effective action. Thus, Brazil receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Fatmire Fea}

**Canada: +1**

Canada has fully complied with its commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.

---

Canada’s submitted Nationally Determined Contribution commits Canada to reducing greenhouse gas emissions by 30 per cent below 2005 levels by 2030. On 19 September 2016, the Minister of Innovation, Science and Economic Development announced the investment of CAD39.6 million on fourteen different clean technology projects. The projects will be carried out in four Canadian provinces and in various sectors including power generation, energy production and exploration; agriculture, and waste management.

On 3 October 2016, the Government of Canada announced its plan for pan-Canadian pricing on carbon pollution. The plan will institute a price on carbon pollution which will start at CAD10 per tonne in 2018, and rise by CAD10 a year to CAD50 per tonne in 2022. The revenues from this pricing of carbon pollution will remain in the provinces and territories where the pollution originated. Canada will join fellow G20 members France, Japan, Mexico and South Africa in instituting a national carbon tax.

On 5 October 2016, Canada ratified the Paris Agreement.

On 6 October 2016, the Government of Canada released its 2016-2019 Federal Sustainable Development Strategy (FSDS). The FSDS is the government’s third cycle in producing a three-year strategy which is designed to make "environmental decision-making more transparent and accountable to the Canadian Parliament." It will act as Canada’s primary strategy for sustainable development planning.

On 15 October 2016, the Government of Canada adopted an amendment to the 1987 Montreal Protocol to reduce the usage of hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), which will further restrict the use of HFCs.

On 7 November 2016, Prime Minister Justin Trudeau announced a CAD1.5 billion National Oceans Protection Plan. The plan’s goal is to ensure that Canada’s oceans and coastlines are protected and managed in such a way as to ensure environmental sustainability.
On 17 November 2016, Canada announced a CAD14 million agreement with Mexico and Chile to reduce short-lived climate pollutants (SLCPs).901

On 19 November 2016, the Minister of Environment and Climate Change, Catherine McKenna announced Canada’s Mid-Century Long-TermLow-Greenhouse Gas Development Strategy at COP22 in Marrakech, Morocco. The strategy examines an "emissions-abatement pathway consistent with net emissions falling by at least 80 per cent in 2050, from 2005 levels."902 Canada was one of only five countries (Canada, France, Germany, Mexico, United States) to release its long term strategy.903

On 21 November 2016, the Minister of Environment and Climate Change, Catherine McKenna announced an acceleration of Canada’s phase-out of coal power and its transition to clean energy sources. This announced acceleration is expected to increase the per centage of energy coming from non-emitting sources to 90 per cent from 80 per cent by 2030.904

On 28 November 2016, the Minister of Environment and Climate Change, Catherine McKenna announced preliminary regulations to reduce the amount of HFCs used domestically.905

On 29 November 2016, the Minister of Natural Resources, Jim Carr and the Minister of Transport, Marc Garneau announced four important decisions on the transportation of oil via pipelines and tankers. The Trans Mountain Expansion Project and the Line 3 Replacement Projects were approved, while the Northern Gateway Pipelines Project dismissed and a moratorium on crude and persistent oil tankers along British Columbia’s north coast was announced.906
On 2 December 2016, Jonathan Wilkinson, Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of Environment and Climate Change announced a CAN1.9 million investment in Nano One, a Canadian company which develops cutting-edge electric vehicle battery technology.\textsuperscript{907}

On 20 December 2016, Prime Minister Justin Trudeau and President Barack Obama announced the designation of all Arctic Canadian waters as off-limits to future offshore oil and gas licensing. This also applied to a large majority of US waters in the Chukchi and Beaufort Seas.\textsuperscript{908,909}

On 21 December 2016, Ambassador Marc-Andre Blanchard, Permanent Representative of Canada to the United Nations announced that Canada had re-acceded to the United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD).\textsuperscript{910}

Canada has demonstrated commitment to sustainable development by transitioning to renewable energy sources, phasing out the HFCs, and protecting its oceans. Canada has also provided strong and effective support and actions to address climate change by instituting a nationwide carbon price. Thus, Canada receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Lauren Dalgliesh}

\textbf{China: +1}

China has fully complied with its commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.

On 30 June 2015, China submitted its Intended Nationally Determined Contributions to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). China has determined the following actions by 2030: to peak carbon dioxide emissions around 2030, to lower carbon dioxide per unit of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) by 60 to 65 per cent from 2005 levels, to increase non-fossil fuel as the primary source of energy consumption to around 20 per cent, to increase forest stock volume by 4.5 billion cubic meters based on the 2005 amount, and to adapt to climate change by increasing efforts to defend against climate change risks in agriculture, forestry, and water resources. China plans to strengthen laws and regulations on climate change, improve regional strategies on


climate change, build low-carbon energy and industrial systems, enhance efforts to address climate change through science and technology, and promote international cooperation.  

On 19 September 2016, Chinese Premier Li Keqiang announced the release of China’s National Plan on Implementation of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development at the United Nations Headquarters in New York. China will integrate INDC goals into future national strategies, improve education on environmental protection, encourage developed countries to commit in addressing climate change issues, and through the South-South Cooperation Fund on Climate Change, support developing countries in their capacity to combat climate change.  

On November 2016, China introduced a new climate plan to achieve goals listed in the Paris Agreement and China’s 13th Five Year Plan. The State Council announced through this plan that China will reduce carbon emissions per unit of GDP by 18 per cent by the end of 2020, and total energy consumption will be kept within five billion tonnes of standard coal by the end of 2020. China also stated that it will promote energy conservation across sectors by using more nuclear power, wind power, solar power, geothermal power, and biomass power. Furthermore, the plan announced the promotion of green technologies and introduced a carbon emission trading system to meet its commitment of peaking carbon emissions by 2030. Finally, the plan stated that China would begin to restore forests, eliminate the use of chemical fertilizers to promote low carbon agriculture, and promote a low carbon lifestyle through recycling.

On 2 November 2016, Chinese President Xi Jinping met with Guinean President Alpha Conde in Beijing. President Xi promised to reinforce bonds on China-African renewable energy cooperation, climate change, and the goals listed in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

On 4 November 2016, Chinese President Xi Jinping stressed the importance of climate change as he sent a congratulatory message to the United Nations Secretary-General. The letter stated that China is willing to continue communication and cooperation in order to ensure that climate change issues are being tackled.

911 Enhanced Actions on Climate Change: China’s Intended Nationally Determined Contributions, Department of Climate Change, National Development, and Reform Commission of China (Beijing) 30 June 2015. Access date: 5 January 2017. http://www4.unfccc.int/Submissions/INDC/Published per cent20Documents/China/1/China’s per cent20INDC per cent20on per cent2030 per cent20June per cent202015.pdf
On 7 November 2016, the deputy chief of China’s delegation to 22nd Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (COP22) in Marrakech, introduced China’s plan to build ten low-carbon demonstration zones, launch 100 projects to address climate change issues, and offer over 1000 training places in China to help its developing partners deal with the challenge of climate change.919

On 14 November 2016, China’s Foreign Ministry spokesperson, Geng Shuang, announced that China would commit to strengthening cooperation with other countries, such as the United States. China will address issues of climate change and facilitate further negotiations of the Paris Agreement by promoting sustainable and low-carbon development.920 China sees the implementation of the Agreement as a “new start for global efforts in addressing climate change, and offers guidance for a new stage of green and low-carbon development.”921

On 22 December 2016, China launched a carbon dioxide monitoring satellite to monitor the effects of greenhouse gases. TanSat was sent into orbit for a three-year mission to monitor the concentration and distribution of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere.922

China has demonstrated commitment to sustainable development by further implementing its climate plans. China has also provided strong and effective support and actions to address climate change by increasing cooperation with other parties and announcing new initiatives. Thus, China receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Jing Wang*

**France: +1**

France has fully complied with its commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.

On 7 September 2016, Minister Ségolène presented the Ministry of Environment’s progress on climate, energy transition, biodiversity and presented the new projects of the Ministry. This included rendering the implementation of the energy transition law for green growth irreversible, making steps toward the implementation of the biodiversity protection law, launching the Mediterranean plan for blue growth, and presenting the second edition of the National Flood Risk Management Strategy.923

On 16 September 2016, Minister Royal announced the Programmation Pluriannuelle de l’Énergie (PPE) (Multiannual Energy Program), which would greatly reduce energy consumption (negative 12 per cent by 2023) and the consumption of fossil fuels (negative 22 per cent by 2023). This would benefit purchasing power, competitiveness, and energy independence in France; increase the capacity of electrical renewable energy and a 50 per cent increase renewable heat production over 50 per cent; develop clean mobility through the deployment of active modes, collective and shared, and diversification of fuel to electric and natural gas vehicles; reduce the production of electricity from

---

nuclear power in response to changes in electricity consumption and the development of renewable energies.\textsuperscript{924}

On 26 September 2016, Minister Royal launched the “participatory funding for green growth” label to boost financing on environmentally focused projects. The label would aim to enhance participatory funding for projects working towards the energy and ecological transition, ensure transparency of the project, involvement and citizen participation, monitoring of the project over time, and provide information on the environmental quality of the project.\textsuperscript{925}

On 21 October 2016, the French Secretary of State Christian Eckert announced that the plans to include a carbon tax in its 2016 fiscal year budget would be abandoned.

On 26 October 2016, Minister Royal presented the 2017 budget of the Ministry of the Environment, Energy and the Sea. In total, EUR35.7 billion will be committed, including EUR14.1 billion for energy transition, EUR2.9 billion for water and biodiversity and EUR2.4 billion for research and innovation.\textsuperscript{926}

On 13 November 2016, Minister Royal declared that USD100 million had already been made available to global green initiatives, with USD10 million particularly available to countries across the African continent. The minister reaffirmed France’s commitment to advocate for climate justice and to capacity build in developing countries, especially in Africa.\textsuperscript{927}

On 14 November 2016, Ségolène Royal, Minister of Environment, Energy and the Sea, announced that France and Germany will fund a branch of the African Development Bank to finance 240 green initiatives across the African continent.\textsuperscript{928}

France has demonstrated commitment to sustainable development by considerable domestic efforts moving towards sustainable development. France has also provided strong and effective support and actions to address climate change by building capacity in developing countries and devoting more resources to combatting climate change. Thus, France receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Zoë David-Delves}

\textbf{Germany: 0}

Germany has partially complied with its commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.


On 17 November 2016, Germany released its Climate Action Plan 2050. The framework includes target corridors for “reducing greenhouse gas emissions in individual economic sectors.” The plan focuses on the restructuring of the energy sector with renewable electricity generation, other sectors such as transport will be in a position to phase out climate-damaging fossil fuels.929

On 12 January 2017, Germany released its first policy guide to the G20 Hamburg Summit. “One main concern is to make progress on realizing the goals of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and the Paris Agreement on climate change.”

On 1 February 2017, Germany announced that it aims to cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) by 40 per cent by 2020 and up to 95 per cent by 2050, compared to 1990 levels. Germany also aims to raise the share of renewables in gross final energy consumption by 60 per cent by 2050.931

Germany has taken concrete steps in moving towards sustainable development through the release of its Climate Action Plan. It has also announced its intention to focus on climate change at the G20 Hamburg Summit. However, Germany has not taken any strong and effective action with widespread impact. Thus, Germany receives a score of 0.

*Analyst: Cindy Ou*

**India: 0**

India has partially complied with its commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.

On 1 October 2015, India submitted its Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC), outlining the following goals. The Government of India agreed to reduce the emissions intensity of its GDP by 33-35 per cent over 2005 levels by 2030, to achieve 40 per cent of its electricity from renewable energy sources by 2030, and to create a carbon sink of 2.5 to 3 billion tonnes of CO2 by 2030 through reforesting 33 per cent of India’s geographical area. The Indian government agreed to invest in development programmes for agriculture, water, in the Himalayan and coastal regions, and health and disaster management. The government of India further agreed to build capacities for joint research and discovery of future climate technologies.

On 21 September 2016, the Indian government provided five more cities with funding for initiating urban reforms towards the Smart Cities Mission, increasing the total number of India’s smart cities to 27.932 India’s Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC) states that it intends to build 100 smart cities under the Smart Cities Mission.933

---


933 India’s intended nationally determined contribution: Working towards climate justice, UNFCCC (India) 25 September 2015. Access Date: 14 November 2016. http://www4.unfccc.int/submissions/INDC/Published per cent20Documents/India/1/INDIA per cent20INDC per cent20TO per cent20UNFCCC.pdf
On 2 October 2016, India ratified the Paris Agreement.934

On 23 October 2016, India’s environment ministry announced its plan to distribute INR400 billion to state government afforestation programs, in accordance with its NDCs.935

On 24 October 2016, Prime Minister Narendra Modi reported that the Indian government plans to allocate INR210 billion to India’s solar manufacturing industry.936

On 31 October 2016, the Indian Government installed Solar Energy Projects with a renewable energy capacity of over 8727.62 Megawatts (MW).937


On 28 November 2016, the Government of India approved the proposal by the Ministry of New and Renewable Energy (MNRE) for ratification of the International Solar Alliance’s (ISA) Framework Agreement. Made up of 121 nations, the ISA encourages further research, financing, and development of solar energy.940

India has demonstrated commitment to sustainable development by increasing the number of smart cities to 27, investing in solar energy and afforestation programs, and constructing the world’s largest solar power plant. However, India has not provided strong and effective support and actions to address climate change. Thus, India receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Jenna Cardoso

Indonesia: +1

Indonesia has fully complied with its commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.

On 24 September 2015, Indonesia submitted its Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDC) to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Indonesia has committed to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions by 26 per cent by the year 2020, and by 29 per cent by the year 2030. Indonesia plans to build resilience in utilization of degraded land for renewable energy, energy efficiency, and water management.\footnote{Article Reference}

On 15 October 2016, during a conference in Kigali, Indonesia made amendments to the Montreal protocol treaty. Through the amendments, Indonesia committed itself to stop using products that contain hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) by 2024, and to phase out all HFCs by 2050.\footnote{Article Reference}

On 19 October 2016, Indonesia ratified the Paris Agreement. Indonesia committed to cut greenhouse gas emissions by 29 per cent by the end of 2030, and up to 41 per cent with the help of foreign technology and finance.\footnote{Article Reference}

On 21 October 2016, Indonesia signed an agreement with the Asian Development Bank (ADB) to begin a program of sustainable growth until 2019. This includes ADB’s support for Indonesia’s energy infrastructure in promoting cleaner energy sources.\footnote{Article Reference}

On 26 October 2016, the Indonesian government launched the Tropical Landscapes Finance Facility (TLFF), an initiative that will use public funding to provide long-term finance to projects and companies in order to stimulate green growth and ameliorate rural livelihoods.\footnote{Article Reference}

In November 2016, at the 22nd Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (COP22) in Marrakech, Morocco, the Government of Indonesia announced that it will suspend the clearing of super-high carbon-intact peatlands in order to protect its forests.\footnote{Article Reference} Furthermore, Indonesia’s Peatland Restoration Agency held discussions with the United Nations Food and Agriculture Agency and the International Union for Conservation of Nature. The discussion focused on the reduction of emissions from deforestation and forest degradation (REDD+) movement, and proposed three strategies in order to restore peatlands in the country.\footnote{Article Reference}

On 1 December 2016, President Jokowi signed a regulation on governing peatlands that banned all new land clearance, established a minimum ratio between cultivation and conservation areas, and created guidelines for managing peatland plantations in an effort to restore damaged peatland areas.

\footnote{Article Reference} Intended Nationally Determined Contribution Republic of Indonesia, Intended Nationally Determined Contribution, United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (Bonn) 24 September 2015. Access date: 5 January 2017. http://www4.unfccc.int/Submissions/INDC/Published per cent20Documents/Indonesia/1/INDC_REPUBLIC per cent20OF per cent20INDONESIA.pdf


The Peat Restoration Agency of Indonesia (BRG) wants to re-wet peatland areas and improve fire preparedness by installing wells and monitoring systems. This would decrease the frequency of forest fires, that would otherwise lead to a mass burning of carbon dioxide.\(^{948}\)

On 5 December 2016, President Jokowi signed a revised regulation to add to its peatland moratorium. The revised regulation includes a moratorium on any new land clearing in peatland areas, protection in peatland ecosystems, prohibition on new canal developments, prohibition on burning peatlands, and a new approach to water level compliance to help describe peatland ecosystem damage.\(^{949}\) This is an effort to hold corporations accountable for the damage made to peatlands and to decrease carbon dioxide in the area.\(^{950}\)

Indonesia has demonstrated commitment to sustainable development by ratifying the Paris Agreement and acting in accordance with its NDCs. Indonesia has provided strong and effective support and actions to address climate change by protecting its peatlands. Thus, Indonesia receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Jing Wang*

**Italy: \(\approx 1\)**

Italy has not complied with its commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.

On 4 November 2016, the Paris Agreement entered into force which commits all signatory members to commit to their nationally determined contributions (NDCs). The European Union’s NDC which was submitted by Latvia and the European Commission on behalf of its member states (including Italy) has a collective target to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by at least 40 per cent by 2030 compared to 1990 levels.\(^{951}\)

On 11 November 2016, Italy ratified the Paris agreement.\(^{952}\)

On 25 November 2016, the Government of Rwanda represented by Minister Biruta and Italy government represented by the Minister of the Environment, land and Sea, Gian Luca Galletti signed the Memorandum of understanding on cooperation on climate action. The signing took place was

---


951 Nationally Determined Contribution to the Paris Agreement: Italy (EU), IEA. 21 December 2016. Access Date: 3 February 2017. http://www.iea.org/policiesandmeasures/pams/italy/name-156409-en.php?s=dHlwZT1jYyZzdGF0dXM9T2s,&return=PG5hdiBpZD0iYnJlYWRjcnVtYiI-PGEgaHJlZj0iLyI-UG9saWNpZmlyYW5kIiwiaHJlZj0iLyI-PGEgaHJlZj0iLyI-

established in the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change, known as COP22, in Marrakech, Morocco.\textsuperscript{953}

While the Italian government has ratified the Paris Agreement and agreed to cooperate with Rwanda on climate action, no actions were taken to promote sustainable development. Italy has not taken strong and effective action against climate change. Thus, Italy receives a score of \(-1\).

*Analyst: Fatmire Feka*

**Japan: 0**

Japan has partially complied with its commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.

On 17 July 2015, Japan submitted its Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDC) to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Japan’s INDCs commits to reducing greenhouse gas emissions by 26 per cent by 2030 compared to 2013. Japan will do this by promoting a low-carbon society, promoting forest management and industry measures, and contributing internationally towards human resource development and the development and diffusion of technologies relating to emission reductions in developing countries through the Joint Crediting Mechanism.\textsuperscript{954}

On 15 October 2016, Japan committed to reduce the use of hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) at the 28\textsuperscript{th} Meeting of the Parties to the Montreal Protocol in Kigali, Rwanda. Japan was among one of the developed countries committed to taking early action by 2019, as opposed to 2024.\textsuperscript{955}

On 1 November 2016, Japan released a statement committing to a donation of approximately USD100,000 to the Trust Fund for Participation in the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). The goal of this is to support developing countries in addressing climate change issues at the 22\textsuperscript{nd} Conference of the Parties (COP22).\textsuperscript{956}

On 8 November 2016, Japan ratified the Paris Agreement. The Agreement was ratified after the 19 October 2016 deadline, thus, Japan attended COP22 as an observer with no voting rights.\textsuperscript{957}

On 8 November 2016, Prime Minister Shinzo Abe released a statement regarding the ratification of the Paris Agreement.\textsuperscript{958} His statement declared that Japan would make global warming countermeasures a Cabinet priority, strive to create innovative technologies in environmental and


\textsuperscript{954} Intended Nationally Determined Contribution, United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (Bonn) 17 July 2015. Access date: 5 January 2017. http://www4.unfccc.int/Submissions/INDC/Published per cent20Documents/Japan/1/20150717_Japan’s per cent20INDC.pdf


energy sectors, and commit to implementing climate change assistance of JPY1.3 trillion to developing countries by 2020.\(^{959}\)

On 11 November 2016, Japan announced its Assistance Initiatives to Address Climate Change plan, in which it highlighted a variety of support partnerships to help developing countries combat climate change.\(^{960}\) The document enlists five main areas of Japanese contribution: Mitigation, Adaptation, Transparency, Measures Against Fluorocarbons, and Support for Sustainable Societies.\(^{961}\)

On 11 November 2016, Japan submitted its updated strategies for scaling up climate finance from 2014 to 2020. The submission builds on a previous submission from 2014. The updates include efforts to enhance providing and mobilizing climate finance through financial institutions and government agencies such as Japan Bank for International Cooperation and Nippon Export and Investment Insurance. The updates also implements policies and programmes in cooperation with cities and sub-governmental authorities that align with the initiative, Action for Cool Earth 2.0. This ensures a balance between adaptation and mitigation to aid developing countries in addressing the effects of climate change; and assist the dissemination of information related to climate change.\(^{962}\)

On 13 December 2016, Minister of Foreign Affairs, Fumio Kishida, met with the Honourable Kamina Johnson Smith, the Minister of Foreign Affairs and Foreign Trade of Jamaica.\(^{963}\) Minister Kishida committed Japan in following up on the Joint Statement on Enhancing the Partnership between Japan and Jamaica (J-J Partnership). The statement includes an affirmation of cooperation in building a post-2020 framework.\(^{964}\)

Japan has demonstrated commitment to sustainable development by increasing climate financing. Japan, however, has not provided strong and effective support and actions to address climate change. Thus, Japan receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Jing Wang

Korea: 0

Korea has partially complied with its commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.

On 30 June 2015, Korea outlined the following goals in its Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC) submitted. The Government of Korea plans to implement an economy-wide


reduction of greenhouse gas emissions by 37 per cent, below the levels of 850.6 MtCO₂eq by 2030.\(^{965}\)

On 5 October 2016, South Korea announced its plan to build a large-scale laboratory for solar photovoltaic technology in Seoul, which will allow solar companies to test the safety and reliability of their products.\(^{966}\)

On 25 October 2016, the Korean government declared its goal to help create new energy industries in the country and enhance assistance to the clean energy sector.\(^{967}\)

On 3 November 2016, Korea ratified the Paris Agreement.\(^{968}\)

On 12 December 2016, the Korean government announced its plan to invest KRW47.5 billion in commercial carbon recycling technology over the next six years.\(^{969}\)

On 14 December 2016, the Ministry of Trade, Industry and Energy announced that Korea’s renewable energy-based power is predicted to reach 27.9 Gigawatts (GW) in 2020.\(^{970}\)

Korea has demonstrated commitment to sustainable development by planning to invest in clean energy and commercial carbon recycling technology. Korea has not provided strong and effective support and actions to address climate change. Thus, Korea receives a score of 0.

**Analyst: Jenna Cardoso**

**Mexico: +1**

Mexico has fully complied with its commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.

Mexico outlined the following goals in its Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC), submitted 30 March 2015 to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.\(^{971}\) The Government of Mexico unconditionally agreed to lower its greenhouse gas emissions and short lived climate pollutants emissions by 25 per cent by 2030, compared to business-as-usual (BAU) levels. Mexican government conditionally agreed to raise the emission reduction of 25 per cent to 40

---

\(^{965}\) Submission by the Republic of Korea: Intended Nationally Determined Contribution, 30 June 2016, UNFCCC. Access date: 3 January 2017 http://www4.unfccc.int/submissions/INDC/Published per cent20Documents/Republic per cent20of per cent20Korea/1/INDC per cent20Submission per cent20by per cent20the per cent20Republic per cent20of per cent20Korea per cent202009_per cent202010.pdf

\(^{966}\) S. Korea to build large-scale lab for solar photovoltaic technology, 5 October 2016, Yonhap News Agency. Access date: 3 January 2017 http://english.yonhapnews.co.kr/news/2016/10/05/11/0200000000AEN20161005004700320F.html


per cent. The Government of Mexico further agreed to implement adaptation actions regarding deforestation, early warning systems for climate disasters, and vulnerable municipalities.972

On 7 September 2016, the Mexican government announced the adoption of a cap and trade carbon emission program. The program will commence in November and act as a precursor to a national carbon market planned for 2018. 60 countries volunteered to participate in the carbon pricing system, an initiative intended to reduce carbon emissions in Mexico and satisfy its NDC.973

On 12 September 2016, Mexican President Enrique Peña Nieto inaugurated the Ventika Wind Farms. The President declared that the 84,116 megawatt turbines will prevent the production of pollutants equivalent to 40,000 vehicles. The wind farms are intended to reduce the country’s reliance on nonrenewable energy resources.974

On 16 September 2016, the European Union, Mexico and the Marshall Islands released a joint statement at the International Civil Aviation Organization summit in Montreal, agreeing to support a proposal to reduce aviation emissions after 2020.975

On 20 September 2016, President Enrique Peña Nieto addressed the United Nations General Assembly, stating that Mexico will host the thirteenth meeting of the Conference of Parties (COP13) to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) in December 2016. Mexico will also host the Global Platform for Disaster Risk Reduction in 2017. Each international environmental forum will discuss issues relating to climate change and sustainable development.976

On 21 September 2016, Mexico ratified the Paris Agreement.977

On 13 October 2016, President Enrique Peña Nieto announced that MXN40 billion was allocated to 12 infrastructure projects in the Valley of Mexico. Amongst initiatives to connect the inhabitants of the region, the President stated the government is “significantly investing in hydraulic infrastructure to prevent future floods.” Hydraulic infrastructure programs are intended to alleviate the effects of hydrometeorological disasters.978

On 15 October 2016, Mexico agreed to the Kigali Amendment of the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer. The amendment calls for the reduction of

972 Intended Nationally Determined Contribution, United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (Bonn) 30 March 2015. Access Date: 10 November 2016. http://www4.unfccc.int/Submissions/INDC/Published per cent20Documents/Mexico/1/MEXICO per cent20INDC per cent2003.30.2015.pdf
hydrofluorocarbon (HFC) emissions, a contributive factor to ozone depletion and climate change. The HFC amendment to the 1987 protocol was first suggested by Canada, Mexico, the United States, and the Maldives in 2009.979

On 18 October 2016, Undersecretary of the Secretariat of Agriculture, Livestock, Rural Development, Fisheries and Food (SAGARPA), Jorge Narvaez Narvaez, led the inauguration of the Photovoltaic Interconnected System in Yoreme. The Under-Secretary emphasized the importance in accessing “new sources of clean, affordable energy” to further conserve the environment.980

On 24 October 2016, Mexico and Austria signed a declaration of intent to collaborate on environmental issues, including sustainable cities, clean transportations, and solid waste management.981

On 27 October 2016, the governments of Mexico and Colombia signed an environmental cooperation agreement. The Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) will foster bilateral cooperation in the conservation of biodiversity, sustainable production, water management, and sustainable forestry. The MoU will likewise encourage the exchange of expertise and experience between the two countries to further support actions against the effects of climate change.982

On 28 October 2016, the Secretariat of Environment and Natural Resources (SEMARNAT) announced that the federal government will invest M$32.3 billion to enhance reforestation and wetland care, primarily mangrove restoration.983 The program will further the rehabilitation and sustainable management of Mexico’s forests.

On 17 November 2016, the Mexican Secretary of Energy, Pedro Joaquín Coldwell as well as the Head of the Delegation of the European Union (EU) in Mexico, Andrew Standley, announced a EUR20 million joint Mexican-EU geothermal initiative.984 The three-year GEMex program will explore the cost-effective use of geothermal energy, and it will be supervised by the Innovation and Networks Executive Agency (INEA).985 GEMex is an attempt to diversify Mexico’s energy system and reduce reliance on fossil fuels.

---

On 17 November 2016, Canada announced a CAD14 million agreement with Mexico and Chile to reduce short-lived climate pollutants (SLCPs).986

On 18 November 2016, Mexico proposed a mid-century Climate Change Strategy of updated scope and objectives at COP22. The extended climate change strategy includes a reduction of Mexico’s greenhouse gas emissions (GHGs) by 50 per cent by 2050 compared to levels in 2000.987

On 28 November 2016, Mexico participated in the two day 2016 Budapest Water Summit, and signed a MOU on water management with Hungary. The agreement encouraged technical and experiential collaboration between the two countries regarding, among other issues, river basin management planning, drought monitoring and flood control, wastewater treatment, and climate change.988

On 4 December 2016, SAGARPA and SEMARNAT signed an agreement to preserve the forests of Mexico, while encouraging sustainable energy production. Secretary Rafael Pacchiano Alamán concluded that “based on the coordination between both agencies, we will be able to fulfill the commitment that Mexico acquired in the Paris Agreement to achieve a zero rate of deforestation.”989

On 4 December 2016, the Government of Mexico initiated the 13th Conference of the Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) in Cancun, Mexico. 167 countries participated in the 13 day conference and agreed to several measures on forestry, fisheries, and ecosystem restoration intended to satisfy the 2030 Agenda on Sustainable Development and the Aichi Biodiversity Targets by 2020.990

On 5 December 2016, President Enrique Peña Nieto, in conjunction with the SEMARNAT and the National Commission of Protected Areas (CONANP), authorized the creation of three additional Marine Protected Areas (MPAs). The new reserves further contribute to Mexico’s commitment to the international Biodiversity Convention MPA Target 11.991

On 7 December 2016, the Government of Mexico established five new Safeguard Zones, regions protected from hydrocarbon exploration and extraction. The zones include all of the country’s Ramsar sites (wetlands) and mangrove forests. Dr. Braulio Ferreira de Souza Dias, Executive Secretary of the Convention on Biological Diversity, commented that the new reserves are “a

989 Firman Sagarpa y Semarnat acuerdo de colaboración para preservar bosques y fortalecer la sustentabilidad alimentaria del país, Secretariat of the Environment and Natural Resources (Mexico City) 4 December 2016. Access Date: 2 January 2017. http://www.gob.mx/semarnat/prensa/firman
remarkable step towards reaching Aichi Biodiversity Target 11 to protect marine and terrestrial areas, and a fitting opening of the UN Biodiversity Conference. It demonstrates Mexico’s commitment to achieve Aichi Target 11 and to contribute significantly to implementation of the Biodiversity Strategic Plan.  

Mexico has demonstrated through strong and effective action through implementing a cap and trade emission program, its commitment to sustainable development by creating environmental protection plans, investing in renewable energy, and signing bilateral agreements. Thus, Mexico receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Harrison Myles**

**Russia: −1**

Russia has not complied with its commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.

On 22 April 2016, Russia signed the Paris Agreement. On 16 June 2016, Special Presidential Representative on Climate Issues Alexander Bedritsky said that Russia plans to ratify the Paris Agreement no sooner that in 2019-2020.

According to Russia’s Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC) towards achieving the objective of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), Russia aims to limit anthropogenic greenhouse gases in Russia to 70-75 per cent of 1990 levels by 2030. The period for this goal implementation begins on 1 January 2020.  

On 21 September 2016, Special Representative Bedritsky stated that Russia needs to evaluate the economic and social impact of the Paris Agreement before it ratifies the Agreement.

In November 2016, Special Presidential Representative Bedritsky participated in the joint high-level segment of the Conference of the Parties to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change, 12th Conference of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and 1st Conference of the Parties to the Paris Agreement in Marrakesh. He noted that Russia considers the entry into force of the Paris Agreement to be “a good incentive and opportunity to evolve toward a low carbon future.” Mr. Bedritsky said that the Russian Government was developing a package of measures to improve state regulation of greenhouse gas emissions and prepare for ratification of the Agreement, including through elaboration of a strategy for long-term development (middle of the century) with low greenhouse emissions. According to him by 2024 Russia plans to put into service solar and wind power stations and small hydroelectric plants with the capacity of about 6 GW. Mr. Bedritsky also emphasised that although the UNFCCC and the Paris Agreement did not legally bind Russia to...
provide financial assistance to developing countries, it provided climate-related donor support voluntarily.996

In October 2016, the Russia-funded project of the UN programme aimed at enhancing the capabilities of small developing island nations in the Pacific to effectively prepare for and respond to natural disasters, including those linked with climate change was launched in Fiji. The project’s total budget amounts to USD 7.5 million.997

On 23 December 2016, Russian President stated that “Russia made fairly stringent commitments” under the Paris Agreement and that it would comply with them. He said that Russia was ready for implementation of these agreements.998

As of February 2017, Russia did not ratify the Paris Agreement on Climate Change.999

On 3 November 2016, the Government of Russia adopted the plan for implementation of a set of measures to ratify the Paris agreement on climate change.1000 According to the Plan “a report assessing social and economic consequences from the ratification of the Paris Agreement will be drawn up by December 2017,” and “a final report for the president on the expediency of ratifying the Paris Agreement is due in the first quarter of 2019.”1001

Russia has not demonstrated commitment to sustainable development and has not provided strong and effective support to address climate change. Thus, Russia receives a score of −1.

Analysts: Yi Fan Zheng & Mark Rakhmangulov

Saudi Arabia: 0

Saudi Arabia has partially complied with its commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.

Saudi Arabia outlined the following goals in its Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC), submitted 10 November 2015. The Government of Saudi Arabia agrees to mitigate 130 million tons of CO2 by 2030 through economic diversification away from oil and climate change adaptation.

On 9 October 2016, Japan and Saudi Arabia agreed to advance cooperation in the renewable energy sectors.1002

996 Alexander Bedritsky took part in the Conference of the Parties to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change, President of Russia 16 November 2016. http://en.kremlin.ru/catalog/persons/51/events/53267
997 Alexander Bedritsky took part in the Conference of the Parties to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change, President of Russia 16 November 2016. http://en.kremlin.ru/catalog/persons/51/events/53267
998 Vladimir Putin’s annual news conference, President of Russia, 23 December 2016. Access Date: 20 February http://en.kremlin.ru/events/president/transcripts/press_conferences/53573
In November 2016, Saudi Arabia along with nine other members of the Oil and Gas Climate Initiative (OCCI) announced a plan to invest USD1 billion into the development of innovative, low emissions technologies over the next ten years.\textsuperscript{1003}

On 3 November 2016, Saudi Arabia ratified the Paris Agreement.\textsuperscript{1004}

On 21 November 2016, Saudi Arabia announced plans to establish a national program to optimize water and energy consumption.\textsuperscript{1005}

On 19 December 2016, the first wind turbine was delivered to Saudi Arabia. Implementation is projected to occur sometime in January 2017.\textsuperscript{1006}

Saudi Arabia has demonstrated commitment to sustainable development by advancing renewable energy sources and optimizing water consumption. Saudi Arabia has not provided strong and effective support and actions to address climate change. Thus, Saudi Arabia receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Jenna Cardoso}

**South Africa: −1**

South Africa has not complied with its commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.

On 1 November 2016, South Africa ratified the Paris Agreement on Climate Change.\textsuperscript{1007} Thus, South Africa receives a score of −1.

\textit{Analyst: Yi Fan Zheng}

**Turkey: 0**

Turkey has partially complied with its commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.

As of February 2017, Turkey did not ratify the Paris Agreement on Climate Change.\textsuperscript{1008}

According to Turkey’s presented Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDC) towards achieving the objective of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), Turkey aims to achieve up to 21 per cent reduction in Greenhouse Gas (GHG)


\textsuperscript{1005} Saudi Arabia to establish national water and energy efficiency program, 21 November 2016, Reuters. Access Date: 3 January 2017 http://www.reuters.com/article/us-saudi-utilities-idUSKBN13G21V


\textsuperscript{1008} Paris Agreement - Status of Ratification, United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change 1 November 2016, Access Date: 3 November 2016. http://unfccc.int/paris_agreement/items/9444.php
emissions from the Business-as-usual (BAU) level by 2030.\textsuperscript{1009} The implementation period of the INDC goal begins in 2021.

On 20 December 2016, the World Bank approved a USD132.7 million loan for the Turkish Sustainable Cities Project.\textsuperscript{1010} This government initiated project is the first of a series of projects that aim at improving economic, financial, environmental, and social sustainability of Turkish cities.\textsuperscript{1011} Turkey has not provided strong and effective support and actions to address climate change. Turkey has supported sustainable development. Thus, Turkey receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Yi Fan Zheng}

\textbf{United Kingdom: +1}

The United Kingdom has partially complied with its commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.

The EU Nationally Determined Contribution commits the European Union and its member states (including Britain) to domestic greenhouse gas reductions of 40 per cent below 1990 levels by 2030.\textsuperscript{1012} However, in light of the UK’s separation from the EU, this target may change.

ON 24 October 2016 the Roadmap to US$100 billion was published. The Roadmap saw developed countries come together to set a plan for meeting the collective goal of mobilizing US$100 billion per year in climate finance for developing countries by 2020. It was led by the UK and Australia.\textsuperscript{1013}

On 18 November 2016, the UK ratified the Paris Agreement.\textsuperscript{1014}

On 22 January 2017, the UK announced that it will assist Fiji in two key areas of climate change development this year. “The UK has offered assistance to the Government of Fiji” said British High Commissioner to Fiji Melanie Hopkins, with a focus on aiding Fiji in its presidency for the upcoming COP23 this year.\textsuperscript{1015}

On 23 January 2017, Prime Minister Theresa May announced plans to turn nation’s early adoption of clean energy into a global business after Brexit in the newly released industrial-strategy paper. One of the main pillars of the proposed strategy is to support affordable energy and clean growth towards

\textsuperscript{1009} Republic of Turkey - Intended Nationally Determined Contribution, United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, Access Date: 13 November 2016, http://www4.unfccc.int/Submissions/INDC/Published%20Documents/Turkey/1/The_INDC_of_TURKEY_v.15.19.30.pdf
a low-carbon economy. According to the strategy paper, the UK will prioritize costs and security of supply. On this pillar the UK states it “will keep energy costs down for businesses, build the energy infrastructure we need for new technologies, and secure the economic benefits of our move towards a low-carbon economy.”

On 2 February 2017, the UK government released its White Paper on the United Kingdom’s exit from and new partnership with the European Union that provides assurances that the nation will remain a “leading actor working with European and other international partners, in global efforts to tackle major challenges, including climate change” and environmental policy following its imminent departure from the EU. The Paper commits “to leave the environment in a better state than we found it” with particular mentions in farming and marine protections.

The United Kingdom has taken strong and effective steps to assure the public of its commitment to climate change action and sustainable development that would have widespread impact. Thus, the United Kingdom receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Cindy Ou

United States: +1

The United States has fully complied with its commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.

The US Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) commits to “reducing its greenhouse gas emissions by 26 per cent - 28 per cent below its 2005 level in 2025 and to make best efforts to reduce its emissions by 28 per cent.”

On 7 September 2016, the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) updated the Cross-State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR) to reduce power plant nitrogen oxide emissions in 22 states that contribute to cross-state ozone problems in the eastern US.

On 9 September 2016, the US Secretary of Energy and the US Secretary of the Interior announced a collaborative strategy on the development of offshore wind power in the US. The strategy, National Offshore Wind Strategy: Facilitating the Development of the Offshore Wind Industry in the United

---

States, lays out a plan which could develop 86 giga-watts of offshore wind power in the US by 2050.\textsuperscript{1022}

On 13 September 2016, the Department of Energy announced USD37 million to fund 16 projects which could transform energy storage and conversion and overcome the current technological limitations with batteries and fuel cells.\textsuperscript{1023}

On 15 September 2016, President Obama designated 4,913 square miles of deep-sea ecosystem off the coast of New England as the Northeast Canyons and Seamounts Marine National Monument. This designation will protect the ecosystem and marine species living within it.\textsuperscript{1024}

On 15 September 2016, the Department of the Interior announced the approval of a 100 mega-watt solar project in Nevada. The project is expected to generate enough electricity to power 25,000 homes.\textsuperscript{1025}

On 22 September 2016, the EPA announced the release of its strategy to reduce air pollution at US ports.\textsuperscript{1026}

On 26 September 2016, the Office of the Press Secretary announced USD80 million in federal investments to the Smart Cities Initiative which aims to make US cities more habitable, cleaner and more equitable. It will also double the number of cities and communities participating in the initiative to over 70 total. This funding will be focused on climate, transportation, public safety and transforming city services.\textsuperscript{1027}

On 6 October 2016, the White House announced that the US will adopt the Global Market-Based Measures (GMBM) to reduce the carbon emissions produced by international aviation. By 2020, US airlines will need to offset any increases in carbon emissions through carbon credits.\textsuperscript{1028}


On 15 October 2016, the White House announced that the US will adopt an amendment to the Montreal Protocol which will see the production and consumption of hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) decrease by 80 per cent by 2030.1029

On 25 October 2016, the Department of the Interior announced the creation of Great Thicket National Wildlife Refuge. The refuge will help to conserve and manage shrubland and young forests for wildlife in New England and New York.1030

On 2 November 2016, the Department of Energy announced USD32 million in funding for ten projects which will attempt to reduce the energy usage of individual vehicles (cars and trucks) by 20 per cent. This funding is part of the Next-Generation Energy Technologies for Connected and Autonomous On-Road Vehicles (NEXTCAR).1031

On 10 November 2016, the Secretary of the Interior Sally Jewell and Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) Director Abigail Ross Hopper finalized the rules governing the development of solar and wind energy projects on public lands. Created by these finalized rules is a new leasing program that will support “renewable energy development through competitive leasing processes and incentives to encourage development in suitable areas.”1032

On 18 November 2016, the Secretary of the Interior Sally Jewell and Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) Director Abigail Ross Hopper released the government’s plan to guide future energy development in Nation’s Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) for 2017 - 2022. The final plan includes 11 potential lease sales for oil and gas development, 10 in the Gulf of Mexico and one off the coast of Alaska in the Cook Inlet area.1033

On 8 December 2016, the US Environmental Protection Agency awarded approximately USD1.1 million to projects in Virginia which protect wetlands. Wetlands provide a source of drinking water, help to prevent flooding and provide resilience to climate change.1034

On 16 December 2016, the Secretary of the Interior Sally Jewell and Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) Director Abigail Ross Hopper announced the US’s sixth competitive lease sale

for renewable energy in federal waters. The completed lease sale included 79,350 acres of federal waters offshore of New York for the development of wind energy.1035

On 16 December 2016, President Barack Obama signed the Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation (WIIN) into law. WIIN includes projects which will help restore watersheds, improve waterways and flood control and improve drinking water infrastructure. The Act includes USD170 million for communities around the country which face drinking water crisis.1036

On 20 December 2016, President Barack Obama and Prime Minister Justin Trudeau announced the designation of all Arctic Canadian waters as off limits to future offshore oil and gas licensing as well as a large majority of US waters in the Chukchi and Beaufort Seas as off limits to future offshore oil and gas leasing.1037, 1038

The United States has demonstrated commitment to sustainable development by instituting various protections against the environmental degradation of its lands and oceans and by investing in making cities more habitable and environmentally friendly, and in renewable energy sources. The United States has also provided strong and effective support and actions to address climate change by developing various national climate change adaptation and mitigation policies, rules and strategies. Thus, the United States receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Lauren Dalgliesh

European Union: +1

The European Union has fully complied with its commitment to sustainable development and strong and effective support and actions to address climate change.

The EU Nationally Determined Contribution commits the European Union and its member states to domestic greenhouse gas reductions of 40 per cent below 1990 levels by 2030.1039

On 4 October 2016, the European Parliament approved the ratification of the Paris Agreement by the EU.1040

On 7 October 2016, the European Commission announced that all EU members will participate in the landmark agreement reached by the International Civil Aviation Organization to adopt a Global Marker-Based Measure (GMBM) which will reduce international aviation greenhouse gas emissions.

---

The GMBM requires that post 2020 airlines offset any growth in the greenhouse gas emissions by buying emission credits generated from other sectors of the economy.\textsuperscript{1041}

On 13 October 2016, the European Commission announced EUR3 million to help replace hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) in Latin America and the Caribbean.\textsuperscript{1042}

On 18 October 2016, the European Union and the Eastern Partner countries (Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Georgia, Republic of Moldova and Ukraine) adopted a Ministerial Declaration stating that the European Union and the Eastern Partners will increase their cooperation on environmental issues and climate change as well as promoting sustainable and inclusive economic development.\textsuperscript{1043}

On 20 October 2016, the European Commission announced three commitments to implement the New Urban Agenda. These commitments are to deliver the New Urban Agenda through the Urban Agenda for the EU, develop a global, harmonized definition of cities and foster cooperation between cities in the field of sustainable urban development.\textsuperscript{1044}

On 3 November 2016, the European Commission announced the approval of EUR222.7 million to be invested in the EU’s transition to a sustainable and low-carbon economy. This funding package will be used to help fund 144 innovative LIFE projects in a variety of areas including Environment & Resource Efficiency; Nature & Biodiversity; Climate Change Adaptation and Mitigation; and Climate & Environmental Governance and Information. The LIFE programme is the programme through which the European Union funds its environment and climate projects and has been running since 1992.\textsuperscript{1045}

On 22 November 2016, the European Commission released its strategy for achieving sustainable development both in Europe and around the world. The strategy lays out how the EU’s top political priorities align with or contribute to the implementation of the United Nations 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and achieving the Sustainable Development Goals.\textsuperscript{1046}

On 24 November 2016, the European Commission Vice-President for Energy Union Maroš Šefčovič and Energy Minister of Ukraine, Ihor Nasalyk signed a new Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) on a Strategic Energy Partnership between the EU and the Ukraine. The MOU will enhance cooperation between the two countries on energy security, energy market integration, energy efficiency, decarbonizing the economy and energy research.\textsuperscript{1047}

On 30 November 2016, the European Commission announced the program, Clean Energy for All Europeans, which is a series of measures to keep the EU competitive during the global energy markets’ transition to clean energy. The program focuses on a wide range of initiatives including new regulations around Ecodesign, improving the energy efficiency of buildings and increasing access to financing.\(^{1048}\)

On 12 December 2016, the European Commission approved EUR7.681 billion for four renewable energy projects (geothermal, biogas, hydroelectric and wind) to help France boost its renewable energy production capacity by approximately 2148MW\(^{1049}\).

On 14 December 2016, the European Parliament and the Council signed the National Emissions Ceilings (NEC) Directive into law. The directive sets stricter limits on each country’s emissions of the five main pollutants in Europe, sulphur dioxide, ammonia, volatile organic compounds, nitrogen oxides and fine particulate matter. The law will enter into force on 31 December 2016.\(^ {1050}\)

The European Union has demonstrated commitment to sustainable development by increasing funding to renewable energy projects and enhancing cooperation on energy and the environment both within the EU and between the EU and surrounding countries. The European Union has provided strong and effective support and actions to address climate change by implementing multi-country programs. Thus, the European Union receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Lauren Dalgliesh

---


7. Trade: Anti-protectionism

“We extend our commitments to standstill and rollback of protectionist measures till the end of 2018, reaffirm our determination to deliver on them.”

_G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué_

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>No Compliance</th>
<th>Partial Compliance</th>
<th>Full Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background

The G20 commitment to reduce protectionist barriers has featured in G20 summit documents since the Washington Summit of 2008. This commitment was part of the response by the G20 to the 2008 financial crisis and has previously been extended three times: at the 2009 London Summit, at the 2010 Toronto Summit, and at the Los Cabos Summit until the end of 2014. At the St. Petersburg Summit the commitment was once again extended until the end of 2016. At the 2015 G20 Summit in Antalya, Turkey, G20 members once again reaffirmed their commitment to a standstill and rollback on protectionist measures.

During the most recent 2016 Hangzhou Summit, G20 members once again reaffirmed their commitment to a standstill and rollback protectionist measures.1051 To achieve this aim, the G20 asked the World Trade Organisation (WTO), the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), and the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development

---

(UNCTAD) to share the responsibility of monitoring and measuring of trade and investment restrictive measures.1052

A 2015 report from the European Parliament has identified border measures, which includes export restrictions, as the predominant form of trade protectionism among G20 Member countries. In addition, the report highlights behind-the-border measures, including measures relating to government procurement and restrictions associated with technical regulations, as the second common form of trade protectionism. Furthermore, ongoing uncertainty in the global economy underlines the need for G20 economies to show restraint in the imposition of new measures and to actively eliminate existing ones. Of the 1,583 trade restrictive measures recorded by this exercise since the onset of the crisis in 2008, only 387 have been removed as of Mid-May 2016. The total number of restrictive measures still in place now stands at 1196— up by about 21 per cent by November 2015.

In response to the increasing stockpile of restrictive measures, the WTO, OECD, and UNCTAD have called upon the G20 to roll back on existing forms of protectionism. Compliance with the trade commitment must therefore also be measured in terms of how each member country has rolled back on protectionist measures.

According to a 2015 report from the B20, the G20 must prioritize rolling back on localization barriers to trade (LBTs), as they has been identified as an emerging and damaging non-tariff barrier. LBTs can be defined as any measure that demands that a good or service maintains a certain amount of domestic input, at the expense of foreign investment. This includes forced local content requirements, forced local procurement, forced local ownership, or forced data storage and processing or obstacles to data migration.

**Commitment Features**

This commitment mandates that G20 members both 1) standstill — cease the implementation of new protectionist measures, and 2) rollback — reducing or eliminating, existing protectionist measures. Raising new measures includes both the act of implementing a protectionist measure as well as announcing or enacting plans to implement new barriers as it is considered critically important that the state act in a way that discourages protectionism.

Protectionist measures are implemented and designed to keep out imports while supporting domestic industries. Global Trade Alert (GTA), a monitoring service operated by the London-based Centre for Economic Policy Research, defines protectionism broadly as anything that hurts another country’s commercial interests.1053 It includes government bailouts of domestic companies, wage subsidies, export and VAT rebates, export credits and financing from state-owned banks.1054 Whether the measures in question are positive or negative for the economy or country is not considered.1055

---


Protectionist trade barriers, according to the World Trade Organization (WTO) include instruments such as “tariffs, non-tariff measures, subsidies, and burdensome administrative procedures regarding imports.”1056 Subsidies in particular cause competition-distorting effects. The WTO states, “the longer the subsidies remain in place, the more they will distort market-based production and investment decisions globally, the greater will become the threat of chronic trade distortions developing, and the more difficult it will become to correct those distortions.”1057 Investment barriers include, but are not limited to measures that discriminate against foreign-based institutions or act as barriers to outward investment flows. Expanding existing, or implementing new, free trade agreements is a form of eliminating trade barriers and will count towards the rolling back of protectionist measures.

Scoring Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>The G20 member implemented new protectionist measures AND existing measures were not rolled back</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>The G20 member did not implement new protectionist measures BUT existing measures were not rolled back OR the G20 member did implement new protectionist measures BUT existing measures were rolled back.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>The G20 member did not implement new protectionist measures AND existing measures were rolled back</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lead Analyst: Philip Basaric

Argentina: 0

Argentina has partially complied with its commitment to standstill and rollback of protectionist measures.

On 23 September 2017, ministers from Brazil and Argentina signed a document in order to guide the technical areas of both countries to continue with the Digital Certificate of Origin Pilot Project (COD), aiming to extend and deepen the bilateral trade as well as to promote integration between Brazil and Argentina. In addition, the deal with the Digital Certificate of Origin may save 35 per cent of costs associated with issuing the certificate of origin (CO), in addition to reducing logistics costs for the company.1058

On 21 November 2016, the leaders of Japan and Argentina met, and in an official statement, “The two leaders expressed their expectation toward the promotion of trade and investment between the two countries.”1059 The two countries also signed a memorandum of cooperation between the Japan External Trade Organization and the International Investment and Trade Agency of Argentina.1060 This is aimed at increasing trade volumes, cooperation and consultation between the two countries.

---

On 14 December 2016, Argentina also announced that it is seeking a bilateral trade agreement with the United Kingdom, and indicated openness to discussing the disputed Malvinas/Falkland Islands.\textsuperscript{1061}

Argentina did not implement new protectionist measures, however has not rolled back existing measures. Thus, Argentina receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Josh Gold}

**Australia: +1**

Australia has fully complied with the commitment to roll back protectionist measures. On 20 December 2015, Australia commenced phased tariff elimination of its remaining tariffs on Chinese originating goods under the China-Australia Free Trade Agreement.\textsuperscript{1062} In January 2017, full tariff reductions under the agreement were expected to come into effect.\textsuperscript{1063} The phased tariff elimination for imports from China included products such as apparel, electronics, ground nuts, polymers.\textsuperscript{1064}

In March 2016 negotiations on the Indonesia-Australia Comprehensive Economic Partnership Agreement were reactivated and are still ongoing.\textsuperscript{1065}

Australia has rolled back existing protectionism measures, and also not implemented new ones. Thus, Australia receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Alessandra Jenkins}

**Brazil: 0**

Brazil has partially complied with its commitment to eliminate and rollback protectionist measures.

On 23 September 2017, ministers from Brazil and Argentina signed a document in order to guide the technical areas of both countries to continue with the Digital Certificate of Origin Pilot Project (COD), aiming to extend and deepen the bilateral trade as well as to promote integration between Brazil and Argentina. In addition, the deal with the Digital Certificate of Origin may save 35 per cent of costs associated with issuing the certificate of origin (CO), in addition to reducing logistics costs for the company.\textsuperscript{1066}

While no new protectionist measures were registered during the compliance period, Brazil has not rolled back existing protectionist measures. Thus Brazil receives a score of 0.

*Analyst: Josh Gold*

**Canada: +1**

Canada has fully complied with the commitment to reduce protectionist measures and barriers to trade.

On 30 October 2016, Prime Minister Justin Trudeau, European Commission President Jean-Claude Juncker, and European Council President Donald Tusk signed the Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA).\(^{1067}\) The agreement includes the elimination of tariffs on European exports entering Canada including agricultural products, wine, and spirits.\(^{1068}\) The agreement also removes barriers to foreign competition from the European Union on Canadian public procurement markets.\(^{1069}\)

On 16 December 2016, Canada ratified the World Trade Organization Agreement on Trade Facilitation (TFA).\(^{1070}\) The TFA will reduce bilateral costs of trading with Canada by simplifying customs and borders procedures.\(^{1071}\)

Canada has therefore taken steps to rollback existing protectionist measures, and also p not implemented any new protectionist policies. Thus, Canada receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Alessandra Jenkins*

**China: +1**

China has fully complied with its commitment to further free-trade and roll back protectionist measures.

On 7 September 2016, Chinese Premier Li Keqiang met with the leaders of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) countries at the 19th ASEAN-China Summit. Premier Li made a proposal to advance opportunities for expanding economic and trade cooperation amongst ASEAN members. Specifically, Li proposed to upgrade the current China-ASEAN Free Trade Agreement to further trade liberalization, take steps to advance China’s Belt and Road initiative and push for the

---


development of major railway projects between China and ASEAN countries to deepen interconnectivity between markets. ASEAN countries in return agreed to further enhance economic cooperation and accelerate talks on upgrading the China-ASEAN FTA.\textsuperscript{1072}

On 7 November 2016, Premier Li Keqiang held talks with Russian Prime Minister Dmitry Medvedev in St. Petersburg, Russia to strengthen bilateral relations in numerous areas. Both sides agreed to strengthen economic cooperation, emphasizing the importance of increasing bilateral trade, encouraging mutual investments and expanding cooperation in supporting the active participation of small and medium-sized enterprises. Both sides also agreed to work towards furthering globalization and free trade by better connecting China’s Silk Road Economic Belt initiative with the Eurasian Economic Union.\textsuperscript{1073} Medvedev stated that Russia’s trade turnover with China in 2016 exceeded USD40 billion and hoped to work towards reaching a turnover of USD200 billion with China in the next three to seven years.\textsuperscript{1074}

China has not implemented any new protectionist measures, and has promoted free trade. Thus, China receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Bryan Roh}

\textbf{France: 0}

France has partially complied with the commitment to rollback protectionist measures.

No new protectionist measures were registered during the compliance period.

France has not implemented new protectionist measures, however no measures were rolled back. Thus, France receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analysts: Philip Basaric & Ujwal Ganguly}

\textbf{Germany: 0}

Germany has partially complied with the commitment to rollback protectionist measures.

No new protectionist measures were registered during the compliance period.

Germany has not implemented new protectionist measures, however no measures were rolled back. Thus, Germany receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analysts: Philip Basaric & Ujwal Ganguly}

\textbf{India: 0}

India has partially complied with its commitment to roll back protectionist measures and barriers to trade.

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{1073} Li Keqiang Co-Chairs the 21st Regular Meeting Between Chinese Premier and Russian Prime Minister with Prime Minister Dmitry Medvedev of Russia, Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the People’s Republic of China (Beijing) 8 November 2016. Access Date: 14 November 2016. http://www.fmprc.gov.cn/mfa_eng/topics_665678/lkqfwjejstshsktlwyelsbcxxgjhy/t1414015.shtml
\textsuperscript{1074} Russian premier content with level of economic cooperation with China, Russian News Agency (Moscow) 7 November 2016. Access Date: 14 November 2016. http://tass.com/economy/910789
\end{flushright}
On 23 September 2016, India reduced import tariffs on wheat from 25 per cent to 10 per cent. Tariffs on crude palm oil and refined vegetable oils were also reduced.\(^{1075}\)

On 6 October 2016, Minimum Import Price (MIP) on steel products was extended for a second period of two months.\(^{1076}\)

On 9 December 2016, the import tariff on wheat was eliminated.\(^{1077}\)

India implemented new protectionist measures by extending the MIP for steel, but it also rolled back existing protectionist measures for other imported goods. Thus, India receives a score of 0.

*Analyst: Ahmed Hasan*

**Indonesia: 0**

Indonesia has partially complied with its commitment to roll back protectionist measures and barriers to trade.

On 7 September 2016, Chinese Premier Li Keqiang met with the leaders of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) countries at the 19th ASEAN-China Summit. Premier Li made a proposal to advance opportunities for expanding economic and trade cooperation amongst ASEAN members. Specifically, Li proposed to upgrade the current China-ASEAN Free Trade Agreement to further trade liberalization, take steps to advance China’s Belt and Road initiative and push for the development of major railway projects between China and ASEAN countries to deepen interconnectivity between markets. ASEAN countries in return agreed to further enhance economic cooperation and accelerate talks on upgrading the China-ASEAN FTA.\(^{1078}\)

On 26 September 2016, Indonesia amended its quota system on cattle imported from Australia. The existing system was replaced by a requirement that one-sixth of all Australian cattle exports must be for designated breeding purposes.\(^{1079}\)

On 25 October 2016, Deputy Chief of Staff Denni Purbasari indicated that Indonesia was looking into replacing its licensing system for importers of certain food commodities with a tariff system instead.\(^{1080}\)

On 15 November 2016, Trade Minister Enggartiasto Lukita indicated that the Indonesian government was considering opening cattle imports to Brazil, Mexico, and Spain.\(^{1081}\)

---


Indonesia has not introduced new protectionist measures, however measures have not been rolled back. Thus, Indonesia receives a score of 0.

*Analyst: Ahmed Hasan*

**Italy: 0**

Italy has partially complied with its commitment to rollback and eliminate protectionist measures and promote free trade.

On 18 January 2017, Foreign Affairs Secretary Perfecto Yasay Jr of the Philippines, and Italian Foreign Minister Angelino Alfano met to strengthen the Philippines-Italy Memorandum of Understanding (MOU). They aim to further strengthen Italy-Philippines trade relations. “We would like to pursue more trade and investments cooperation with Italy. Philippine-Italian trade has been increasing through the years, but it still has not reached its full potential,” said Secretary Yasay.1082

Italy has not implemented new protectionist measures, however it has not rolled back existing ones. Thus, Italy has been awarded a score of 0.

*Analyst: Tanzeel Fatima*

**Japan: 0**

Japan has partially complied with its commitment to further free-trade and roll back protectionist policies.

Japan has not introduced any new protectionist measures, nor has it rolled back any existing protectionist policies. Thus, Japan receives a score of 0.

*Analyst: Bryan Rob*

**Korea: +1**

Korea has fully complied with its commitment to further free-trade by eliminating protectionist measures not introducing any new ones.

On 8 September 2016, President Park Geun-hye held talks with Indian President Narendra Modi in Vientiane, Laos to deepen bilateral economic ties. Both sides agreed to continue to advance talks on improving the Comprehensive Economic Partnership Agreement (CEPA), a free trade agreement (FTA) between Korea and India which came into effect in 2010. Park emphasized the importance of further promoting free trade and market opening in a time of global economic slowdown and rising trade protectionism. In particular, Park requested to Modi that India help address the issues Korean businesses encountered with India’s import regulations in the steel and chemical sectors. Modi also shared Korea’s concern with increasing protectionism across the globe and the need to improve CEPA.1083

On 16 November 2016, Korean Minister of Trade, Industry and Energy Joo Hyung-hwan met with the trade ministers of Costa Rica, Honduras, Guatemala, El Salvador, Panama, and Nicaragua to

---

1083 Korea and India share views on accelerating CEPA improvement talks, Korean Culture and Information Service (Sejong) 8 September 2016. Access Date: 12 October 2016. http://www.korea.net/NewsFocus/policies/view?articleId=140487
conclude the final terms to the Korea-Central America FTA. “The free trade deals will help extend the trade and investment volume between Korean firms, including small and medium-sized enterprises, and our Central American partners,” stated Hyung-hwan. Specifically, all parties agreed to eliminate approximately 95 per cent of goods made in each country within a time span of ten years after the FTA takes effect. The FTA will open sectors in Central American markets that Korea prominently exports out such as automobiles, cosmetics, pharmaceuticals and home appliances. In return, the FTA will require Korea to eliminate tariffs on certain Central American exports such as coffee, raw sugar and tropical fruits within a seven year time frame. Korea rolled back existing protectionist policies, and has not implemented new ones. Thus, Korea receives a score of +1.

**Mexico: +1**

Mexico has fully complied with its commitment to standstill and rollback on protectionist measures.

On 8 December 2016, Bancomext CEO Alejandro Díaz de León and Bancóldez President Luis Fernando Castro led talks at the “Mexico-Colombia Business Meeting: Opening New Markets.” Inspired by the desire to expand bilateral trade and investment between the two countries, Bancomext and Bancóldez successfully financed, co-financed, and guaranteed investment projects while securing credit support developed to promote the import and export of trade goods and services. On 26 December 2016, Mexico’s Secretariat of Economy published in the Federal Register a report outlining the maximum quota allotment of sugar exports to the United States for the 2016 and 2017 sugar cycle. The figure of 870,688.94 metric tons represents an 11 per cent decrease in said quota compared to the 2015 and 2016 cycle. Mexico rolled back existing protectionist measures, and has not implemented new ones. Thus, Mexico receives a score of +1.

**Russia: +1**

Russia has fully complied with its commitment to standstill or rollback protectionist measures.

On 26 September 2016 of the Russian Government decided to temporary abolish the export duties on wheat. It will remain in force from 23 September 2016 to 1 July 2018. Previously, the applied general export duty with respect to this particular tariff line was 50 per cent minus RUB6,500 per tonne but not less than RUB10 per tonne.
From 1 October 2016, Decree No. 1069 of the Russian Federation removed Value Added Tax (VAT) from the import and sales of certain breeding animals.  

On 11 October 2016, the Russian Federation partially lifted a ban on imported fruit from Turkey, while further restrictions are to be lifted in stages following safety checks.

On 20 October 2016, the Russian Government decided to exempt from the payment of Value Added Tax (VAT) as of 1 October 2016 the imports and sales of certain breeding animals (cattle, pigs, sheep, goats, horses, etc.) and abolished previously applied a 10 per cent VAT rate.

On 11 November 2016, the Eurasian Economic Commission eliminated import customs for industrial fatty alcohols, terephthalic acid and its salts, knitted or crocheted fabric and tanage.

On 22 November 2016, the Russian Federation signed an agreement with Syria to establish a free trade zone for agricultural products.

Russia has rolled back existing protectionist measures as well as refrained from implementing new ones. Thus, the Russian Federation receives a score of +1.

Analysts: Dillan Aube & Mark Rakhmangulov

Saudi Arabia: -1

Saudi Arabia has not complied with its commitments to standstill and rollback on protectionist measures.

On 2 October 2016, an increase of immigration fees across several visa categories came into effect. A single entry visa now costs USD533, an increase from the previous fee of USD93. A multiple entry, six-month visa will cost USD800 and a one-year visa will cost USD1333. The revised visa fees affect all tourists, religious or business visitors, but exempts those traveling for the first time to perform the annual Hajj pilgrimage or the lesser, non-mandatory pilgrimage known as the Umrah.

In November 2016, Saudi Arabian and Ethiopian ministers met to discuss further partnerships. Saudi Arabian Minister of Agriculture Abdul Rahman bin Abdul Mohsen Al-Fadhli, held talks with Prime Minister Hailemaram, on ways of bolstering Saudi investors involvement in the agriculture and livestock sectors of Ethiopia. The priority areas of engagement for Saudi investors are crop farming, livestock, energy and mining. While reducing its reliance on oil Saudi Arabia is looking to invest more in these areas.

---

Saudi Arabia has not rolled back existing protectionist measures and has implemented new ones. Thus, Saudi Arabia receives a score of –1.

Analyst: Tanzeel Fatima

South Africa: 0

South Africa has partially complied with its commitment to standstill and rollback on protectionist measures.

On 16 September 2016, South Africa temporarily reduced import tariffs on cane and beet sugar (HS 1701.12; 1701.13; 1701.14; 1701.91; 1701.99) to R 31.89 c/kg. This represents a further reduction from an earlier measure effective as of 5 August 2016.1095

On 27 October 2016, South Africa completed all administrative processes necessary for the implementation of a preferential trade agreement between the Southern African Customs Union (SACU) and the Common Market of the South. As a result, South Africa implemented the agreement retroactively from the date of the agreement’s entry into force on 1 April 2016.1096

On 2 November 2016, the European Union and South Africa each submitted the necessary notifications pertaining to the protection of their respective geographical indicators in accordance with protocol three of the SACU-EU Economic Partnership Agreement (EPA). The move indicates new market access for each party which became effective on 1 November 2016 under the SACU-EU EPA.1097

On 2 December 2016, the South African Revenue Service applied a 5 per cent import tariff on sweet corn, and a 20 per cent import tariff on mango juice.1098

On 11 January 2017, President Jacob Zuma signed the Tax Administration Laws Amendment Act, 2016 bill into law. Inter alia, the bill amends the Customs and Excise Tax Act, 1962, streamlining the scope of provisions relating to special economic zones and further aligning terminology used in the Special Economic Zones Act, 2014.1099

South Africa has rolled back existing protectionist measures, however has also implemented new ones. Thus, South Africa receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Patrick Downey

Turkey: 0

Turkey has partially complied with its commitments to standstill and rollback on protectionist measures.

---

On 7 September 2016, Turkey increased import tariffs to 21.8 per cent on new pneumatic types of rubber.\textsuperscript{1100}

On 22 September 2016, Deputy Chairman of the Kazakhstan Customs Administration Gosman AMRIN and Undersecretary of the Ministry of Customs and Trade of the Republic of Turkey Cenap AŞCI formalized bilateral discussions with an agreement on finalizing negotiations of existing agreements between the two customs authorities.\textsuperscript{1102}

On 10 November 2016, Turkey’s Deputy Prime Minister Mehmet Simsek and Qatar’s Economy and Trade Minister Sheikh Ahmed Bin Jassim Al Thani signed a memorandum of understanding, recognizing cooperation between the two states in development of political and economic relations.\textsuperscript{1102} Topics included cooperation on fair and free trade zones; parties expected significantly increased bilateral trade volume as a result of the agreement.\textsuperscript{1103}

On 11 November 2016, Turkey’s Minister of Customs and Trade Bülent TÜFENKÇİ and Belarus’s Chairman of the State Customs Committee Yuri Senko signed an Agreement on a Mutual Trade Promotion System. The agreement aims to reduce customs clearance time by streamlining procedures via information exchange pertaining to the movement of goods and vehicles between the two customs authorities.\textsuperscript{1104}

On 18 December 2016, Turkey’s Minister of Customs and Trade Bülent TÜFENKÇİ and Qatar’s Minister of Finance Ali Sherif AL-EMADI signed the Agreement on Co-Operations and Mutual Assistance in Customs Matters between the Government of the Republic of Turkey and the Government of the State of Qatar. Parties will act to enforce customs legislation while ensuring accurate collection of import and export duties, taxes, and other trade-related charges through the combined efforts of the two customs authorities.\textsuperscript{1105}

Turkey has expanded free trade however also implemented protectionist measures. Thus, Turkey receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Patrick Downey

United Kingdom: 0

The UK has partially complied with its commitments to standstill and rollback on protectionist measures.

On 6 September 2016, Secretary of State for International Trade of the UK Liam Fox and Australian Minister for Trade, Tourism and Investment Steven Ciobo announced in a joint statement the establishment of a bilateral trade working group to begin meeting twice annually in an effort to establish parameters for a future Australia-UK free trade agreement.1106

On 10 November 2016, Turkish Economy Minister Nihat Zeybekci confirmed to a parliamentary commission in Ankara that a “wide-ranging trade agreement with Britain” would come into effect after it finalizes it exit from the European Union.1107

On 11 November 2016, UK Minister for Asia Pacific met with senior ministers in New Zealand to discuss the requirements for a formal free trade deal to be put in place after negotiations are finalized for leaving the European Union.1108

The United Kingdom has not implemented new protectionist measures, however has not rolled back existing ones. Thus, the United Kingdom receives a score of 0.

**Analyst: Dillon Aube**

**United States: 0**

The United States has partially complied with the commitment to rollback protectionist measures.

On 30 September 2016, the Federal Communications Commission simplified foreign ownership filing for broadcast licensees in the United States. The change provides an extension of rules for foreign ownership review designed for common carriers and aeronautical licensees to broadcast licenses.1109 This liberalizes investment through simplified indirect foreign ownership in the sector.

On 12 December 2016, the Federal Transit Administration waived Buy America requirements for different products. This included a Greater Dayton Transit Authority request for the purchase of radio consoles from Mexico.1110 The Port Authority of New York also had the Buy America requirements waived for the procurement of equipment to replace damages from Hurricane Sandy in 2012.1111

On 16 December 2016, the Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act (WIIN Act) became public law in the United States Senate.1112 The WIIN Act includes provisions for the Buy

---

America policy in section 2114, stating State loan funds can only be allocated to projects if iron and steel products are produced in the United States.\textsuperscript{1113}

On 23 January 2017, President Donald Trump, signed an executive order formally withdrawing the country from the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) free trade agreement. The TPP had not been approved by Congress, however the executive order rolled back extensive negotiations that culminated in late 2015 with the endorsement of the 12 nations’ trade chiefs.\textsuperscript{1114}

On 23 January 2017, President Donald Trump delayed implementation of a rule allowing Argentine farmers to export lemons after a decade of talks, putting into doubt trade negotiations between the two countries. The White House ordered a stay of 60 days “on its final rule to allow the importation of fresh lemon fruit from northwest Argentina,” the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service said on its website.\textsuperscript{1115}

The US has rolled back existing protectionist measures, however has also implemented new ones. Thus, the United States receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Alessandra Jenkins}

\textbf{European Union: +1}

The European Union has fully complied with its commitments to standstill and rollback on protectionist measures.

On 30 October 2016, the Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA) was signed at the EU-Canada Summit. The free trade agreement will “remove customs duties, end restrictions on access to public contracts, open-up the services market, offer better conditions for investors and help prevent illegal copying of EU innovations and traditional products.”\textsuperscript{1116}

On 6 November 2017, the a new licence regime for agricultural products came into effect for the European Union, simplifying and standardizing procedures for obtaining import-export licences.\textsuperscript{1117}

On November 15 2016, Indonesia was added to the list of eligible countries in the EU Forest Law Enforcement, Governance and Trade Regulation, allowing for the exports of some previously restricted timbers to now be allowed into the European Union.\textsuperscript{1118}


The EU has rolled back existing protectionist measures while also not implementing new ones. Thus the EU receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Dillon Aube}
8. Trade: E-commerce

“[We endorse the G20 Strategy for Global Trade Growth, under which the G20 will lead by example to] promote e-commerce development”

G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background

At the 2016 Hangzhou Summit, G20 members recognized that rapid development of digital trade and technology has caused a profound transformation of economic and trade structures presenting new opportunities and challenges. E-commerce was worth approximately USD22.1 trillion in 2015, an increase of 38 per cent from 2013. For the first time, G20 members mentioned and committed to e-commerce development. In Hangzhou, G20 members agreed to the “G20 Strategy for Global Trade Growth,” which is “as an integral part of the G20’s agenda to achieve our ambition of two per cent additional growth by 2018 set by G20 leaders in Brisbane in 2014, and as part of its broader efforts to strengthen global growth and to advance the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), the following Strategy sets out concrete individual and collective actions to this end.”

The Strategy committed to lowering trade costs, harnessing trade and investment policy coherence, boosting trade in services, enhancing trade finance, addressing trade and development and promoting

---


**Commitment Features**

G20 members endorsed the Strategy for Global Trade Growth and committed to lead by example to promote e-commerce development.

On 9-10 July 2016, G20 trade ministers met in Shanghai, China for the G20 Trade Ministers Meeting. During this meeting, trade ministers issued the document “G20 Strategy for Global Trade Growth (SGTG),” which includes the article “Promoting e-commerce development.” G20 members agreed to “deepen discussions and cooperation on e-commerce issues” and took note of the B20’s initiative on the eWTP.\footnote{G20 Trade Ministers Meeting Statement, B20 Germany 10 July 2016. Access Date: 14 February 2017. https://www.b20germany.org/fileadmin/user_upload/documents/G20/g20-trade-ministers-statement-strategy-guidelines-investment-policymaking.pdf}

According to the World Trade Organization (WTO) electronic commerce, commonly known as e-commerce, involves goods and services crossing borders electronically. Broadly, e-commerce is the sale or purchase of goods or services conducted over the internet or other computer networks. An e-commerce transaction can be between enterprises, households, individuals, governments and other public or private organizations.\footnote{Briefing note: Electronic commerce, World trade Organization (2013) Access Date: 28 October 2016. https://www.wto.org/english/thewto_e/minist_e/mc9_e/brief_ecom_e.htm}

The Strategy for Global Trade Growth defined two priorities to promote e-commerce development: 1) deepen discussions and 2) deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues.

**Part One: Deepen Discussions**

In the Strategy for Global Trade Growth, the G20 emphasised that deepened discussion about the opportunities and challenges of e-commerce can facilitate global trade. Enhancing conversation will promote policy coherence, boost the participation of Small and Medium Sized Enterprises (SMEs) and developing countries in global trade as well as provide better, more inclusive access to digital technology. Therefore, G20 members must engage in discussion specifically related to SMEs and developing countries, research on trade-related policy issues, standards and patterns as well as the general opportunities and challenges of e-commerce/e-commerce development. The Strategy also took note of the Business 20’s (B20’s) initiative on an Electronic World Trade Platform (eWTP) which aims to complement the WTO and reduce barriers for SMEs making it easier to expand their trading capabilities worldwide.\footnote{Electronic World Trade Platform, Alibaba Group (2016) Access Date: 28 October 2016. http://www.alizila.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/eWTP.pdf}

Discussion is defined as a conversation, policy review, panel which critically assess e-commerce, e-commerce trade or e-commerce related activities and development. Discussion can take place with international organizations, businesses and/or governments as well as experts and professionals.

**Part Two: Deepen Cooperation**

The G20 developed several areas to deepen e-commerce cooperation in its Digital Economy Development and Cooperation Initiative:
• Promote cross-border trade facilitation for e-commerce by using trusted digital means, such as paperless customs clearance, electronic transaction documents, mutual recognition of digital authentication, electronic payment and online payment.

• Prevent barriers to market access and other barriers.

• Address issues relating to taxation, such as ensuring the efficient payment of appropriate taxes for international e-commerce, taking into account in particular the Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) issues.

• Improve international efforts to measure e-commerce, and the macroeconomic consequences of digital economy.

• Protect consumers’ rights and develop dispute resolution approaches, ensuring options for consumers that are adapted to the characteristics of e-commerce within the national framework of laws and regulations provided that they are consistent with member’s international legal obligations.

• Build confidence of users which is an essential element of the digital economy by ensuring the respect of privacy and protection of personal data.

Therefore, G20 members must deepen discussions as well as deepen cooperation to achieve full compliance. G20 members must take multiple steps, as laid out in each part of the commitment, to be considered compliant. Partial compliance is achieved if the G20 member takes multiple steps to achieve one part of the commitment and not the other. No compliance is achieved if the G20 member takes few steps to achieve either part or no steps at all.

Scoring Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>−1</td>
<td>The G20 member does not deepen discussions OR deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>The G20 member deepens discussions OR deepens cooperation on e-commerce issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>The G20 member deepens discussions AND deepens cooperation on e-commerce issues.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lead Analyst: Alissa Xinhe Wang

Argentina: 0

Argentina has partially complied with its commitment to deepen discussions on e-commerce and to deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues.

On 20 December 2016, the Argentine Central Bank issued Communication A 6118, an act that provides more flexibility to payments linked to e-commerce transactions. This act changed the requirements for payment processors entities and incorporated regulations to allow payments to be made through a payment processor. These changes aim to “increase and accelerate international e-commerce transactions.”

On 9 January 2017, the Central Bank of Argentina issued a new online immediate debit payment service. The service is part of Argentina’s “New Regulations towards a Digitized Financial Sector.” This service secures user-friendly transaction tools for e-commerce.

---
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Argentina has not deepened discussions on e-commerce issues related specifically to Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises (SMEs) and developing countries. Argentina deepened e-commerce cooperation through facilitating international online payments. Thus, Argentina receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Kelly Cholva

Australia: +1

Australia has partially complied with its commitment to deepen discussions on e-commerce and to deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues.

On 6 September 2016, Prime Minister Malcolm Turnbull witnessed Austrade sign an agreement with Chinese e-commerce group Alibaba’s executive, Chairman Jack Ma. This agreement will help Australian businesses, particularly small and medium enterprises (SMEs), access new global markets through Alibaba’s online platforms. Complementing the China-Australia Free Trade Agreement, this agreement builds on the prior commitment to reduce tariffs and strengthen business cooperation, while increasing exposure of Australian products to Chinese consumers.

On 6 September 2016, the ASEAN Australia Development Cooperation Program under its second phase (AADCP II) finalized the Master Plan of ASEAN Connectivity (MPAC) 2025. AADCP II "is a long-term (2008-19), AU$57 million program that supports ASEAN’s goal of establishing an ASEAN Economic Community by 2015 and the post-2015 vision." The ASEAN-Australia Economic Cooperation Program (AAECP) is funded by the Australian Government. The MPAC strategies include supporting e-commerce development. It further acknowledges "the increasing importance of e-commerce trade in the [ASEAN] region" under its Initiative 9: Enhance Supply Chain Efficiency through Addressing Key Chokepoints. ASEAN includes developing countries.

---

1131 http://www.mondaq.com/Argentina/x/558448/Financial+Services/The+Central+Bank+Implemented+A+New+Online+Immediate+Debit+Payment+Service
In October 2016, Australia and Indonesia launched the Final Leaders Program. Among the topics discussed was e-commerce.\textsuperscript{1139}

On 13 October 2016, Minister for Trade, Tourism and Investment, Steven Ciobo, and the Singaporean Minister for Trade and Investment, Lim Hng Kiang, signed the Agreement to Amend Singapore-Australian Free Trade Agreement (SAFTA).\textsuperscript{1140} Amendments addressing e-commerce include not imposing duties on digital products, allowing the free flow of data across borders for businesses, online consumer protections and the maintenance of a legal framework governing electronic transactions.\textsuperscript{1141,1142}

On 10 November 2016, Secretary of the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade, Peter N. Varghese gave a speech to the Committee for Economic Development of Australia issuing his support for free trade agreements (FTA).\textsuperscript{1143} He identified that his support for both the China-Australia Free Trade Agreement (ChAFTA) and the Trans Pacific Partnership (TPP) involves provisions on e-commerce and a global economy.\textsuperscript{1144}

Australia has deepened discussions on e-commerce issues related specifically to Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises (SMEs) and developing countries. Australia deepened e-commerce cooperation through enhancing trade ties, facilitating data flow across borders, eliminating duties on digital products, enhancing online consumer protection, and maintaining the legal governance of digital transactions. Thus, Australia receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Hannah Girdler}

\textbf{Brazil: –1}

Brazil has not complied with its commitment to deepen discussions on e-commerce and to deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues.

On 25 October 2016, the Comissão de Defesa do Consumidor (Consumer Defense Commission) approved bill 1660/15 to protect internet users and e-commerce consumers.\textsuperscript{1145} The bill aims to

increase consumer comfort in e-commerce markets by making the tariff system clearer and introducing measures to protect data and privacy.\textsuperscript{1146}

Brazil has not deepened discussions on e-commerce issues related specifically to Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises (SMEs) and developing countries. Brazil has not taken multiple steps to deepen e-commerce cooperation through enhancing enhancing data protection, improving the taxation system and facilitating international transactions. Thus, Brazil receives a score of –1.

\textit{Analyst: Kelly Cholvat}

**Canada: +1**

Canada has fully complied with its commitment to deepen discussions on e-commerce and to deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues.

As of 30 October 2016, European Union-Canada Leaders’ Summit, Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau, President of the European Council Donald Tusk and President of the European Commission Jean-Claude Juncker signed the Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA).\textsuperscript{1147} This ratifies Chapter 16 and all its respective articles, promoting e-commerce between Canada and the EU. The article includes provisions to not impose customs duty or fees on a delivery transmitted by electronic means, to adopt or maintains laws that protect consumer information, to facilitate the use of e-commerce by small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs), and to maintain dialogue on issues raised by e-commerce.\textsuperscript{1148}

On 8 November 2016, Prime Minister Justin Trudeau announced his upcoming participation in the 2016 Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) Leaders’ meeting in Peru from November 19 to 20.\textsuperscript{1149} APEC leaders focused on creating economic growth and facilitating freer trade amongst other topics, while in the presence of the Electronic Commerce Steering Group (ECSG).\textsuperscript{1150} The ECSG is geared towards “enabling economies across all levels of development to be able to utilize Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) to drive economic growth and social development.”\textsuperscript{1151}

Canada has deepened discussions on e-commerce issues related specifically to SMEs and developing countries. Canada has deepened e-commerce cooperation in trade facilitation, customs duties, consumer confidence. Thus, Canada receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Hannah Girdler}


China: +1

China has fully complied with its commitments to deepen discussions on e-commerce and to deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues.

On 10 October 2016, the 13th China International small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) Fair, approved by the State Council, opened in the city of Guangzhou, China. The fair was co-hosted with the Republic of Cote d’Ivoire and India. The 11 countries joining the fair are Cote d’Ivoire, India, South Africa, Nigeria, Bulgaria, Kenya, Burma, Mexico, Vietnam, Russia and Poland. The event aimed to create a platform of “display, trade, exchange, and cooperation” for SMEs from around the world and showcase overseas and domestic exhibitions on cross-border e-commerce.

On 13 October 2016, Indian Commerce Minister Nirmala Sitharaman held a bilateral meeting with Chinese Commerce Minister Wang Shouwen. The discussion focused on the potential benefits that that Indo-China bilateral trade cooperation for local businesses. The two discussed in particular the potential of Information Technology and Information Technology Enabled Services (ITeS) projects.

On 18 October 2016, the EU and China held the sixth annual EU-China High-level Economic and Trade Dialogue (HED). The EU and China agreed to explore further how to boost sustainable economic and societal development in line with the strategies for the Digital Single Market for Europe and Digital China.

On 29 October 2016, South Korea and Japan agreed to further discussions for South Korea-China-Japan trilateral Free Trade Agreement (FTA), and the broader Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP), at the 11th trilateral ministerial meeting held in Japan. Japan, China and Korea have agreed to strengthen economic cooperation, and they will work together to establish Digital Single Market (DSM), and conduct joint research on e-commerce.

On 25 October 2016, China’s National Development and Reform Commission, announced that an agreement fighting credit manipulation would be part of creating a national “social credit system” aimed at promoting online trustworthiness. To support this initiative, China’s largest internet companies including Alibaba, Tencent, JD.com, have agreed to share data with government authorities to eliminate ‘brushing’ - faking reviews and sales figure - for the healthy development of e-commerce.

On 27 October 2016, China launched the National Quality Monitoring Center for cross-border e-commerce in Hangzhou. The platform is comprised of a big data center to monitor cross-border e-commerce.

---

1158 China tech groups to share data with state in online fraud battle, Financial Times (United Kingdom) 25 October 2016. Access Date: 13 November 2016. https://www.ft.com/content/4ebc5fb6-9a70-11e6-8f9b-70e3cabccfae
commerce and will serve several functions, including risk monitoring, evaluation and treatment, quality source tracing, and credit rating.\textsuperscript{1159}

On 5 November 2016, Chinese Premier Li Keqiang attended the fifth summit of China and Central and Eastern European Countries (CEEC). To address enhancement of pragmatic cooperation, the two parties discussed further cooperation on enhancing trade through e-commerce platforms. Also, they encouraged Chinese and CEE companies to promote exports and imports of their high-quality and characteristic products through e-commerce.\textsuperscript{1160}

On 16 November 2016, Prime Minister Matteo Renzi met with Chinese President Xi Jinping on the Sardinia Island of Italy. Renzi expressed Italy's willingness to actively participate in the “Belt and Road” construction and deepen cooperation with China in areas such as trade and economy.\textsuperscript{1161} The “Belt and Road” initiative encompasses many e-commerce services and online trading platforms.\textsuperscript{1162}

China has deepened discussions on e-commerce issues related specifically to SMEs and developing countries. China has deepened e-commerce cooperation through trade facilitation, enhancing consumer protection and improving online trustworthiness. Thus, China receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Edward Ji Ho Kim}

**France: +1**

France has fully complied with its commitments to deepen discussions on e-commerce and to deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues.

On 7 October 2016, the French Digital Republic Bill was adopted by the Senate.\textsuperscript{1163} The Bill intends to align the French legal data protection framework with the EU General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) requirements before the GDPR becomes applicable in May 2018.\textsuperscript{1164} This law introduces new provisions that will regulate the digital economy as a whole, such as open data, online cooperative economy, the right to data portability and enhanced information and control of the individual of personal data.\textsuperscript{1165}

On 24-28 October 2016, nine selected French start-ups and Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) visited India as part of the Trade & Investment Commission of France in India to promote French technology and build bridges and business synergies with Indian tech ecosystems.\textsuperscript{1166}

\textsuperscript{1162} Chinese e-commerce services bring wealth, opportunities to “Belt-Road” countries, Global Times (Beijing) 16 August 2016. Access Date: 29 January 2017. http://www.globaltimes.cn/content/1000566.shtml
On 21-22 November 2016, Slovakian President Andrej Kiska visited Paris, France, in a demonstration of the common will of the two countries to strengthen their economic links, especially regarding digital technology.\footnote{French Ambassador: Slovakia is very Pro-European, Spectator (Slovakia) 20 January 2017. Access Date: 1 February 2017. https://spectator.sme.sk/c/20437522/french-ambassador-slovakia-is-very-pro-european.html}

France has deepened discussions on e-commerce issues related specifically to small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) and developing countries. France has deepened e-commerce cooperation through data protection and economic cooperation. Thus, France receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Natalia Valencia}

\textbf{Germany: 0}

Germany has partially complied with its commitments to deepen discussions on e-commerce and to deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues.


On 3 November 2016, the German cabinet endorsed a draft for a law, which is intended to increase the protection of individual travel bookings online. This is part of the attempt to increase e-commerce security.\footnote{Entwurf eines Dritten Gesetzes zur Änderung reiserechtlicher Vorschriften, Bundesregierung (Berlin) 3 November 2016. Access Date: 13 November 2016. http://www.bmjv.de/SharedDocs/Gesetzgebungsverfahren/Dokumente/RegE_Drittes_Gesetz_Pauschalreiserichtlinie.pdf?__blob=publicationFile&v=2}

Germany has not deepened discussions on e-commerce issues related specifically to small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) and developing countries. Germany has deepened e-commerce cooperation through taxation, improved efforts to measure e-commerce, and protection of customer confidence. Thus, Germany receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Anton Rizor}

\textbf{India: +1}

India has fully complied with its commitments to deepen discussions on e-commerce and to deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues.

On 13 October 2016, Indian Commerce Minister Nirmala Sitharaman held a bilateral meeting with Chinese Commerce Minister Wang Shouwen. The discussion focused on the potential benefits that that Indo-China bilateral trade cooperation for local businesses. The two discussed in particular the potential of Information Technology and Information Technology Enabled Services (ITeS) projects.\textsuperscript{1173}

On 20 October 2016, Minister of Commerce and Industry of India Nirmala Sitharaman and US Trade Representative Ambassador Michael Froman met in Delhi for the tenth ministerial-level meeting of the India and US Trade Policy Forum (TPF). The released joint statement recognized the importance of e-commerce and India stated that “100 per cent foreign direct investment (FDI) is now permitted in the marketplace model of e-commerce as well as in the distribution of food products produced in India, including through e-commerce.” The statement also noted, “to ensure that e-commerce companies can take full advantage of this market opening, India noted the continuous efforts for facilitating investment in e-commerce.”\textsuperscript{1174}

On 12 December 2016, during a bilateral meeting between Indian Prime Minister Modi and Indonesian President Joko Widodo, the two sides signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) on trade standardization. Indonesia invited India to step up investment cooperation in information technology, which is critical to developing the e-commerce industry.\textsuperscript{1175}

On 16 January 2017, India and the US signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) on cyber security cooperation. The official statement said that “the MoU intends to promote closer cooperation and the exchange of information pertaining to the cyber security in accordance with the relevant laws, rules and regulations of each economy and this MoU and on the basis of equality, reciprocity and mutual benefit.”\textsuperscript{1176}

India has deepened discussions on e-commerce issues related specifically to small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) and developing countries. India has deepened cooperation on e-commerce related issues such as cyber security and trade and investment cooperation in information technology. Thus, India receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Alissa Wang}

\textbf{Indonesia: +1}

Indonesia has fully complied with its commitments to deepen discussions on e-commerce and to deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues.

On 9 September 2016, Communications and Information Minister Rudiantara announced that Alibaba’s Jack Ma from China will become an adviser to the Indonesian e-commerce steering

committee and the government is also looking for other global e-commerce figures for the advisory board.\textsuperscript{1177}

On 21 September 2016, President Joko Widodo stated that the country has seen a drastic increase in cyber crime, especially in the e-commerce sector. He stated that the government can “expand or consolidate units at ministries or institutions that have cyber security functions” and that the country’s e-commerce roadmap aims to strengthen surveillance and educate the society about the importance of cyber security, especially during transactions.\textsuperscript{1178}

On 27 September 2016, President Joko Widodo emphasized the potential role of the e-commerce industry in Indonesia to support the country’s 56 million small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Communications and Information Minister Rudiantara said that the government is in the process of preparing 31 initiatives on human resources, education, funding, taxation, consumer protection, cybersecurity, logistics and communications infrastructure to support the President’s e-commerce plans.\textsuperscript{1179}

On 11 November 2016, the Indonesian government announced the 14th Economic Policy Package to turn “Indonesia into the biggest digital economy of the region by 2020 with a targeted value of USD130 billion. The policy package addresses issues of funding, taxation, consumer protection, human resources, logistics, communication infrastructure, cyber security and the establishment of a project management office. For example, the road map of the policy package includes grants and subsidies to start-ups to “boost their chances of surviving in the tough e-commerce industry,” reduction in taxes for locals investing in start-ups, a simplification of taxation procedure for e-commerce start-ups, and the repositioning of Indonesia as a logistical platform for Indonesian e-commerce.\textsuperscript{1180}

On 12 December 2016, during a bilateral meeting between Indian Prime Minister Modi and Indonesian President Joko Widodo, the two sides signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) on trade standardization. Indonesia invited India to step up investment cooperation in information technology, which is critical to developing the e-commerce industry.\textsuperscript{1181}

On 12 December 2016, following a bilateral meeting with Indian Prime Minister Modi, Indonesian President Joko Widodo announced the signing of a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) on trade standardization between Indonesia and India. He stated that Indonesia invited India to step up


\textsuperscript{1178} Indonesia experiences “drastic increase” in cyber attacks, especially on e-commerce sites, E27 21 September 2016. Access Date: 29 January 2017. https://e27.co/indonesia-experiences-drastic-increase-cyber-attacks-especially-e-commerce-sites-20160921/


investment cooperation in information technology, which is critical to developing the e-commerce industry.\textsuperscript{1182}

On 13 December 2016, President Joko Widodo asked Head of Investment Coordinating Board (BKPM) Thomas Trikash Lembong to announce plans to cooperate with India in forming a digital economy and in supporting e-commerce. Lembong stated, “Indonesia is seeking opportunities to cooperate with India in the digital economy and e-commerce space. The digital economy and e-commerce in India is considered to be highly prospective.”\textsuperscript{1183}

Indonesia has deepened on e-commerce issues related specifically to small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) and developing countries. Indonesia has deepened e-commerce cooperation through taxation measures, investment cooperation, digital economy cooperation, consumer protection, cybersecurity, logistics and communications infrastructure. Thus, Indonesia receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Alissa Xinhe Wang}

**Italy: 0**

Italy has partially complied with its commitments to deepen discussions on e-commerce and to deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues.

On 16 November 2016, Prime Minister Matteo Renzi met with Chinese President Xi Jinping on the Sardinia Island of Italy. Renzi expressed Italy’s willingness to actively participate in the “Belt and Road” construction and deepen cooperation with China in areas such as trade and economy.\textsuperscript{1184} The “Belt and Road” initiative encompasses many e-commerce services and online trading platforms.\textsuperscript{1185}

On 22 November 2016, the Italian E-commerce Association hosted the conference “The Digital Future Of Business Between Companies: Models, Best Practices and Solutions for B2B companies.” The conference focuses on the theme of the digital future of the Business-to-Business (B2B) model. This conference offered the occasion for meeting and networking between e-commerce operators and companies to learn about the opportunities from the online industry. The conference also presented a report named “The digital future of B2B” that describes the online presence of Italian B2B (business-to-business) companies.\textsuperscript{1186}

On 12 December 2016, Italian Deputy Minister Ivan Scalfarotto and 37 Italian businessmen met with Pakistan’s Minister for Industries Sheikh Allaudin, Minister for Finance Dr. Aisha Ghaus Pasha and President of Pakistan’s Lahore Chamber of Commerce & Industry Abdul Basit. Representatives discussed the potential of increasing trade volume.\textsuperscript{1187} In particular, the two countries discussed the

\textsuperscript{1185} Chinese e-commerce services bring wealth, opportunities to “Belt-Road” countries, Global Times (Beijing) 16 August 2016. Access Date: 29 January 2017. http://www.globaltimes.cn/content/1000566.shtml
\textsuperscript{1187} Pakistan, Italy agree to boost trade, economic ties, Geo TV (Lahore) 12 December 2016. Access Date: 29 January 2017. https://www.geo.tv/latest/123410-Pakistan-Italy-agree-to-boost-trade-economic-ties
potential of Italian entrepreneurs participating in the China Pakistan Economic Corridor, which is supplemented by a China Pakistan E-Corridor component.\textsuperscript{1188}

Italy has not deepened discussions on e-commerce issues related specifically to small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) and developing countries. Italy has deepened e-commerce cooperation through trade facilitation and economic cooperation. Thus, Italy receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Alissa Xinhe Wang

Japan: -1

Japan has not complied with its commitment to deepen discussions on e-commerce and to deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues.

On 12 October 2016, Japan’s Financial Service Agency (FSA) and Finance Ministry have discussed about ending an 8 per cent sales-tax collection on the Bitcoin. This change is poised to propel the growth of Bitcoin and other cryptocurrencies as alternatives to traditional money, by reducing costs for buyers and relieve operators of administrative burden associated with dealing with tax.\textsuperscript{1189} However, no actions have been implemented.

On 29 October 2016, at the 11\textsuperscript{th} trilateral ministerial meeting held in Japan, trade ministers from Japan, Korea and China agreed to further discussions for South Korea-China-Japan trilateral Free Trade Agreement (FTA), and the broader Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP), in order to take actions against trade protectionism issues addressed in the World Trade Organization and G20 summit. Three countries have agreed to strengthen economic cooperation, and they will work together to establish Digital Single Market (DSM), and conduct joint research on e-commerce.\textsuperscript{1190}

Japan has not deepened discussions on e-commerce issues related specifically to small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) and developing countries. Japan has not taken multiple steps to deepen e-commerce cooperation through trade cooperation and eliminating taxation. Thus, Japan receives a score of -1.

Analyst: Edward Ji Ho Kim

Korea: 0

Korea has partially complied with its commitment to deepen discussions on e-commerce and to deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues.

On 16 October 2016, Korea’s Fair Trade Commission announced its plan to apply the same set of e-commerce laws that were applicable to the conventional e-commerce retailers, to the crowdfunding businesses. The application of e-commerce laws to the crowdfunding business is expected to reduce

\textsuperscript{1188} E-Corridor to supplement China-Pak Economic Corridor, Propakistani 1 February 2016. Access Date: 29 January 2017. https://propakistani.pk/2016/02/01/e-corridor-to-supplement-china-pak-economic-corridor/
operating uncertainties for the corporations, while improving conflict resolution process for the consumers in case of fraud.\textsuperscript{191}

On 24 October 2016, Chairman of the Korea’s Financial Services Commission, Yim Jong-yong stated that “the government will push for the systematization of digital currency on a full scale in tandem with a global trend in the US, Japan and other countries” He also said that the government will also offer WON3 trillion (USD2.65 billion) in financial support over the next three years to develop fintech sector and remove unnecessary regulations on the field.\textsuperscript{192}

On 29 October 2016, at the 11\textsuperscript{th} trilateral ministerial meeting held in Japan, trade ministers from South Korea, China and Japan agreed to further discussions for South Korea-China-Japan trilateral Free Trade Agreement (FTA), and the broader Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP), in order to take actions against trade protectionism issues addressed in the World Trade Organization and G20 summit. Three countries have agreed to strengthen economic cooperation, and they will work together to establish Digital Single Market (DSM), and conduct joint research on e-commerce.\textsuperscript{193}

On 9 November 2016, Korea Institute for Electronic Trade and Commerce Promotion (KIIETaC) authorized a licensing system for e-trade and e-commerce system. KIIETaC chairman Shim Dong-Sup stated that "Korea should train e-commerce experts to integrate Korea, China and Japan’s digital markets into single market and to make the country a leader in global e-commerce" The system will take effect starting November 11, 2016.\textsuperscript{194}

Korea has not deepened discussions on e-commerce issues related specifically to small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) and developing countries. Korea deepened cooperation in e-commerce through financial support, creation of a licensing system, protection of online consumers, and trade cooperation. Thus, Korea receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Edward Ji Ho Kim}

\textbf{Mexico: 0}

Mexico has partially complied with its commitment to deepen discussions on e-commerce and to deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues.

On 10 October 2016, Mexico attended the the 13th China International Small and Medium Enterprises Fair.\textsuperscript{195} The participants of the included Cote d’Ivoire, India, South Africa, Nigeria, Bulgaria, Kenya, Burma, Mexico, Vietnam, Russia and Poland.\textsuperscript{196} The event aimed to create a

\textsuperscript{191}Korean Kickstarters’ to protect consumers with e-commerce laws, Electronic News (South Korea) 16 October 2016. Access Date: 12 November 2016. http://www.etnews.com/20161014000416
platform of “display, trade, exchange, and cooperation” for SMEs from around the world and showcase overseas and domestic exhibitions on cross-border e-commerce.\footnote{1197}{The 13th China International SME Fair to open in Guangzhou on Monday, News GD 9 October 2016. Access Date: 3 February 2017. http://www.newsgd.com/news/2016-10/09/content_157161666.htm}

Mexico deepened discussions on e-commerce issues related specifically to small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) and developing countries. Mexico has not deepened cooperation in e-commerce. Thus, Mexico receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Kelly Cholvat}

**Russia: +1**

Russia has fully complied with its commitment to deepen discussions on e-commerce and to deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues.

On 14 September 2016, the meeting of the working group on the implementation of Support of Access to Foreign Market and Export Action Plan (Roadmap) was held. Experts from the Federal Customs Service, the Ministry of Finance, the Ministry of Economic Development and other agencies concerned, as well as exporters and representatives of business associations discussed the implementation of individual items of the roadmap, including exports through e-commerce channels. The main goals of the updated roadmap include further improvement of e-commerce mechanisms. The document was submitted to the Russian Government for final approval.\footnote{1198}{Revised Version of Roadmap to Support Exports Submitted to the Government, Russian Export Center 15 September 2016. https://www.exportcenter.ru/en/news/revised-version-of-roadmap-to-support-exports-submitted-to-the-government/?navNum=1&page=1}

On 30 September 2016, Oleg Belozerov, President of Russian Railways, and Dmitry Strashnov, CEO of the Russian Post, signed the Roadmap for transporting mail between China and Europe by interstate rail transport.\footnote{1199}{Russian transport corridor connects China and Western Europe, Ecommerce News Europe 13 October 2016. Access Date: 6 February 2017. https://ecommercenews.eu/russian-transport-corridor-connects-china-western-europe/} Belozerov stated that "The advantages of this new mail route to all those involved in e-commerce are obvious. This offers a good alternative to air delivery, both in terms of delivery times and quality. For us, it is important not only to provide a convenient transit corridor for freight traffic between East and West, but also to establish favourable conditions for Russian exports."\footnote{1200}{Russian railways and Russian Post agree to develop postal transit freight between China-Russia-Europe, Russian Railways 1 October 2016. Access Date: 3 February 2017. http://eng.rzd.ru/newse/public/en?STRUCTURE_ID=15&layer_id=4839&refererLayerId=4537&refererPageId=704&id=106958}

On 9 December 2016, at the meeting of the Presidium of the Russian Presidential Council on Strategic Development and Priority Projects the Government instructed the Ministry of Industry and Trade and other ministries and entities to develop a program of creating technical, regulatory, institutional and logistical environment conducive to increasing exports of SMEs through e-commerce channels. Ministry of Agriculture and other ministries and entities were instructed to develop proposal on creating logistical centers to export agricultural products to the Asia-Pacific countries, including through e-commerce channels.\(^{1204}\)

On 23 December 2016, the Russian Export Center published a manual on entering international e-commerce platforms. It is aimed to help new exporters to start using e-commerce platforms.\(^{1205}\) The Russian Export Center is a joint-stock company established under the mandate of the Russian Government to offer a specialised one-stop-shop for exporters, providing financial and non-financial support, and interacting with relevant ministries and agencies. The Russian Government designated the legal status of the center as a state export support institution.\(^{1206}\)

Russia deepened discussions on e-commerce issues related specifically to small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) and developing countries. Russia has taken multiple steps to deepen cooperation in e-commerce. Thus, Russia receives a score of +1.

**Analysts: Sharon Ho & Mark Rakhmangulov**

**Saudi Arabia: -1**

Saudi Arabia has not complied with its commitment to deepen discussions on e-commerce and to deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues.

On 15-16 November 2016, the fourth edition of the Saudi Trade Finance summit took place in Jeddah.\(^{1207}\) This premier trade finance summit is one of the largest gathering of finance professionals from mid and large enterprises in the Kingdom along with key stakeholders from the government and regulatory authorities, financial institutions, technology providers, trade credit insurers, consulting and advisory firms.\(^{1208}\) The summit aimed to discuss current trade trends and challenges, including the role of Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in trade development and their contribution to Saudi’s Gross Domestic Product.\(^{1209}\)

Saudi Arabia has deepen discussion on e-commerce issues related specifically to small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) but not developing countries. Saudi Arabia has not taken multiple steps to deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues through investment cooperation, digital economy cooperation and consumer protection. Thus, Saudi Arabia receives a score of –1.

---


South Africa: +1
South Africa has fully complied with its commitment to deepen discussions on e-commerce and to deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues.

On 9 September 2016, the South African chapter of the Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa (BRICS) Business Council launched the South Africa BRICS Business Council Portal. The portal presents a solution to address some of the challenges of doing business within Brazil, Russia, India and China. The portal was designed to “minimize business risk and equip individuals and business owners with relevant and up-to-date information to ensure they make informed trade and investment decisions.”

On 10 October 2016, South Africa attended the 13th China International Small and Medium Enterprise (SME) Fair. The participants included Cote d’Ivoire, India, South Africa, Nigeria, Bulgaria, Kenya, Burma, Mexico, Vietnam, Russia and Poland. The event aimed to create a platform of “display, trade, exchange, and cooperation” for SMEs from around the world and showcase overseas and domestic exhibitions on cross-border e-commerce.

On 16-18 October 2016, South Africa hosted the fifth meeting of the African Internet Governance Forum (AfIGF). The AfIGF concluded with an Action Plan focused on amongst others:

• ensuring wider participation in the online economy;
• the promotion and protection of intellectual property;
• the promotion of cyber-security;
• privacy and access to key technical resources, such as domain names and Internet Protocol addresses, that all make Internet services possible.

South Africa has deepened discussions on e-commerce issues related specifically to SMEs and developing countries. South Africa has deepened e-commerce cooperation through investment cooperation, digital economy cooperation, consumer protection and cybersecurity, logistics. Thus, South Africa receives a score of +1.

Turkey: 0
Turkey has partially complied with its commitment to deepen discussions on e-commerce and to deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues.

On 6 October 2016, Turkey entered into negotiations over a free-trade agreement with Pakistan that particularly aims at improving e-commerce between the two countries.

---

On 24 October 2016, the Ministry of Customs and Trade published two draft communiqués regarding “safety stamp” applications and notification obligations in e-commerce. The Ministry granted public institutions and organizations, professional organizations, associations and unions the opportunity to comment and provide feedback on the two draft communiques.\textsuperscript{1215}

On 25 October 2016, the Minister of Customs and Trade participated in the e-commerce Sector Council Meeting and presented the rising numbers of Turkish interest in e-commerce.\textsuperscript{1216} In the same meeting he set the target for the e-commerce market at TRY180 billion.\textsuperscript{1217}

On 11 November 2016, the Turkish government announced a plan to support the expenses of exporting e-commerce companies on a project basis in order to ensure that exporters have the potential to be part of the global e-commerce trade. The plan is worth TRY3 billion.

Turkey did not deepen discussions on e-commerce issues related specifically to SMEs and developing countries. Turkey has deepened e-commerce cooperation through trade cooperation, safety applications and support of exports. Thus, Turkey receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Anton Rizor}

\textbf{United Kingdom: 0}

The United Kingdom has partially complied with its commitment to deepen discussions on e-commerce and to deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues.

On 1 November 2016, the UK government committed to spend GBP2 billion over the next five years to improve cyber security in the country as part of its new cyber security strategy. The aim of the strategy is to make the UK “prosperous and confident in the digital world” and “the safest place to do business in the world.”\textsuperscript{1218} The UK Treasury also stated that it would work closely with industry partners to defend and safeguard citizens and businesses against cyber threats.\textsuperscript{1219}

On 15 November 2016, the UK Department for International Trade created a digital platform, the “digital trade hub,” to help UK businesses in the export market. The platform supports the government’s e-exporting programme and will offer help to UK businesses in exporting to priority markets of India, China, Germany and the US. The platform aims to show the “international

The audience that [the UK is] home to the most dynamic and innovative companies in the world and that Britain is open for business as never before.”

The UK did not deepen discussion on e-commerce issues related specifically to SMEs and developing countries. The UK deepened cooperation on e-commerce issues through the creation of a digital trade hub and improving cyber security. Thus, the UK receives a score of 0.

**Analyst: Alissa Xinhe Wang**

**United States: 0**

The United States has partially complied with its commitment to deepen discussions on e-commerce and to deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues.

On 3 October to 7 October 2016, the US and the EU held the 15th Round of Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP) negotiations in New York City. The TTIP includes provisions to use e-commerce to improve trade, committing to not impose custom duties on digital products and allow the movement of cross-border information flow.

On 20 October 2016, Minister of Commerce and Industry of India Nirmala Sitharaman and US Trade Representative Ambassador Michael Froman met in Delhi for the tenth ministerial-level meeting of the India and US Trade Policy Forum (TPF). The released joint statement recognized the importance of e-commerce and India stated that “100 per cent foreign direct investment (FDI) is now permitted in the marketplace model of e-commerce as well as in the distribution of food products produced in India, including through e-commerce.” The statement also noted, “to ensure that e-commerce companies can take full advantage of this market opening, India noted the continuous efforts for facilitating investment in e-commerce.”

On 20 October 2016, Indian Minister of Commerce and Industry Nirmala Sitharaman and the US Trade Representative Ambassador Michael Froman met in Delhi for the tenth ministerial-level meeting of the India and United States Trade Policy Forum (TPF). E-commerce as a tool in facilitating trade was acknowledged by both parties.

---

On 7 November 2016, US Trade Representative Michael Froman traveled to Buenos Aires for the US-Argentina Trade and Investment Framework Agreement (TIFA) Council Meeting. TIFA was signed on 23 March 2016 and includes e-commerce as part of their initial work program.

The US has not deepened discussion on e-commerce issues related specifically to small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) and developing countries. The US deepened cooperation on e-commerce through the facilitation of trade and cross-border information flow. Thus, United States receives a score of 0.

**European Union: 0**

The European Union has partially complied with its commitment to deepen discussions and deepen cooperation on e-commerce issues.

On 15 September 2016, the European Commission published a preliminary report on an e-commerce sector inquiry. The preliminary report provides an overview of the main competition-relevant market trends identified in the e-commerce sector inquiry and points to possible competition concerns.

On 3 October 2016, the European Commission published its Inception Impact Assessment titled “European free flow of data initiative within the Digital Single Market (DSM),” which reiterates the detriment to the Digital Economy when data is required to stay local.

On 3 October to 7 October 2016, the US and the EU held the 15th Round of Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (T-TIP) negotiations in New York City. The TTIP includes provisions to use e-commerce to improve trade, committing to not impose custom duties on digital products and allow the movement of cross-border information flow.

On 6 October 2016, the European Commission held a stakeholder conference in Brussels highlighting the preliminary findings of the e-commerce sector inquiry.

On 17 October 2016, the European Commission released a report at the European Consumer Summit about e-commerce consumer rights. Since October 2015, the European Commission has

---


coordinated the work of 28 consumer authorities to ensure that e-commerce websites across the EU respect the pre-contractual information requirements of the Consumer Rights Directive. 743 websites were checked. And the authorities found one or several confirmed irregularities in almost 60 per cent of those websites. 87 per cent of those which still exist are now fully compliant after the national consumer authorities asked for corrections to be made.\textsuperscript{1233}

On 18 October 2016, the EU and China held the sixth annual EU-China High-level Economic and Trade Dialogue (HED). The EU and China agreed to explore further how to boost sustainable economic and societal development in line with the strategies for the Digital Single Market for Europe and Digital China.\textsuperscript{1234}

On 19 October 2016, the European Economic and Social Committee, an advisory board to the European Commission has urged the European Commission to follow through on promises related to geo-blocking, roaming and parcel delivery.\textsuperscript{1235}

On 8 November 2016, the European Commissioner for the DSM Andrus Ansip attended a roundtable discussion on the DSM at the Web Summit technology conference in Lisbon. At the conference he urged tax simplification concerning e-commerce in order to break down digital intra-EU trade barriers.\textsuperscript{1236}

The EU has not deepened discussion on e-commerce issues related specifically to SMEs and developing countries. The EU deepened cooperation through promoting barrier free-trade, urging the break down of barriers to market entry and addressing copyright, taxation and consumer rights issues. Thus, the EU receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Anton Rizor}

9. 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development

“We commit to contributing to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda by setting an example through bold, transformative collective and intended national actions in a wide range of areas.”

_G20 2016 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué_

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>No Compliance</th>
<th>Partial Compliance</th>
<th>Full Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>–1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>–1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>–1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td></td>
<td>–1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td></td>
<td>–1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>+0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background

The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development was adopted at the United Nations Sustainable Development Summit on 15 September 2015, and came into force on 1 January 2016. The 2030 Agenda lays out the 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and sets a 15-year timeline for UN members to take action to fulfil the goals. The SDGs were intended to be the successor to the eight Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), agreed to in September 2000, but differ from the MDGs in a few areas, as noted by the UN. The SDGs target a greater range of international problems than the MDGs, and include a numerically greater number of targets as well as goals in new

---
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issue areas, including access to justice, sustainable supply chain management, full employment, and sustainable urban development, amongst others.\textsuperscript{1240}

The 17 SDGs are as follows:

1. End poverty in all its forms everywhere
2. End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture
3. Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages
4. Ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all
5. Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls
6. Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all
7. Ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all
8. Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all
9. Build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive sustainable industrialization and foster innovation
10. Reduce inequality with and among countries
11. Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe resilient and sustainable
12. Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns
13. Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts
14. Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development
15. Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystem, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss
16. Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels
17. Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalise the global partnership for sustainable development

The G20 Antalya Leaders’ Communiqué, drafted during the 2015 Antalya Summit, made two explicit references to the 2030 Agenda, the first introducing the agenda and the second introducing a commitment to: “develop an action plan in 2016 to further align our work with the 2030 Agenda.”\textsuperscript{1241} The Agenda was also implicitly referenced in the Antalya Communiqué in the context of specific development priorities, as follows: “G20 National Remittance Plans developed this year include concrete actions towards our commitment to reduce the global average cost of transferring remittances to five per cent with a view to align with the SDGs and Addis Ababa Action Agenda.”\textsuperscript{1242} Those were the only references to the 2030 Agenda in the Communiqué, though there were several references to the SDGs more generally.\textsuperscript{1243} Despite placing only limited emphasis on the 2030 Agenda in 2015, the G20 leaders delivered on their commitment and produced an action plan for the 2030 Agenda at the Hangzhou summit.

At the 2016 Hangzhou Summit, the G20 reaffirmed its commitment to “aligning its work with the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development,” and released the G20 Action Plan on the 2030 Agenda


The G20 Action Plan includes 13 “high level principles” guiding the implementation of the 2030 Agenda, which help focus efforts on areas where the G20 believes it has “comparative advantage and can add value as a global forum for economic cooperation.” The G20 identified its area of comparative advantage in the Action Plan as being related to “its convening power and its collective ability to adopt and support initiatives at the highest global level, including those that involve macro-economic framework, and to create the global enabling environment.” The G20 Action Plan also included a section on collective actions around key Sustainable Development Sectors (SDS), which were intended to reflect “ongoing, mid and long term G20 commitments and are intended to be updated and adapted to reflect successive G20 presidency priorities.” The SDS are drawn from past G20 commitments to sustainable development, including the Seoul Development Consensus, the St. Petersburg Development Outlook, and the G20 Low Income and Developing Countries Framework. Action plan lists ongoing G20 collective efforts related to infrastructure; agriculture, food security and nutrition; human resources development and employment; financial inclusion and remittances; domestic resource mobilization; industrialization; inclusive business; energy; trade and investment; anti-corruption; international financial architecture; growth strategies; climate finance and green finance; innovation; global health; and strengthening coordination on sustainable development.

The G20 Action Plan also enlists the Development Working Group, together with existing groups within each of the outlined SDS, to generate a list of “concrete actions that contribute to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda” and deliver the list to the G20 sometime before the 2017 Hamburg Summit.

---

Commitment Features
In order to achieve a score of full compliance, the G20 member must take “bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions to contribute to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda in a wide range of issues.”

Bold, transformative action is interpreted to mean deliberate, widespread, unique and grand. Actions must be outside the status quo, generate a possibility for new strategies, ways to engage others, and create breakthrough results. For example, actions such as allocating additional funding to an existing program will not count towards compliance. Bold, transformative actions are evident as such. Actions that will contribute toward compliance include, but are not limited to, initiatives such as passing new and unique wide-spread legislation, implementing new and innovative policy initiatives, and executing nation-wide programs or international programs.

“Collective action” can take the form of a formal partnership or an explicit act of collaboration or cooperation between two or more G20 members, an international organization or institution, or another country. Therefore, in order for the G20 member to achieve a score of full compliance, the member must take bold, transformative and intended national actions in at least one area, with one or more G20 members, international institutions or organizations, or another country or countries. If the G20 member takes bold, transformative and intended national actions only independently, a score of partial compliance will be awarded.

The commitment states “actions in a wide range of areas,” therefore, G20 leaders must take bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions in two or more issue areas outlined within the 17 SDGs in order to achieve a score of full compliance. This can include a single bold, transformative, collective and intended national action that crosses over two or more of the SDGs, or two or more bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions that each target a specific, separate SDG.

“Intended” national action means that the bold, transformative collective action must be implicitly or explicitly intended for the implementation of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

Finally, the inclusion of “national” means that the bold, transformative collective action must be taken or implemented at the national level. Actions taken at the provincial or state-level will not count toward compliance.

Scoring Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Member did not take bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions to contribute to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda in two or more issue areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Member took bold, transformative and intended national actions to contribute to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda targeting two or more SDGs, but there was no collective action with at least one other G20 member or member took bold, transformative, intended national action but it only targeted one SDG.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>Member took bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions to contribute to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda in two or more SDGs in partnership or collaboration with at least one other G20 member, international organization or institution, or country.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Argentina: +1
Argentina has fully complied with its commitment to contributing to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda by setting an example through bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions in a variety of areas.
On 15 September 2016, Argentina approved a new freedom of information law.\(^{1254}\) This was related to the Argentinian government’s Justice 2020 platform, which facilitates an overhaul of the national justice system in which to promote anti-corruption, transparency, and equal access to the judicial system.

From 10-18 October 2016, an Argentinian delegation visited South Africa as part of the Cooperation Plan with Africa.\(^{1255}\) The mission focused on agricultural technology cooperation and a specific emphasis was placed on no-till farming, which produces higher yields at a lower environmental cost. During the trip, Argentina entered into bilateral agreements with South Africa, Botswana and Mozambique in which Argentina pledged to financially support multiple no-till farming projects, livestock farming technology, and a project with South Africa regarding metagenomic biotechnology.\(^{1256}\) In a press release from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Worship, Argentina acknowledged that these actions are “in line” with the Sustainable Development Goals.\(^{1257}\)

Argentina has taken bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions in two Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) areas. Thus, Argentina receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Carey Roach*

**Australia: +1**

Australia has fully complied with its commitment to contributing to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda by setting an example through bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions in a wide range of areas.

On 17 September 2016, Australia’s Minister for Foreign Affairs, announced the winners of the Blue Economy Aquaculture Challenge. According to the media release the winners of this $3 million challenge, “will invigorate the aquaculture sector to boost food security, and promote social and economic inclusion for some of the world’s poorest people.”\(^{1258}\)

On 22 September 2016, the Australian Minister for Foreign Affairs co-launched a new United Nations gender equality program called “Making Every Woman and Girl Count” which aims to improve government data collection “to better respond to gender inequality and violence against

---


women and girls. In a press release, the Minister acknowledged the project’s relevance to reaching gender equality.

On 11 October 2016, Australia reaffirmed its commitment to empowering girls and women in reaching their full potential on the International Day of the Girl Child.

On 1 November 2016, Australia signed a letter of intent alongside the Netherlands which created a bilateral agreement on water security. Focusing particularly on the Asia Pacific Region, the two countries pledged to “improve water management in international development programs” by engaging in natural disaster prevention and environmental sustainability practices. Concetta Fierravanti-Wells, the Australian Minister for International Development and the Pacific, said “she is looking forward to achieving water and sanitation for all by 2030.”

On 21 November 2016, Australia appointed a third Ambassador for Women and Girls to “continue to promote Australia’s efforts in gender equality and women’s empowerment in bilateral, regional and global forums, including at the United Nations and the Pacific Islands Forum.”

On 25 November 2016, the International Day for the Elimination of Violence Against Women, the Australian Government announced they will provide $6 million to the Vanuatu Women’s Centre “for the provision of counselling services, community awareness and legal advocacy programs through to June 2021.” They also released the country’s second progress report titled Pacific Women Shaping Pacific Development described as “Australia’s flagship regional gender equality program, which shows how Australian aid is making a difference for women and girls across 14 Pacific Island countries.”

---


---
On 28 November 2016, the Australian Government convened the eighth meeting of the National Roundtable on Human Trafficking and Slavery. Here the government announced that it would take a number of measures to strengthen its response to human trafficking and slavery.1267

On 27 January 2017, the Minister for International Development and the Pacific, announced a visit to Africa in which the minister was scheduled to announce a further commitment of $14 million for humanitarian and development assistance for Somalia and South Sudan. The minister was also scheduled to announce a $1 million partnership with the African Union Commission to create a Gender Equality Observatory “to support member states’ national programs for the advancement of women.”1268

On 31 January 2017, the Australian Government announced a AUD500,000 grant to Sonke Gender Justice (Sonke). Sonke is based in Johannesburg. The grant was awarded in order “to extend Sonke’s existing work on community interventions aimed at preventing gender-based violence in South Africa and Tanzania.”1269

On 15 February 2017, Australian Minister for Foreign Affairs announced Australia would be continuing its partnership with the International Planned Parenthood. Specifically, Australia committed to provide $9.5 million over three years to the Federation’s Sexual and Reproductive Health Program in Crisis and Post Crisis Settings (SPRINT) in the Indo-Pacific region.1270

Australia has taken bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions in two Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) areas. Thus, Australia receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Carey Roach*

**Brazil: +1**

Brazil has fully complied with its commitment to contributing to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda by setting an example through bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions in a wide range of areas.

On 16 November 2016, the Brazilian government launched the Biofuture Platform.1271 The Biofuture Platform, linked with the COP22, looks to boost the sales of biofuels in order to fulfill Brazil’s emission reduction targets and promote fossil fuel alternatives worldwide, according to

1271 Brazil Launches Platform to Boost Biofuel Market, BrazilGovNews. Access Date: 20 November 2016.
Brazilian Environment Minister Sarney Filho.\textsuperscript{1272} The project is a joint initiative between 20 countries that have pledged to co-operate and find better solutions to environmental issues.

On 27 December 2016, Brazilian President Michel Temer approved a water project investment worth BRL1.02 billion.\textsuperscript{1273} BRL793 million is being invested into the construction of more than 130,000 micro-basins that can store safe water for a maximum of eight months.\textsuperscript{1274} BRL230 million is also being invested into safe water distribution projects.\textsuperscript{1275} All of these new projects are focused on ensuring safe water access throughout the country and especially in rural regions commonly affected by drought.

On 16 December 2016, the Brazilian government announced that they had completed the fourth phase of a deforestation project in the Amazon and Cerrado biomes.\textsuperscript{1276} The next phase of the project was also announced, which will focus on ensuring economic alternatives for those living in the region.\textsuperscript{1277} On 21 December, the federal government announced that it had changed the boundaries of multiple national parks and an Environmental Protection Area (APA), resulting in “an additional 230,000 hectares of protected area and 500 hectares of ‘strictly protected area.’”\textsuperscript{1278}

Brazil has taken bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions in two Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) areas. Thus, Brazil receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Carey Roach}

\textbf{Canada: +1}

Canada has fully complied with its commitment to contribute to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda by setting an example through bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions in a wide range of areas.

On 6 October 2016, the 2016-2019 Federal Sustainable Development Strategy (FSDS) was officially tabled and published. Since then, the 2016-2019 FSDS for Canada has been described as a ‘dramatic change’ from the previous cycles of FSDS, for the reasons of including more specific and measurable targets, being more transparent and accessible to the public, and contextualizing each goal in the real social and economic framework.\textsuperscript{1279} The 2016-2019 FSDS includes 13 specific, long-term goals. Each

of these 2016-2019 FSDS goals includes a specific targets and indicators, short-term milestones and clearly outlined action plans.\textsuperscript{1280}

On October 3 2016, Prime Minister, Justin Trudeau, announced a national carbon “floor price” that requires all provinces and territories to have some form of carbon pricing by 2018.\textsuperscript{1281}

On 20 December 2016, the United States and Canada launched collaborative action with Indigenous and Northern peoples, and state, provincial and territorial governments to create a sustainable Arctic economy and ecosystem. Specifically, the US is designating the majority of its waters in the Chukchi and Beaufort Seas off-limits to offshore oil and gas leasing. The US Coast Guard is launching the Port Access Route Study to identify sustainable shipping lanes in the Chukchi and Beaufort Seas.\textsuperscript{1282}

Canada has taken bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions in two Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) areas. Thus, Canada receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst Katrina Bland}

**China: +1**

China has fully complied with its commitment to contribute to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda by setting an example through bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions in a wide range of areas.

On 8 September 2016, China announced at the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) summit that it would cooperate with Korea, Japan and 10 other member states to promote their cooperation in the Sustainable Development Goals.\textsuperscript{1283} China and all members commit to “reducing poverty and narrowing the development gap within and between the countries, promoting sustainable development of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs), boosting sustainable tourism cooperation and enhancing cultural exchange and cooperation.”\textsuperscript{1284}

On 19 September 2016, Chinese Premier Li Keqiang published a national plan for the implementation of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development during a United Nations (UN) Conference.\textsuperscript{1285} China was among the first countries present to submit its National Plan for the 2030 agenda.\textsuperscript{1286} At the UN Conference, Premier Li also announced the establishment of a RMB1 billion
China-UN Peace and Development Fund. Finally, China announced that it would donate RMB18 million to the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria.\(^{1287}\)

On 25 September 2016, United States President Barack Obama and President Xi Jinping of China announced joint commitments to combat wildlife trafficking, including the complete bans on ivory trade, the import of ivory as hunting trophies and a halt to the domestic commercial trade of ivory.\(^{1288}\) This is a bold, transformative, collective and intended national action that targets one of the SDG areas.

On 27 October 2016, the State Council of the People’s Republic of China announced a report on The Thirteenth Five-Year plan on Control Greenhouses Emissions. In this report, China proposed to reduce carbon dioxide emissions per unit of Gross Domestic Product by 18 per cent by the end of 2020, compared to the emission level as of 2015.\(^{1289}\)

On 13 December 2016, the State Council of the People’s Republic of China announced the report to build demonstration zones nationwide. In this report, China proposed to build 10 national demonstration zones and development zones to look for answers for sustainable development.\(^{1290}\) The report also listed how the national development zones will reduce infectious disease, provide senior care, and treatment of soil and water. The report also stated the government proposed to provide policy and budgetary support.\(^{1291}\)

China has taken bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions in two Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) areas. Thus, China receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Magi Jury Leung**

### France: +1

France has partially complied with its commitment to contribute to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda by setting an example through bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions in a wide range of areas.

Agence Française de Développement (AFD) started a blog (date unknown) that covers initiatives related to the SDGs. It is intended for all development actors and the public interested in development. Contributors to the blog include experts from AFD, research institutes, universities, NGO’s, etc. The blog is available in both French and English. The blog continues to be regularly updated, including within the relevant compliance period.\(^{1292}\)

From 15-16 September 2016, at the Our Ocean Conference, France announced it would be joining The Partnership. The Partnership is a coalition to increase understanding about the role of blue

---

carbon ecosystems and their adaptive and mitigating impact on climate change.\textsuperscript{1293} The Our Ocean Conference focused on ocean related issues that bring together leaders, scientists, entrepreneurs and members of civil society to discuss ocean related issues such as marine pollution and marine protected areas.\textsuperscript{1294} “The Partnership” was established to amplify efforts to protect and restore blue carbon ecosystems.\textsuperscript{1295}

On 30 January 2017, France co-organized with UNEP-FI the Principles for Positive Impact Finance.\textsuperscript{1296} The principles put forward a common framework to finance the SDGs; an estimated $5-7 trillion per year until 2030 is needed.\textsuperscript{1297}

On 26-27 February 2017 France launched the forum des ressources pour l’éducation au développement durable, a forum in Amiens to support the SDG’s.\textsuperscript{1298}

France has taken bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions in more than Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) area. Thus, France receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Katrina Bland}

\textbf{Germany: 0}

Germany has partially complied with its commitment to contributing to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda by setting an example through bold, transformative actions in a variety of areas.

On 16 November 2016, Germany made a joint pledge with the EU and seven other states to support the Climate Technology Centre and Network (CTCN). The CTCN will use the funds, which total USD23 million from all pledges, to encourage climate mitigation and adaptation technology transfer, and provide capacity building and technical assistance to developing countries across a range of sectors.\textsuperscript{1299}

On 17 November 2016, Germany submitted the first long term climate strategies and set goals for deep greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions by 2050. It released its “Climate Action Plan” and set goals for deep greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions by 2050. Germany has committed to pursue “essential GHG neutrality” or a reduction of 80-95 per cent compared to GHG levels in 1990.


\textsuperscript{1294} Our Ocean, United States Department of State. Access Date: 31 January 2017. \url{https://www.state.gov/e/oes/ocs/opoa/ourocean/index.htm}


\textsuperscript{1298} Neuvieme édition du FOREDD, Ministre de l’environnement de l’énergie et de la mer. Date of Access: 02 April 2017. \url{https://crdp.ac-amiens.fr/foredd/}

It has set an interim target of a 55 per cent reduction by 2030.1300 The “Climate Action Plan” defines sector specific reduction targets including energy, buildings, transport, industry, agriculture and other sectors.1301 The German government will also establish a commission for growth, structural change and regional development to help develop instruments for economic development, structural change, social compatibility and climate change.1302

On 7 February 2017, the German government released a plan for 2017-2019, to achieve the SDGs.1303 The plan specifically outlines the actions Germany will take internationally, within the EU as well as nationally.

Germany has taken bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions in one Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) area. Thus, Germany receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Mariam Jammal

India: -1

India has not complied with its commitment to contributing to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda by setting an example through bold, transformative collective and intended national actions.

In November 2016, the Ministry of Skill Development And Entrepreneurship (MSDE) initiated Pradhan Mantri YUVA Yojana (PMYY), a INR499.94 crore project aimed at educating and training 700,000 students over a span of five years.1304 There are 3050 institutes under the PMYY, which include 2200 universities and colleges, 500 Industrial Training Institutes, 300 schools and 50 Entrepreneurship Development Centres.1305 The 2016-2017 Union budget has allocated USD 146.72 million for financing higher education and USD250 million for 1500 multi-skill development centres across India.1306

India has taken bold, transformative, and intended national action in one Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) area. India has not taken any bold, transformative and collective actions. Thus, India receives a score of -1.

Analyst: Zarlasht Jamal

---


Indonesia: +1
Indonesia has fully complied with its commitment to contributing to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda by setting an example through bold, transformative actions in a variety of areas.

On 26 October 2016, the Government of Indonesia launched the Tropical Landscapes Financing Facility, an initiative to provide access to long-term finance for projects and companies that stimulate green growth and improve rural livelihood. It is being run in partnership with United Nations Environmental Programme, BNP Paribas, and ADM Capital.\(^{1307}\)

On 5 December 2016, President Joko Widodo announced a moratorium on all activities that damage the hydrological functions of peatlands. The peatlands are the world’s largest terrestrial organic carbon stock in the world. The moratorium is expected to reduce greenhouse gases and generate health benefits for 43 million people and avoid economic losses.\(^{1308}\)

Indonesia has taken bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions in two Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) areas. Thus, Indonesia receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Mariam Jammal

Italy: −1
Italy has not complied with its commitment to contribute to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda by setting an example through bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions in a wide range of areas.

On 28 September 2016, the Italian Alliance for Sustainable Development released a report detailing all actions that had been done since the creation of the 2030 Agenda a year before.\(^{1309}\) The report outlines a number of proposals for action supporting the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) in Italy, but none have yet been implemented.

Italy has not taken bold, transformative, collective and intended national action in any SDG area. Thus, Italy receives a score of −1.

Analyst: Katrina Bland

Japan: 0
Japan has partially complied with its commitment to contributing to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda by setting an example through bold, transformative, collective, and intended national actions in wide range of areas.

On 13 December 2016, Prime Minister Shinzo Abe attended the World Assembly for Women and announced to provide over USD3 billion in assistance to women in developing countries by 2018.\(^{1310}\)

---


The funding will be used to improve “women’s rights [and to build] a foundation for women to utilize their skills, and promoting women’s leadership.”

On 22 December 2016, the Japanese government announced guidelines for Japan’s implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG). The guidelines cover eight tasks and 140 measures to implement United Nations (UN) SDGs. However, the guideline will only count towards compliance once implemented.

Japan has taken bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions in one SDG area. Thus, Japan receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Magi Jury Leung

Korea: 1

Korea has not complied with its commitment to contributing to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda by setting an example through bold, transformative, collective, and intended national actions in a wide range of areas.

No bold, transformative actions were registered during the compliance period.

Korea has not taken bold, transformative, collective, and intended national actions in a wide range of areas. Thus, Korea receives a score of −1.

Analyst: Magi Jury Leung

Mexico: 0

Mexico has partially complied with its commitment to contributing to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda by setting an example through bold, transformative, collective, and intended national actions in a wide range of areas.

On 8 November 2016, it was announced that General Director of the Consejo Nacional para el Desarrollo y la Inclusión de Personas con Discapacidad (CONADIS), and the Governor of the Guerrero State signed an agreement on the implementation of the National Program for the Development and Inclusion of Persons with Disabilities. The program aims to improve access for people with disabilities to health services, programs, inclusive education, transportation and public and private spaces.

On 17 November 2016, Mexico released its “Climate Change Mid-Century Strategy” report which outlines its action plan for upcoming environmental measures. Specific actions outlined in the

report are related to Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 15, as Mexico commits to protecting “vulnerable ecosystems, with appropriate policies and financial resources.”

Mexico has taken bold, transformative, and intended national action in two SDG areas. Mexico has not taken any bold, transformative, and collective actions. Thus, Mexico receives a score of 0.

**Analyst: Carey Roach**

**Russia: +1**

Russia has fully complied with its commitment to contribute to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda by setting an example through bold, transformative, collective, and intended national actions in a wide range of areas.

On 17 October 2016, Russia launched the Regional Disaster Resilience in the Pacific Small Island Developing States (RESPAC) Project in partnership with the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP).

The Project aims to improve the preparation and recovery processes of 14 countries in the Pacific which are likely to be targeted by climate-related disasters. Ministry of Foreign Affairs Department of International Organizations Deputy Director Dmitry Maksimychev stated that “the Project is an important part of our effort to implement the Sustainable Development Goals, the [Small Island Developing States] SIDS Agenda and also the Russian Government’s agenda to strengthen our relations with Asia and Pacific countries.” RESPAC, to be fully implemented within the next three years, is funded by USD7.5 million from the UNDP-Russia Trust Fund.

On 25 October 2016, the Government of Russia approved the State Strategy to Combat the Spread of HIV in Russia through 2020 and beyond. While a plan for implementation has yet to be finalized, the strategy aims to “reduce HIV incidence, expand HIV screening and testing, increase the availability of complications and deaths as a result of HIV-associated conditions (tuberculosis,
hepatitis B and C) and AIDS.” The directive has been in development since the Governmental Commission on Public Health in October 2015. On 27 December 2016, President Vladimir Putin held a State Council meeting regarding “economic development, but with a focus on environmental issues” for Russia’s future generations. Minister of Natural Resources and the Environment Sergei Donskoy outlined action plans to be implemented throughout 2017, such as the launch of five recycling plant pilot projects, the introduction of higher recycling standards, and the creation of ten new biodiversity conservation sites.

Russia has taken bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions in two Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) areas. Thus, Russia receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Ashley Lall**

**Saudi Arabia: 0**

Saudi Arabia has partially complied with its commitment to contributing to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda by setting an example through bold, transformative, and intended national actions in a wide range of areas.

On 26 September 2016, Saudi Arabia announced cuts to government ministers’ salaries and public sector employee benefits, with the reduction of benefits effective 1 October 2016. The General Bureau of Control is tasked with overseeing the compliance of government bodies and other organizations with this royal decree. This measure was implemented by Prince Mohammed under the Kingdom’s Vision 2030 plan, which aims to “reduce the public-sector wage bill to 40 per cent of spending by 2020, from 45 per cent today.” In doing so, Vision 2030 plans to “boost private sector employment.” This is a step to further diversify the economy away from its dependence on the public sector and oil dependency toward more sustainable forms of revenue.

On 22 December 2016, Saudi Arabia announced the release of the Kingdom’s 2017 budget. The new budget allocates SAR42 billion for implementing initiatives under the National Transformation Program (NTP) in 2017 and SAR217 billion from 2018 to 2020, compared to SAR9 billion in

---


1324 State Council meeting on Russia’s environmental development for future generations, President of Russia (Moscow) 27 December 2016. Access date: 3 February 2017. http://en.kremlin.ru/events/state-council/53602

1325 State Council meeting on Russia’s environmental development for future generations, President of Russia (Moscow) 27 December 2016. Access date: 3 February 2017. http://en.kremlin.ru/events/state-council/53602


2016.\footnote{1332} The NTP is a strategic program that works “to identify the challenges faced by government bodies in the economic and development sectors” and introduce remedial initiatives in these sectors, specifically designed to facilitate easier implementation of the sustainable economic development reforms under Saudi Arabia’s Vision 2030.\footnote{1333} This is a bold, transformative and intended national action but does not include collective action.

On 22 December 2016, Saudi Arabia launched a new program, Citizen’s Account.\footnote{1334} The program entails a household cash allowance that will be given to low-income and middle-income households who may be negatively affected by economic and structural reforms.\footnote{1335} This social security program aims to deter rising income inequality. Registration for the program is expected to start in early February.\footnote{1336}

Saudi Arabia has taken bold, transformative and intended national actions in a wide range of areas but has not taken collective actions Thus, Saudi Arabia receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Ashley Lall}

\textbf{South Africa: 0}

South Africa has partially complied with its commitment to contributing to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda by setting an example through bold, transformative collective and intended national actions in a wide range of areas.

In November 2016, South Africa hosted an African Union meeting where the implementation strategy for the Catalytic Framework to end AIDS, TB and Eliminate Malaria by 2030 was strengthened and finalized.\footnote{1337} The plan prioritizes collective action amongst the member states and stresses the importance of the inclusion of regional and non-state actors to strengthen policies, health care systems, and capacity building.\footnote{1338} The representatives at the meeting pledged to “pass laws on national health insurance,” strengthen their respective existing healthcare systems; and review laws on plastic bags and pollution.\footnote{1339}
South Africa has taken bold, transformative, collective and intended national action in one Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) area. Thus, South Africa receives a score of 0.

*Analyst: Carey Roach*

**Turkey: 0**

Turkey has partially complied with its commitment to contributing to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda by setting an example through bold, transformative, collective, and intended national actions in wide range of areas.

On 23 December 2016, the United Nations formally established a Technology Bank in Turkey.\(^{1340}\) Turkey also played an instrumental role in the establishment of the bank. The resolution was drafted on 20 December 2016 by the General Assembly.\(^{1341}\) The Bank aims to “help least developed countries strengthen their science, technology and innovation capacities, foster the development of national and regional innovation ecosystems that can attract outside technology and generate homegrown research and take these advancements to market” in order to fight poverty and encourage sustainable growth.\(^{1342}\) The creation for the bank was first suggested in the Istanbul Declaration adopted at the Fourth United Nations Conference on the Least Developed Countries in Istanbul in May 2011.\(^{1343}\) Turkey has also pledged USD1 million to the bank’s operational trust fund.\(^{1344}\) This is a bold, transformative, collective and intended national action that targets a wide range of areas.

Turkey has taken bold, transformative, collective and intended national action in one Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) area. Thus, Turkey receives a score of 0.

*Analyst: Ashley Lall*

**United Kingdom: −1**

United Kingdom has not complied with its commitment to contributing to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda by setting an example through bold, transformative, collective, and intended national actions in a wide range of areas.

No bold, transformative actions were registered during the compliance period.

The United Kingdom has not taken bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions in two or more Sustainable Development Goal areas. Thus, United Kingdom receives a score of −1.

*Analyst: Zarlasht Jamal*


**United States: +1**

The United States has fully complied with its commitment to contributing to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda by setting an example through bold, transformative, collective, and intended national actions in a wide range of areas.

From 15-16 September 2016, at the Our Ocean Conference, the US government announced it will join The Partnership. The Partnership is a coalition set up to increase understanding about the role of blue carbon ecosystems and their adaptive and mitigating impact on climate change. The Our Ocean Conference focused on ocean related issues that bring together leaders, scientists, entrepreneurs and members of civil society to discuss ocean related issues such as marine pollution and marine protected areas. The Partnership was established to amplify efforts to protect and restore blue carbon ecosystems.

On 25 September 2016, US President Barack Obama and President Xi Jinping of China announced joint commitments to combat wildlife trafficking, including the complete bans on ivory trade, the import of ivory as hunting trophies and a halt to the domestic commercial trade of ivory.

On 17 November 2016, the US submitted the first long term climate strategies and set goals for deep greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions by 2050. The US has committed to reduce emissions by 80 per cent in comparison to 2005 emission levels.

On 20 December 2016, the US and Canada launched collaborative action with Indigenous and Northern peoples, state, provincial and territorial governments to create a sustainable Arctic economy and ecosystem. Specifically, the US is designating the majority of its waters in the Chukchi and Beaufort Seas off-limits to offshore oil and gas leasing. The US Coast Guard is launching the Port Access Route Study to identify sustainable shipping lanes in the Chukchi and Beaufort Seas.

In December 2016, US President Barack Obama created the Northern Bering Sea Climate Resilience Area and an associated task force - the Northern Bering Sea Climate Resilience Area Task Force - to improve consultation with tribes in the region, maintains prohibition on bottom trawling and prohibits oil, gas and mineral leasing in certain areas.

---


The US has taken bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions in multiple Sustainable Development Goal areas. Thus, the US receives a score of +1.

_analyst: Mariam Jammal_

**European Union: -1**

The European Union has not complied with its commitment to contribute to the implementation of the 2030 Agenda by setting an example through bold, transformative, collective and intended national actions in a wide range of areas.

On 22 November 2016, the European Union released three documents outlining its new approach to aiding the implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG).  The first of these documents is a communication on the next steps for a sustainable European future and outlines how the European Commission’s ten political priorities contribute to implementation of the 2030 Agenda. The second is a new European Consensus on Development and presents a new framework for collective action between the European Union and its Member States for development, aligned with the objectives of the 2030 Agenda.  Finally the third document communicates a renewed partnership with African, Caribbean and Pacific (ACP) countries that proposes reinforcement and continuation of the EU-ACP relationship outlined in the Cotonou Partnership Agreement, which expires in 2020, with a new focus on sustainability. However, until actions are implemented, the documents do not count towards compliance.

The EU has not taken bold, transformative, collective and intended national action in a wide range of areas. Thus, the EU receives a score of -1.

_analyst: Katrina Bland_

---

10. Employment: Gender

“We will further develop the G20 employment plans in 2017 to address these commitments and monitor progress in a systemic and transparent manner in achieving the G20 goals especially on youth employment and female labor participation.”

G20 2016 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué

**Assessment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No Compliance</th>
<th>Partial Compliance</th>
<th>Full Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Background**

Around the world, the labour force continues to grow faster than the number of jobs created. The International Labour Organisation (ILO), in World Employment and Social Outlook: Trends 2015, notes that “by 2019, more than 212 million people will be out of work, up from 201 million now.” Reversing these trends and creating quality jobs remains the G20’s highest priority and comprehensive growth strategies will assist with this. At the 2014 Brisbane Summit, the G20 members developed member-specific Employment Plans to address individual employment challenges and future strategies to combat them.

In addition to the Employment Plans, the G20 during the Brisbane Summit for the first time declared a goal with a specific timeline to reduce the inequality in the labour force between men and women. G20 members specifically stated, “We agree to the goal of reducing the gap in labour force participation rates between men and women in our countries by 25 per cent by 2025, taking into account national circumstances. This will bring more than 100 million women into the labour force [and] significantly increase global growth.” Individual actions to lift employment and participation are outlined in each G20 member’s Employment Plans. All G20 members committed to taking action to raise female participation and tackle youth unemployment.

At the 2015 G20 Antalya Summit, leaders recommitted to the country-specific Employment Plans and to reduce the gender participation gap, as well as to monitor the implementation of these goals. G20 members also published individual reports detailing country-specific growth strategies and the status of the implementation of Employment Plan strategies.
As of 18 October 2015, all G20 members albeit Indonesia submitted self-reports regarding the implementation status of member-specific Employment Plans.\textsuperscript{1356} Reports were based on a template developed by the G20 Employment Working Group (EWG) consisting of five sections: employment and labour market trends; checklist of implementation; short notes reporting on key policy commitments in the Employment Plan; reporting on multi-year collective commitments; any new policy commitments.\textsuperscript{1357} On 16 November 2015, the Synthesis Paper of Self-Reports on the Implementation of G20 Country Employment Plans was published alongside the 2015 G20 Antalya Summit communiqué, summarizing the findings of those reports.\textsuperscript{1358} The Synthesis Report is available to the public. However, the country-specific reports are not.

In 2016, under the Chinese Presidency, the self-assessment template was developed further by the G20 EWG within the G20 established assessment framework. On 28-29 April 2016, delegates from G20 member governments, G20 guest countries, World Bank, International Monetary Fund, International Labour Organization, Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development and the L20 and B20 took part in the Second G20 EWG Meeting, held in Shanghai. Other “Consultation Groups,” the W20, the T20 and the Y20 also attended.\textsuperscript{1359} The status of the self-assessments is ongoing but reports have not been made public as of yet.

On 27 September 2016, at the G20 Labour and Employment Ministerial Meeting, the ministers reiterated their commitment to further develop and implement Employment Plans and monitor progress in a systematic and transparent manner.

\textbf{Commitment Features}

This commitment has three parts 1) develop employment plans in 2017 to address new commitments and 2) monitor the implementation of employment plans and 3) specifically monitor youth employment and female labor participation goals.

\textbf{Part One: Develop Employment Plans}

At the 2016 Hangzhou Summit, G20 members reaffirmed that generating quality employment is indispensable for sustainable development and that this is at the center of the G20’s domestic and global agenda. The G20 endorsed the Sustainable Wage Policy Principles as well as strategies, action plans and initiatives developed by G20 labor and employment ministers to enhance the growth and development agenda by taking effective actions to address changes in skill needs, support entrepreneurship and employability, foster decent work, ensure safer workplaces including within global supply chains and strengthen social protection systems. The G20 recognized entrepreneurship as an important driver for job creation and economic growth and reinforced its commitments in the G20 Entrepreneurship Action Plan, and welcomed China’s contribution in the establishment of an Entrepreneurship Research Center on G20 Economies. The G20 also endorsed the G20 Initiative to Promote Quality Apprenticeship with policy priorities of increasing the quantity, quality and diversity of apprenticeships. G20 members therefore committed to further developing employment

plans in 2017 to address these commitments. “Develop G20 employment plans” is defined as amending or reissuing member-specific employment plans. G20 members committed to develop employment plans within 2017. Please note that this assessment only takes actions between 6 September 2016 and 13 January 2017 despite the fact that the commitment extends beyond the compliance cycle of this report.

Part Two: Monitor implementation of employment plans

Monitoring includes, but is not limited to, issuing reports, collecting data, and conducting surveys. Monitoring can also include public consultation and/or an internal bureaucratic assessment in which staff are assigned to an oversight body. Monitoring can be done directly by the government and its agencies or in partnership with other actors such as non-governmental or international organizations.1360 The G20 member must monitor the implementation of all goals laid out in each member-specific employment plan. The monitoring of any implementation of the goals and strategies of the member’s plan will be assessed for compliance. Transparent is defined as the monitoring process is accessible to the public, civil societies, and non-governmental organizations. A systemic assessment would not include a tangential report on employment levels or a report on levels of female unemployment, but instead is an action will evident roots in the government’s system.

Part Three: Monitor youth and female participation goals

The youth aspect of this goal is to evaluate if youth’s involvement in labour force is being monitored transparently and systematically, when looking specifically at the aforementioned G20 2017 employment plans. Youth will be defined in accordance with the International Labour Organization (ILO) standards, which identify Youth as individuals between the age 15 to 24.1361

Each G20 member has committed to the goal of reducing the gap in labour force participation rates between men and women in G20 countries by 25 per cent by 2025. Monitoring of actions or inaction surrounding the advancement of the goal to reduce the gender participation gap will count towards compliance. Monitoring of previously implemented policies, policy reviews and consultations, collecting of gender employment data, and reports relating to the status of women’s employment and are some examples.

Full compliance is achieved if the G20 complies with all three parts of the commitment. The G20 member both monitors its employment plan goals as well as specifically monitors its youth and female participation goals as well as develops its employment plan. The G20 member must develop its employment plan to address new commitments in 2017. Partial compliance will be achieved if the G20 member complies with two parts of the commitment but not all. No compliance will result if the G20 member has complied with one or no part of the commitment.

---


Scoring Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>The G20 member does not further develop employment plans, does not monitor the implementation of its employment plan in a systemic and transparent manner AND does not monitor youth and gender goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>The G20 member further develops employment plans OR monitors the implementation of its employment plan in a systemic and transparent manner OR monitors youth and gender goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>The G20 member further develops employment plans, monitors the implementation of its employment plan in a systemic and transparent manner AND monitors youth and gender goals.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Argentina: -1

Argentina has not complied with its commitment on employment.

On 12 to 14 September 2016, the Argentinian Investment and Trade Promotion Agency hosted the Argentina Business & Investment Forum in Buenos Aires as a part of President Mauricio Macri’s “strategies for invigorating the country’s key economic sectors and presenting attractive opportunities to local, regional, and global investors.”

On 27 October 2016, the Ministry of Work, Employment and Social published the Evolution of Employment Registered in private sector in major urban centers. The report recorded a 0.1 per cent increase in formal private employment comparing to the low level of 0.8 per cent in 2015 of the same month. The ministry identified the reasons for the increase in private sector employment as 0.8 per cent increase in construction and 0.5 per cent increase in trade, restaurants and hotels.

Argentina has not systematically and transparently monitored the implementation of its employment plan, specifically female and youth goals. Argentina has not developed its employment plan. Thus, Argentina is awarded with a -1.

Australia: 0

Australia has partially complied with its commitment on employment.

On 11 October 2016, the Department of Employment published a document titled “Innovation Framework,” outlining the Department’s aim to connect innovative individuals and ideas together to further develop their Employment Plan in order to create better economic conditions.

---

On 12 October 2016, the Department of Employment published its annual report for 2015-2016. The 196-page report outlines its performance for the year and explains in detail different aspects of their capability and accountability on monitoring various aspects of their employment plan, with specific sections focusing on youth and women.\(^\text{1366}\)

The Australian Bureau of Statistics continues to release employment monthly reports that track monthly changes in the employment labour force. The latest report was released on 19 January 2016.\(^\text{1367}\) The report outlines the changing trend in employed persons, unemployed persons, unemployment rate and participation rate between November 2016 and December 2016.\(^\text{1368}\) In addition, the report lists the November key points, such as an increase in employment by 3,100 and decrease in unemployment by 700.\(^\text{1369}\) The report also tracks trends in unemployment rate among different Australian state and territories.

On 8 December 2016, the Australian Department of Employment issued a report projecting the expected changes in employment levels of the country by 2020, titled Employment Projections. The report states that employment is projected to increase in 16 out of 19 broad industries and provides predictions on fluctuations in employment levels across industries, occupations, states, territories, and regions.\(^\text{1370}\)

Australia has systematically and transparently monitored the implementation of its employment plan, specifically female and youth goals. Australia has not developed its employment plan. Thus, Australia receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Mary Zelenova

**Brazil:** -1

Brazil has not complied with its commitment on employment.

On 15 December 2016, the Ministry of Education (MEC) and Labour, in their announcement of the key themes for the Inter-Sectoral Seminar on Youth Employment: Articulation between Education and Labor, cited the National Institute of Applied Economic Research (Ipea) report,
which was published on 8 November 2016.¹³⁷¹ This report reveals that the unemployment rate between 14 and 24 years of age [increased to] 26.5% in the [first half] of this year.¹³⁷²

Brazil has not systematically and transparently monitored the implementation of its employment plan, specifically female and youth goals. Brazil has not developed its employment plan. Thus, Brazil received a score of −1.

**Analyzing: Grace Marshall**

**Canada: +1**

Canada has fully complied with its commitment on employment.

On 5 December 2016, Statistics Canada published a new report that indicated that there are less young Canadians, who are not full-time students, working in full-time jobs today than in 1976. The report also indicated that male worker between the age of 17-24 experienced a 15 per cent drop of their buying power, while women of the same age group experienced a 10 per cent drop.¹³⁷³

On 3 January 2017, the Canadian government issued an updated guideline to the First Nations and Inuit Youth Employment Strategy. The new guidelines focuses on assisting First Nations and Inuit youth acquire required skills and overcome barriers to employment for employment. Furthermore, in order to ensure funding recipients act in accordance with the provisions, “activities including audits, evaluations, as well as desk and on-site compliance reviews will be conducted with all funding recipients.”¹³⁷⁴ During the compliance period, Statistics Canada has continued to publish various employment statistics.¹³⁷⁵

On 6 January 2017, Statistics Canada issued a Labour Force Survey detailing the fluctuations in employment statistics of the country in the past year. The survey states that employment increased by 0.6 per cent in the last quarter of 2016.¹³⁷⁶ The survey also specifically outlines employment increases for women aged 25 to 54, stating that in December, employment for women in this category increased by 31,000 and unemployment rate also increased by 0.2 per cent to 5.4 per cent.¹³⁷⁷ The survey also analyzes fluctuations in employment levels in different provinces of the country and states

---


in 2016, employment gains totalled 1.2 per cent.\textsuperscript{1378} Lastly, the survey states that employment grew by 2.8 per cent among people aged 55 and older.\textsuperscript{1379}

Canada has systematically and transparently monitored the implementation of its employment plan, specifically female and youth goals. Canada has developed its employment plan. Thus, Canada received a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Mary Zelenova}

**China: –1**

China has not complied with its commitment on employment.

On 26 October 2016, the state council of China released statistical progress of 2016. In the first nine months of 2016, 10.67 million new jobs were created and surpassed the annual target of 10 million. The report detailed numbers in several sectors such as tourism, culture, sports, health and elderly care.\textsuperscript{1380}

China has not systematically and transparently monitored the implementation of its employment plan, specifically female and youth goals. China has not developed its employment plan Thus, China receives a score of –1.

\textit{Analyst: Xun (Sean) Gong}

**France: 0**

France has not complied with its commitment on employment.

On 7 October 2016, Minister of Families, Children and Women’s Rights Laurence Rossignol launched the first regional network of companies for equality as part of Equality Week in Provence Alpes Côte D’Azur (PACA). This network of companies and administrations for equality brings together 120 French companies who have a shared interest in measuring their results of reaching equality with the support of the Ministry of Families, Children and Women’s Rights.\textsuperscript{1381}

On 31 January 2017, an Action Plan on gender diversity in the digital sector was signed between the Ministry of National Education, Higher Education and Research; the Ministry of Families, Children and Women’s Rights; and the State Secretariat for Digital Affairs, Economy and Finance. The plan is a commitment to implement actions to increase opportunities for women in the digital professions, particularly in male-dominated areas. Each signatory is charged with a unique plan of implementation. It is also a public-private initiative as it engages private actors to mobilise and act to promote gender equality in the digital sector. Measures by these actors cover fighting cybersexism and cyberviolence, working on the representations associated with digital jobs at the initial training stages, such as working with youth, promoting diversity and the attractiveness of digital jobs to women, and


supporting women entrepreneurs in the digital sector. The plan also provides statistics on the gender gap in specific labour sectors.\(^{1382}\)

France has provided some data on labour force participation rates between men and women, but has not systematically and transparently monitored the implementation of its employment plan, specifically female and youth goals. France has developed its employment plan. Thus, France receives a score of 0.

*Analyst: Mojann Zibapour*

**Germany: 0**

Germany has partially complied with its commitment on employment.

Throughout the compliance period, Destatis, the Federal Statistical Office, has continued to report employment statistics and trends. On 27 September 2016, Destatis announced that the employment rate of 65 to 69-year-olds is markedly higher between 2005 to 2015, from 6.5 per cent to 14.5 per cent.\(^{1383}\) On 2 November 2016, Destatis published a press release reporting that employment is up 0.9 per cent in September 2016 since the previous year.\(^{1384}\) On 3 January 2017, Destatis announced that 43.8 million persons were in employment in November 2016, a 0.7 per cent increase from the year before.\(^{1385}\) In the same report, Destatis reported that the youth unemployment rate decreased to 6.7 per cent.\(^{1386}\) The German unemployment rate decreased to 3.9 per cent.\(^{1387}\)

Germany has systematically and transparently monitored the implementation of its employment plan, however, not specifically female and youth goals. Germany has not developed its employment plan. Thus, Germany receives a score of 0.

*Analyst: Briana MacLeod*

**India: 0**

India has partially complied with its commitment on employment.

---


On 15 September 2016, Labour Bureau, the labour statistics organization functioning under the Ministry of Labour and Employment, published the "Report on Fifth Annual Employment-Unemployment Survey (2015-2016)." This report, the first of four volumes to be published, reported statistics on parameters including Labour Force Participation Rate (LFPR), worker population ratio, unemployment rate, distribution of employment, extent of underemployment, etc. The LFPR was 50.3 per cent, female LFPR was 23.7 per cent, and male LFPR was 75 per cent, at the national level. The unemployment rate was 5 per cent, female unemployment rate was 8.7 per cent, and male unemployment rate was 4 per cent, at the national level. Separate statistics for rural and urban areas were reported as well.

On 16 December 2016, the Ministry of Labour and Employment introduced a new scheme by the Employee’s State Insurance Corporation (ESCI) which aims to provide social protection to more workers. Operating for a period of three months from 1 January 2017 to 31 March 2017, the scheme will provide employers as well as employees who were left out of coverage, to register themselves. India has systematically and transparently monitored the implementation of its employment plan, specifically female and youth goals. India has not developed its employment plan. Thus, India receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Nishita Agrawal

Indonesia: -1
Indonesia has not complied with its commitment on employment.
No actions were registered during the compliance period.
Indonesia has not systematically and transparently monitored the implementation of its employment plan, specifically female and youth goals. Indonesia has not developed its employment plan. Thus, Indonesia receives a score of -1.

Analyst: Xun (Sean) Gong

Italy: 0
Italy has partially complied with its commitment on employment.

---

On 27 October 2016, the Ministry of Labour and Social Policy announced its plan to record the gender percentage in sectors and professions.\textsuperscript{1394}

On 9 January 2017, the Ministry of Labour and Social Policies announced the positive increase of the employment market since the previous check of the market in November 2016.\textsuperscript{1395} The announcement also indicated that the youth employment rate was still low but would be continuously monitored and released.\textsuperscript{1396}

Italy has systematically and transparently monitored the implementation of its employment plan, specifically female and youth goals. Italy has not developed its employment plan. Thus, Italy receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Meagan Byrd}

\textbf{Japan: 0}

Japan has partially complied with its commitment on employment.

On 13 September 2016, the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare published statistics on job openings and recent high school and junior high school graduates in 2016 with a 1.75 job opening-to-application ratio for high school students.\textsuperscript{1399}

On 7 October 2016, the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare published a white paper on Prevention of Karoshi - death caused by overwork - for the first time.\textsuperscript{1398} The white paper outlines the current status of karoshi, current and suggestive measures on preventing karoshi.\textsuperscript{1399}

On 25 October 2016, the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare published data on the status of job separation for students graduated in 2013.\textsuperscript{1400}

On 31 October 2016, the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare announced the opening of the portal site “Labor Conditions in Start-Ups” on 1 November 2016.\textsuperscript{1401} This site assists new start-ups to self-diagnose on aspects such as, labor management and safety and health management.\textsuperscript{1402}

On 18 November 2016, the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare published statistics on job openings and recent high school and junior high school graduates in 2016.\(^\text{1403}\)

On 22 November 2016, the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare published the Final Report of Monthly Labor Survey September 2016.\(^\text{1404}\) This survey records, cash earnings, hours worked and days worked, regular employment and labor turnover, wage indices, hours worked indices, employment indices, and seasonally adjusted indices.\(^\text{1405}\)

On 14 December 2016, the Bank of Japan release “Tankan,” a business short-term economic sentiment survey.\(^\text{1406}\) This survey shows statistics related to the status of the Japanese economy with a specific section on employment condition and the number of new graduates hired.\(^\text{1407}\)

On 21 December 2016, the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare published the Special Monthly Labor Survey,\(^\text{1408}\) recording statistics on:

- Wage rate of male and female categorized by occupation type\(^\text{1409}\)
- Wage rate of male and female categorized by age\(^\text{1410}\)
- Wage rate of male and female categorized by both age and occupation type\(^\text{1411}\)
- Number of workdays categorized by both sex and occupation type\(^\text{1412}\)
- Daily work hours categorized by both sex and occupation type\(^\text{1413}\)

On 22 December 2016, the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare published the Final Report of Monthly Labor Survey October 2016.\(^\text{1414}\)

Japan has systematically and transparently monitored the implementation of its employment plan, specifically female and youth goals. Japan has not developed its employment plan. Thus, Japan receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Lam Ho Ching (Crystal)


Korea: 0
Korea has partially complied with its commitment on employment.

On 12 October 2016, Statistics Korea publishes the Economically Active Population Survey, with September data.\textsuperscript{1415} The survey includes:

- Economically active population and labor force participation rate, with specification on female participation rate and unemployment rate\textsuperscript{1416}
- Employed persons and employment-population ratio\textsuperscript{1417}
- Unemployed persons and unemployment rate\textsuperscript{1418}
- Economically inactive population\textsuperscript{1419}

On 16 October 2016, the Ministry of Employment and Labor released analysis on the labor market and characteristics of older people.\textsuperscript{1420} This document outlines the current employment and reemployment situation of older people aged between their 50s and 60s.\textsuperscript{1421}

On 9 November 2016, 14 December 2016 and 11 January 2017 Statistics Korea published the Economically Active Population Survey, with October, November and December data which monitored the active population, labor force participation rate, employed and unemployed population ratio and rate, and the economically inactive population.\textsuperscript{1422}

On 7 December 2016, the Ministry of Employment and Labor announces results of “interim survey on private sector’s compliance with the obligation to establish workplace childcare center,” a key work-life balance policy.

On 27 December 2016, the Ministry of Employment and Labor announces the tightening of monitoring system on management of work environment starting in 2017,\textsuperscript{1424} through:

- “Conducting a survey on the actual state of chemical management mainly in manufacturing businesses”\textsuperscript{1425}
- Establish an on-going detection system\textsuperscript{1426}

\textsuperscript{1426}
• Taking action against those which fail to comply

Korea has systematically and transparently monitored the implementation of its employment plan, specifically female and youth goals. Korea has not developed its employment plan. Thus, Korea receives a score of 0.

_Analyst: Lam Ho Ching (Crystal)_

**Mexico: −1**

Mexico has not complied with its commitment on employment.

In 18 January 2017, the Secretariat of Labor and Social Welfare updated the statistical report for monitoring the gender-gap participation rate of national formal labor by branch of economic activity. According to the employment rate by gender statistic, there are currently 61.7 percentage point of men and 38.3 percentage point of women participated in the formal economy.\footnote{1428}

Mexico has not systematically and transparently monitored the implementation of its employment plan, specifically female and youth goals. Mexico has not developed its employment plan. Thus, Mexico receives a score of −1.

_Analyst: Kaylee Mak_

**Russia: 0**

Russia has partially complied with its commitment on employment.

As of 12 September 2016, the Russian Ministry of Labour and Social Security has publically posted the draft order “On approval of the target forecast indicators in the field of employment promotion.”\footnote{1429} Indicators will be approved annually and will be used as minimums for the entire Russian Federation. The Ministry of Labour and Social Security believes that the introduction of performance per employment service measures will increase the level of responsibility of each individual employee and positively impact performance. The draft order document entered into force on 1 January 2017.\footnote{1430}

On 14 October 2016, the Director of the Department of Demographic Policy and Social Welfare Svetlana Petrova announced a national strategy to benefit women will be launched at a meeting organized by the Commission of the Civic Chamber of the Russian Federation, with the Public Council under the Ministry of Labour and Social Protection. The meeting had the goal of discussing supports for the family, children, and motherhood. Petrova recognized that although the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women had been ratified in Russia, women’s rights remain an issue. One of the three central themes of the strategy will be to “to identify tools that will ensure the effectiveness of the involvement of women in the economy and to increase


\footnotesize{1428} Estado de Mexico, Secretariat For Employment And Labor Productivity. January 2017. Access Date 29 January 2017

\footnotesize{1429} Минтруд России установит для регионов показатели по трудоустройству, Министерство труда и социальной защиты РФ (Moscow). 12 September 2016. Access Date: 19 December 2016.
http://www.rosmintrud.ru/employment/employment/559

\footnotesize{1430} Минтруд России установит для регионов показатели по трудоустройству, Министерство труда и социальной защиты РФ (Moscow). 12 September 2016. Access Date: 19 December 2016.
http://www.rosmintrud.ru/employment/employment/559
their representation in various decision-making levels,” according to Petrova. 1431 As a third theme, Petrova aims to address the problem of the gender wage gap and the conflicting responsibilities women have, professionally and domestically. This meeting pertains to the further development of Russia’s 2014 employment plan, which made the new commitment “To increase economic incentives for employers to hire the disabled and women with children.” 1432

Russia has systematically and transparently monitored the implementation of its employment plan, specifically female and youth goals. Russia has not developed its employment plan. Thus, Russia received a score of 0.

Analyst: Grace Marshall

Saudi Arabia: 0

Saudi Arabia has partially complied with its commitment on employment.

During the compliance period, the General Authority for Statistics (GAS), has continued to publish employment statistics. According to the GAS, there was an 12.7 per cent increase in the number of expatriates in Saudi Arabia. According to GAS data, there were a total of 1,419,905 expatriates, and the women expatriates population increased by 13.59 per cent. In terms of employment, expatriates occupied 72 per cent of jobs in the tourism and hospitality sector against 28 percent Saudis. According to GAS surveys, Saudi Arabian total unemployment number is 693,784, with 254,108 being men and 439,676 being women. 1433

On 12 December 2016, Labour Minister, Ali Al Ghafis, was quoted as saying that the ministries were informed by the Deputy Crown Prince to develop a strategy within the next three months to lower unemployment. Labour Minister Al Ghafis explained the new strategy “should aim to link higher education and vocational training with the labour market to reduce joblessless among young Saudis.” 1434

Saudi Arabia has systematically and transparently monitored the implementation of its employment plan, specifically female and youth goals. Saudi Arabia has not developed its employment plan. Thus, Saudi Arabia receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Meagan Byrd

South Africa: 0

South Africa has partially complied with its commitment on employment.

On 9 November 2016, the Department of Labour of South Africa issued a report titled Annual Performance Plan, 2016/2017. The report outlines the challenges to the performance in the

workplace and the strategic goals for improving workplace environment. The report outlines that “four research reports in line with the Research, Monitoring and Evaluation agenda … [are] aimed at assessing the impact of labour legislation and labour programmes to be produced.” The programs monitored are:

1. “Assessing the effectiveness of collective bargaining exemption process”
2. “Reduction of working hours to a 40 hour week”
3. “Analysis of the knowledge level of the public on the services of the Department of Labour”
4. “Evaluation of the attitude of work-seekers”

South Africa has systematically and transparently monitored the implementation of its employment plan, however, not specifically female and youth goals. South Africa has not developed its employment plan. Thus, South Africa has been awarded a score of 0.

Analyst: Mary Zelenova

Turkey: 0

Turkey has partially complied with its commitment on employment.

On 15 December 2016, the Turkish Statistical Institute announced that the unemployment rate realized 11.3 per cent with one percentage point increase. Additionally, it announced that the youth unemployment rate including persons aged 15-24 was 19.9 per cent with a 1.4 percentage point increase.

During the compliance period, the Turkish Statistical Institute continued to publish employment statistics.

Turkey has systematically and transparently monitored the implementation of its employment plan, specifically youth goals, however not female participation. Turkey has not developed its employment plan. Thus, Turkey receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Briana MacLeod

United Kingdom: +1
The United Kingdom has partially complied with its commitment on employment.

On 19 October 2016, the Office for National Statistics (ONS) released a statistical bulletin, “United Kingdom (UK) Labour Market: October 2016.” This bulletin reported employment statistics for the UK including the employment rate, unemployment rate, economic inactivity rate, etc. The employment and unemployment rate, from March 2016 to May 2016, was 74.5 per cent and 4.9 per cent respectively. The youth unemployment rate, for June to August 2016, was 13.7 per cent. The number of unemployed women, from April to June 2016, was 728,000.

On 26 October 2016, the Office for National Statistics released the “Annual Survey of Hours and Earnings.” Data from this survey is used to calculate the gender pay gap at the national level. The most recent data showed that in April 2016, the gender pay gap for full-time employees was 9.4 per cent compared to 9.6 per cent in 2015. For part-time employees, the gender pay gap in April 2016 was minus 6.0 per cent which showed that on average women were paid higher than men.

On 31 October 2016, the Department of Work and Pensions and the Department of Health jointly issued a press release proposing new plans to help citizens with long-term health conditions benefit from work and advance their health. The proposed plan included:

---


8 April 2017
263
• “A review of Statutory Sick Pay and GP fit notes to support workers back into their jobs faster, and for longer
• Encouraging Jobcentre Plus work coaches to signpost claimants to therapy
• The launch of a consultation on Work Capability Assessment reform
• Encouraging employers to work with their employees with long-term health conditions to stop them from falling out of work
• A wide-ranging debate about recognising the value of work as a health outcome”

On 14 December 2016, the Office for National Statistics (ONS) released a statistical bulletin, “UK Labour Market: December 2016.” The employment and unemployment rate, from August to October 2016, was 74.4 per cent and 4.8 per cent respectively. The youth unemployment rate, for August to October 2016, was 13.1 per cent. The number of unemployed women, from August to October 2016, was 728,000.

On 22 January 2017, the UK released its plan for a modern Industrial Strategy. The Strategy has 10 pillars. One of these pillars in developing skills in which the objective is to “build a proper system of technical education, and boost key skills in science, technology, engineering, maths and digital proficiency, to ensure people have the skills employers need now and in the future.” The green paper outlines areas in which the UK government will take further action to improve skills, including through further supporting apprenticeships. It states that the UK “will work with local areas to test new approaches to closing the skills gap.” It identifies improved pre-school education, new schemes to support the retention and attraction of graduates, and measures to increase the take up of apprenticeships, as examples of possible actions.

The UK has systematically and transparently monitored the implementation of its employment plan, specifically female and youth goals and has worked to develop its employment plan. Thus, UK receives a score of +1.

 donating $100

Analyst: Nishita Agrawal

---

**United States: 0**

The United States has partially complied with its commitment on employment.

On 6 January 2017, the US Bureau of Labor Statistics under the Department of Labor updated the unemployment rate of the population by age, sex, and marital status. The statistics are seasonally adjusted and are on a monthly basis. In the month of December 2016, the unemployment rate for male youths from the age of 16-19 was 17.1 per cent and from the age of 20-24 was 9.2 per cent. In the same month, female youths from the age of 16-19 was 12 per cent and from the age of 20-24 was 7 per cent. The unemployment rate of all women over the age of 16 was 4.6 per cent.\textsuperscript{1461} As well, the Bureau of Labor Statistics published a news release explaining the employment situation as of December 2016, and more specifically, explaining the methodology behind data and statistics collection.\textsuperscript{1462}

On 6 January 2017, the US Secretary of Labor Thomas E. Perez issued a statement about the December 2016 Employment Situation report which stated that December 2016 saw the strongest wage growth since 2009 and the economy added 156,000 jobs, raising the unemployment rate to 4.7 per cent.\textsuperscript{1463}

The US has systematically and transparently monitored the implementation of its employment plan, specifically female and youth goals. The US has not developed its employment plan. Thus the US receives a score of 0.

*Analyst: Mojann Zibapour*

**European Union: +1**

European Union has fully complied with its commitment on employment.

On 4 October 2016, the European Commission adopted a Communication which highlights the achievements of the Youth Guarantee Scheme and the Youth Employment Initiative.\textsuperscript{1464} In its employment plan issued at the 2014 Brisbane Summit, the EU specified the Youth Guarantee Scheme as a priority for implementation.\textsuperscript{1465} Hence, the Communication provides updates to the results achieved due to the Scheme since its launch in 2013.\textsuperscript{1466} The key statistic reported in the Communication was a reduction in the youth unemployment rate from 24.4 per cent in the first quarter of 2013 to 18.9 per cent in the second quarter of 2016.\textsuperscript{1467} On the same day, the European Commission also adopted a proposal to revise the Europass which is a tool to improve transparency

---


- On 20 October 2016, the European Commission launched a public consultation to evaluate the EU Programme for Employment and Social Innovation (EaSI).\footnote{Evaluating the EU programme for Employment and Social Innovation - launch of an open consultation, European Commission 20 October 2016. Access Date: 7 January 2017. http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?langId=en&catId=89&newsId=2650&furtherNews=yes} This programme is a financing instrument which is used for:
  - ‘guaranteeing adequate and decent social protection,
  - combating social exclusion and poverty

This consultation will obtain feedback from stakeholders, participants and beneficiaries involved in the programme during 2014-2016 to help monitor and assess the relevance, effectiveness and efficiency of the programme.\footnote{Evaluating the EU programme for Employment and Social Innovation - launch of an open consultation, European Commission 20 October 2016. Access Date: 7 January 2017. http://ec.europa.eu/social/main.jsp?langId=en&catId=89&newsId=2650&furtherNews=yes}


states regarding their fiscal and structural reform policies. The 2017 Survey reported key employment statistics and amongst several recommendations it pledged additional funding to the Youth Guarantee Scheme along with the announcement of a new Youth Initiative.

On 20 December 2016, the latest annual review of Employment and Social Developments in Europe (ESDE) was published. The ESDE review is EU’s primary report which provides evidence and analysis of employment and social trends and challenges. ESDE reported that about 3 million jobs were created, however unemployment remained high with 8.6 per cent of Europeans being unemployed. Youth unemployment was above 20 per cent and hence, remained a concern.

The EU has systematically and transparently monitored the implementation of its employment plan, specifically female and youth goals. The EU has developed its employment plan. Thus, EU receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Nishita Agrawal

---

11. Migration and Refugees

“The G20 will continue to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.”

G20 2016 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué

Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Lack of Compliance</th>
<th>Work in Progress</th>
<th>Full Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background

G20 leaders first noted global migration issues at the 2013 St. Petersburg Summit by indicating migrants as one of the “vulnerable groups.” 1483 At the 2015 Antalya Summit, they made a commitment in response to the global refugee crisis, where they agreed to “commit to further strengthening [their] support for all efforts to provide protection [for the unprecedented numbers of refugees and internally displaced persons (IDPs) in various parts of the world].” 1484 G20 leaders also made a statement on anti-terrorism by addressing that “the fight against terrorism is a major priority” and “reiterate [their] resolve to work together to prevent and suppress terrorist acts through increased international solidarity and cooperation.” 1485

At the 2016 Hangzhou Summit, G20 leaders recognized that “worldwide massive forced displacement of people, unprecedented since the Second World War, especially those generated from

violent conflicts”\textsuperscript{1486} are a global concern and pose significant challenges to the global economic order. Thus, G20 leaders re-emphasized their calls at Antalya to address the “effects, protection need and root causes of [the] refugee crisis to share in the burden associated with it”\textsuperscript{1487} and strengthen “humanitarian assistance for refugees and refugee resettlement”\textsuperscript{1488} to “find durable solutions”\textsuperscript{1489} to the crisis.

Globally, forced displacement has hit a record high in light of various insurgencies and violence around the world. According to the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), in 2015 there were 65.3 million forcibly displaced people worldwide, up from 59.5 million in the year prior.\textsuperscript{1490} Of the 65.3 million, 21.3 million were refugees, 40.8 million were IDPs, and 3.2 million were asylum seekers. The UNHCR estimates that in 2015, 34,000 people were forcibly displaced every day.\textsuperscript{1491}

G20 leaders have recognized that the severity of forced displacement around the world requires a coordinated, global G20 response. Thus, at the 2016 G20 Hangzhou Summit, G20 leaders agreed to “continue to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.”\textsuperscript{1492}

**Commitment Features**

The G20 committed to “continue to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.”

“ Forced displacement” refers to the forced movement of people from their locality or environment and occupational activities.\textsuperscript{1493} It usually occurs as a result of security risks such as an armed conflict, civil war, generalized violence, and persecution on the grounds of nationality, race, religion, etc. It also occurs when people are under the threat of natural disasters, famine, development and economic change. People who are forcibly displaced may be identified as refugees, asylum seekers, or internally displaced persons (IDPs). According to the 1951 United Nations (UN) Refugee Convention, a refugee is someone who, “owing to a well-founded fear of being persecuted for reasons of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion, is outside the country of his nationality, or is unable to, or owing to such fear, is unwilling to avail himself to the protection of that country.”\textsuperscript{1494} An asylum seeker is someone whose request for sanctuary as a refugee has yet to be processed.\textsuperscript{1495} Unlike refugees and asylum seekers, IDPs have not crossed an


international border to find safety and remain within their own country, even if their government is
the cause of their displacement.\textsuperscript{1496}

“Continue” refers to commitments that are established and implemented. It should be interpreted to
mean new actions will be added to the already existing ones. For example, funding to the UN, other
countries, or non-governmental organizations to support refugees, IDPs, or migrants will count as a
sustained initiative as all G20 members have previously donated to them and thus it is not a new,
concrete action. The exception to this is if funding was substantially increased, for example if it was
doubled. In that case, the change is big enough that it goes beyond merely continuing an existing
commitment. Another example is maintaining an existing program at the same functioning capacity,
such as continuing to resettle refugees as promised under a previously agreed to resettlement scheme.

“Developing concrete actions” refers to the creation of new initiatives taking effect in 2017 that
involve physical and tangible steps towards addressing forced displacement. Concrete actions are new,
strong, and bold actions that go beyond providing affirmative support. They are efforts that change
the status quo. Examples of developing concrete actions include creating new programs to support
refugee populations, developing new cooperative efforts to support host countries with their refugee
populations, or improving an existing initiative, such as enhancing the efficiency of an existing
asylum procedure.

To achieve full compliance, G20 members must sustain existing initiatives and develop concrete
actions in 2017 to address the massive forced displacement. While actions must focus on addressing
forced displacement in 2017, preparatory steps taken in 2016 after the 2016 G20 Hangzhou Summit
may count towards compliance. Affirmations of support do not count towards compliance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scoring Guidelines</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G20 member did not sustain existing initiatives AND did not develop new concrete actions.</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G20 member did not sustain existing initiatives OR develop new concrete actions.</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G20 member sustained existing initiatives AND developed new concrete actions.</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Argentina: +1

Argentina has fully complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 with a
view to developing concrete actions.

On 9 September 2016, the Ministry of Interior and Transport enhanced the Syria Program by
simplifying the procedure for processing permits for entry and visas.\textsuperscript{1497} Originally created on 14
October 2014, the Syria Program grants special humanitarian visas for Syrian refugees.\textsuperscript{1498} Refugees
receive temporary and renewable residence permits, which become permanent after two years.\textsuperscript{1499} The
special humanitarian visa also entitles refugees the right to acquire same social services as national

\textsuperscript{1496} Internally Displaced People, UNHCR (Geneva) 2016. Access Date: 31 October 2016.
\textsuperscript{1497} Ministry of the Interior, Public Works and Housing National Direction of Migration, Official Bulletin of the Republic
of Argentina (Buenos Aires) 9 September 2016. Access Date: 31 December 2016.
\textsuperscript{1498} The government accelerates the process to bring the country to 200 Syrian refugees, La Nacion (Buenos Aires) 30
\textsuperscript{1499} Special humanitarian visa program for foreigners affected by the conflict in the Syrian Arab Republic, Ministry of
citizens, including access to lawful work and education. Additionally, the Government of Argentina announced that a team from the Federal Intelligence Agency (AFI), the National Commission for Refugees, and the Ministry of Security conducted the final screening process for 200 Syrian refugees who will soon arrive in Argentina from Aleppo and Lebanon.

On 16 September 2016, the Argentinian government created the National Office of the Syrian Program (Syria Bureau), a cabinet program that will be coordinated by Head of National Directorate of Migration Horacio García. It is composed of the Chief of Staff, Ministries of Interior, Foreign Affairs, Social Development, Education, Labor, Health, Justice, Security and Culture, Federal Bureau of Intelligence, and Bureau of Labor Syria Program. The Syria Bureau aims to integrate refugees into their host communities in Argentina through collaboration with non-governmental organizations and religious institutions to provide humanitarian assistance to them upon arrival in Argentina.

On 20 September 2016, at the United Nations (UN) Summit for Refugees and Migrants, President Mauricio Macri agreed to resettle 3,000 Syrian refugees.

On 7 November 2016, Minister of Foreign Affairs Susana Malcorra announced the beginning of a training process for an interdisciplinary team to help families under the Syria Program with social integration into their host communities. The team is composed of the White Helmets Commission of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and members from the Ministries of Social Development and Justice and Human Rights. It will help families with integration by acting as an intercultural mediator and identifying their special needs and requirements according to age, gender, and abilities.

---

In 2016, Argentina contributed USD523,420 to the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR). As of 18 January 2017, it has yet to contribute anything to the UNHCR.

Argentina has fully complied with its commitment to address the issue of forced displacement by developing concrete actions such as strengthening the Syria Program and creating the Syria Bureau and sustaining existing initiatives such as continued funding contributions to the UNHCR. Thus, Argentina receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Engin Polar**

**Australia: 0**

Australia has partially complied with its commitment to continue to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.

On 8 September 2016, Minister for Immigration and Border Protection Peter Dutton reported that Australia has now issued visas to more than half the additional 12,000 Syrian and Iraqi refugees that it committed to resettle a year ago.

As of 9 September 2016, one year since the Syrian/Iraqi Refugee Intake project was first announced, official statistics indicated that 4,086 refugees had arrived in Australia and 2,772 visas had been granted during that time.

On 21 September 2016, Minister Dutton and Minister for Foreign Affairs Julie Bishop issues a joint statement at the Leaders’ Summit on Refugees. At the Summit, the Ministers stated that Australia will “provide an extra AUS130 million over the next three years to further increase support for refugees and communities in key countries of first asylum, such as Jordan, Lebanon, and Pakistan.” Australia will also “maintain [its] Humanitarian Program at an increased level of 18,750 places from 2018-19 onwards. This is in addition to the 12,000 places that it committed for refugees from Syria and Iraq.” Australia will also “dedicate a minimum number of places over the

---


next three years to displaced people from specific protracted refugee situations” to support the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR)’s planning and management. Furthermore, Australia will create new pathways for refugees to settle in Australia through the establishment of 1,000 places under a Community Support Program, where communities and businesses can sponsor applications and support new arrivals. Lastly, Australia will participate in a US-led multilateral program to resettle refugees from Central America.

On 18 October 2016, Minister Bishop announced that Australia will provide an additional AUS10 million in life-saving support for the civilians displaced by conflict in Mosul, Iraq. The support will include emergency food, medical assistance, temporary shelter, and support to women and girls for reproductive health. This is in addition to the AUS60 million that Australia has provided to Iraq since 2014, bringing the total humanitarian assistance provided by Australia to Iraq since June 2014 to AUS70 million.

On 13 November 2016, the Australian government reached a refugee resettlement agreement with the United States. This agreement is in addition to two resettlement agreements already in effect with Papua New Guinea and Cambodia. Under the agreement with the US, the priority is for the resettlement of those who are most vulnerable, namely women, children, and families. US authorities are to conduct their own assessment or refugees and decide which people are resettled in the US, and refugees will need to satisfy standard requirements for admission into the US.

---

On 24 November 2016, Prime Minister Malcolm Turnbull stated that the Australian government will increase Australia’s annual refugee intake from 13,750 to almost 19,000.1527

On 22 December 2016, the Department of Social Services stated that as of 2 December 2016, nearly 1,000 of a pledged 12,000 Syrian and Iraqi refugees have been resettled in Queensland since 1 July 2015. 1528 A further 3,500 are to be resettled in Brisbane, Logan, the Gold Coast, and Toowoomba.1529

In 2016, Australia contributed USD39.9 million to the UNHCR.1530 As of 18 January 2017, it has contributed USD19.1 million.1531

Australia has partially complied with its commitment to address the issue of forced displacement by sustaining existing initiatives such as granting visas, increasing refugee intake, and providing training programs and assistance. However, it has failed to develop concrete actions. Thus, Australia receives a score of 0.

*Analyst: Annie Luo*

**Brazil: +1**

Brazil has fully complied with its commitment to continue to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.

On 3 October 2016, the Ministry of Justice and Citizenship launched a call for proposals for projects aiming to promote the social and economic inclusion of immigrants, refugees, asylum seekers, and stateless persons in Brazil.1532 Successful projects will receive financial resources of up to BRL300,000 for a period of up to twelve months.1533

On 16 November 2016, free Portuguese language and Brazilian culture courses for refugees under the National Program for the Access to Technical Education and Employment (PRONATEC) started.1534 These courses are the result of a previous agreement signed between the Ministry of Justice

---


and Citizenship, National Committee for Refugees, and Ministry of Education. In addition to the free classes, PRONATEC provides financial aid to students to pay for food and transportation.

In 2016, Brazil contributed USD662,778 to the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR). As of 18 January 2017, it has contributed an additional USD662,778 in 2017. Brazil has fully complied with its commitment to address the issue of forced displacement by sustaining existing initiatives, such as continuing to contribute funds to the UNHCR and implementing existing agendas. It has also developed new concrete actions by launching a call for projects to promote refugees’ social and economic inclusion in host communities. Thus, Brazil receives a score of +1.

Canada: +1

Canada has fully complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.

On 8 September 2016, Minister of Immigration, Refugees, and Citizenship John McCallum and Minister of Science Kirsty Duncan announced that the Government of Canada would invest CAD600,000 in short-term grants to fund 25 research projects focusing on issues affecting the effective resettlement of refugees. These projects will ensure that Canada provides the best possible support for refugees and assists in their integration through resettlement programs. Researchers for the projects will also showcase their preliminary results at various events in 2017.

On 19 September 2016, the Government of Canada, United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), and the Open Society Foundations agreed to launch a joint initiative to increase the private sponsorship of refugees around the world under the Global Refugee Sponsorship Initiative. The joint initiative has three primary objectives to 1) contribute to enhanced

---

responsibility sharing by expanding the use of private sponsorship as a pathway for refugees in need of protection and solutions, 2) encouraging the expansion of resettlement by building the capacity of states, civil society actors, and private citizens to launch private sponsorship programs, and 3) providing a vehicle that mobilizes citizens in direct support of refugees and encourages a broader political debate that is supportive of refugee protection.\footnote{Canada, UNHCR & the Open Society Foundations Seek to Increase Refugee Resettlement through Private Sponsorship, Government of Canada (Ottawa) 19 September 2016. Access Date: 17 January 2017. http://news.gc.ca/web/article-en.do?crtr.sj1D=&crtr.mnthndVl=1&mthd=advSrch&crtr.dpt1D=&nid=1126819&crtr.lc1D=&crtr.tp1D=&crtr.yrStrtVl=2016&crtr.kw=refugee&crtr.dyStrtVl=9&crtr.page=3&crtr.yrndVl=2017&crtr.dynvl=18}


On 31 December 2016, the Government of Canada cancelled the Syrian Family Links Initiative, a program that matched private Canadian sponsors with Syrian refugees abroad who have relatives in
Canada. The Ministry for Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship claimed that the number of refugees registered far exceeded the number of sponsors available.

On 2 January 2017, the Government of Canada reported that 39,671 refugees had arrived in Canada since 4 November 2015. This includes 21,751 government-assisted refugees, 3,923 blended visa office-referred refugees, and 13,997 privately sponsored refugees.

In 2016, Canada contributed USD116.2 million to the UNHCR. As of 18 January 2017, it has contributed USD38.6 million in 2017.

Canada has fully complied with its commitment to address the issue of forced displacement by developing new concrete actions such as investing in new projects to discover how to improve resettlement programs and supporting other countries in adopting a private refugee sponsorship model similar to Canada’s. It has also sustained existing initiatives by continuing to contribute funds to the UNRWA. Thus, Canada receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Joy Lizette Aguilar

China: 0

China has partially complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.

On 19 September 2016, Premier Li Keqiang pledged USD100 million in humanitarian aid at the United Nations (UN) Summit for Refugees and Migrants to help nations and organizations tackle the migrant crisis. Premier Li also affirmed that China will provide USD50 million to multilateral humanitarian organizations and relevant UN initiatives on an annual basis over the next three years, along with another USD50 million in the form of bilateral humanitarian aid. This brings China’s new refugee and migrant humanitarian aid pledged at the Summit to a total sum of USD300 million.
In 2016, China contributed USD2.8 million to the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR). As of 18 January 2017, it has yet to contribute anything to the UNHCR in 2017.

China has partially complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 by sustaining existing initiatives such as continuing to contribute funds to the UNHCR and in humanitarian aid. However, it has failed to develop new concrete actions. Thus, China receives a score of 0.

**Analyst: Emilia Lochowska**

**France: 0**

France has partially complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.

On 6 September 2016, Minister of Immigration Robert Goodwill announced that the UK struck a USD23 million deal with France to begin constructing a wall in Calais to prevent refugees and migrants from crossing over from France to the UK.  

On 6 September 2016, Mayor of Paris Anne Hidalgo announced that the Governments of Paris and France will spend EUR6.5 million to construct refugee camps in Paris and Ivry-sur-Seine. The Governments of Paris and France will pay EUR1.2 million to create the camps and EUR15 million annually thereafter to maintain them. The camp in Paris will support 400 men, while the camp in Ivry-sur-Seine will support 350 women and children. The refugee camps also provide short-term health services to asylum seekers for 5 to 10 days.

On 15 September 2016, the EU Parliament adopted a resolution demanding that EU member states step up the process. On 16 September 2016, the European Council on Refugees and Exiles reported that only 3% of the pledge to relocate 160,000 asylum seekers from Greece and Italy had been met. Of this amount, France had relocated the most people at a total of 1,662 from both countries.

On 24 September 2016, President François Hollande announced the closing of the refugee camp in Calais during a visit to a reception centre in Tours. Following the closure, the President stated that

---

refugees would then be sent to 450 reception centres across the country for medical checks and applications for asylum.\footnote{1566}

On 24 October 2016, the refugee camp in Calais was dismantled and 9,000 refugees were moved to 164 reception centres around France.\footnote{1567} These reception centres are funded by the Government of France in collaboration with the Office for Immigration and Integration (OFII).\footnote{1568} “They have a capacity to hold 40-50 people for four months and offer medical checks and applications for asylum.”\footnote{1569} However, there have been reports from NGO’s working in the camp that many children have been left behind and are at risk of going missing. It is estimated that over 1,000 people remain in the camp.\footnote{1570}

On 4 November 2016, the biggest makeshift refugee camp in Paris, made up of “tents and cardboard shelters that [stretched] for almost 1,000 meters,” was dismantled,\footnote{1571} and 3,852 refugees from Sudan, Ethiopia and other countries were moved to 78 temporary centres in the city.\footnote{1572} Among them, 339 migrants who were identified as “vulnerable” were given special care.\footnote{1573}

On 23 November 2016, the Government of France and the OFII temporarily increased compensation for refugees that voluntarily leave France before 31 December 2016 to EUR2,500.\footnote{1574} This includes a paid-for air fare and up to EUR10,000 in financial aid upon arrival to their home country.\footnote{1575}

On 30 November 2016, Minister of Housing Emmanuelle Cosse introduced a two-year housing project where 1,400 refugees will be living in the homes of private individuals with no housing
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The Ministry will pay EUR1,500 per person to each of the 11 associations selected to offer assistance to refugee families throughout their transition.\textsuperscript{1576} On 30 November 2016, Executive Director of Operations of the French Development Agency Laurence Breton-Moyet signed a EUR32 million loan agreement with the Minister of Planning and International Cooperation of Jordan.\textsuperscript{1577} The loan aims to support the Government of Jordan’s project on improving “access to water, water distribution performance and related sewerage in Irbid Governorate for host communities of Syrian refugees.”\textsuperscript{1579}

As of 10 December 2016 and since the closure of the Calais refugee camp on 24 October 2016, over 750 refugee minors were transported from France to the United Kingdom as part of the fast-track program.\textsuperscript{1580} On 9 December 2016, Minister of the Interior Bruno Le Roux announced the transfer of 200 unaccompanied refugee minors to Ireland.\textsuperscript{1581} This came as a result of the dismantlement of the Calais refugee camp.\textsuperscript{1582}

In 2016, France contributed USD43.4 million to the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR).\textsuperscript{1583} As of 18 January 2017, France has contributed USD292,138.\textsuperscript{1584}

While France has created a new program to help refugees transition into society in France via its two-year housing program. It has also continued existing initiatives by continuing its aid to the UNHCR as well as its commitment to continue receiving asylum seekers from Italy and Greece. However, the construction of a wall to prevent the flow of migrants and refugees prevents France from achieving a full compliance score. Thus, France receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Mariya-Kvitlana Tsap}

\begin{itemize}
\end{itemize}
Germany: +1

Germany has fully complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.

On 7 September 2016, Chancellor Angela Merkel stressed that the Government of Germany would spend more for refugees in 2017 during the budget debate in the Bundestag.\textsuperscript{1585} The draft of the 2017 federal budget allocates almost EUR19 billion to address migration and tackle root causes of displacement.\textsuperscript{1586} Approximately EUR1 billion will support the reception of asylum seekers and timely handling of asylum procedures. Funding for integration courses is to be doubled to EUR610 million.\textsuperscript{1587} In addition, more than EUR1.5 billion will be dedicated to labour market integration, while EUR410 million will be allocated to support occupation-specific German language courses.\textsuperscript{1588} EUR300 million will be directed towards job opportunities for refugee integration measures and EUR2.8 billion will be allocated to the Federal Foreign Office and the Federal Ministry of Economic Cooperation and Development to tackle root causes of displacement.\textsuperscript{1589}

On 20 September 2016, Federal Foreign Minister Frank-Walter Steinmeier co-hosted the Leaders’ Summit on Refugees with United States President Barack Obama in New York City.\textsuperscript{1590} Gathering representatives of 52 countries and organizations,\textsuperscript{1591} the Summit aimed to spur country’s commitments to increase funding to humanitarian appeals and international organizations, accept more refugees through resettlement and other legal ways, and increase refugees’ self-reliance and inclusion through opportunities for education and legal work.\textsuperscript{1592}

On 4 November 2016, Federal Foreign Minister Steinmeier announced that the Government of Germany would contribute EUR61 million in humanitarian aid to the United Nations High


Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR). The funding will be directed to provide assistance for refugees in sub-Saharan Africa, especially in South Sudan, Somalia, Burundi, and the Lake Chad region.

In early December 2016, Federal Foreign Minister Steinmeier pledged EUR50 million in humanitarian aid for civilians fleeing their homes in and surrounding Aleppo, Syria during his visit to Lebanon. The aid will be implemented by non-governmental organizations such as the International Committee of the Red Cross, as well as the World Food Programme (WFP) and the UNHCR.

On 14 December 2016, Federal Foreign Minister Steinmeier pledged EUR5 million in humanitarian aid to the UN Humanitarian Pooled Fund in Gaziantep. The funding will support cross-border measures from Turkey to northern Syria and will be implemented by the UN and Syrian non-governmental organizations.

On 16 December 2016, Special Representative of the Federal Government for the Middle East Stability Partnership Joachim Rücker announced that the Government of Germany would contribute EUR46 million to Jordan to support education and employment protection for refugees.

On 16 December 2016, the Federal Foreign Office of Germany announced its contribution of EUR48 million for the European Union-International Organization for Migration initiative on

migrant protection. The initiative will include construction of migration centres along primary migration routes to provide better access to information (i.e. information on target countries) to migrants, and to help migrants locate their family members. In addition, the centres will offer food, medical care, and psycho-social care. The centres will also help migrants return to their countries of origin. The initiative aims to alter political responses by developing a better understanding of the reasons for displacement and migration through the collection of data on refugee numbers and kinds of refugee flows.

On 1 January 2017, the Federal Government of Germany assumed joint chairmanship of the Global Forum on Migration and Development (GFMD) with the Government of Morocco. The GFMD is an initiative of UN members that examines the relationship between legal migration and development from a practical approach. The GFMD aims to provide an informal framework in which political decision-makers can discuss relevant legislations and to exchange experiences and practices. In addition, the forum aims to identify political and institutional relationships needed to promote coherence between migration and development policy, establish partnerships and

---


cooperation between states and other stakeholders, and shape international priorities for migration and development.\(^{1608}\)

In 2016, Germany contributed USD360.1 million to the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR).\(^{1609}\) As of 18 January 2017, it has contributed USD32.3 million.\(^{1610}\)

Germany has fully complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 by developing concrete actions such as increasing its 2017 budget to better support its refugee population and sustaining existing initiatives such as continued donations to the UNHCR, the WFP, and other host countries. Thus, Germany receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Joy Lizette Aguilar**

**India: 0**

India has partially complied with its commitment to continue to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.

On 30 November 2016, the Union Cabinet of the Government of India, chaired by Prime Minister Narendra Modi, approved of a INR20 billion development package for displaced people from Pakistan occupied Kashmir living in India.\(^{1611}\) It aims to provide enhanced financial aid to 36,384 Hindu and Sikh families,\(^{1612}\) who are mostly living in the Jammu-Kashmir region.\(^{1613}\) It is estimated that each of these families will receive around INR0.55 million as aid.\(^{1614}\)

In 2016, India contributed USD14,788 to the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR).\(^{1615}\) As of 18 January 2017, it has yet to contribute anything to the UNHCR in 2017.

India has partially complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 by sustaining existing initiatives such as continuing to provide financial aid to displaced persons and the UNHCR. However, it has not developed concrete actions. Thus, India receives a score of 0.

**Analyst: Annie Luo**

---


**Indonesia: 0**

Indonesia has partially complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.

In 2016, Indonesia contributed USD60,000 to the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR). As of 18 January 2017, it has contributed USD60,000 in 2017. Indonesia has partially complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 by sustaining existing initiatives continuing to contribute funds to the UNHCR. However, it has not developed concrete actions. Thus, Indonesia receives a score of 0.

*Analyst: Ben Xu*

**Italy: +1**

Italy has fully complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.

On 30 September 2016, the Italian Ministry of Foreign Affairs and the Italian Development Cooperation donated EUR800,000 of emergency aid to the United Nations World Food Programme (WFP) to provide economic and nutritional support to 548,000 refugees and internally displaced persons from Afghanistan and Pakistan.

On 3 October 2016, the Government of Italy gave EUR1 million of emergency funds to the United Nations Relief and Works Agency (UNRWA) to support Palestinian refugees in the Gaza Strip.

On 4 October 2016, Permanent Representative and Ambassador of Italy to the International Organizations in Geneva Maurizio Enrico Serra delivered a statement at the 2016 United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) Executive Committee. Ambassador Serra stated that the Government of Italy has pledged USD24.5 million for refugee crises in Africa, the Middle East, and Asia in 2016. Ambassador Serra also stated that since 2011, Italian Development Cooperation, the governmental agency responsible for Italy’s official development aid overseas, has contributed

---

approximately EUR84 million of aid for over 4 million Syrian refugees in Libya, Turkey, Jordan, Iraq, and Egypt.\textsuperscript{1622}

On 14 October 2016, the Government of Italy allocated EUR3.9 million to provide essential services to South Sudanese refugees and the most vulnerable segments of the hosting populations in South Sudan and neighbouring countries.\textsuperscript{1623}

On 24 October 2016, 75 Syrian refugees arrived in Rome from UNHCR camps in Lebanon as a part of the Human Corridors Resettlement Programme.\textsuperscript{1624} The programme is a pilot project stemming from an initiative signed in December 2015 by the Government of Italy\textsuperscript{1625} to resettle 1,000 refugees from Morocco, Lebanon, and Ethiopia over the next two years.\textsuperscript{1626} 279 refugees have already been resettled under the programme, and an additional 53 refugees were resettled on 25 October 2016.\textsuperscript{1627}

On 27 October 2016, the Government of Italy contributed EUR3 million in emergency funds to Palestine to support and strengthen basic services, with a particular goal to guarantee decent living conditions for refugees and displaced persons.\textsuperscript{1628}

On 31 October 2016, the Ministry of the Interior created a working group to deal with asylum seekers beyond the ‘logic of emergency’.\textsuperscript{1629} The working group aims to create a framework to successfully redistribute 1200 asylum seekers currently residing in refugee centres in Modena.\textsuperscript{1630}


On 31 October 2016, Minister of Foreign Affairs Angelino Alfano greeted the first 75 Lebanese refugees to be resettled in Italy through the Human Corridors Resettlement Programme upon their arrival at the Leonardo Da Vinci – Fiumicino Airport in Rome.\footnote{Accolti i migranti del progetto 'Corridoi Umanitari,' Ministry of the Interior (Rome) 31 October 2016. Access Date: 30 January 2017. http://www.interno.gov.it/it/notizie/accolti-i-migranti-progetto-corridoi-umanitari&usg=ALkJrhi9DE_e85Fpi8lMPMlDX8VIPcWCBlA.}


On 30 December 2016, the Department of Civil Liberties and Immigration released a decree pertaining to the funding arrangements for small organizations working on refugee resettlement for
the years 2017-2019.\textsuperscript{1640} Under the decree, 95 per cent of the total operating costs of selected organization will be subsidized by the Department.\textsuperscript{1641}

On 27 January 2017, the Ministry of the Interior pledged to admit 500 refugees from Ethiopia, whose refugee status will be confirmed by the UNHCR by the end of 2017.\textsuperscript{1642} This measure represents the continuation of a previous protocol initiated in 2015 whose focus was mainly on Lebanese refugees.\textsuperscript{1643}

In 2016, Italy contributed USD31.4 million to the UNHCR.\textsuperscript{1644} As of 18 January 2017, it has contributed USD5.2 million in 2017.\textsuperscript{1645}

Italy has fully complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 by sustaining existing initiatives, such as continuing to implement the Human Corridors Resettlement Programme and contributing funds to the UNRWA, UNHCR, and WFP, and developing concrete actions by creating a working group to support asylum seekers and deciding to fund majority of total operating costs of small refugee resettlement organizations. Thus, Italy receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Ben Xu and Tea Cimini}

\textbf{Japan: +1}

Japan has fully complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.

On 8 September 2016, the Government of Japan delivered USD1.8 million to the United Nations World Food Programme (WFP) to provide food and nutrition assistance to more than 200,000 refugees in northwest Tanzania.\textsuperscript{1646} With these funds, the WFP purchased 1,800 metric tonnes of food commodities.\textsuperscript{1647}

On 20 September 2016, Prime Minister Shinzo Abe stated at the Leader’s Summit on Refugees that Japan will commit USD2.8 million in humanitarian and self-reliance assistance to refugees and


migrants. Furthermore, Japan will contribute USD100 million to the World Bank’s Global Crisis Response Platform, which aims to address pressing global threats, such as the massive displacement of people. Prime Minister Abe also stated that Japan will accept 150 Syrian students and their families in the coming five years starting from 2017 provide educational assistance and vocational training to approximately one million people affected by conflicts. The Government of Japan has already supplied vocational training to Syrian refugees and Lebanese youth in Lebanon in cooperation with the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR). Prime Minister Abe also claimed that the Japanese Overseas Cooperation Volunteers will work to create safe learning environments for Syrian refugee children.

On 12 December 2016, the Government of Japan contributed USD1.4 million to the WFP to supply food and nutrition to Burundian and Congolese refugee camps in Rwanda.

On 22 December 2016, the Government of Japan pledged USD500 million in assistance for refugees as part of the country’s commitment to achieving the United Nations (UN) Sustainable Development Goals.

On 9 January 2017, State Minister for Foreign Affairs Kentaro Sanoura announced that the Government of Japan will grant Lebanon USD17.9 million of aid to support Syrian refugees.

In 2016, Japan contributed USD164.7 million to the UNHCR. As of 18 January 2017, it has contributed USD616,275 in 2017. Japan has fully complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 by developing concrete actions such as pledging to accept 150 Syrian students over the next five years, and

---

sustaining existing initiatives by continuing to contribute financial support to host countries, the UN, and its organizations. Thus, Japan receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Emilia Lochowska*

**Korea: 0**

Korea has partially complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.

On 4 October 2016, Ambassador Song Woong-Yeob of Korea to Iraq attended an official ceremony hosted by the Kurdistan Regional Government Minister of Interior and donated USD300,000 in medical supplies to internally displaced persons and refugees from Syria and Iraq who are currently residing in Kurdistan.  

On 21 September 2016, Minister of Foreign Affairs Yun Byung-se announced at the Leader’s Summit on Refugees that Korea will allocate USD230 million over the next three years in multilateral humanitarian aid to solve the global refugee crisis.

On 2 November 2016, Korea received 34 refugees from Myanmar as a part of a 2015 refugee resettlement program initiated by the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR). The Ministry of Justice will run the pilot program until 2017, at which point it will decide whether or not to continue the project based on public reception of refugees and the success of integration.

In 2016, Korea contributed USD7.1 million to the UNHCR. As of 18 January 2017, it has contributed USD7.1 million in 2017.

Korea has partially complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 by sustaining existing initiatives such as the 2015 UNHCR resettlement program and continuing to contribute financial aid to host countries and the UNHCR. However, it has failed to develop concrete actions. Thus, Korea receives a score of 0.

*Analyst: Emilia Lochowska*

**Mexico: 0**

Mexico has partially complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.

---


On 20 September 2016, at the United Nations (UN) Summit for Refugees and Migrants, President Enrique Peña Nieto outlined seven specific actions that the Government of Mexico is promoting. These include increasing the number of the Mexican Commission for Refugee Assistance (COMAR) personnel by 80 per cent in places where the highest number of applications are registered over the coming months.\(^{1664}\) Additionally, President Nieto committed to finding alternatives to prevent the administrative detention of asylum seekers.\(^{1665}\)

As of 11 December 2016, Mexico has contributed a total of USD2 million to the United Nations World Food Programme (WFP) and its emergency operation to deliver food assistance to vulnerable Syrian populations in Jordan, Lebanon, Iraq, Turkey, and Egypt affected by conflict in Syria.\(^{1666}\)

In 2016, Mexico contributed USD55,000 to the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR).\(^{1667}\) As of 18 January 2017, it has yet to contribute anything to the UNHCR in 2017.

Mexico has partially complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 by sustaining existing initiatives such as financial contributions to the WFP and UNHCR. However, it has failed to develop new concrete actions. Thus, Mexico receives a score of 0.

**Russia: 0**

Russia has partially complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.

In May 2016, the President of Russia signed the Law on simplified procedure for obtaining permanent residence in Russia. The Federal Law provides for a simplified procedure for obtaining Russian permanent residence (without first having to obtain a temporary residence permit and live at least one year in Russia on the basis of this permit). This simplified procedure is open to foreign citizens and stateless persons “coming in large numbers to Russia as a result of an emergency situation, provided they receive temporary asylum or refugee status and are involved in the state programme for assistance to compatriots living abroad in voluntary resettlement in Russia.”\(^{1668}\)

In 2016, Russia contributed USD2 million to the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR).\(^{1669}\) As of 18 January 2017, it has contributed an additional USD2 million in 2017.\(^{1670}\)

---


\(^{1668}\) Law on Simplified Procedure for Obtaining Permanent Residence in Russia, President of Russia 2 May 2016. http://kremlin.ru/acts/news/51861


Russia has partially complied with its commitment to address forced displacement by sustaining existing initiatives such as continuing to contribute funding to the UNHCR. However, it has not developed concrete actions. Thus, Russia receives a score of 0.

**Analyst: Mariya-Kvitlana Tsap & Mark Rakhmangulov**

**Saudi Arabia: 0**

Saudi Arabia has partially complied with its commitment to continue to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.

On 21 September 2016, at the Leaders’ Summit on Refugees in New York, Crown Prince Mohammed bin Nayef announced that Saudi Arabia will contribute an additional USD75 million to aid refugees in coordination with international aid organizations.1671

On 22 October 2016, the Government of Saudi Arabia, represented by the Saudi Fund for Development, signed an agreement with the United Nations World Food Program (WFP) in Riyadh of USD6 million to provide support to refugees in Jordan and Syria, for USD3 million each.1672 The Saudi National Campaign also distributed school bags and stationery to 747 Syrian students in a Jordanian refugee camp in Irbid.1673

In 2016, Saudi Arabia contributed USD14.7 million to the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR).1674 As of 18 January 2017, it has contributed an additional USD11.7 million in 2017.1675

Saudi Arabia has partially complied with its commitment to address forced displacement by sustaining existing initiatives to continue to support refugees by continuing to contribute to humanitarian organizations such as the UNHCR and WFP. However, it has failed to develop concrete actions in 2017. Thus, Saudi Arabia receives a score of 0.

**Analyst: Annie Luo**

**South Africa: 0**

South Africa has fully complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.

On 16 September 2016, Minister of Home Affairs Malusi Gigaba held a roundtable discussion with representatives of the civil society to discuss issues related to the 1996 Green Paper on International Migration.1676 The discussion is part of a public consultation process held by the Department of

---

Home Affairs from 1 July 2016 to 30 September 2016 to review the Green Paper and discovery ways to improve the Government of South Africa’s immigration policy.\textsuperscript{1677}

On 30 September 2016, delegations from South Africa and other African countries as well as representatives from the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) and the African Union held a ministerial meeting in Geneva to agree on the final steps of a 2009 comprehensive solutions strategy to support the integration of Rwandan refugees into their host communities in South Africa and other African countries.\textsuperscript{1678} During the meeting, participants agreed to continue promoting the option of voluntary repatriation to Rwanda in safety and dignity.\textsuperscript{1679} As of 30 September 2016, of the 3.5 million Rwandans that became refugees between 1997 and 1998, all but 268,500 refugees have found a solution.\textsuperscript{1680} The strategy aims to find durable solutions for the remaining refugees by December 2017.\textsuperscript{1681}

On 11 December 2016, Minister Gigaba announced the winners of the Second Annual Mkhaya Migrants Awards.\textsuperscript{1682} The Department of Home Affairs launched the Awards in 2015 to promote the “building of a united, democratic and prosperous society where citizens, residents and migrants live together in peace and harmony.”\textsuperscript{1683} They are awarded to individuals, groups of individuals, or communities that make positive contributions towards enhancing South Africa’s social cohesion and supporting international migration.\textsuperscript{1684}

In 2016, South Africa contributed USD125,217 to the UNHCR.\textsuperscript{1685} As of 18 January 2017, it has yet to contribute anything in 2017.

South Africa has partially complied with its commitment to address forced displacement by sustaining existing initiatives such as continuing to contribute funding the UNHCR. However, it has not developed concrete actions. Thus, South Africa receives a score of 0.

**Analyst: Ben Xu**

**Turkey: +1**

Turkey has fully complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.

On 9 September 2016, the Disaster and Emergency Management Authority (AFAD), the Foreign Ministry, and the Turkish Red Crescent jointly coordinated to send a ship carrying 11,000 tons of humanitarian aid to East Africa, including around 178,000 diapers and foodstuff, to help alleviate the needs of internally displaced people in Ethiopia and Somalia. The AFAD noted that this is the 12th humanitarian aid ship sent to Somalia since 2011, and the amount of Turkish humanitarian aid to Somalia will reach 62,000 tons.  

On 20 September 2016, the Government of Turkey announced the creation of a special task force to accelerate the integration of Syrian refugees into the labour market in cooperation with the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK), Council of Higher Education (YÖK), National Education Ministry (MEB), Directorate of Turks Living Abroad and Related Communities (YTB) and the AFAD. Furthermore, the AFAD, the Housing Development Administration of Turkey (TOKI) and the Environment and Urban Planning Ministry are jointly coordinating to expedite efforts to provide affordable housing to refugees in Kilis and Hatay.

On 20 September 2016, at the United Nations (UN) Summit for Refugees and Migrants, President Recep Tayyip Erdogan noted that Turkey is currently hosting nearly three million Syrian refugees. Furthermore, President Erdogan announced that Turkey has started to provide citizenship and work permits to Syrian refugees residing in Turkey after making the necessary legislative changes. The President noted that 310,000 school-age Syrian children have started to attend school in Turkey due to collaborative efforts between the Government of Turkey and non-governmental organizations.

President Erdogan also noted that Turkey’s registered spending for refugees has surpassed USD12

---


billion, and that external financial support from other countries has amounted to USD512 million.\footnote{1692}

On 28 September 2016, Deputy Minister of Education Orhan Erdem announced Turkey’s plan to provide education for 450,000 school-aged Syrian refugees for the 2016-2017 academic year. In the 2015-2016 academic year, of the 814,000 school-age Syrian children currently in Turkey, only 340,000 received an education.\footnote{1693}

On 21 October 2016, the Government of Turkey delivered 500 tons of humanitarian aid to 30 villages near Mosul, Iraq. The aid consisted of food and clothes for 45,000 internally displaced people.\footnote{1694}

On 8 November 2016, the Ministry of Health released new data on refugee access to healthcare. According to the data, nearly one million Syrian refugees have received medical treatment in Turkey in 2016. Of the one million, over 967,000 have received in-patient treatment, around 800,000 have received operations, and over 177,000 babies have been born. Furthermore, medicines have been supplied free of charge.\footnote{1695}

On 2 December 2016, the Ministry of Health, in collaboration with the World Health Organization (WHO) and the European Union (EU), established a new initiative to provide approximately 600 Syrian refugees who are doctors in Syria with training to work at special clinics in Turkey. Under the program, Syrian doctors receive education from Turkish doctors on the Turkish health care system and ultimately obtain a certification allowing them to work in special clinics for refugees.\footnote{1696}

On 7 December 2016, Deputy Prime Minister Veyes Kaynak said 78,824 Syrian children are being educated at temporary refugee centers. Additionally, the Deputy Prime Minister noted that around 133,400 Syrian students are being educated in state schools run by the Ministry of National Education.\footnote{1697} Furthermore, Deputy Prime Minister Kaynak said that the Government of Turkey had established just over 2,000 vocational training courses for adult refugees, and so far about 222,900 trainees have graduated in hairdressing, sewing, weaving, handicrafts, computing, and language courses.\footnote{1698}


On 9 December 2016, Deputy Prime Minister Numan Kurtulmus announced that the Presidency of Religious Affairs has launched a humanitarian campaign to deliver aid to civilians lacking access to basic humanitarian needs in Aleppo, Syria.\(^{1699}\)

On 16 December 2016, Minister of Health Recep Akdag stated that the Government of Turkey deployed three field hospitals and dispatched ambulances, as well as medical personnel on the Turkish-Syrian border for admission of the influx of injured Syrians evacuating Aleppo.\(^{1700}\)

On 19 December 2016, the AFAD built a refugee camp with a capacity of 25,000 in Kahramanmaras.\(^{1701}\) The camp offers facilities ranging from sixteen public training centers, four schools, eight children’s playgrounds, four soccer fields, four basketball courts, a mosque, a grocery store, and two rehabilitation centres.\(^{1702}\) Furthermore, Deputy Prime Minister Kaynak stated that following Operation Euphrates Shield, the Turkish military intervention in Syria, a permanent safe-zone was established in in the village of Kafaldin where civilians from Aleppo will be placed.\(^{1703}\)

On 24 December 2016, the Prime Ministry Directorate General of Press and Information announced that Turkey is readying tent camps for refugees in Idlib, Syria.\(^{1704}\)

On 27 December 2016, the AFAD upgraded a refugee camp in Osmaniye that was originally created in 2012 by improving the camp’s facilities and increasing its capacity, so that it will be able to shelter just over 16,700 people.\(^{1705}\) According to the AFAD, Turkey is sheltering close to 260,000 Syrians across twenty-six temporary housing facilities equipped with schools, hospitals, and athletic facilities.\(^{1706}\)

On 27 December 2016, Deputy Prime Minister Veysi Kaynak said that the Government of Turkey is planning to set up a refugee camp in Syria near the Turkish-Syrian border that will host up to 80,000 internally displaced people who have fled Aleppo.\(^{1707}\) The camp will be jointly set up by the Turkish Red Crescent, the AFAD, and the Foundation for Human Rights and Freedoms and Humanitarian


Relief (IHHR). It will be protected by the Turkish air defense system. Additionally, the Deputy Prime Minister said that the Turkish government will also establish little villages in the southern border province of Kilis where hundreds of thousands of refugees will be able to take temporary refuge.\textsuperscript{1708}

On 28 December 2016, the Sanliurfa Governor’s Office, in cooperation with the International Middle East Peace Research Center established a 24/7 call center designed to help Syrian refugees find solutions to health, education, and security issues they face. The call center will be operated by 22 qualified personnel, including translators, lawyers, and psychologists, who will provide a range of services to Syrian refugees.\textsuperscript{1709}

On 6 January 2017, President Erdogan announced that certain Syrian and Iraqi refugees who pass a screening process conducted by the Ministry of Interior will be granted Turkish citizenship.\textsuperscript{1710}

In 2016, Turkey contributed USD1 million to the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR).\textsuperscript{1711} As of 18 January 2017, it has contributed USD300,000 in 2017.\textsuperscript{1712}

Turkey has fully complied with its commitment to address the issue of forced displacement by developing concrete actions such as creating new refugee camps and integrating refugees into Turkish society by offering education, citizenship, and lawful work, and sustaining existing initiatives such as continuing to provide humanitarian aid and contribute funds to the UNHCR. Thus, Turkey receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Engin Polar}

\textbf{United Kingdom: 0}

The United Kingdom has partially complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.

On 6 September 2016, Minister of Immigration Robert Goodwill announced that the UK struck a USD23 million deal with France to begin constructing a wall in Calais to prevent refugees and migrants from crossing over from France to the UK.\textsuperscript{1713}

On 20 September 2016, Prime Minister Theresa May announced at the United Nations (UN) Summit for Refugees and Migrants that the UK government will provide an additional “almost $2 billion” in humanitarian support for the refugee crisis. May also announced the following: a new jobs compact between the UK, Ethiopia, the World Bank, the EIB and the EU, to which the UK will contribute $104 million; and an initial $3.25 million contribution to the UN and IOM emerging

countries resettlement fund. The UK government will allocate GBP20 million from this financial package to the UNHCR to ensure the return of Somali refugees to their home country from camps in Kenya, and contribute the remaining GBP80 million to support Ethiopia in creating 100,000 jobs in the country, 30,000 of which have to be for Eritrean refugees.

Also on 20 September 2016, Prime Minister May announced that the UK will contribute USD3.25 million to the UN and International Organization for Migration (IOM) emerging countries resettlement fund. Prime Minister May also pledged that the UK will provide a total of USD2 billion of financial assistance to refugees, marking a 10 per cent increase from last year.

On 28 September 2016, the Government of the UK pledged USD3.9 million to the Sudan Humanitarian Fund to strengthen Sudan’s ability to assist South Sudanese refugees and other vulnerable people.

On 10 October 2016, Home Secretary Amber Rudd announced that the UK will accept as many child refugees as possible from the refugee camp in Calais, France before its closure.

On 24 October 2016, Home Secretary Rudd confirmed that the UK has transferred close to 200 children from the refugee camp in Calais into its borders. She stated that the UK will receive “several hundred” more children from the camp in the coming three weeks.

In 2016, the Home Office accepted accepted 900 unaccompanied asylum-seeking children to the UK, of which 750 were from the Calais refugee camp. However, in December 2016, the Home Office

---

1715 Theresa May’s plan to spend £100m keeping migrants away from the UK torn apart by War Child, The Huffington Post (London) 21 September 2016. Access Date: 1 December 2016. http://www.huffingtonpost.co.uk/entry/theresa-may-foreign-aid-migrants-refugees-ethiopia-somalia_uk_57e23f22e4b0db20a6e78c27.
stopped transfers of Calais child refugees to the UK, leaving 1,000 minors in doubt of obtaining refuge.\textsuperscript{1724}

As of 18 January 2017, the UK has resettled 4,400 Syrian refugees under the Syrian vulnerable persons resettlement scheme, which pledged to resettle 20,000 Syrian refugees in the UK by 2020.\textsuperscript{1725}

In 2016, the United Kingdom contributed USD173.2 million to the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR).\textsuperscript{1726} As of 18 January 2017, it has contributed USD10.5 million in 2017.\textsuperscript{1727}

On 8 February 2017, the UK parliament announced that, in accordance with section 67 of the Immigration Act, the UK will transfer 350 children “who reasonably meet the intention and spirit behind the provision.” Of this amount 200 children have been transferred from France.\textsuperscript{1728}

The UK has partially complied with its commitment to address forced displacement by sustaining existing initiatives such as financial assistance to refugees and relevant organizations such as the UNHCR and WFP. While it began to develop concrete actions by accepting the transfer of refugees from the Calais camp in France, it stopped this initiative and is funding construction of a wall in Calais. Thus, the UK receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Emilia Lochowska}

\textbf{United States: +1}

The United States has fully complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.

On 13 September 2016, Secretary of State John Kerry announced in Congress that the US will be accepting 110,000 refugees in the 2017 fiscal year.\textsuperscript{1729}

On 20 September 2016, President Barack Obama hosted the Leader’s Summit on Refugees at the United Nations (UN).\textsuperscript{1730} At the Summit, countries reaffirmed their commitment to increase legal assistance for refugees, ensure shelter, and work opportunities.\textsuperscript{1731}

\begin{enumerate}
\end{enumerate}
On 11 October 2016, Assistant Secretary at the Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration Anne Richards announced a pilot project for a private refugee sponsorship program at the Concordia Summit’s Private Sector Forum on Refugees and Migration. The pilot project will be launched in 2017 in collaboration with the Department of State and the Refugee Council.

On 13 November 2016, Secretary of State Kerry confirmed that the US would be accepting refugees and asylum seekers from Nauru and Papua New Guinea for resettlement. This is part of a deal with the Government of Australia and the number of persons accepted is 300-400.

On 13 November 2016, the US government reached a refugee resettlement agreement for with Australia. The agreement prioritizes the resettlement of refugees who are most vulnerable, namely women, children, and families. US authorities are to conduct their own assessment or refugees and decide which people are resettled in the US, and refugees will need to satisfy standard requirements for admission into the US.

On 12 January 2017, President Barack Obama ended the 1966 Cuban Adjustment Act where illegal migrants fleeing Cuba were granted permanent residence, a year after arriving to the US. President Barack Obama stated that illegal migrants from Cuba “will be subject to removal.”

In 2016, the US contributed USD1.5 billion to the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR). It has yet to contribute anything to the UNHCR in 2017.

---

The United States has fully complied with its commitment to address forced displacement by developing new concrete actions such as the new resettlement pledges for 2017 and the creation of a pilot project for private refugee sponsorship, and sustaining existing initiatives by continuing to contribute funds to the UNHCR. Thus, the United States receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Mariya-Kvitlana Tsap

European Union: +1

The European Union has fully complied with its commitment to address forced displacement in 2017 with a view to developing concrete actions.

On 8 September 2016, the EU announced the creation of the Emergency Social Safety Net (ESSN) that will allow up to one million vulnerable refugees in Turkey to receive monthly cash transfers through electronic cards. On top of the EUR164 million that the EU directed towards humanitarian aid projects since the start of 2016, the EU will direct another EUR348 million for the ESSN.

On 16 September 2016, the EU obliged to requests from Bulgaria for emergency funding and provided up to EUR108 million to support border and migration management. This funding will also be used to increase reception capacities and capacities of asylum service and to strengthen border surveillance and border control activities.

On 28 September 2016, the EU approved of the allocation of two grants in total of EUR600 million to fund education and health for Syrian refugees and host communities in Turkey. The grants are a part of the EUR1.415 billion Special Measure adopted by the European Commission at the end of July 2016.

On 11 November 2016, the EU announced that the European Commission would allocate EUR78 million to aid displaced populations affected by the South Sudan crisis. EUR40 million of the funding will be directed to support humanitarian organizations in South Sudan. EUR30 million will be allocated assist displaced South Sudanese in Uganda and EUR8 million will be allocated to...
help those displaced in neighbouring Sudan.\textsuperscript{1750} The funding will support emergency measures dedicated to shelter, nutrition, health care, sanitation, and protection.\textsuperscript{1751} Since December 2013, the EU has provided EUR500 million for the South Sudan crisis.\textsuperscript{1752}

On 17 November 2016, the European Parliament and the European Council reached an agreement on the 2017 EU budget, which ensures more funding for the reception and integration of refugees, and addresses the root causes of migration in countries of origin and transit.\textsuperscript{1753} The 2017 EU budget includes EUR157.9 billion in commitments and EUR134.5 billion in payment credits.\textsuperscript{1754} EUR6 billion will be dedicated to supporting the protection of external borders and addressing the refugee crisis.\textsuperscript{1755} As such, half of the EUR6 billion will support actions within the EU, and the other half of the funding will support actions outside of the EU to address the root causes of migration.\textsuperscript{1756} EUR200 million will also be granted to support humanitarian assistance within the EU.\textsuperscript{1757}

On 6 December 2016, the EU Regional Trust Fund in Response to the Syrian crisis adopted a EUR139 million assistance package to support stabilization needs in Iraq and refugees in Lebanon who have fled Syria and other host communities.\textsuperscript{1758} Commissioner for European Neighbourhood Policy and Enlargement Negotiations Johannes Hahn stated that the funding would support the EU’s goal to mobilize EUR1 billion for the EU Syria Trust Fund and expects that the EU will exceed EUR1.2 billion by early 2017.\textsuperscript{1759}

On 15 December 2016, the EU partnered with the Governments of Germany and Italy and the International Organization for Migration (IOM) to launch an initiative supporting migrant protection and reintegration in Africa along Central Mediterranean migration routes.\textsuperscript{1760} Through the

\begin{footnotes}
\end{footnotes}
EU Trust Fund for Africa, EUR100 million will be used to collaborate with local, national and international stakeholders to implement projects in 14 countries. The 3-year initiative will focus on increasing protection and assistance for vulnerable and stranded migrants, facilitating voluntary return of migrants to their homes, and achieving sustainable reintegration. The initiative will also enhance government and stakeholder policies and responses, give access to accurate information on migration to approximately 200,000 migrants and 2,000 communities, and improve data on migration flows, routes, trends, migrants’ needs and migrants’ vulnerabilities.

On 15 December 2016, the European Commission adopted a EUR170 million package of 11 measures for the EU Trust Fund for Africa to address instability, irregular migration and forced displacement in the Horn of Africa. The EU Trust Fund for Africa aims to find solutions to destabilisation, displacement and irregular migration by promoting economic and equal opportunities, security, and development.

On 22 December 2016, the European Commission contracted EUR270 million to support education for 70,000 Syrian refugee children in Turkey. These contracts will support the construction and equipping of 100 school buildings and assist the Turkish Ministry of National Education to handle educational infrastructure.

In 2016, the EU contributed USD360.5 million to the UNHCR. As of 18 January 2017, it has contributed USD105.5 million in 2017.

The EU has fully complied with its commitment to address forced displacement by developing concrete actions such as the ESSN and an initiative in cooperation with the IOM and Government of Italy to support migrant protection and reintegration in Africa along Central Mediterranean migration routes. It has also sustained existing initiatives by continuing to provide funds to host countries and organizations. Thus, the European Union receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Joy Lizette Aguilar**

---


12. Financial Regulation: Terrorism

“In confronting terrorism, we remain committed to effectively exchanging information, freezing terrorist assets, and criminalizing terrorist financing.”

*G20 2016 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué*

### Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Lack of Compliance</th>
<th>Work in Progress</th>
<th>Full Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>+0.70</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Background

G20 members are united in principle against any form of terrorism or terrorist activity. G20 leaders believe a concerted effort is required by governments to deter terrorism and terrorist activity. At G20 leaders’ summits to date, the group has specifically dealt with the issues of illicit financial activities (that may support terrorist activities), and terrorist financing.

At the first leaders’ level summit in 2008, the G20 committed to addressing the fight against terrorism and declared that “National and regional authorities should implement national and international measures that protect the global financial system from uncooperative and non-transparent jurisdictions that pose risks of illicit financial activity.” The G20 also affirmed its support for the Financial Action Task Force (FATF) and the organisation’s important work against money laundering and terrorist financing, as well as supporting the efforts of the World Bank and United Nations (UN) Stolen Asset Recovery Initiative (StAR).\(^{1770}\)

At the 2009 Pittsburgh Summit, the G20 committed to “clamping down on illicit outflows,” as well as welcoming the progress made by the FATF in the fight against money laundering and terrorist

---

financing and calling upon the FATF to issue a public list of high risk jurisdictions by February 2010.1771

At the 2010 Toronto Summit, G20 leaders declared, “We fully support the work of the FATF and FATF-Style Regional Bodies in their fight against money laundering and terrorist financing and regular updates of a public list on jurisdictions with strategic deficiencies. We also encourage the FATF to continue monitoring and enhancing global compliance with the anti-money laundering and counter-terrorism financing international standards.”

The G20 leaders continued to support the FATF, and at the 2015 Antalya Summit, issued the ‘G20 Statement on the Fight Against Terrorism’ reaffirming the G20’s solidarity and resolve in the fight against terrorism in all its forms and wherever it occurs. The Statement reiterated the G20’s resolve for cooperation and recognized the UN’s central role in the fight against terrorism. The G20 also declared, “We also remain committed to tackling the financing channels of terrorism, particularly by enhanced cooperation on exchange of information, freezing of terrorist assets, criminalization of terrorist financing, robust targeted financial sanctions regimes related to terrorism and terrorist financing, including through swift implementation of Financial Action Task Force (FATF) standards in all jurisdictions.”1772

At the 2016 Hangzhou Summit, the G20 strongly condemned terrorism in all forms and manifestations. The G20 declared, “We will tackle all sources, techniques and channels of terrorist financing, including extortion, taxation, smuggling of natural resources, bank looting, looting of cultural property, external donation, and kidnapping for ransom.” The G20 called for the swift, effective and universal implementation of the FATF standards and of the provisions of the UN Security Council Resolution 2253 worldwide. The G20 requested the FATF by March 2017 to review on ways to strengthen its traction capacity and enhance effectiveness of its network and FATF-style regional bodies. The G20 also endorsed the FATF’s Consolidated Strategy on Combating Terrorist Financing (CSCFF).1773 The CSCFF calls for:

- Identifying the risks of terrorist financing with focus on the channels used by the Islamic State of Iraq and Levant (ISIL)/Da’esh;
- Ensuring that the FATF’s provision of tools like enforcement measures and targeted sanctions are up to date and employed efficiently and effectively;
- Identifying countries with deficiencies of combating terrorist financing. In 2015, the FATF conducted a Fact Finding Initiative to establish which countries have deficiencies with regards to legal frameworks and targeted financial sanctions.
- Promoting international cooperation between member countries and domestic cooperation between law enforcement bodies.1774

At the 2016 FATF XXVIII Plenary meeting held in Paris under the Spanish presidency, 190 delegates from the FATF membership and other organisations discussed working on terrorist financing, improving transparency and improving exchanging useful information.1775

---


---
Commitment Features

This commitment consists of three parts. It requires G20 members to 1) effectively exchange information, 2) effectively freeze terrorist assets, and 3) effectively criminalize terrorist financing.

Part I: Effectively Exchange Information

The effective exchange of information calls for G20 members to work toward removing barriers to information sharing domestically between public and private sectors as well as externally with other members, countries, international organisations as well as specially developing countries.

The 2016 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué specifically calls for financial transparency with regard to the beneficial ownership of legal persons and legal arrangements. In the 2014 G20 High-Level Principles on Beneficial Ownership Transparency, the G20 highlighted the need for effective information exchange in the following ways regarding beneficial ownership:

Countries should ensure that competent authorities (including law enforcement and prosecutorial authorities, supervisory authorities, tax authorities and financial intelligence units) have timely access to adequate, accurate and current information regarding the beneficial ownership of legal persons. Countries could implement this, for example, through central registries of beneficial ownership of legal persons or other appropriate mechanisms.

Countries should ensure that trustees of express trusts maintain adequate, accurate and current beneficial ownership information, including information of settlors, the protector (if any) trustees and beneficiaries. These measures should also apply to other legal arrangements with a structure or function similar to express trusts.

Countries should ensure that competent authorities (including law enforcement and prosecutorial authorities, supervisory authorities, tax authorities and financial intelligence units) have timely access to adequate, accurate and current information regarding the beneficial ownership of legal arrangements.

Countries should ensure that their national authorities cooperate effectively domestically and internationally. Countries should also ensure that their competent authorities participate in information exchange on beneficial ownership with international counterparts in a timely and effective manner.

Countries should support the G20’s efforts to combat tax evasion by ensuring that beneficial ownership information is accessible to their tax authorities and can be exchanged with relevant international counterparts in a timely and effective manner.

Countries should address the misuse of legal persons and legal arrangements which may obstruct transparency, including:

a) Prohibiting the ongoing use of bearer shares and the creation of new bearer shares, or taking other effective measures to ensure that bearer shares and bearer share warrants are not misused; and

b) Taking effective measures to ensure that legal persons which allow nominee shareholders or nominee directors are not misused.
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Therefore, G20 members must act in accordance with G20 High-Level Principles on Beneficial Ownership Transparency as well as exchange information both domestically and externally.

Part II: Effectively Freezing Terrorist Assets

Identifying suspicious entities by established law enforcement or combatting the financing of terrorism (CFT) bodies, effective use of FATF sanctions/regional FATF bodies/national CFT laws, collecting evidences of terrorism/terrorist financing and as well “robust identifying information” are crucial steps for freezing terrorist assets. This includes investigating the channels employed by terrorist organisations to raise funds to swiftly respond, block and remove terrorist financing sources. Monitoring borders for suspicious transfer of funds require cooperation with bordering neighbour countries and ties in with the first area of information sharing on an international and a domestic scale. The FATF’s Targeted Financial Sanctions Related to Terrorism and Terrorist Financing (Recommendation 6) document outlines methods by which member countries can proceed with the freezing of terrorist assets.1776

Part III: Effectively Criminalize Terrorist Financing

G20 members must be committed to criminalizing terrorist financing. Criminalizing terrorist financing includes any action that define terrorist financing as a distinct legal offence. This may be achieved by including such clause within the national legal code. The FATF’s 2015 Terrorist Financing Report indicates that terrorist financing includes the financing of a terrorist organisation or an individual terrorist, disregarding whether or not the funding is intended for carrying out terrorist attacks. The report also finds that “almost all jurisdictions” criminalised terrorist financing, and 33 out of 194 jurisdictions in the world have secured convictions against financers of terrorism. However, many have yet to criminalize financing individual terrorists for purposes unrelated to terrorism. This part calls for G20 members to ensure effective implementation of FATF instruments like the United Nations Targeted Financial Sanctions to prevent and discourage terrorist financing. They should also ensure that financial institutions are subject to adequate regulation and in compliance with FATF recommendations. Further, G20 members have committed to effectively criminalize terrorist financing. In October 2016, the FATF issued a report entitled Guidance on Criminalising Terrorist Financing, providing several recommendations for effective implementation of terrorist financing (TF) requirements:

1. The offence must cover all types of wilful TF activity.
2. The offence must cover the financing of terrorist acts with an unlawful intention to do so.
3. The offence must cover the financing of terrorist organisations and individual terrorists with an unlawful intention to do so.
4. The offence must cover financing the travel of foreign terrorist fighters.
5. Terrorist financing should be criminalised as a stand-alone offence.
6. The offence should cover the broadest possible definition of funds or other assets, regardless of their origin.
7. TF offences must include a broad range of circumstances.
8. The terrorist financiers’ intent and knowledge may be inferred.
9. Sanctions should apply to natural persons.
10. Sanctions should apply to legal persons.

11. There should be a full range of ancillary offences to the TF offence.\textsuperscript{1778}

12. Jurisdictional issues: Offences should apply, regardless of whether the person alleged to have committed the offence(s) is in the same country or a different country from the one in which the terrorist(organisation)s is located or the terrorist act(s) occurred/will occur.

13. TF should be a predicate offence for money laundering.

Therefore, to be compliant, G20 members must act in accordance with FATF recommendations when applicable and criminalize terrorist financing for both individuals and organisations.

To receive full compliance, the G20 member must comply with all three parts of the commitment. The G20 member must effectively exchange information, effectively freeze terrorist assets, and effectively criminalize terrorist financing. Partial compliance is achieved if the G20 member comply with one or two parts of the commitment but not all three. No compliance is achieved if the G20 member complies with none of the requirements.

**Scoring Guidelines**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>The G20 member does not effectively exchange information AND does not effectively freeze terrorist assets AND does not effectively criminalize terrorist financing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>The G20 member effectively exchanges information OR effectively freezes terrorist assets OR effectively criminalizes terrorist financing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>The G20 member effectively exchanges information, effectively freezes terrorist assets AND effectively criminalizes terrorist financing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Argentina: +1**

Argentina has fully complied with its commitment to effectively exchange information, freeze terrorist assets, and criminalize terrorist financing.

As of March 2016 Argentina has revised its Anti-terrorism Law to broaden the definition of terrorism and increased monetary fines and prison sentences for crimes associated with terrorist financing.\textsuperscript{1779} The Argentine Financial Intelligence Unit can freeze assets that are associated with terrorist financing. Additionally, Argentina has criminalized the financing of terrorist organizations, individuals and acts.\textsuperscript{1780} The FATF has explicitly stated that is satisfied with Argentina’s progress in implementing its CTF/AML instruments.\textsuperscript{1781}

On 22 November 2016, Argentina and the United States held the inaugural Argentina-US Dialogue on Illicit Finance (AUDIF) in Buenos Aires. During this meeting, “the United States Department of the Treasury and the Finance Ministry of Argentina emphasized the commitment of the United States and Argentina to identify illicit finance threats of mutual concern and develop joint strategies


to address these threats.\textsuperscript{1782} AUDIF “agreed to pursue a number of initiatives to counter money laundering, terrorist financing and other financial crimes.”\textsuperscript{1783}

On 4 November 2016, Argentina issued Resolution 141/2016, which amends previously existing ‘know-your-client’ rules for the country’s financial institutions. The introductory paragraphs of the Resolution refer to recommendations by the Financial Action Task Force (FATF), which state that strict documentation requirements to open bank accounts is “one of the principle motives for the exclusion of users from formal financial systems” – conversely making these requirements less onerous would lead to a reduction in the number of operations carried out in the informal system. Specifically, the Resolution amends previous resolutions to the effect that information relating to a client’s tax status is no longer necessary to create a client profile and determine their risk level in compliance with know-your-client policy. The resolution removes the requirement to collect this information. Gabriel Matarasso of Marval O’Farrell & Mairal law firm indicates that, “it is not essential to take into account the tax aspects of the clients, nor is it necessary to require they file tax returns to comply with due diligence, determine their risk level or make up their transactional profile.”\textsuperscript{1784} Nonetheless, under Article 1 of the Resolution, financial institutions are still obliged to “implement customer due diligence” by monitoring the assigned risk to ensure that operations are consistent with the client’s knowledge and transactional profile, including, if necessary, the origin of the funds.\textsuperscript{1785}

Argentina has effectively exchanged information, frozen terrorist assets and effectively criminalized terrorist financing. Thus, Argentina receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Motahareh Nabavi}

\textbf{Australia: +1}

Australia has fully complied with its commitment to effectively exchange information, freeze terrorist assets, and criminalize terrorist financing.

On 6 September 2016, the Australian Criminal Intelligence Commission (ACIC) issued a media release in response to the Panama Papers, which stated that it is working closely with the Australian Taxation Office (ATO), Australian Federal Police (AFP) and other partners across the Serious Financial Crime Taskforce (SFCT) to analyse data related to the Panama Papers. The ACIC has identified an 8 per cent match between names in the Panama Papers and their existing criminal databases.\textsuperscript{1786}

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{1784} Argentina amendments mean tax status is no longer necessary to comply with know-your-client rules, STEP (London) 14 December 2016. Access Date: 13 January 2016. http://www.step.org/news/argentina-amendments-mean-tax-status-no-longer-necessary-comply-know-your-client-rules
\item \textsuperscript{1785} Argentina amendments mean tax status is no longer necessary to comply with know-your-client rules, STEP (London) 14 December 2016. Access Date: 13 January 2016. http://www.step.org/news/argentina-amendments-mean-tax-status-no-longer-necessary-comply-know-your-client-rules
\end{itemize}
\end{footnotesize}
On 6 September 2016, the Australian Minister for Justice and Minister for Revenue and Financial Services issued a joint media release, stating that the AFP is investigating serious financial crime by presently heading 12 SFCT joint criminal investigations.  

On 31 October 2016, the Australian Transaction Reports and Analysis Centre (AUSTRAAC) published its first assessment on terrorist financing and money laundering risk in Australia's superannuation industry. Based on this report, fraud was identified as the most commonly associated crime affecting super funds. Cybercrime was particularly identified as a rising threat, "with some super funds seeing almost daily attempts to hack accounts for information or funds access." In the two-year sample period, six per cent of reports related to a potential terrorist financing, in relation to amounts worth AUD259,790 in total.

On 1 November 2016, AUSTRAAC and its Chinese counterpart, the China Anti-Money Laundering Monitoring and Analysis Center (CAMLMAC), signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) for the exchange of financial intelligence.

On 15 November 2016, Minister for Justice Michael Keenan announced the launch of the Australian Financial Crimes Exchange (AFCX) "to provide real-time alerts of potential threats, so quick action can be taken to protect customers" and to analyse data collected in the industry rather than just their own...

On 22 November 2016, the Australian parliament passed the Counter-Terrorism Legislation Amendment Bill (No. 1) 2016. The Bill reduces the age from 16 to 14 at which a control order can be placed on a person of security concern. The Bill improves control orders by:

- "Creating new targeted physical search, telecommunications interception and surveillance device regimes to help monitor those subject to control orders, and;
- Better protecting sensitive information in control order proceedings."

---

On 24 November 2016, Austrac and Jordan’s Anti Money Laundering Unit (AMLU) signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) “to facilitate the immediate exchange of vital financial intelligence to combat serious financial crime, including money laundering and terrorism financing”.

On 9 December 2016, the Minister for Justice issued a media release stating the Australian Government has invested AUD127 million in reforming the Australian Securities and Investments Commission to tackle corruption. The Minister for Justice has also “established the Fraud and Anti-Corruption Centre within the Australian Federal Police,” towards which it invested AUD15 million.

On 21 December 2016, Austrac released a second report assessing money laundering and terrorism financing, the first to assess the financial planning industry. Based on its risk assessment, it found the financial planning industry to be at a “medium” level risk. The report identifies key risks faced by the sector and “found cyber-enabled fraud was the most reported offence” and aims to achieve “greater intelligence to help crack down on financial crime.”

On 1 February 2017, Indonesia and Australia’s financial intelligence agencies, Indonesian Financial Transaction Reports and Analysis Centre (INTRAC) and Australian Transaction Reports and Analysis Centre (Austrac), signed an agreement to target counter-terrorism financing and anti-money laundering. The PPATK-Austrac Partnership Program 2017 builds on a seven year collaboration, and includes almost AUD500,000 in Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade funding for six new projects including:

Deploying IT specialists to strengthen the PPATK’s reporting and analysis systems;

Intensive workshops on crimes specific to counter terrorist financing and anti-money laundering to enhance investigative and analytic capabilities within the PPATK; and

Exchange programs to enable PPATK experts to learn first-hand from Austrac.

Australia has effectively exchanged information, effectively frozen terrorist assets, and effectively criminalized terrorist financing. Thus, Australia receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Buse Kayar

Brazil: −1

Brazil has not complied with its commitment to effectively exchange information, freeze terrorist assets, and criminalize terrorist financing.

On 16 March 2016 Law 13.260 was enacted which criminalizes terrorist financing and responds to foreign terrorist financing. This law penalizes anyone, who for the purpose of terrorism, recruits, organizes, carries or equips individuals traveling to a country other than that of their residence or nationality.

On 21 October 2016, Financial Action Task Force (FATF) issued a statement on Brazil’s progress in addressing its deficiencies in combatting money laundering and terrorist financing. FATF considered that Brazil has addressed its recommendations with regard to the criminalisation of terrorism and terrorist financing sufficiently through the enactment of Law 13.260 in March 2016 – although with “minor deficiencies.” However since June 2016, Brazil has prepared ordinances as additional steps towards improving its counter-terrorism financing regime, but these are yet to be enacted. Therefore, FATF found that “shortcomings remained” which Brazil must address before February 2017 to avoid further action by the organisation.

Brazil has not effectively exchanged information, froze terrorist assets or criminalized terrorist financing. Thus, Brazil receives a score of –1.

 Analyst: Motabareh Nabavi

Canada: +1

Canada has fully complied with its commitment to effectively exchange information, freeze terrorist assets, and criminalize terrorist financing.

On 30 September 2016, Financial Transactions and Reports Analysis Centre of Canada (FINTRAC) reported an increase of CAD2.1 million in “funding to modernize the analytical system used to detect money laundering and terrorist financing” and “planned expenditures for acquisitions of machinery and equipment” increased by CAD2.3 million.

On 30 September 2016, FINTRAC reported a CAD2.4 million increase in funding since 2015-16, reporting CAD7.9 million (excluding the Employment Benefit Plan) in 2016-17 for initiatives “supporting the implementation of legislative amendments to modernize the analytics system used to strengthen Canada’s anti-money laundering and anti-terrorist financing regime.”

On 17 November 2016, Finance Minister Bill Morneau discussed in Parliament the 2016 Annual Report of the Financial Transactions and Reports Analysis Centre of Canada, Results in the Fight Against Money Laundering and Terrorism Financing. The report describes operations and activities carried out by Canada’s financial intelligence unit in 2015-16 to protect the integrity of the Canadian financial system and Canadians. Based on this report:

“FINTRAC provided 1,655 disclosures of actionable financial intelligence to its police and national security partners to assist their investigations of money laundering, terrorism financing and other threats to Canada’s security.”

“483 financial intelligence disclosures were related to terrorism financing and threats to the security of Canada – a forty-three per cent increase over the previous year.”\textsuperscript{1803}

As of February 2017, Canada has criminalized terrorist financing in accordance with international standards and freezes and confiscates terrorist assets without delay.\textsuperscript{1804} The Proceeds of Crime (Money Laundering) and Terrorist Financing Act (PCMLTFA) in Canada facilitates ‘combating the laundering of the proceeds of crime and the financing of terrorist activities’ by implementing measures to detect, deter and prosecute offenders.\textsuperscript{1805}

As of February 2017, Canada has implemented instruments to address terrorist financing. Canada’s Anti-Money Laundering and Anti-Terrorist Financing (AML/ATF) Regime is a ‘horizontal initiative comprising eleven federal partner organizations,’ which is led by the Department of Finance Canada.\textsuperscript{1806} The Financial Transactions and Reports Analysis Centre of Canada (FINTRAC) specifically monitors money laundering and terrorist financing and was developed in conjunction with the PCMLTFA, in order to ‘collect and analyze financial transaction reports and to disclose pertinent information to law enforcement and intelligence agencies.”

Canada has effectively exchanged information, frozen terrorist assets and effectively criminalized terrorist financing. Thus, Canada receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Base Kayar}

\textbf{China: +1}

China has fully complied with its commitment to effectively exchange information, freeze terrorist assets and criminalize terrorist financing.

On 1 January 2016, China’s Counter-Terrorism law took effect. This enhanced the existing AML and TF regimes in place. The General Provision of the Law made terrorist financing a distinct legal offence. Article 14 of the Law specifically required “[f]inancial institutions and designated non-financial organizations [to] immediately freeze capital or other assets of terrorist organizations and personnel. This new law provided tougher and more targeted penalties for non-compliance or non-cooperation, including fines and criminal charges, against financial services providers and responsible individuals (Articles 83, 86 and 91).”\textsuperscript{1807}


\textsuperscript{1807} Counter-Terrorism Law (2015), English Translation, China Law Translate, 27 December 2015. Access Date: 2 Aug 2016. http://chinalawtranslate.com/%E5%8F%8D%E6%81%90%E6%B3%95-%EF%BC%882015%EF%BC%89%E8%B9%89%E6%B3%95-%EF%BC%882015%EF%BC%89/?lang=en
On 25 March 2016, the People’s Bank of China announced it will establish a technical support system to tackle money laundering and “terror” financing. The National Internet Finance Association of China will monitor suspicious online trade activity, Pan Gongsheng said at an event marking the launch of the body. New regulations on internet financing would be issued in the immediate future.

On 1 November 2016, Chinese and Australian financial intelligence agencies, the China Anti-Money Laundering Monitoring and Analysis Center (CAMLMAC) and the Australian Transaction Reports and Analysis Centre (AUSTRAC), agreed to share intelligence regarding potential cases of financial crime. The bilateral agreement was negotiated with the expressed purpose of more effectively combating international financing of terrorism and illegal money laundering.

On 7 November 2016, China passed a new cybersecurity law that expanded checks on companies, both foreign and domestic, in a wide variety of industries, including the financial services industry. Done on the basis of improving China’s domestic internet safety, it serves as codification of many informal regulations that were unevenly enforced. Non-Chinese companies will also be forced to keep all important data that was collected in China and/or about Chinese consumers on an encrypted server inside China’s borders. The legislation is planned to enter in full force in June 2017.

On 7 November 2016, Germany and China have agreed to the development of a high-level security dialogue mechanism in a bid to strengthen bilateral judicial cooperation and coordinate joint efforts in dealing with nontraditional security threats facing the two countries. Special envoy of the Chinese President Xi Jinping and the head of the Commission for Political and Legal Affairs of the Communist Party of China Central Committee indicated that “China and Germany should increase exchanges on policies and practical experience as well as information-sharing so as to make anti-terror cooperation between the two countries more productive.” They also agreed that Germany and China should deepen exchanges and cooperation in anti-terrorism, cyber-security, fighting transnational organised crimes, while also agreeing to sign an arrangement on judicial mutual assistance regarding criminal cases, and starting negotiations on extradition arrangements and transfer of convicts.

---

1816 China, Germany agree to set up high-level security dialogue mechanism, Global Times (Beijing) 7 November 2016. Access Date: 14 November 2016. http://www.globaltimes.cn/content/1016399.sht
China has effectively exchanged information, frozen terrorist assets and effectively criminalized terrorist financing. Thus, China receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Thomas Kariunas

France: +1

France has fully complied with its commitment to effectively exchange information, effectively freezing terrorist assets and effectively criminalizes financing.

On 8 December 2015, EU finance ministers broadly agreed to a French-led initiative to better track and freeze terrorist funding across the bloc.\(^\text{1817}\) The bloc had already agreed to toughen existing anti-money-laundering rules earlier this year, but governments had until 2017 to implement those rules.\(^\text{1818}\) The French government wants a swifter application of those measures, plus more intelligence sharing and wider-ranging tracking measures.\(^\text{1819}\) French Finance Minister Michel Sapin said there was broad agreement on the measures he circulated in a 13-page discussion paper.\(^\text{1820}\)

On 28 December 2015, Terrorist Financing FATF Report to the G20 Leaders was released.\(^\text{1821}\) The report stated that since 2010, France had the fifth greatest number of convictions for terrorist financing among the top ten jurisdictions.\(^\text{1822}\) The report also stated that France had applied targeted financial sanctions on their own motion on 79 ‘designated individuals and entities’ up to 15 August 2015 equaling EUR231,888.\(^\text{1823}\) Amounts frozen includes funds subsequently un-frozen or confiscated, as well as funds frozen currently.\(^\text{1824}\)

On 10 November 2016, the French government issued a decree against the financing of terrorism which contains various measures addressing anonymous electronic money. The new regulatory measure applies to electronic money issuers as well as their distributors, credit institutions, finance companies, consumers, and to any person who physically transfers money from a certain amount.

In addition to reinforcing the powers of the Ministry of Economic and Financial Affairs agency against money laundering, which will now have access to the wanted person files for the needs of criminal investigations, the degree removed the duty of care of the financial intermediaries in the absence of any particular suspicion of money laundering and under strict conditions pertaining to electronic money.

Money must only be issued for the acquisition of goods and services.

The maximum monetary value stored must not exceed EUR250.

---


These funds must only be used for payments on the national territory.

The electronic money device may neither be reloaded through cash nor through electronic money when the initial owner of such money cannot be identified.

Aside from such conditions, financial intermediaries remain subject to the duty of care, which consists in verifying an individual’s identity prior to entering into a contractual relationship, or collecting information about the purpose and the nature of the business relationship, as well as any other relevant factors on this customer to prevent money laundering and terrorist financing.1825

On 14 November 2016, French Economy and Finance Minister Michel Sapin and Chinese Vice-Premier Ma Kai co-chaired the 4th China-France High Level Economic and Financial Dialogue (HED) in Paris. At the meeting, France and China agreed to comply with the anti-money laundering and counter terrorist financing standards defined by the Financial Action Task Force’s (FATF) ongoing work to clearly identify and address the remaining weaknesses regarding the adoption and implementation of laws criminalizing terrorist financing and of targeted financial sanctions regimes related to terrorism and terrorist financing.1826

Further, both parties reiterated their commitment to implement the G20 High-Level Principles on Beneficial Ownership Transparency and look forward to further progress on implementation, in order to ensure that the relevant authorities, including anti-corruption, financial and tax authorities, know who owns and controls companies and other legal arrangements, such as trusts.1827

On 1 December 2016, the French government issued a new Ordinance that transposes the Fourth Directive (EU 2015/849) of 20 April 2015 on the prevention of the use of the financial system for the purposes of money laundering or terrorist financing into French law (the Ordinance).1828

France has effectively exchanged information, frozen terrorist assets and effectively criminalized terrorist financing. Thus, France receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Daniel C. Park**

**Germany: +1**

Germany has fully complied with its commitment to effectively exchange information, effectively freeze terrorist assets and effectively criminalize terrorist financing.

On 7 November 2016, Germany and China have agreed to the development of a high-level security dialogue mechanism in a bid to strengthen bilateral judicial cooperation and coordinate joint efforts in dealing with non-traditional security threats facing the two countries. Special envoy of the Chinese President Xi Jinping and the head of the Commission for Political and Legal Affairs of the Communist Party of China Central Committee indicated that “China and Germany should increase

---
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exchanges on policies and practical experience as well as information-sharing so as to make anti-terror cooperation between the two countries more productive.” They also agreed that Germany and China should deepen exchanges and cooperation in anti-terrorism, cyber-security, fighting transnational organised crimes, while also agreeing to sign an arrangement on judicial mutual assistance regarding criminal cases, and starting negotiations on extradition arrangements and transfer of convicts.  

According to the Financial Action Taskforce (FATF) 2014 Mutual Evaluation Report Germany’s amendments of the Anti-Money Laundering (AML) Law made it possible to remedy all technical deficiencies, thus making Germany ‘largely compliant’. Germany has demonstrated sufficient progress with respect to all core Recommendations previously rated PC.” The report determined that due to the progress Germany had made in various recommendations of the FATF can be considered sufficient to be removed from the regular follow-up process.

As of February 2017, Germany has criminalized the financing of terrorism and travel related to terrorism as distinct criminal offenses. Germany has been largely compliant with FATF recommendations about regulating its financial system to prevent money laundering and terrorist financing.

Germany has effectively exchanged information, frozen terrorist assets and effectively criminalized terrorist financing. Thus, Germany receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Emily Shaw

India: 0

India has partially complied with its commitment to effectively exchange information, effectively freeze terrorist assets, and effectively criminalize terrorist financing.

On 28 December 2015, Terrorist Financing FATF Report to the G20 Leaders was released. The report stated that India had applied targeted financial sanctions on their own motion on 37 “designated individuals and entities” up to 15 August 2015 equaling EUR300,000. Amounts frozen includes funds subsequently un-frozen or confiscated, as well as funds frozen currently.

On 15-16 October 2016, Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa (BRICS), adopted “an India-backed global convention by the United Nations (UN)” to fight “terrorist actions” in their territories.

---

1829 China, Germany agree to set up high-level security dialogue mechanism, Global Times (Beijing) 7 November 2016. Access Date: 14 November 2016. http://www.globaltimes.cn/content/1016399.shtm
focusing on “sources of terror funding like organised crime by means of money-laundering, drug trafficking, criminal activities, dismantling terrorist bases, and countering misuse of the internet including through social media by terror entities.” The BRICS also reaffirmed its “commitment to the Financial Action Taskforce (FATF) international standards on combating money laundering and the Financing of Terrorism and Proliferation.”

On 8 November 2016, the Government of India removed the status of the INR500 and INR1,000 denominations of the Mahatma Ghandi Series banknotes issued by the Reserve Bank of India in order to tackle counterfeit Indian banknotes, “to effectively nullify black money hoarded in cash and curb funding of terrorism with fake notes.”

On 29 November 2016, the Economic Offences Wing of the Crime Investigation Department of the Telangana State Police caught a middleman who had attempted to convert INR1,000 notes worth INR1.68 crore of the old currency into new currency. This special wing is responsible for investigating cases pertaining to forgery, misappropriation, counterfeit currency, cyber-crime, and major fraud in the Telangana state of India. The Nizamabad Police also “detected some businessmen and professionals trying to convert the demonetised currency into new currency through bank deposits” in the Nizamabad district.

On 3 December 2016, the Prime Minister of India, Narendra Modi, and the Prime Minister of Qatar, Sheikh Abdullah bin Nasser bin Khalifa Al Thani agreed to take joint action “to tackle money laundering and terrorist financing.”

Since 26 January 2016, Indian government agencies launched a National Risk Assessment (NRA) exercise “to identify the sectors that are susceptible to money laundering and terror funding, and plug the loopholes.” The NRA exercise will take a year, involving the collection of data on “sectors that are prone to money laundering in high, medium, and lower categories at the national level.” India will prepare an action plan based on that level of risk. However, as of February 2017, India has not

---

implemented legislation regarding the criminalization of terrorist financing and money laundering.\textsuperscript{1847}

India has effectively exchanged information and taken the crucial steps for effectively freezing terrorist assets by collecting evidences of terrorist financing, however, it has not criminalized terrorist financing. Thus, India receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Buse Kayar}

\textbf{Indonesia: +1}

Indonesia has fully complied with its commitment to effectively exchange information, effectively freezing terrorist assets and effectively criminalize terrorist financing.

Since 2003, terrorist financing has been criminalized by Articles 11-13 of Law 15/2003 Concerning Government Regulation in Lieu of Law 1/2002 Concerning Combating Criminal Acts of Terrorism.\textsuperscript{1848} In 2009, the Government of Indonesia further specified is regulations over anti-money laundering and terrorist financing in the 2009 Bank of Indonesia Regulation Concerning the Implementation of Anti-Money Laundering and Combating the Financing of Terrorism Program for Commercial Bank.\textsuperscript{1849}

In 2010, the Government of Indonesia made an amendment to its criminal code to strengthen its commitment to counter terrorist financing. The Law criminalizes terrorist financing as a distinct criminal offense.\textsuperscript{1850}

Beginning in June 2015, Indonesia was no longer “[s]ubject to the FATF’s On-Going AML/CFT Compliance Process” as FATF welcomed “Indonesia’s significant progress in improving its AML/CFT regime” and noted that “Indonesia has established the legal and regulatory framework to meet its commitments in its action plan regarding the strategic deficiencies that the FATF had identified in February 2010.”\textsuperscript{1851} Indonesia’s action plan was a high political commitment to work improve its AML/CFT regime to meet FATF standards by: “(1) adequately criminalising money laundering and terrorist financing; (2) establishing adequate procedures to identify and freeze terrorist

---
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assets; and (3) enacting laws or other instruments to fully implement the 1999 International Convention for the Suppression of Financing of Terrorism."

On 28 December 2015, Terrorist Financing FATF Report to the G20 Leaders was released. The report stated that Indonesia had applied targeted financial sanctions on their own motion on one ‘designated individuals and entities’ up to 15 August 2015 equaling an undisclosed amount.

The 2015 Country Reports on Terrorism produced by the US Department of State noted that in 2015, Indonesia had brought 13 cases and obtained nine convictions under its new terrorism financing law. The same report noted Indonesia had prosecuted 56 terrorism-related cases between January and October 2015.

On 26 October 2016, President of Indonesia Joko Widodo urged for greater cooperation between the Indonesian Financial Transaction Reports and Analysis Center (INTRAC), which is the governmental agency primarily responsible for the eradication of money laundering within Indonesia, and related institutions such as the Finance Ministry and Financial Services Authority (OJK), in addition to Indonesian law enforcement agencies.

On 9 January 2017, in collaboration with the National Agency for Counter Terrorism (BNPT) and relevant ministries, the Indonesian Financial Transaction Reports and Analysis Centre (INTRAC) has established specific divisions including fiscal, narcotics, terrorism, financial technology and cybercrime, to trace the flow of funds or digital-based monetary transaction in the wake of Indonesia facing a substantial risk from terrorists using popular online payment systems such as PayPal and Bitcoin to fund their activities.

On 1 February 2017, Indonesia and Australia’s financial intelligence agencies, Indonesian Financial Transaction Reports and Analysis Centre (INTRAC) and Australian Transaction Reports and Analysis Centre (Austrac), signed an agreement to target counter-terrorism financing and anti-money laundering. The PPATK-AUSTRAC Partnership Program 2017 builds on a seven year collaboration, and includes almost AUD 500,000 in Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade funding for six new projects including:

- Deploying IT specialists to strengthen the PPATK’s reporting and analysis systems;
- Intensive workshops on crimes specific to counter terrorist financing and anti-money laundering to enhance investigative and analytic capabilities within the PPATK; and

---

• Exchange programs to enable PPATK experts to learn first-hand from AUSTRAC.\footnote{1859}

As of February 2017, Indonesia regulates its financial system against money laundering and terrorist financing, through the Indonesian Financial Transaction Reports and Analysis Centre (PPATK).\footnote{1860} Terrorist travel has become a crime in Indonesia following the terrorist attacks in Jakarta on 14 January 2016.\footnote{1861}

Despite not being a member of the FATF, Indonesia has contributed to combating money laundering and terrorist financing through legislation concerning terrorist financing and travel, and through the support of targeted sanctions against terrorism. It has also taken steps to regulate its financial system against the threat of money laundering and terrorist financing, and criminalized terrorist financing as a distinct offence.

Indonesia has effectively exchanged information, frozen terrorist assets and effectively criminalized terrorist financing. Thus, Indonesia receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Thomas Kariunas}

\textbf{Italy: +1}

Italy has fully complied with its commitment to effectively exchange information, effectively freeze terrorist assets and effectively criminalize terrorist financing.

On 20 February 2016, Italy adopted a new counterterrorism law which “criminalized participation in a conflict in a foreign territory in support of a terrorist organization.”\footnote{1862}

On 28 December 2015, Terrorist Financing FATF Report to the G20 Leaders was released.\footnote{1863} The report stated that Italy had applied targeted financial sanctions on their own motion on 17 ‘designated individuals and entities’ up to 15 August 2015.\footnote{1864}

On 9 November 2016, Justice Minister Andre Orlando met with the Minister of Security and Justice of the Netherlands Ard Van der Steur to discuss the cooperation between their two countries in respect to judicial affairs. They both agreed at the meeting on the importance of bilateral exchange of information to fight against radical terrorism.\footnote{1865}
Since February 2017, Italy has criminalized terrorist financing under articles 270 and 270 of its Criminal Code. Through the Anti-Mafia Code, Italy can freeze the assets of “EU Internals,” and supplement a gap in the EU framework. Italy has also supplemented the EU framework via LD 109/2007 to include “assets … owned or controlled” by a listed person within the scope of the freezing measures. Italy has also enacted Decree Law on foreign terrorist fighters which addresses the financing of travel for foreign fighters.

Italy has effectively exchanged information, frozen terrorist assets and effectively criminalized terrorist financing. Thus, Italy receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Emily Shaw

Japan: 0

Japan has partially complied with its commitment to effectively exchange information, freeze terrorist assets and criminalize terrorist financing.

On 6 September 2016, the Japanese Financial Intelligence Center (JAFIC) signed a Statement of Cooperation with the Anti-Money Laundering Intelligence Office of the Lao People’s Democratic Republic in order to increase the exchange of information related to terrorist financing and money laundering.

On 14 October 2016, the Japanese Financial Intelligence Center (JAFIC) signed a Statement of Cooperation with the Financial Intelligence Center of the Republic of Ghana in order to increase the exchange of information related to terrorist financing and money laundering.

On 1 January 2017, the National Tax Agency’s new system for automatically exchanging information on financial accounts of non-residents with foreign tax administrations came into effect. Aimed at preventing cross-border tax evasion using offshore financial institutions, the system will require information to be exchanged according to the Common Reporting Standard (CRS) in accordance with bilateral tax agreements. When the new system starts, securities companies will be obligated by law to identify the jurisdiction of residence, or the jurisdiction country in which the customer has

---

an address of head office or main business establishment. Customers will be obligated by the same
law to self-certify their jurisdiction of residence to the securities company.\textsuperscript{1873}

Japan has effectively criminalized terrorist financing and exchanged information, however it has not
yet taken the necessary steps to freeze terrorist financing. Thus, Japan receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Thomas Kariunas}

\section*{Korea: +1}

Korea has fully complied with its commitment to effectively exchange information, freeze terrorist
assets and criminalize terrorist financing.

Since 2010, Korea has tightened its legislative framework and administrative procedures to combat
terrorist financing in accordance with United Nations (UN) Security Council Resolution 1267 and
1373. Korea’s Prohibition of Financing for Offenses of Public Intimidation Act (PFOPIA)
implemented the UN Convention for the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism.\textsuperscript{1874} Under the
Act, funds for public intimidation offenses are identified as “any funds or assets collected, provided,
delivered, or kept for use in any of the following acts committed with the intention to intimidate
the public or to interfere with the exercise of rights of a national, local, or foreign government.”\textsuperscript{1875}
Additionally, this Act explicitly criminalizes the financing of terrorism.\textsuperscript{1876} An amendment to the Act
expanded the government’s ability to confiscate funds related to terrorism, enabling the government
to confiscate the direct proceeds of terrorism and the funds and assets derived from those proceeds.\textsuperscript{1877} Korea currently has two parallel regimes for restricting the financial activities of entities
designated in accordance with S/RES/1267(1999) and S/RES/1373(2001): the first under the
Foreign Exchange Transactions Act (FETA), and the second (most recent) under PFOPIA.\textsuperscript{1878}

On 28 December 2015, Terrorist Financing FATF Report to the G20 Leaders was released.\textsuperscript{1879} The
report stated that Korea had applied targeted financial sanctions on their own motion on 64
designated individuals and entities’ up to 15 August 2015 equaling EUR211,710.\textsuperscript{1880} Amounts
frozen includes funds subsequently un-frozen or confiscated, as well as funds frozen currently.\textsuperscript{1881}

On 20 September 2016, Busan, Korea hosted the opening ceremony of the Financial Action Task
Force (FATF) Training and Research Institute (TREIN). The FATF TREIN is located in Busan and
designed for training and implementing anti-money laundering and counter-terrorist financing

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{1873} Notification Regarding the System for Automatic Exchange of Information Based on the Common Reporting
\item \textsuperscript{1874} Country Reports on Terrorism 2009, Embassy of the United States (Seoul) 5 August 2010. Access Date: 9 March
\item \textsuperscript{1875} Country Reports on Terrorism 2009, Embassy of the United States (Seoul) 5 August 2010. Access Date: 9 March
\item \textsuperscript{1877} Country Reports on Terrorism 2009, Embassy of the United States (Seoul) 5 August 2010. Access Date: 9 March
\item \textsuperscript{1879} Terrorist Financing FATF Report to the G20 Leaders, FATF 28 December 2015. Access Date 29 March 2016.
http://g20.org/English/Documents/PastPresidency/201512/P020151228375596818045.pdf
\item \textsuperscript{1880} Terrorist Financing FATF Report to the G20 Leaders, FATF 28 December 2015. Access Date 29 March 2016.
http://g20.org/English/Documents/PastPresidency/201512/P020151228375596818045.pdf
\item \textsuperscript{1881} Terrorist Financing FATF Report to the G20 Leaders, FATF 28 December 2015. Access Date 29 March 2016.
http://g20.org/English/Documents/PastPresidency/201512/P020151228375596818045.pdf
\end{itemize}
measures. The institute serves as a venue for training government officials in assessments, or evaluations of countries’ implementation of counter-terror financing measures. 1882

On 29 November 2016, Korean authorities announced that it would establish a government-led national risk assessment (NRA) system on money laundering and terrorist financing. The Financial Services Commission (FSC) indicated that, “[W]e will push for the establishment of a national risk assessment committee joined by relevant authorities.” The envisioned panel will be tasked with making and coordinating state policies on anti-money laundering.1883

Korea has effectively exchanged information, frozen terrorist assets and effectively criminalized terrorist financing. Thus, Korea receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Andrew Hakes*

**Mexico: 0**

Mexico has partially complied with its commitment to effectively exchange information, effectively freezing terrorist assets and effectively criminalizes terrorist financing.

On 11 February 2014, the Senate approved amendments to the Federal Penal Code, the Federal Criminal Procedure Code, the Organized Crime Law, the Federal Fiscal Code, the Asset Forfeiture Law, and Constitutional implementing legislation. These amendments strengthened Mexico’s legal framework to address acts of terrorism, terrorist financing and third-party assistance to terrorist financing, attacks against internationally protected persons, the conspiracy to commit terrorism, theft of radioactive or nuclear materials, and the sanctioning of the freezing or forfeiture of terrorist assets based on domestic and international intelligence sources. The amendments also increase the minimum sentences for acts of terrorism from six to 40 years to a minimum of 15 to 40 years, strengthened the penalties for crimes committed using illicit resources, and created an exception to rules governing the dissemination of third-party fiscal data in order to comply with new terrorist financing laws.1884

On 18 July 2016, Mexican President Enrique Pea Nieto signed into law the implementing legislation for Mexico’s National Anti-Corruption System (SNA). The constitutional amendment that created the SNA as a forum for coordination between all levels of government to fight corruption was published on May 27, 2015, and the Mexican legislature subsequently approved seven secondary legislative packages. Of note, one of the new laws, the General Law on Administrative Responsibilities (GLAR), requires public officials to declare their assets, conflicts of interest, and taxes and provides that companies may be able to mitigate the penalties assessed against them for corruption-related violations by implementing effective compliance programs and by self-reporting and cooperating with authorities.1885

These amendments also sought to more closely align Mexico’s federal legislation with several of the international instruments related to countering terrorism, such as the International Convention for the Suppression of the Financing of Terrorism, the International Convention for the Suppression of

---

Terrorist Bombings, and the Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of Crimes against Internationally Protected Persons.\footnote{1886} On 5 February 2014, Mexico passed a national code of criminal procedure that aimed to harmonize the criminal justice systems of Mexico’s 31 states and Federal District, and increase justice sector transparency, efficiency, and impartiality.\footnote{1887}

On 28 December 2015, Terrorist Financing FATF Report to the G20 Leaders was released.\footnote{1888} The report did not note that Mexico had applied any targeted financial sanctions on their own motion as of August 2015. No other evidence was found suggesting it had within the compliance cycle.

On 22 December 2016, Attorney General Dr. Raúl Cervantes Andrade published an Official Gazette of the Federation which assigned a specialized crime unit to investigate the financing of illegal actions, especially organized crime. He addressed that this unit would gain experience in other issues such as terrorist financing and money laundering. The announcement stated that this crime unit reaffirms Mexico’s commitment to combat the financial and operational structures of organized crime.\footnote{1889}

Mexico has effectively exchanged information and frozen terrorist assets however has not effectively criminalized terrorist financing. Thus, Mexico receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Emily Shaw}

**Russia: +1**

Russia has fully complied with its commitment to effectively exchange information, freeze terrorist assets, and criminalize terrorist financing.

On 26 to 29 September 2016, an interdepartmental delegation, led by Rosfinmonitoring’s (Federal Financial Monitoring Service) head of the International Cooperation Department Mr. Petrenko and comprising of representatives of the Russian Foreign Ministry, Bank of Russia, Ministry of Finance and the Federal Tax Service, took part in the 51st plenary meeting of the Committee of Experts on the Evaluation of Anti-Money Laundering (AML) Measures and Financing of Terrorism (MONEYVAL) in Strasbourg. The delegation also held bilateral meetings on AML/CFT cooperation with the FIUs of Azerbaijan, Armenia, Bulgaria, Holy See, Liechtenstein, Monaco, Poland and Montenegro, as well as with the Executive Secretary of MONEYVAL.\footnote{1890}

On 30 September 2016, the meeting of the Expert Advisory Group of the National Anti-Terrorist Committee for Combating the Financing of Terrorism was held in headquarters of Rosfinmonitoring. Participants discussed the strengthening of cooperation among the relevant agencies in combating terrorist and extremist financing in the Central Federal District of Russia. Another issue under consideration concerned gaps in inter-agency information sharing in this area. Participants agreed on a joint action aimed at improving information sharing.\footnote{1891}
On 9 November 2016, the Memorandum of Understanding between the Federal Financial Monitoring Service of Russia and the Anti-Money Laundering and Suspicious Cases Unit of the UAE on cooperation in combating money laundering and terrorist financing was signed. The Memorandum expands the legal basis for information exchange in the relevant field and helps promote bilateral cooperation.  

On 7 – 11 November 2016, the 25th Plenary meeting of the Eurasian Group on Combating Money Laundering and Financing of Terrorism (EAG) was held in New Delhi. It was chaired by Director of Rosfinmonitoring Yury Chikhanchin. The Plenary discussed issues related to the fight against terrorist organizations and called on all its members to intensify efforts in this area and speed up the implementation of UN Security Council resolutions. Participants emphasized the importance of close international cooperation, exchange of the best investigative techniques and involvement of subject matter specialists in the teaching process. Participants showed particular interest in the presentations by the Russian Federation and Kyrgyzstan, dedicated to the use of behaviour profiles to identify individuals involved in the provision of funding to terrorists. In addition, these countries examined the potential for using the FIU’s online resources to speed up communication with financial institutions.  

On 18 November 2015, Russia created an interdepartmental commission dedicated to combating terrorism financing. The commission is to receive information on suspicious activity from various domestic departments, including the Prosecutor General’s Office, Russian Central Bank, and regional authorities.  

On 28 December 2015, Terrorist Financing FATF Report to the G20 Leaders was released. The report stated that since 2010, Russia had the seventh greatest number of convictions for terrorist financing among the top ten jurisdictions. The report also stated that Russia had applied targeted financial sanctions on their own motion on 3887 ‘designated individuals and entities’ up to 15 August 2015, equaling EUR44,929. Amounts frozen includes funds subsequently unfrozen or confiscated, as well as funds frozen currently.  

Russia has criminalized terrorist financing as a distinct offence in Article 205.1 of Russia’s Criminal Code (CC). This targets any support or contribution to terrorist activity and is linked to 10

---

different terrorist crimes.\textsuperscript{1901} The CC also provides for targeted financial sanctions against perpetrators of terrorism finance through Article 104.1 CC.\textsuperscript{1902} Russia requires the freezing of terrorist assets without delay, but not later than one working day.\textsuperscript{1903} Russia has also worked to combat foreign terrorist fighters.\textsuperscript{1904} These laws are in accordance to FATF requirements and continue to combat the finance of terrorism.

On 3 October 2016, the Federal Financial Monitoring Service (Rosfinmonitoring) uncovered 42 militant cells in the country that fund groups the Kremlin has designated “terrorist” organisations. Around 30 were found abroad. Rosfinmonitoring indicated that it is also working to identify the bank accounts of militants who are currently fighting on territories held by the Da’esh, and cracking down on businesses that support such groups and individuals or help them launder their funds. Head of Rosfinmonitoring Yury Chikhanchin reported that, “Over 3,500 bank accounts have been frozen.”\textsuperscript{1905}

On 18 November 2016, President Vladimir Putin signed a decree outlining the launch of an inter-departmental commission that would counter the financing of terrorism. The commission is intended to rule on “freezing funds or other property” of organisations and persons suspected of being involved in terrorism. It will also be responsible for coordinating work of other institutions engaged in fighting terrorism. The presidential decree orders federal governmental bodies and the Investigative Committee to inform the commission of any organisations or persons that might be involved in terrorist activities. More specifically, the degree indicates that a decision to freeze assets will be considered final only if all members of the commission vote for it. All rulings will be published on the website of Rosfinmonitoring.\textsuperscript{1906}

On 1 December 2016, President Vladimir Putin approved ‘Foreign Policy Concept of the Russian Federation.’ Under ‘Strengthening International Security,’ the section indicates that Russia, “believes that the struggle against terrorism will not be effective unless the sources of terrorist financing are eliminated, supports the efforts undertaken within multilateral structures to identify States, individuals and legal entities with economic ties to terrorist organisations and seek to block financing channels used by terrorists.”\textsuperscript{1907}

Russia has effectively criminalized terrorist financing, exchanged information, and has taken the necessary steps to freeze terrorist assets. Thus, Russia receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Daouii Abouchere & Mark Rakhmangulov**

**Saudi Arabia: +1**

Saudi Arabia has fully complied with its commitment to effectively exchange information, freeze terrorist assets and criminalize terrorist financing.

On 4 May 2016, the Middle East and North Africa Financial Action Task Force (MENAFATF) launched a new typologies project, Money Laundering Through Electronic Means. The project aims to help MENAFATF member countries better understand the methods employed for money laundering through electronic means, improve their detection and prevention capabilities, and thus enhance their efforts to combat money laundering through electronic means in the Middle East and North Africa. The project is set to continue until September 2017.\(^{1908}\)

On 20 October 2016, the Saudi government imposed sanctions on alleged Hezbollah members, accusing them of funneling money to the Lebanese militant group. Saudi Arabia designated Hezbollah affiliates and Hezbollah affiliated sanitation service provider Global Cleaners under a local counterterrorism law, freezing their assets and prohibiting commercial licenses.\(^{1909}\)

Saudi Arabia has effectively criminalised terrorist financing and frozen terrorist assets, however, it has not taken steps to effectively exchange information. Thus, Saudi Arabia has been assigned a compliance score of +1.

**Analyst: Andrew Hakes**

**South Africa: 0**

South Africa has partially complied with its commitments to effectively exchange information, freeze terrorist assets, and criminalize terrorist financing.

In 2009, FATF issued a report on South Africa which stated South Africa has, “criminalized terrorist financing in section 4 of the Protection of Constitutional Democracy against Terrorist and Related Activities Act (POCDATARA).” The FATF particularly notes that the POCDATARA is “comprehensive and criminalizes the collection or provision of property with the intention that it be used for the purpose of committing a terrorist act, or by a terrorist organization or individual terrorist for any purpose.”

In March 2015, the International Monetary Fund (IMF) released a report assessing the state of anti-money laundering (AML) and combating the financing of terrorism (CFT) in South Africa.\(^{1910}\) Staff were guided by the current FATF 40 Recommendations and assessment methodology.\(^{1911}\) The report found that South Africa has made significant progress in improving its AML/CFT legal and

---


institutional framework since it was last assessed against the AML/CFT standard in 2008. Furthermore, it noted that the number of ML investigations has increased since 2009.

On 19 February 2016, the Parliament of the Republic of South Africa published a report confirming that the Financial Intelligence Centre Amendment Bill and Financial Sector Regulation (FSR) Bill are currently being deliberated on in the National Assembly. The FSR Bill proposes reforms to financial regulation in South Africa to specifically improve its capacity to maintain financial stability and counter terrorist financing. The Bill specifically proposes the establishment special committees tasked with the enforcement of the new financial rules.

On 11 October 2016, South Africa and Kenya have signed two critical memoranda of understanding (MoU) on security, specifically involving police and defence cooperation. The MoUs cover intelligence sharing, identifying terrorists’ sources of funding and stabilising terrorism-prone countries.

South Africa has effectively exchanged information and criminalized terrorist financing, but has not frozen terrorist assets. Thus, South Africa has been assigned a compliance score of 0.

**Analyst: Andrew Hakes**

**Turkey: +1**

Turkey has fully complied with its commitment to effectively exchange information, effectively freezing assets, and effectively criminalizing terrorist financing.

On 16 February 2013, the Law on the Prevention of the Financing of Terrorism entered into force which defines “financing of terrorism” to include individuals and organizations who support terrorism at the national or international level by providing funds, and any person who sends money to a terrorist organization listed in relevant United Nations Security Council resolutions would be prosecuted. The law also authorizes the Financial Crimes Investigation Board (MASAK) under the Ministry of Finance to “freeze” assets of those suspected of financing terrorism as a “preventive measure,” when a strong suspicion exists about the individual or organization concerned.

On 22 October 2014, FATF release a Mutual Evaluation Report. The report stated that Turkey has made significant progress in addressing the deficiencies in its anti-money laundering/countering the financing of terrorism (AML/CFT) measures, as identified in the mutual evaluation report of February 2007. Since the 2007 report, Turkey has: amended the money laundering offence in the Criminal Code, by lowering the threshold for predicate offences and including elements required by the relevant United Nations (UN) conventions; adopted new regulations and amendments to existing

---


---
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On 1 February 2016, a law was drafted that deems human smuggling as an act of terrorism and authorises the seizure of financial assets of human smugglers. The draft law would combat human trafficking, reduce the influx of higher number of refugees and comply with FATF recommendations by implementing means by which Turkey can freeze terrorist organization assets.\footnote{Country Report on Terrorism 2014, US Department of State June 2015. Access Date: 05 April 2016. http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/239631.pdf}

Turkey’s Financial Intelligence Unit (MASAK) continues to operate in cooperation and coordination with the law enforcement authorities and prosecutors at the national level.\footnote{Country Report on Terrorism 2014, US Department of State June 2015. Access Date: 05 April 2016. http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/239631.pdf} MASAK also cooperates with other Financial Intelligence Units and actively contributes to the efforts of the FATF.\footnote{Country Report on Terrorism 2014, US Department of State June 2015. Access Date: 05 April 2016. http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/239631.pdf} Turkey has created a legal framework in line with the FATF recommendations in order to implement effectively UN Security Council resolutions 1267 and 1373, which calls for criminalizing terrorist financing and freezing terrorist assets.\footnote{Country Report on Terrorism 2014, US Department of State June 2015. Access Date: 05 April 2016. http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/239631.pdf} The Turkish Ministry of Foreign Affairs reports that Turkey has made bilateral agreements with more than over 70 countries around the world in the

field of counterterrorism. These agreements provide the legal basis for bilateral cooperation against illegal entities including terrorist organization and facilitate exchange of information among the relevant agencies.

On 30 January 2017, Turkish security forces conducted 586 anti-drug operations across the country in an effort to curtail terrorist financing, and seized in various amounts of narcotic drugs including cocaine, heroin, marijuana, opiates, hemp, root, and meth, as well as more than 780,00 packs of smuggled cigarettes, and 24,502 liters of smuggled oil.

Turkey has effectively exchanged information, frozen terrorist assets and effectively criminalized terrorist financing. Thus, Turkey receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Daouii Abouchere

United Kingdom: +1

The United Kingdom has fully complied with its commitment to effectively exchange information, effectively freezing terrorist assets and effectively criminalize financing.

The UK government has criminalized terrorist financing under the Proceeds of Crime Act 2002, the Terrorism Act (TACT) 2000, and the Money Laundering Regulations 2007. The UK government has also adopted the relevant EU measures, including measures to prevent the financing of terrorism. The EU Funds Transfers Regulation, which also binds the UK government, imposes identification and verification requirements on payers and by payment service providers.

Under Sections 15-18 of TACT, the UK government criminalizes acts of “inviting, providing, or receiving” as well as “using or intending” and “being involved in an arrangement” which makes “money or other property available with the intention or reasonable suspicion that it will be used for the purposes of terrorism.” This, therefore, includes the financing of foreign fighter travel for terrorist-related activities. As of March 2016, at least 17 convictions have been made under sections 15-18 of TACT since its introduction in September 2001.

The Terrorist Asset-Freezing Act (TAFA) 2010 authorizes the UK government to freeze the assets of terrorists and prohibit their nationals and persons within its jurisdiction from making funds,
resources or financial services available. Through TAFA, the UK has particularly complied FATF Recommendation 6 which requires freezing ‘without delay’ of the assets of individuals or entities designated under United Nation Security Council Resolutions 1267 and 1373.  

In April 2016, the UK Home Department and Treasury outlined the UK’s anti-money laundering (AML) and counter-terrorist financing (CTF) plan for the coming years in a policy paper. The UK confirmed action in several areas to address AML and CTF, as well as specific actions to enhance international responses to terrorist finance. Also, the UK has pledged ongoing support to building capacity for overseas partners to investigate and stop terrorist financing, including the Counter-ISIL Finance Group. In addition, the UK indicated that it would continue ongoing support for UK-based charities operating abroad, in order to mitigate the risk that the charity’s’ funds would be used to support terrorist activities.

On 13 October, the Criminal Finances Bill was introduced to the House of Commons. The Bill aims to significantly improve the government’s ability to tackle money laundering and corruption, recover the proceeds of crime, and counter-terrorism financing. More specifically, the bill will make complementary changes to the law enforcement response to the threat of terrorist finance, helping to combat the raising of terrorist funds through vulnerabilities in the regulated sector. This will include mirroring many of the provisions in the bill so that they also apply for investigations into offences under the Terrorism Act 2000.

The UK has effectively exchanged information, frozen terrorist assets and effectively criminalized terrorist financing. Thus, the UK receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Sara Fallaha

United States: +1

The United States has fully complied with its commitment to effectively exchange information, freeze terrorist assets, and criminalize terrorist financing.

The US has explicitly criminalized terrorist financing under the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 and money laundering under the Money Laundering Control Act (1986). The Office of Terrorist Financing Crimes (TFFC) works across all elements of the national security community, including law enforcement, regulatory, policy, diplomatic and intelligence communities and with the private sector and foreign governments to identify and address by all forms of illicit finance. TFFC has initiatives and strategies to combat money laundering and terrorist financing at home and abroad. These include initiatives that apply and implement targeted financial sanctions to national security threats. TFFC works to advance and implement FATF regulations and instruments.
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On 21 September 2016, the Seventh Ministerial Plenary Meeting of the Global Counterterrorism Forum (GCTF) took place in New York, co-chaired by Morocco and the Netherlands. As an example of their progress in this area, “the United States has information sharing arrangements with 56 international partners to help identify, track, and deter known and suspected terrorists. At least 26 partners share financial information that could provide actionable leads to prosecute or target foreign terrorist fighters.”

On 26 September 2016, the US Department of Justice issued a press release stating that it had sentenced Nader Elhuzayel and Muhanad Badawi “to 30 years in federal prison for conspiring and attempting to provide material support” to the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) by carrying out “a significant bank fraud scheme.” The jury “found Elhuzayel guilty of committing 26 counts of bank fraud and found Badawi guilty of one count of financial aid fraud.”

On 24 October 2016, the President’s Interagency Task Force (PITF) meeting to monitor and combat trafficking in persons took place in Washington, D.C. The Department of Treasury that supports the PITF, specifically the Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC), and the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN), has “particular tools to support the mission to combat human trafficking,” including the use of their money laundering authority to counter terrorism financing.

On 31 January 2017, the Department of Justice issued a press release stating it convicted Harlem Suarez for “attempting to use a weapon of mass destruction and providing material support to ISIL,” a designated foreign terrorist organisation, violating Title 18 US Code, Section 2339B.

The US has effectively exchanged information, frozen terrorist assets and effectively criminalized terrorist financing. Thus, the US receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Buse Kayar*

**European Union: +1**

The European Union has fully complied with its commitment to effectively exchange information, freeze terrorist assets, and criminalize terrorist financing.

On 9 September 2016, Europol, the Spanish National Police, issued a press release stating it formalized a tripartite partnership with INTERPOL (International Crime Police Organisation) and the Basel Institute on Governance “for a working group on money laundering with digital currencies”

as “there is a clear consensus that digital currencies pose a money laundering and terrorist financing threat.”

On 19 October 2016, Europol (European Police Office) issued a press release regarding a major international law enforcement operation targeting airline fraudsters, where “350 suspicious transactions were reported” and as a result, “193 individuals suspected of traveling with airline tickets bought using stolen, compromised or fake credit card details” were denied boarding and detained in this operation from 10-15 October 2016.

On 31 October 2016, Europol supported law enforcement authorities from “Austria, Finland, Greece, Ireland, the Netherlands, Portugal, Romania, Spain, and the United Kingdom” in arresting 42 professional fraudsters for online fraud activities. The result of this operation detected “a money laundering scheme worth EUR1.5 million” and EUR600,000 in fraud activities.

On 14 and 15 November 2016, European Cybercrime Centre (EC3) supported the Finnish National Bureau of Investigation, the British West Midlands Regional Cyber Crime Unit, the Spanish Guardia Civil, and the Royal Canadian Mounted Police in a cross-continent operation that resulted in 15 arrests of members of an organized crime group (OCG) that possessed “more than 6,000 credit card details, which they had used to attack more than 170 e-commerce businesses with fraudulent activities exceeding EUR1 million.”

On 15 November 2016, “prosecutors from the Romanian Territorial Office Vâlcea of the Directorate for Investigating Organized Crime and Terrorism (DIICOT), acting within a joint investigation team (JIT) set up with the support of Eurojust [and Europol], carried out an operation concerning an organized crime group (OCG) specialized in computer fraud, forgery and money laundering” and twelve people were arrested.

On 29 November 2016, “a joint investigation team (JIT) with investigators and judicial authorities from France, Belgium and the Netherlands” arrested 36 suspects for laundering funds over an

---

estimated EUR300 million and seized over EUR5.5 million in cash, alongside gold worth over EUR800,000, ammunition, and two-semi-automatic weapons.\textsuperscript{1950}

On 30 November 2016, Europol, Eurojust, the Public Prosecutor’s Office Verden and the Lüneberg Police (Germany) closely cooperated with the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), the United States Attorney’s Office for the Western District of Pennsylvania, the Department of Justice, and global partners, to dismantle the Avalanche network, “an international criminal infrastructure platform” that was “used as a delivery platform to launch and manage mass global malware attacks and money mule recruiting campaigns.”\textsuperscript{1951} The damage was estimated around EUR6 million “in concentrated cyberattacks on online banking systems in Germany alone” and “hundreds of millions of euros worldwide.”\textsuperscript{1952}

On 8 December 2016, Europol supported Italian Guardia di Finanza in an investigation that resulted in the arrests of eight suspects, for “selling counterfeit 20, 50 and 100 euro notes on the Darknet for around 30 per cent of their face value” and receiving payments over EUR160,000 in Bitcoins.\textsuperscript{1953}

On 13 December 2016, Europol and the Comando Carabinieri Antifalsificazione Monetaria “dismantled an illegal counterfeit currency print shop in Melegnano (Milano).”\textsuperscript{1954} The Public Prosecutor at the Court of Naples (Tribunale di Napoli Nord) coordinated investigations that resulted in “the neutralizing of a criminal network involved in the production and distribution of counterfeit currency, which covered large areas of national territory and most EU Member States.”\textsuperscript{1955}

On 13 and 14 December 2016, the Ministry of Digital Economy and Society and the Electronic Transaction Development Agency held a convention for joined by Europol’s European Cybercrime Centre (EC3), Association of Southeast Asian Nations Police Organisation (ASEANPOL) and INTERPOL, along with the Royal Thai Police and the Romanian National Police, for the Third Strategic Meeting on Payment Card Fraud (PCF) in Bangkok, Thailand. The focus of the event was “the exchange of expertise in the area of prevention and combating ATM/POS fraud, data

compromising, ATM malware, and eCommerce fraud." They also devised a plan for specific action encouraging further cross-regional cooperation of European and Asian law enforcement.

On 14 December 2016, EC3, particularly the Europol Forensics Laboratory, received a certificate of accreditation for its compliance with the International Standard ISO/IEC 17020’s requirements for the forensic examination of banknotes.

On 21 December 2016, the Security Union of the European Commission adopted a package of measures to strengthen the European Union’s (EU) capacity to fight the financing of terrorism and organised crime. The proposals will complete and reinforce the EU’s legal framework in areas of money laundering, illicit cash flows and the freezing and confiscation of assets. The proposal will ensure a strong and coordinated European response in the fight against terrorist financing and organised crime. The proposal aims to make it more difficult for terrorists to finance their activities whilst making it easier for the authorities to detect and stop their financial movements.

The proposal consists of three new legislations: “First, a Directive to criminalise money laundering will set common minimum rules concerning the definition of criminal offences and sanctions in the area of money laundering which will make it easier and more effective for judicial and law enforcement authorities to pursue complex money laundering cases. Secondly, the current rules on cash entering and leaving the EU will be updated to make them more robust and to give customs authorities more scope to act when there are indications that cash is related to criminal activity. Finally, the Regulation on the mutual recognition of criminal asset freezing and confiscation orders making it possible to prevent criminals and terrorists from using their funds.”

The European Union has effectively exchanged information, frozen terrorist assets and effectively criminalized terrorist financing. Thus, the European Union receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Buse Kayar

---


13. Technologies and Innovation: Knowledge Diffusion and Technology Transfer

“We support effort to promote voluntary knowledge diffusion and technology transfer on mutually agreed terms and conditions.”

*G20 Leaders' Communique: Hangzhou Summit*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Lack of Compliance</th>
<th>Work in Progress</th>
<th>Full Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Background**

Innovation was mentioned as an important source of growth in many of the G20 documents in previous years, and it became one of the key priorities during China’s 2016 presidency.

At the 2009 Pittsburgh Summit, G20 leaders committed to promote innovation across countries.1959 The 2010 G20 Toronto Summit Declaration1960 as well as the Seoul Summit Document1961 list encouraging innovations among the most important measures needed to enhance the growth potential of economies and help unlock demand.

---
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At the 2011 Cannes Summit, G20 leaders, for the first time, committed to ensure that “poor countries benefit rapidly from innovation and technological advances” and agreed to “encourage triangular partnerships to drive priority innovations forward.” Special attention was given to innovation in the agricultural sector. During the 2012 Los Cabos Summit, G20 leaders reaffirmed their commitment to encourage cooperation on innovations in the agricultural sector and also foster private sector participation within the field.

At the 2013 St. Petersburg Summit, innovation policies were considered as one of the most important tools in achieving “strong, sustainable, and balanced growth, restoring confidence in the global economy and promoting the creation of quality jobs.”

Innovation became one of the key priorities at the 2016 Hangzhou Summit. The G20 Blueprint on Innovative Growth emphasized the need to “seize the historic opportunities presented by technological breakthroughs for global economic growth.” Mid-to-long term growth potential should be provided by a commitment to innovative growth. The concept encompassed “actions in support of innovation, the New Industrial Revolution and the digital economy.” These actions among others include protection of intellectual property rights (IPR) and its enforcement for innovation, support for greater openness and “the promotion of voluntary knowledge diffusion and technology transfer.”

**Commitment Features**

This commitment consists of two parts: 1) promotion of voluntary knowledge diffusion; 2) technology transfer on mutually agreed terms.

**Promotion of knowledge diffusion**

According to the OECD Report on Knowledge-based Economy of 1996, promotion of knowledge diffusion includes “providing the framework conditions for university-industry-government collaborations and facilitating the development of information infrastructures.” To enhance knowledge diffusion governments give incentives for universities and laboratories to cooperate with industrial partners on the selection and further conduct of their research activities. Knowledge diffusion can also have cross-border dimension which implies interstate collaborations between universities, industries and governments and development of international information infrastructures.

**Technology transfer**

The UNCTAD draft International Code on the Transfer of Technology (the draft TOT Code) developed in 1985 defines the “technology transfer” as the process by which “systematic knowledge

---

for the manufacture of a product, for the application of a process or for the rendering of a service” is disseminated.\footnote{1968} Thus, technology is referred to as a specific type of knowledge embodiment.

Among the types of transfer transactions that may be used, the draft TOT Code lists the following:

a) “The assignment, sale and licensing of all forms of industrial property, except for trademarks, service marks and trade names when they are not part of transfer of technology transactions;

b) The provision of know-how and technical expertise in the form of feasibility studies, plans, diagrams, models, instructions, guides, formulae, basic or detailed engineering designs, specifications and equipment for training, services involving technical advisory and managerial personnel, and personnel training;

c) The provision of technological knowledge necessary for the installation, operation and functioning of plant and equipment, and turnkey projects;

d) The provision of technological knowledge necessary to acquire, install and use machinery, equipment, intermediate goods and/or raw materials which have been acquired by purchase, lease or other means;

e) The provision of technological contents of industrial and technical co-operation arrangements.”

Both national and international dimensions of knowledge diffusion and technology transfer are important for efficient sustainable growth. That is why they both are considered in compliance monitoring.

To achieve partial compliance members are required to promote knowledge diffusion in general. To get a score of +1 G20 member has to take specific actions on technology transfer.

The assessment implies checking government actions on:

- providing conditions and incentives for information sharing and intensified cooperation among universities and industry as well as actions to bridge them (knowledge diffusion)
- creating national and international systems of innovation and knowledge distribution networks (knowledge diffusion)
- participation in technology transfer in any of the abovementioned forms (technology transfer).

Federal Target Program “Research and Development in Priority Development Areas of Russian Scientific and Technological Complex for 2014-2020” can be considered as an example of government actions on providing conditions and incentives for information sharing and intensified cooperation among universities and industry. It implies research coordination, raise in productivity of research and its transformation into the innovation base for the economic growth and development of international cooperation to enter global innovation system.\footnote{1969}

Various European Union programmes like “Ener2i” can also serve as an example to such policies. The programme aims at bridging the divide between the fundamental science and production in order to foster innovations.\footnote{1970} Activities under the Ener2i include:

- Setting up a stakeholder database
- Analysis of the energy sectors and of the innovation situation in participating countries
- Development of a roadmap for industry, including policy recommendations


• Twinning activities among EU and partners
• Networking activities e.g. brokerages at local and international level
• Targeted training activities on technology transfer, innovation issues, energy research, etc.
• Establishing an innovation voucher scheme for the implementation of energy innovations

The examples of knowledge distribution networks supported by the government are Fraunhofer Gesellschaft Society in Germany (publicly-funded Europe’s largest application-oriented research organization)\textsuperscript{1971} or US Center for Knowledge Diffusion.\textsuperscript{1972}

### Scoring Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Member does not take actions to promote knowledge diffusion or technology transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Member takes actions to promote knowledge diffusion but not actions specifically aimed at technology transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>Member takes actions to promote knowledge diffusion including specific actions aimed at technology transfer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Argentina: +1

Argentina has fully complied with the commitments on technologies and innovation.

On 17 November 2016, the Argentinian Minister for Science, Technology and Productive Innovation Dr Lino Barañao, visited the Academy’s Shine Dome in Australia. The visit was hosted by Professor John White FAA. During the visit issues of Australia’s research system and the potential to develop cooperative research links between Argentina and Australia were discussed.\textsuperscript{1973}

On 23 November 2016, Argentina and the United Kingdom signed an Accord on scientific research and exchange of experts which states that scientific societies of both countries will be working jointly in research projects and promoting an active exchange of scientists.\textsuperscript{1974}

On 1 December 2016, an event hosted by US Ambassador Noah Mamet and Hughes Network Systems, LLC (Hughes), the global leader in broadband satellite solutions and services, took place in Buenos Aires. The issue of the event was a presentation on the potential for High-Throughput Satellite (HTS) technology as a solution to bridge the digital divide in Argentina. “The event focused on Argentina’s government initiative to increase Internet connectivity in rural and hard-to-reach communities that are unserved or underserved by terrestrial broadband services.”\textsuperscript{1975}

Argentina has taken actions to promote knowledge diffusion including specific actions aimed at technology transfer. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Angelina Khudoleeva*

**Australia: +1**

Australia has fully complied with the commitment on technologies and innovation.

On 9 September 2016, the Monetary Authority of Singapore and the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC) signed an Innovation Functions Co-operation Agreement in order to help innovative businesses in Singapore and Australia. “This agreement will enable innovative fintech companies in Singapore and Australia to establish initial discussions in each other’s market faster and receive advice on required licenses,” - official cite of ASIC states.1976

On 4 November 2016, the Minister for Industry, Innovation and Science, Honorable Greg Hunt launched the Regional Collaborations Programme. AUD3.2 million was allocated by the programme to encourage Australian researchers and businesses to foster collaboration in developing products and solutions to common challenges with their counterparts around the Asia-Pacific region.1977

Since 2012, the Australian Research Council (ARC) (Australian Government Commonwealth entity) Industrial Transformation Research Program (ITRP) offers funding schemes to both university-based researchers and industries. The Industrial Transformation Training Centres is a subprogram to foster close partnerships between university-based researchers and end-users to provide innovative Higher Degree by Research (HDR) and postdoctoral training. Six organizations have been funded in 2016. Currently, the program is at the stage of proposals applying for 2017 season.1978

According to the Australian Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade, ASEAN academic partnerships in spring 2017 are to foster the use of ICT (information and communications technology) in small business. Workshops will be held in Thailand (26 May 2017), Malaysia (28 April 2017) and Australia (13-17 March 2017).1979 “The event in Australia is supported by the Australian Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade.

Australia has taken actions to promote knowledge diffusion including specific actions aimed at technology transfer. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Ildar Khalilyulin*

**Brazil: +1**

Brazil has fully complied with the commitment on technologies and innovation.

On 7 October 2016, Minister of Mines and Energy Fernando Coelho Filho, signed the Agreement of Technical Cooperation between the National Department of Mineral Production and the Brazilian Geological Survey. The Agreement allows the sharing and diffusion of geological and hydrological

---

knowledge between entities and joint actions to improve the investment environment and the administrative procedures of mining processes.  

On 31 October 2016, the Brazilian government opened applications to universities wishing to offer master’s degrees in intellectual property and technology transfer could submit proposals by November 25. The initiative was supported by the Ministry of Science, Technology, Innovation and Communications, as well as the National Forum of Managers of Innovation and Technology Transfer and the Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel. The course aims to train human resources for organizations working in the area of intellectual property and technology transfer for innovation, such as technological innovation centers and research, development and innovation promotion agencies.  

On 18 November 2016, a joint agenda on nanotechnology, biotechnology and technology parks between Brazil and Iran was agreed with the aim of boosting bilateral cooperation. The nations will form a working group and define concrete actions for Brazilians and Iranians to advance in research. The memorandum of understanding foresees: cooperation and transfer of technologies in biotechnology; research and development of new drugs and products for the agricultural sector; joint research on nanotechnologies, research and development possibilities in information and communication technologies (ICTs), such as hardware, software, cybernetic policies, cognitive computing and the development and exchange of knowledge in technology parks.  

On 22 November 2016, Gripen project center was opened in São Paulo. The Gripen Design Development Network is the starting point in the technology transfer process between Brazil and Sweden of the Gripen NG project. The center is the first of the 60 offset projects (industrial, technological or commercial compensation) valued at USD9 billion. Brazil will invest USD5 billion in the acquisition of new high performance aircraft.  

Brazil has taken actions to promote knowledge diffusion including specific actions aimed at technology transfer. Thus, it receives a score of +1.  

Analyst: Sofia Streltsova  

Canada: +1  
Canada has fully complied with the commitment on technologies and innovation.  

The Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), a federal funding agency in partnership with Ontario Centres of Excellence (OCE) runs “TargetGHG Collaborative R&D” Program. Program is designed to trigger technology development as a result of collaboration of postsecondary institutions and industries for the lowering of greenhouse gas emissions. This initiative will contribute to Ontario’s 2020-2030 targets and the Government of Canada’s

---

commitment “to developing innovative clean technologies that promote environmental sustainability.”\textsuperscript{1984}

On 21 September 2016, Canada and Germany signed the declaration to strengthen technology and innovations cooperation. The declaration’s goal is to provide the stimulus and financing for the most innovative collaborative projects between the two countries and help new inventions and research financialize.\textsuperscript{1985}

On 23 September 2016, a Joint Statement Between Canada and the People’s Republic of China was issued. Leaders committed to “encourage innovation, science and technology collaboration of mutual benefit.”\textsuperscript{1986}

In October 2016, the Department of Foreign Affairs, Trade and Development of Canada and the Ministry of the Economy and Finance of France signed the Declaration on Cooperation in Innovation for 2016-2018. It provides for the following forms of cooperation:

- Exchange of information related to innovation, including on practices, policies, laws, other regulations and programs related to partnerships under this Arrangement;
- Joint seminars, symposia, conferences and workshops;
- Business development and technology collaboration partnering missions and the sharing of leads and business opportunities;
- Support for implementing bilateral technology and research and development partnerships in priority areas;
- Shared use of resources and infrastructure;
- Mobilization and exchange of researchers, engineers, entrepreneurs and innovation actors.\textsuperscript{1987}

On 26 October 2016, the Implementing Arrangement under the framework of the science and technology Agreement between the EU and Canada was signed. It provides Canadian researchers with the opportunity to participate in projects financed by European Research Council.\textsuperscript{1988}

On 26-28 October 2016, Canada’s BioTech Mission to Brazil took place. The objective of the mission is to “establish industrial R&D collaboration and co-development opportunities in the BioTech sector between Canadian and Brazilian companies leading to future commercial benefits for Canada and Brazil.”\textsuperscript{1989}

On 16 November 2016, Canada and eight other countries allocated over USD23 million to facilitate a significant scale-up of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) Climate Technology Centre & Network (CTCN). The center provides technical assistance to the developing countries in meeting their commitments taken under Paris agreement.  

Canada has taken actions to promote knowledge diffusion including specific actions aimed at technology transfer. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Irina Popova

China: +1

China has fully complied with the commitment on technologies and innovation.

On 20 October 2016, the Russian-Chinese Center for Technological Transformation and Innovations was opened in Shenyang. This new scientific platform was jointly created by Shenyang Tuspark Holding and Association of Innovative Enterprises and Organizations of Tomsk and Tomsk Province and other organizations. The key goals of this centre are to activate cooperation between the technological enterprises of two countries and to exchange expertise and innovative developments etc.

On 1 November 2016, during an International Aerospace Salon Chinese aircraft-industry companies stated that they intend to strengthen the cooperation with Ukrainian “Motor Sich.” This Chinese-Ukrainian cooperation is mutually beneficial, because China will be able to raise the level of development and production of aircraft engines and Ukraine will be able to use the benefits of Chinese partnership to deliver products with higher competitiveness. On 8 November 2016, 350 scientists from more than 40 countries gathered in Beijing for the International Symposium on scientific and technical issues, as part of the Economic Belt initiative. This symposium was organized by 12 departments and organizations, including Academies of Science from Russia and China.

On 9-11 November 2016, the China International Technology Transfer Center (CITTC) (Ministry of Science and Technology of the People’s Republic of China) organized the Fifth China Jiangsu Conference for International Technology and Commercialization (CITTC) to further strengthen the close partnership between Jiangsu and world-class innovative R&D institutes, establish an innovation cooperation network with global partners, improve the open innovation capacity of enterprises and expand the influence of the international university-industry collaboration in Jiangsu.
China has taken actions to promote knowledge diffusion including specific actions aimed at technology transfer. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Kirill Krivosheyev*

**France: +1**

France has fully complied with the commitment on technologies and innovation.

In October 2016, the Department of Foreign Affairs, Trade and Development of Canada and the Ministry of the Economy and Finance of France signed the Declaration on Cooperation in Innovation for 2016-2018. It provides for the following forms of cooperation:

- Exchange of information related to innovation, including on practices, policies, laws, other regulations and programs related to partnerships under this Arrangement;
- Joint seminars, symposia, conferences and workshops;
- Business development and technology collaboration partnering missions and the sharing of leads and business opportunities;
- Support for implementing bilateral technology and research and development partnerships in priority areas;
- Shared use of resources and infrastructure;
- Mobilization and exchange of researchers, engineers, entrepreneurs and innovation actors.1995

On 29 November 2016, French Secretary of State for Higher Education and Research Thierry Mandon announced the five new measures of the Humanities and Social Science (S.H.S) Plan, which is mainly focused on supporting research and dialogue between science and society. The key measure concerns the financing of S.H.S. Plan, which in 2017 will be around EUR8 million, in order to increase support for relevant projects. The target of 75 to 80 funded projects was set.1996

France has taken actions to promote knowledge diffusion including specific actions aimed at technology transfer. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

*Analysts: Mark Rakhmangulov & Anastasiya Polovko*

**Germany: +1**

Germany has fully complied with the commitment on technologies and innovation.

On 22 September 2016, Federal Research Minister Johanna Wanka announced that the ministry intends to make scientific publications accessible to everyone via the Internet. “Free access to knowledge is a first step for social development,” according to the minister.1997

---


On 28 September 2016, German Development Minister, Dr. Gerd Müller, signed a partnership initiative with the largest non-profit German foundation. The Else-Kröner-Fresenius-Stiftung (EKFS) and the Federal Ministry of Education and Research are going to promote the exchange of knowledge between health institutions in Germany and in developing and newly industrializing countries. 1998

On 11 October 2016, a German-Polish funding program was launched by German Ministry of education and Research and Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education. It is intended to accelerate the transfer of technology in small and medium-sized enterprises. 1999

On 14-15 November 2016, the Germany – Mexico Science, Technology and Innovation Forum was organized by the Government of Mexico, German Federal Ministry of Education and Research, Mexican National Council of Science and Technology (CONACYT) and German-Mexico Alliance for the Future in Mexico City. The participants of the Forum discussed the instruments of German-Mexican cooperation in science, technology and innovations with special focus on industry involvement, exchanged the best practices, in particular in energy, bio-economy, nanotechnologies, industry 4.0 spheres etc. The Forum aimed to promote technology transfer between two countries. 2000

On 29 November 2016, State Secretary in the Federal Ministry of Economics Matthias Machnig, and State Secretary in the Federal Research Ministry, Dr. Georg Schütte, held the first German-Chinese symposium on the intelligent production and networking of production processes (Industrie 4.0). In the two-day event, 300 representatives from government, business and science discussed the German-Chinese cooperation in industry 4.0. 2001

On 8 December 2016, the Parliamentary State Secretary in the Federal Ministry of Education and Research Thomas Rachel, and representative of the Palestinian Authority opened the new program “Palestinian-German Science Bridge”. Masters and PhD candidates from Palestine will have the opportunity to carry out research work and to promote it in Germany. The participants may contribute to the construction of research infrastructures in Palestine. 2002

Germany has taken actions to promote knowledge diffusion including specific actions aimed at technology transfer. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Elizaveta Nekrasova


India: +1
India has fully complied with the commitment on technologies and innovation.

On 24 October 2016, India-UK science collaboration was launched at University of Greenwich. The collaboration will develop joint research and expertise with direct links to the Indian government, businesses and communities. The aim of the mission was to introduce innovative UK technology to Indian environmental problems through knowledge sharing and staff exchanges.²

On 5 November 2016, Indian and Japanese governments signed an agreement on the extension of the India-Japan Industry-Academia-Government Collaborative Education Program. The program organized by the School of Engineering and the School of Information Science and Technology, provides research internships for students from collaborating Indian institutions in the various fields in engineering and information science and technology.²

On 15 November 2016, a meeting between Deputy Chairman of Belarus’ State Committee on Science and Technology Piotr Baltrukovich and Deputy Minister of Science and Technology Ashutosh Sharma of India took place in New Delhi, India. The meeting discussed the issues on bilateral scientific and innovation cooperation, and a list of promising joint projects. As a result of the meeting, Belarus and India reached an agreement to set up a joint center for commercialization and technology transfer.²

India has taken actions to promote knowledge diffusion including specific actions aimed at technology transfer. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Evgeny Tsarik

Indonesia: +1
Indonesia has fully complied with the commitment on technologies and innovation.

On 29 November 2016, Indonesia’s Industry, Research and Technology and Higher Education, Culture and Education, State-Owned Enterprises, and Manpower Ministries signed the memorandum of understanding setting the stage for greater involvement of the industry actors in the vocational education processes, including development of curricula, provision of additional instructors for vocational schools, creation of internship opportunities and on-site training programs both for students and educators, modernization of infrastructure for schools, etc.³

On 29 November 2016, it was announced the government was planning to improve the current vocational education system with multiple skill certificates, in which vocational school students can earn certificates after completing training courses in addition to their graduation diploma.³ This

measure is expected to contribute to knowledge diffusion as a motivating instrument since students not completing their training and not receiving diplomas would still have employment opportunities with skills certificates.

On 6 December 2016, Minister of Research, Technology and Higher Education and Australian Minister of Trade, Tourism and Investment met and reaffirmed plans to boost cooperation in the fields of research, technology and higher education under the currently negotiated Indonesia – Australia comprehensive economic partnership agreement.

On 13 December 2016, presidential scholarships were announced for five eastern Indonesian provinces of Papua, West Papua, Maluku, North Maluku, and East Nusa Tenggara. Recipients will have an opportunity to continue their studies at leading universities at home and abroad.

In December 2016, the Ministry of Defense held talks with Russian counterparts and manufacturers on potential purchasing of Russian military helicopters and fighter jets; contracts if proceeded will include clauses on technology transfer.

Indonesia has taken actions to promote knowledge diffusion including specific actions aimed at technology transfer. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Pavel Doronin*

**Italy: +1**

Italy has fully complied with the commitment on technologies and innovation.

On 29 September 2016, the Italian Institute of Technology and Moog Inc., an international US designer, manufacturer, and integrator of precision motion control products and systems, declared their intention to collaborate in the framework of one project. Investments in equipment and staff will be made, and Italian scientists and Moog engineers will work together on the development of the quadruped robot for outdoor use.

On 18 October 2016, South African Minister of Water and Sanitation Nomvula Mokonyane signed a Water Cooperation Agreement with Italy. Among other issues the parties agreed to cooperate in integrated water management solutions including the reuse of waste water for material and energy recovery and exchange of best practices and technologies in this field.

---


On 11 November 2016, the Italian National Research Council (CNR) and the Scottish Royal Society-Edinburgh (RSE) signed a new cooperation agreement on Science and Innovation. The Agreement provides for the financing of three joint research projects on a biennial basis as part of the following scientific areas: Engineering and Physical Sciences, Life Sciences and Humanities and Cultural Heritage.\(^{2013}\)

On 16 November 2016, Italy and 8 other countries allocated over USD23 million to facilitate a significant scale-up of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) Climate Technology Centre & Network (CTCN). The center provides technical assistance to the developing countries, in accordance with the Paris agreement.\(^{2014}\)

On 17 November 2016, the Italian Space Agency (ASI) and European Space Agency (ESA) met in Rome with public and private non-profit institutions involved in education on the national level, to present the European Space Education Resource Office (ESERO) program and the different project application phases to the institutions interested in the project in order to open some ESERO offices in Italy. ESERO program of the ESA aims at stimulating the interest of students from primary and secondary schools in science and technology topics.\(^{2015}\)

On 21-22 November 2016, the Italian Innovation Days was held in Singapore. The initiative was jointly promoted by Agenzia ITA/ICE, the Italian Chamber of Commerce in Singapore, the National Research Institute, Confindustria Sistemi Innovativi, Unioncamere, and others, in partnership with the Enterprise Europe Network, and with the coordination of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation. The Italian companies had the opportunity to present innovative projects, products or processes to an audience of investors and industrial partners from Asian countries, especially from the ASEAN market and from China.\(^{2016}\)

On 22 November 2016, the Italian - Vietnamese Joint Commission was held in Rome to examine the present state and further development of scientific and technological cooperation between the two countries and to define the Executive Program for the 2017-2019 within the framework of Agreement on Scientific and technological cooperation between Italy and Vietnam.\(^{2017}\)

Italy has taken actions to promote knowledge diffusion including specific actions aimed at technology transfer. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Maria Strelnikova

Japan: +1
Japan has fully complied with the commitment on technologies and innovation.

---


On 15 September 2016, Japan’s Council for Science, Technology and Innovation chaired by Prime Minister Shinzo Abe was tasked to identify “measures of importance in accordance with the Comprehensive Strategy on Science, Technology and Innovation.” The importance of “effective promotion of research and development on artificial intelligence and other spheres,” as well as of “realization of Society 5.0.” were also emphasized by Prime Minister Abe.  

On 19 September 2016 - 12 October 2016, a training course for specialists in fruit production was organized in Veracruz by the National Institute for Forestry, Agricultural, and Animal Husbandry Research (INIFAP), Japan International Cooperation Agency – JICA Mexico and Mexican Agency for International Development Cooperation (AMEXCID). The course aimed to familiarize the Mexican and Latin American specialists with technologies of production of fruits and care of exotic tropical fruit trees, namely pitahaya, manilkara zapota, guanabana, tamarind, rambutan and passion fruit.  

On 3 October 2016, Japan’s Internet of Things Acceleration Consortium concluded two memorandums of understanding (MOU) with the US Industrial Internet Consortium and OpenFog Consortium. The MOUs are expected to accelerate activity toward realization of the “fourth industrial revolution” in Japan through implementing demonstration projects by the parties and exerting efforts towards aligning technological standards. 

On 11 November 2016, during a visit with Indian Prime Minister Narendra Modi, the Japanese Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry and India’s Ministry of Skill Development and Entrepreneurship signed a Memorandum of Cooperation for a “Manufacturing Skill Transfer Promotion Programme.” Under the Memorandum, Japan will help establish Institutes for Manufacturing and the Japanese Endowed Courses in engineering colleges designated by the Japanese companies in India in cooperation between the public and private sectors. Namely, Toyota’s Indian subsidiary, Toyota Kirloskar Motor will contribute to this program through training 30,000 persons over ten years. Also during the visit, the Japan-India nuclear energy cooperation agreement was signed. It aims at “opening the door for Tokyo to supply New Delhi with fuel, equipment and technology for nuclear power production.”  

On 11 November 2016, the Trade Commissioner and Managing Director of Japan External Trade Organization (JETRO) Taku Miyazaki pledged that JETRO will “encourage technology transfer

---


2024 Signing of Japan-India Nuclear Cooperation Agreement Opens Up Nuclear Reactor and Technology Transfer from Japan to India, Executive Intelligence Review 11 November 2016. Access date: 27 November 2016.
especially among SMEs in Nigeria so that they will be able use the technology for greater productivity and innovativeness.\textsuperscript{2025}

On 25 November 2016, Japan joined a number of contributing countries donating an investment worth USD23 million to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)’s Climate Technology Centre and Network to promote accelerated development and transfer of climate technologies for energy efficient, low carbon and climate resilient development at the request of the developing countries.\textsuperscript{2026}

Japan has taken actions to promote knowledge diffusion including specific actions aimed at technology transfer. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Pavel Doronin}

**Korea: +1**

Korea has fully complied with the commitment on technologies and innovations.

On 17 and 21 November 2016, foundation ceremonies were held for establishing two vocational training institutes in Sri Lanka. The Vocational Training Centre in Colombo Central and the College of Technology in Gampaha will be constructed and developed through the Official Development Assistance program of the Export-Import Bank of Korea.\textsuperscript{2027} The scope of Korea’s participation will include construction and development, provision of necessary equipment and facilities, capacity building for vocational training instructors and policy makers, as well as further technical assistance and consulting services by dispatching Korean experts to Sri Lanka.\textsuperscript{2028} The project is aimed to bridge the gap between the industry skills demand and the labour supply, and reduce the unemployment rate of the youth in Sri Lanka.\textsuperscript{2029}

On 25 November 2016, Korea joined a number of contributing countries donating an investment worth USD23 million to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)’s Climate Technology Centre and Network to promote accelerated development and transfer of climate technologies for energy efficient, low carbon and climate resilient development at the request of the developing countries.\textsuperscript{2030}

On 28 November 2016, the Korea Development Institute (KDI) and the Korea Institute of Science and Technology (KIST) have formalized participation in the Partnership for Skills in Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology (PASET). The institutes work to help Sub-Saharan Africa


build capacity in science and technology fields, with the KDI becoming a full member of PASET and KIST signing an agreement to improve the quality of PhD programs in selected African institutions.2031

On 29 November 2016, Korea and Thailand signed a memorandum of understanding to support agricultural trade, knock down technical barriers in the trading of agriculture products and facilitate investment in farming, which is expected to forge closer ties between Korea and Thailand in many areas of agriculture cooperation, including agriculture technology cooperation and transfer.2032

On 1 December 2016, tariffs on 381 information technology products were removed. Remaining tariffs will be phased out in three to seven years, making the technology transfer to and from Korea much simpler.2033

Korea has taken actions to promote knowledge diffusion including specific actions aimed at technology transfer. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Pavel Doronin**

**Mexico: +1**

Mexico has fully complied with the commitment on promoting knowledge diffusion including specific actions aimed at technology transfer.

On 19 September 2016 - 12 October 2016, a training course for specialists in fruit production was organized in Veracruz by the National Institute for Forestry, Agricultural, and Animal Husbandry Research (INIFAP), Japan International Cooperation Agency – JICA Mexico and Mexican Agency for International Development Cooperation (AMEXCID). The course aimed to familiarize the Mexican and Latin American specialists with technologies of production of fruits and care of exotic tropical fruit trees, namely pitahaya, manilkara zapota, guanabana, tamarind, rambutan and passion fruit.2034

On 11 October 2016, a special event of donation of hydrographic equipment for the project “Strengthening Hydrographic Capacities in Mesoamerica and the Caribbean Sea” (FOCAHIMECA) implemented by the Mexican Secretariat of the Navy (SEMAR) and Mexican Agency for International Development Cooperation (AMEXCID) in the Caribbean region was held. The equipment was donated by the Turkish Cooperation and Coordination Agency (TIKA).2035

On 27 October 2016, Mexican and Spanish governments designed a cooperation project “De la ciudadania al Maker” aimed at promoting youth entrepreneurship and digital economy in Guatemala. The project included a series of forums and workshops held on 7-10 November 2016 in Guatemala. Participants discussed how culture and new technologies could be used to promote creative

---

2033 Tariff cuts for 834 technology products will begin today, Korea JoongAng Daily 1 December 2016. Access date: 11 December 2016.
economies in the region. The events brought together entrepreneurs, decision-makers and public and private institutions representatives.\textsuperscript{2036}

In November 2016, Mexico promoted its special technology of removing mycotoxins that cause various diseases from the grains to Kenya. The technology (nixtamalización) also helps to increase protein in corn and add calcium and niacin. The tests of the maize quality were organized in the Kenyan city of Machakos. The project is implemented by the Mexican Agency for International Development Cooperation (AMEXCID).\textsuperscript{2037}

On 4 November 2016, “Alianza Suiza por la Educación Dual” initiative (Swiss Alliance for Vocational Education and Training) was launched by the President of the Swiss Confederation Johann Schneider-Ammann and Mexican Secretary of Education Aurelio Nuño Mayer during a meeting at the Colegio Suizo de México. The initiative provides Swiss businesses in Mexico with the opportunity to participate in the dual-track education of apprentices. The companies will be able to initiate vocational training in a number of spheres and thereby satisfy their need for skilled workers.\textsuperscript{2038}

On 7 November 2016, the @rende 2.0 program was launched by Mexican Secretary of Education Aurelio Nuño Mayer. The program aimed to bring together international organizations, private sector and society to advance teacher training and improve classrooms with innovative equipment to develop necessary skills for addressing needs of the companies in Mexico. In 2017, the program will be implemented in 3,000 schools.\textsuperscript{2039} 41,000 teachers will be trained, and 192,000 will be able to access the training module online.\textsuperscript{2040}

On 13 November 2016, Mexican Undersecretary of Finance and Public Credit Vanessa Rubio Márquez participated in the launch of the strategic center “México Exponencial.” It aims to share information and promote dialogue on innovation, the New Industrial Revolution and the digital economy. It involves governmental authorities, private sector and academia.\textsuperscript{2041}

On 14-15 November 2016, the Germany – Mexico Science, Technology and Innovation Forum was organized by the Government of Mexico, German Federal Ministry of Education and Research, Mexican National Council of Science and Technology (CONACYT) and German-Mexico Alliance for the Future in Mexico City. The participants of the Forum discussed the instruments of German-Mexican cooperation in science, technology and innovations with special focus on industry involvement, exchanged the best practices, in particular in energy, bio-economy, nanotechnologies,


industry 4.0 spheres etc. The Forum aimed to promote technology transfer between two countries.\textsuperscript{2042}

Mexico has taken actions to promote knowledge diffusion including specific actions aimed at technology transfer. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Elizaveta Safonkina}

**Russia: +1**

Russia has fully complied with the commitment on technologies and innovation.

On 15 October 2016, the Russian Agency of Technological Development signed a cooperation agreement with the Confindustria, which is the main association representing manufacturing and service companies in Italy.\textsuperscript{2043} The Agency of Technological Development was established by the Government of Russia to help enterprises in finding innovative technological solutions by providing information, analytical and advisory assistance, conducting transactions and raising funds.\textsuperscript{2044}

On 26–28 October 2016, the fifth annual Open Innovations Forum was held in Moscow. The Forum welcomed more than 13,500 guests from 99 countries. It has been held in Moscow, under the auspices of the Russian Government, since 2012, and co-organized by the Russian Ministry of Economic Development. The aims of the forum include exchange of experience and knowledge in the field of technological entrepreneurship and innovation development, and search for new partners and investors. 40 partner agreements were signed and about 2000 requests were applied via business contacts and exchange service during the forum.\textsuperscript{2045}

On 1 November 2016, the selection of the Russian-Israeli projects in the research and development commenced. The selection was carried out in the framework of the intergovernmental agreement between Russia and Israel and coordinated from the Russian side by the Ministry of Industry and Trade. The main goal of these efforts is development of innovative infrastructure in the sphere of nanotechnologies. The selection was finished on 29 December 2016,\textsuperscript{2046} however no results have been reported yet.

Russia has taken actions to promote knowledge diffusion including specific actions aimed at technology transfer. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Mark Rakhmangulov}

**Saudi Arabia: +1**

Saudi Arabia has fully complied with the commitment on technologies and innovation.


\textsuperscript{2043} About us, Confindustria. http://www.confindustria.it/wps/portal/EN/siteEN/About-us/!ut/p/a1/04_SJ9CPykssy0xPLMnMz0vMAFgjz0J9PT1MDD0NJLws_ANdD8rNAivDXUY8DDxNjIEkloEKDHAArwNC-SP1o1CV-Bu7mgCVeBi6GPh5GBg4m2MoMHAxNXD0D2HzCwy0MDbXN4UqwoOGtwH0xPROUAvexREXQ!l/d/S/L2dbISevZ0FBI59nQSEh/

\textsuperscript{2044} Agency of Technological Development. http://tech-agency.ru/


On 28 September 2016, the Minister of Commerce and Investment Majid bin Abdullah Al Qasabi, held talks with New Zealand Associate Trade Minister Todd McClay in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The parties discussed ways to facilitate economic partnership while focusing on trade, investment and technology transfer.  

On 3 November 2016, the King Abdul Aziz City for Science and Technology (KACST) announced that it would establish 15 centers of excellence to focus on nanotechnology. Research will be done in coordination with Northwestern University, the University of California at Los Angeles, the University of California at Berkeley, the University of Cambridge and the University of Oxford. The creation of centers align with the Kingdom’s Vision 2030 and the National Transformation Plan 2020, which aim to make a shift towards knowledge-based economy, localization of human resources and transfer of technology.  

On 7 November 2016, Minister of Health Abdullah Al Rabeeah visited the industrial premises of LFB Group in Les Ulis, France, which are specialized in upstream processes for plasma fractionation. This visit was carried out in the context of ongoing negotiations on an agreement on technology transfer.  

On 6-8 December 2016, the ASBAR World Forum “Knowledge and the Capitalization on Saudi Human Capital: Organizations Transformation and Job Creation” organized in partnership with the Ministry of Labour and Social Development was held in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. One of the key topics at the Forum was “Foreign Investment: Technology Transfer and Job Creation.”  

Saudi Arabia has taken actions to promote knowledge diffusion including specific actions aimed at technology transfer. Thus, it receives a score of +1.  

**Analyst: Aydar Shakirov**

**South Africa: +1**

South Africa has fully complied with the commitment on technologies and innovations.  

On 29 September 2016, the Deputy Minister of International Relations and Cooperation Nomaindiya Mfeketo, met with Iranian counterpart, the Deputy Minister for Foreign Affairs of the Islamic Republic of Iran, Jaberi Ansari, for bilateral consultations in accordance with the mandate of the South Africa-Iran Deputy Ministerial Working Group (DMWG). Parties agreed to intensify interaction in “skills transfers, innovation and training and technology exchanges between research foundations in identified areas.”  

On 3 October 2016, the European Commission and the South African Department of Science and Technology signed a Declaration of Intent on Marine Research and Innovation Cooperation. The declaration will “encourage and support research collaboration and development of relevant

---


technologies, to facilitate human capital development and scientific exchange, and to explore regional opportunities for sustainable marine cooperation.\textsuperscript{2052}

On 18 October 2016, South African Minister of Water and Sanitation Nomvula Mokonyane signed a Water Cooperation Agreement with Italy. Among other issues the parties agreed to cooperate in integrated water management solutions including the reuse of waste water for material and energy recovery and exchange of best practices and technologies in this field.\textsuperscript{2053}

On 13 October 2016, the first bi-annual South Africa-China exhibition organized in partnership with South African Department of Science and Technology was launched. Entrepreneurs, scientists and other professionals in the science and technology industry gathered to show their hi-tech products which included drones, robotics and high-tech medicine. According to South African Department of Science and Technology, “the exhibition is aimed at creating a platform for South African and Chinese science councils, academic institutions and industry players to exchange information on new technological trends and foster collaborative linkages.”\textsuperscript{2054}

On 31 October – 4 November 2016, the South Africa - Norway Science Week 2016 organized in partnership with South African Department of Science and Technology took place. The Science Week brought together key players from higher education, research, innovation and business in South Africa and Norway. The objective of the series of events was to create new relationships and “encourage collaboration between academia and industry across national borders.”\textsuperscript{2055}

On 8-9 December 2016, Science Forum South Africa 2016 organized by South African Department of Science and Technology took place in Pretoria. The key objectives of the forum were: to create a platform for a debate on the role of science, technology and innovation in society; promote international science, technology and innovation partnerships; and “create a platform for key science, technology and innovation actors, including senior government leaders, academics, scientists, industry, civil society, and students to interact.”\textsuperscript{2056}

South Africa has taken actions to promote knowledge diffusion including specific actions aimed at technology transfer. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Irina Popova}

**Turkey: +1**

Turkey has fully complied with the commitment on technologies and innovations.

Since 2014, the Technology Transfer Accelerator Turkey (TTA Turkey) has been conducted. The initiative developed in the EU, aims to commercialise applied research from universities and scale up
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the technology transfer market in Turkey, with a particular focus on spill-overs to the country’s less
developed regions.\(^{2057}\)

On 27 September 2016, Turkish Minister of Transport, Maritime Affairs, and Communications Mr.
Ahmet Arslan, and CEO of Inmarsat (British satellite communications company) Mr. Rupert Pearce,
signed a partnership agreement to collaborate on satellite services, maritime communications, and in
the defence and aerospace sectors. One of the goals of the agreement is technology transfer
Turkey.\(^{2058}\)

On 6 October 2016, Turkey accepted the engine-maker Rolls-Royce’s offer on a joint production
partnership under the memorandum of understanding on technological know-how and a production
unit between Turkey and Rolls-Royce signed in October 2015. Also under the agreement, Rolls-
Royce will launch an Advanced Manufacturing and Technology Center (AMTC) in Turkey and
provide broad know-how and technology transfer.\(^{2059}\)

On 10 November 2016, the Higher Education Commission (HEC) Pakistan’s delegation headed by
Dr. Mazhar Saeed, Director General Planning of HEC attended a week-long professional
development programme at Turkey. The programme was organized in collaboration with Turkey’s
Council of Higher Education at Tusside Institute of Management Sciences. The programme
provided a comprehensive overview of Turkey’s higher education ecosystem.\(^{2060}\)

Turkey has taken actions to promote knowledge diffusion including specific actions aimed at
technology transfer. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Irina Popova

**United Kingdom: +1**

United Kingdom has fully complied with the commitment on technologies and innovations.

On 1 October 2016, the Chancellor of Higher Education Funding Council for England (HEFCE)
announced new funding of GBP120 million over the next four years. The funding aims to support
collaborative projects and activities between universities, across the range of knowledge exchange
activity such as technology transfer. The funding is a part of a support package, which includes
GBP220 million for the life science and university sectors to help technology breakthroughs translate
into commercial success, as well as action to ensure disruptive businesses thrive in the UK.\(^{2061}\)

---

\(^{2057}\) Technology Transfer Accelerator Turkey (TTA), European Investment Fund. Access date: 11 January 2017.

\(^{2058}\) Türksat, Inmarsat join forces on SATCOM, technology transfer, Spacewatch Middle East 27 September 2016. Access


\(^{2060}\) HEC Team Visits Turkey to Learn Best Practices in Higher Education, Higher Education Commission, Pakistan 11
Turkey.aspx.

\(^{2061}\) Additional £120 Million for Collaboration and Technology Transfer, Official Website of Higher Education Funding
On 8 November 2016, a series of joint India-UK research initiatives worth more than GBP80 million were announced by Indian Union Minister of Science and Technology and Earth Sciences Dr. Harsh Vardhan and UK Science Minister Jo Johnson.

The United Kingdom takes actions to promote knowledge diffusion including specific actions aimed at technology transfer. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Elina Nizamova

United States: +1

The US has fully complied with the commitment on technologies and innovation.

On 21-22 September 2016, a Science and Technology Innovation Forum “Synergy” was held. The forum was a joint project of the Intellectual Property Office of the Philippines (IPOPHL) & the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) Science, Technology, Research and Innovation for Development (STRIDE). It aims to increase promotion of science, bolster technology transfer activities in universities and colleges, support information technology (IT) projects and contribute to the innovation ecosystem. During the forum participants were provided with how-to and technical expertise. Also some programs aimed at moving researches, technologies, and innovative products to the marketplace were represented.

The United States has taken actions to promote knowledge diffusion including specific actions aimed at technology transfer. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Irina Sedova

European Union: +1

European Union has fully complied with the commitment on technologies and innovation.

On 21 September 2016, the Ninth International Technology Transfer Conference was held by the Center for Technology Transfer and Innovation at the Jožef Stefan Institute. The conference which was co-financed by the European Commission and addressed such issues as research and innovation in Horizon 2020, research and innovation in other working programs, research and innovation for Europe.

On 22 September 2016, the European Institute of Innovation and Technology and the European Commission’s Joint Research Centre signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU). The MOU will be mutually beneficial in areas of common interest, including technology transfer and intellectual property.


On 30 September 2016, the Executive Agency for Small and Medium-sized Enterprises, acting under the powers delegated by the European Commission, closed a call for proposals on grant agreements designed to accelerate the transfer of innovative technological solutions to sea basin economies. With a budget of over EUR 7.5 million, the calls will facilitate enhancing career opportunities in the maritime economy and stimulate the creativity of young researchers.2066

On 3 October 2016, the European Commission and the South African Department of Science and Technology signed a Declaration of Intent on Marine Research and Innovation Cooperation. The declaration will “encourage and support research collaboration and development of relevant technologies, to facilitate human capital development and scientific exchange, and to explore regional opportunities for sustainable marine cooperation.”2067

On 17 October 2016, the European Commission and the US Government signed the Implementing Arrangement for Cooperation between Researchers Funded Separately by the European Union’s and the United States Framework Programs on Research and Innovation. The agreement stimulates cooperation between US organizations and participants of the European Union’s research and innovation program “Horizon 2020,” especially in cases when US organizations are funded by the US government and do not get any funding from the Horizon 2020 program.2068

On 16 November 2016, the European Union, the US, Canada, Germany, Italy, Denmark, Japan, Switzerland and Korea pledged over USD23 million to support technology transfer in developing countries. The additional funding to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) Climate Technology Centre & Network (CTCN) aims to deliver tailored capacity building and technical assistance at the request of developing countries.2069

The European Union has taken actions to promote knowledge diffusion including specific actions aimed at technology transfer. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Aydar Shakirov

---


14. Financial Regulation: Financial Sector Reform Agenda

“To this end, we remain committed to finalizing remaining critical elements of the regulatory framework and to the timely, full and consistent implementation of the agreed financial sector reform agenda, including Basel III and the total-loss-absorbing-capacity (TLAC) standard as well as effective cross-border resolution regimes.”

**G20 Leaders’ Communiqué: Hangzhou Summit**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>No Compliance</th>
<th>Work in Progress</th>
<th>Full Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Background**

In 2008, at the Washington Summit, the G20 initiated “a comprehensive program of regulatory reforms to address the fault lines that caused the largest financial crisis since the Great Depression.” Since that time, G20 members have made substantial progress in developing and implementing reforms aimed at improving the functioning of the global financial system. However, some critical elements of the global financial regulatory framework still need to be finalized and implemented at the national level in a full, consistent and timely manner. These remaining elements of the G20 financial agenda became a focus at the 2016 Hangzhou Summit.

**Commitment Features**

This commitment focuses on three areas of the global financial regulatory reform: Basel III framework, total-loss-absorbing-capacity (TLAC) standard, and effective cross-border resolution regimes.

---

Basel III is a comprehensive set of reform measures, developed by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, to strengthen the regulation, supervision and risk management of the banking sector. These measures aim to: improve the banking sector’s ability to absorb shocks arising from financial and economic stress; improve risk management and governance; and strengthen banks’ transparency and disclosures.\textsuperscript{2071} Particular requirements under the Basel III framework are presented in the Bank for International Settlements (BIS) overview table. They include: requirements to the quality and level of capital risk coverage requirements; introduction of liquidity coverage ratio and net stable funding ratio, as well as some additional requirements for systemically important financial institutions\textsuperscript{2072}

TLAC standard developed by the Financial Stability Board (FSB) aims to address the risks arising from global systemically important financial institutions (G-SIFIs). Particular features of the TLAC standard are described in the Principles on Loss-absorbing and Recapitalisation Capacity of G-SIBs in Resolution and Total Loss-absorbing Capacity (TLAC) Term Sheet prepared by the FSB.\textsuperscript{2073} It should be mentioned that TLAC requirements are applicable only to global systemically important banks. Thus, each G20 member should be checked for having the headquarters of G-SIBs located on its territory before being assessed for compliance with this part of the commitment. The list of G-SIBs is regularly updated by the FSB.\textsuperscript{2074} G20 members without G-SIBs are not assessed for compliance with this part of the commitment.

The FSB Principles for Cross-border Effectiveness of Resolution Actions published in November 2015 set out statutory and contractual mechanisms that jurisdictions should consider including in their legal frameworks to give cross-border effect to resolution actions in accordance with the Key Attributes\textsuperscript{2075} developed one year earlier. There are nine effectiveness principles:

1. Authorities should pursue a close alignment of resolution powers and tools with the FSB Key Attributes of Effective Resolution Regimes for Financial Institutions to facilitate the process of giving cross-border effect to resolution actions.
2. The legal framework should confer on a domestic authority or authorities the legal capacity to give effect to foreign resolution measures.
3. The legal framework for giving effect to foreign resolution measures or adopting measures to support foreign resolution actions should clearly establish: (i) the conditions for recognition, enforcement or support actions; (ii) the grounds for refusal of such actions, which should be limited; and (iii) the process for taking such actions.
4. The process for giving effect to foreign resolution measures should be guided by the principle of equitable treatment of creditors.
5. Processes for giving effect to foreign resolution actions should be expedited.
6. The capacity to give effect to foreign resolution actions should be complemented by the necessary legal protections for authorities and their officials.
7. Authorities should require, or provide incentives for, firms to adopt, where appropriate, contractual approaches to fill the gap until statutory approaches have been fully implemented and to complement such approaches by reinforcing the legal certainty and predictability of cross-border recognition under statutory frameworks that are in place.

\textsuperscript{2071} Basel III: international regulatory framework for banks. URL: http://www.bis.org/bcbs/basel3.htm.
8. Contractual cross-border recognition of temporary stays on early termination rights should be framed as a contractual agreement by the parties to a financial contract to be bound by temporary stays on early termination that are imposed under the resolution regime applicable to the counterparty, subject to safeguards that are consistent with the Key Attributes.

9. Capital or debt instruments that are governed by the laws of a jurisdiction other than that of the issuing entity should include legally enforceable provisions recognising a write-down, cancellation or conversion of debt instruments in resolution (‘bail-in’) by the relevant resolution authority if the entity enters resolution.2076

To fully comply with this commitment, G20 members are required to make progress in all three areas: Basel III framework, the total-loss-absorbing-capacity (TLAC) standard and effective cross-border resolution regimes, by taking measures indicated in the respective documents of the Basel Committee and FSB. Measures taken in one or two areas mean partial compliance.

**Scoring Guidelines**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>−1</td>
<td>Member does not take actions aimed at the timely, full and consistent implementation of the agreed financial sector reform agenda, in the following areas: (1) Basel III, (2) the total-loss-absorbing-capacity (TLAC) standard, and (3) effective cross-border resolution regimes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Member takes actions aimed at the timely, full and consistent implementation of the agreed financial sector reform agenda in one or two of the following areas: (1) Basel III framework, (2) the total-loss-absorbing-capacity (TLAC) standard (for countries with G-SIBs), and (3) effective cross-border resolution regimes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>Member takes actions aimed at the timely, full and consistent implementation of the agreed financial sector reform agenda in all of the following areas: (1) Basel III framework, (2) the total-loss-absorbing-capacity (TLAC) standard (for countries with G-SIBs), and (3) effective cross-border resolution regimes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Argentina: 0**

Argentina has partially complied with the commitment on financial regulation.

On 21 September 2016, the Basel Committee published "Basel III implementation assessments of Argentina" which informed that domestic implementation of the risk-based capital framework is "compliant" with the Basel standards. Ten of the 11 assessed components of the framework are assessed as compliant and one - the scope of application - is assessed as "largely compliant". Argentina is also assessed as "compliant" with the Basel liquidity coverage ratio (LCR) standards, including the LCR regulation and the LCR disclosure standards. A compliant assessment grade is the highest of the four possible grades.2077

Argentina is not assessed against the total-loss-absorbing-capacity requirements due to the absence of global significant system banks in the country.2078

---


Argentina has taken actions aimed at implementing Basel III framework, but no facts of effective cross-border resolution regimes implementation have been registered. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Angelina Khudoleeva

Australia: +1

Australia has fully complied with the commitment on financial sector reforms.

The Australian Prudential Regulation Authority (APRA) implemented a set of reforms in line with Basel III liquidity and capital requirements. On 1 January 2015, APRA approved the Liquidity Coverage Ratios framework. In addition, APRA specified the run-off rates for banks’ liabilities within a 30-day liquidity stress scenario.2079 As for capital reforms, the APRA’s application of the Basel III capital framework came into effect in Australia on 1 January 2013. In particular, APRA required deposit-taking institutions (ADIs) to meet its new increased capital requirements for Common equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital.2080

According to the Financial Stability Board (FSB), there are no G-SIBs in Australia.2081

Domestically, Council of Financial Regulators agencies (The Reserve Bank of Australia, the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority, the Australian Securities and Investments Commission and the Treasury) continue to collaborate on strengthening Australia’s resolution and crisis management arrangements. In October 2016, APRA reviewed and benchmarked recovery plans submitted by large authorized deposit-taking institutions (ADIs), and developed its resolution planning framework, to ensure it is able to use its resolution powers when needed.2082

Australia has made efforts aimed at implementing reforms in financial sector regulation in all three areas. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Ildar Khalilyulin

Brazil: 0

Brazil has partially complied with the commitment on financial regulation.

On 19 October 2016, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision informed that Brazil had completed the adoption of capital definition and requirements to capital conservation buffer, countercyclical buffer, liquidity coverage ratio (LCR), LCR disclosure requirements, leverage ratio disclosure requirements, global systemically important banks (G-SIBs) G-SIBs requirements (there are no G-SIBs in Brazil, although some banks fall under the public G-SIBs disclosure framework), and domestic systemically important banks (D-SIB) requirements. In contrast, the adoption of margin requirements for non-centrally cleared derivatives has not started yet.2083

---

Brazil has taken actions aimed at the timely, full and consistent implementation of the agreed financial sector reform agenda in the area of Basel III framework, but no facts of effective cross-border resolution regimes implementation have been registered. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

*Analyst: Sofia Streltsova*

**Canada: 0**

Canada has partially complied with the commitment on financial regulation.

On 9 September 2016, new Capital Adequacy Requirements (CAR) Guideline which provides a “framework for assessing the capital adequacy of federally regulated deposit-taking institutions” was issued in Canada. The CAR Guideline is based on requirements agreed by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision.\(^\text{2084}\)

According to the Eleventh progress report on adoption of the Basel regulatory framework, Canada has successfully introduced measures in the spheres of definition of capital, capital conservation buffer, margin requirements for non-centrally cleared derivatives, liquidity coverage ratio (LCR), LCR disclosure requirements and D-SIB requirements.\(^\text{2085}\)

According to the 2016 list of global systemically important banks (G-SIBs) published by the Financial Stability Board (FSB),\(^\text{2086}\) Canada is not home to any G-SIB, however additional supervisory expectations and disclosure obligations are in effect in the country for this type of banks.\(^\text{2087}\)

Canada has taken actions aimed at implementing Basel III framework, but no facts of effective cross-border resolution regimes implementation have been registered. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

*Analyst: Irina Popova*

**China: 0**

China has partially complied with the commitment on financial regulation.

On 30 September 2016, the China Banking Regulatory Commission (CBRC) issued the Guidelines on Comprehensive Risk Management of Banking Institutions to improve the comprehensive risk management capability of banking institutions, and to guide them in better serving the real economy.\(^\text{2088}\)

---


On 13 September 2016, Chairman of CBRC Shang Fulin pointed out that risk prevention should be strengthened to guard the financial stability and Chinese banks must enhance risk control, and adopt multiple measures to resolve NPAs and improve risk absorbing capacity.\textsuperscript{2089}

Chinese G-SIBs include Industrial and Commercial Bank of China Limited, Agricultural Bank of China, Bank of China and China Construction Bank.\textsuperscript{2090}

On 18 December 2015, CBRC stated that Chinese global systemically important banks should comply with capital requirements other than possession of a particular form of qualified debt instruments to increase the total loss absorption capacity and to meet the new total-loss-absorbing-capacity (TLAC) requirements. The new total loss absorptive capacity standards will be progressively implemented from 1 January 2019.\textsuperscript{2091}

China has taken actions aimed at the implementation of Basel III framework and the total-loss-absorbing-capacity (TLAC) standard, but no facts of effective cross-border resolution regimes implementation have been registered. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Kirill Krivosheyev}

\textbf{France: 0}

France has partially complied with the commitment on financial regulation.

On 23 September 2016, French Finance Minister Michel Sapin together with German Finance Minister Wolfgang Schaeuble, warned against the introduction of new rules that would force banks to reserve more capital, saying this could “choke off private lending and hurt growth prospects.” Minister Sapin said it was critical that new Basel III rules did not put European banks at a disadvantage and both governments were "preoccupied" with the rules currently being discussed under Basel III. “Today the issue is to have enough capacity to finance the real economy and companies, and we shouldn’t hamper them on this,” Mr. Sapin added.\textsuperscript{2092}

On 25 November 2016, the Board members of the European Banking Federation (EBF) emphasized their commitment to responsibly financing households and businesses in the European economy. Addressing the upcoming talks in the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS), the Board called on the committee to respect the G20 mandate for additional capital requirements, which should not have a significant impact in any region, including Europe. EBF members also invited the committee to respect the global playing field in banking by considering the variety of banking models in Europe.\textsuperscript{2093}

On 22 November 2016, the report “French Banks: well positioned to meet regulatory requirements” was published. According to it French government is expected by the end of the year to sign into law


\textsuperscript{2093} EBF asks Basel Committee to respect G20 mandate, EBF BOARD COMMUNIQUÉ 25.11.2016. Access date: 5 December 2016 http://www.fbf.fr/en/files/AG2LV5/EBF per cent20per cent20Board per cent20Communique per cent20per cent20Brussels per cent20November per cent202016.pdf
legislation that would allow banks to issue non-preferred senior unsecured debt. This new class of
debt would be explicitly bail-inable in resolution and eligible for meeting total-loss-absorbing-
capacity (TLAC) requirements. Existing senior unsecured debt would be granted preference and rank
above in the creditor hierarchy.2994

On 16 October 2016, the Financial Times reported that the French Government viewed the total
loss absorbing capacity rules being developed by the EU as too narrow. As drafted, the rule would
cover a total of 13 EU lenders, including BNP Paribas, Société Générale, Crédit Agricole and Groupe
BPCE from France. The standard is set to fully apply from January 2022.2995

No French actions in the area of effective cross-border resolution regimes have been registered during
the compliance period.

France has taken actions aimed at the timely, full and consistent implementation of the agreed
financial sector reform agenda in two following areas: (1) Basel III framework, (2) the total-loss-
absorbing-capacity (TLAC) standard. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

Analysts: Mark Rakhmangulov & Anastasiya Polovko

Germany: +1

Germany has fully complied with the commitment on financial regulation.

On 29 September 2016, the Federal Bank published a report on the implementation of Basel’s
requirements in German banking system by 31 December 2015. There is a progress, but institutions
need to continue working on their profitability and business models.2996

On 11 October 2016, a working group on cyber security in the financial sector, in which German
representatives participated, prepared a report with eight basic elements. They are recommended to
the financial sector in the G7 countries as a basic guarantee to protect their consumers, institutions,
data and infrastructure. It is an important measure to make financial operations safer and more
effective.2997

On 18 November 2016, Bundesbank Executive Board member Andreas Dombret expressed the
position of German Federal Bank on Basel’s III requirements. He said that Germany would defend
the right of the banks to use internal risk models, because they may be more effective. Dombret also

https://www.scoperatings.com/study/download?id=3b34f6c7-703b-4f9f-936c-fdb33f2b3e95&q=1

2995 Financial Times. Access date: 6 December 2016. https://www.ft.com/content/ac8563e2-937a-11e6-a1dc-bdf38d484582


2997Grundelemente zur Cyber-Sicherheit, Federal Ministry of Finance 11 October 2016. Access date: 25 December
2016.

8 April 2017
emphasized the importance of international agreements such as Basel III and he expressed the hope that negotiations would continue.\(^{2098}\)

On 30 November 2016, the list of the institutions was published by the Federal Financial Supervisory Authority. The identified institutes are referred to so-called "other system-relevant institutes" (A-SRI), what is an extended version of the list of the "globally system-relevant institutes" (G-SRI). From 1 January 2017 selected German banks will be required to provide more equity within the scope of so-called capital buffers. It is intended to enable the institutes to better absorb possible losses in the future.\(^{2099}\)

On 2 December 2016, the Bundestag adopted the law, designing numerous instruments to prevent a bank from bankruptcy. If such a case occurs, the costs will ultimately be borne through the European Settlement Fund. This also applies to large and international banks and especially to Deutsche Bank, which refers to "globally system-relevant institutes" (G-SRI).\(^{2100}\)

Germany has taken actions aimed at the implementation of Basel III framework, the total-loss-absorbing-capacity (TLAC) standard, and effective cross-border resolution regimes. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Elizaveta Nekrasova}

\textbf{India: +1}

India has fully complied with the commitment on financial regulation.

On 25 December 2014, Indian Government announced "Indradhanush" - plan to revamp Public Sector banks (PSBs) and as part of that, a program of capitalization to ensure that PSBs remain Basel III complaint was also announced, worth INR700 billion (USD10.4 billion) and supposed to be provided between 2015-2019.\(^{2101}\) According to the analysis carried out by Fitch, Indian banks will require around USD90 billion in new capital by Financial year 19 (FY19) to meet Basel III standards. Banks are heavily dependent on the government in raising new capital due to the poor conditions for asset quality. Indian government had previously earmarked USD10.4 billion for capital injections into the state banks through to FY19, and USD3.4 billion have been already frontloaded.\(^{2102}\)


According to 2016 list of global systemically important banks, there are no G-SIBs in India.2103

On 21 September 2016, Department of Economic Affairs of the Indian Ministry of Finance presented the Report of Committee to Draft Code on Resolution of Financial Firms. According to this Report, actions taken by Indian government are performed in accordance with FSB Principles for Cross-border Effectiveness of Resolution Actions.2104

India has taken actions aimed at the timely, full and consistent implementation of the Basel III framework and effective cross-border resolution regimes. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analytist: Evgeny Tsarik

Indonesia: 0

Indonesia has partially complied with the commitment on financial regulation.

Introduction of the Basel III framework in Indonesia has been underway since 2014 with full compliance planned by 2019. In particular, formal implementation of liquidity coverage ratio started in Indonesia in January 2016.2105 However, it is reported that “banks desire more guidance on how to implement the liquidity requirements, the use of the foundation and advanced internal rating based approach and the exact timeline of the Basel III requirements.”2106

Indonesia belongs to the group of economies, whose banks are “well positioned to meet the Basel III minima for implementation in 2019.”2107

No Indonesian banks are on the FSB’s 2016 list of global systemically important banks (G-SIBs),2108 which means TLAC standard related part of the commitment is not applicable to Indonesia.

Indonesia has reached slight progress on Principle 1 of the Principles for Cross-border Effectiveness of Resolution Actions – “Authorities should pursue a close alignment of resolution powers and tools with the FSB Key Attributes of Effective Resolution Regimes for Financial Institutions to facilitate the process of giving cross-border effect to resolution actions.”2109 As reported in the Second Thematic Review on Resolution Regimes,2110 Indonesia found itself among ten FSB jurisdictions that

---


have introduced four or fewer of the required resolution powers in accordance with the FSB Key Attributes of Effective Resolution Regimes for Financial Institutions.

Implementation of the remaining eight Principles is to be further monitored throughout the entire compliance period (ending in July 2017).

Indonesia has reached mediocre progress on Basel III and effective cross-border resolution regimes, while TLAC standard related part of the commitment is not applicable to Indonesia. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Pavel Doronin

Italy: 0
Italy has partially complied with the commitment on financial regulation.

On 20 December 2016, Senior Deputy Governor of the Bank of Italy and President of the Italian Insurance Supervisory Authority (Istituto per la vigilanza sulle assicurazioni or IVASS) Salvatore Rossi made a statement to the Parliamentary Commission, pointing out that the need to simplify the banking, financial, insurance systems and make them more transparent and customer-oriented. He also declared that failures of banks and insurance companies cannot be avoided but can be minimized.2111

On 30 December 2015, the Bank of Italy has identified the UniCredit banking group as a global systemically important institution (G-SII) authorized to operate in Italy. The UniCredit group is required to maintain a capital buffer equal to 0.50 per cent of its total risk exposure from 1 January 2017. The buffer must be increased annually by 0.25 per cent of total risk exposure to reach one per cent no later than 1 January 2019. The decision was taken pursuant to Bank of Italy Circular No. 285/2013 on prudential regulations for banks, on which the methodology for identifying the G-SIIs is based.2112

Italy has taken actions in the sphere of regulating G-SIIs and Basel III requirements, but no facts of effective cross-border resolution regimes implementation have been registered. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Maria Strelnikova

Japan: 0
Japan has partially complied with the commitment on financial regulation.

The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision recognized Japan’s regulatory framework for implementing the Basel III as compliant with the Basel standards as early as in late 2012.2113 Moreover, in June 2016 it was reported by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision that “G-

---

SIB framework in Japan is assessed as compliant with the Basel G-SIB framework.” These facts imply that the Basel III related part of the commitment is not applicable to Japan.

Japanese Mitsubishi UFJ FG, Mizuho FG, Sumitomo Mitsui FG are on the FSB’s 2016 list of global systemically important banks (G-SIBs), which means TLAC standard related part of the commitment is applicable to Japan.

The measures referring to the TLAC standard implementation have been introduced in Japan before the start of the compliance period and will remain relevant throughout the entire compliance period (July 2017) and beyond.

On 15 April 2016, Japan’s Financial Services Agency (FSA) published its approach to implement the TLAC framework throughout 2016 – 2019. This guidance calls for Japan’s G-SIBs to hold a minimum TLAC of 16 per cent of risk-weighted assets and have a Basel III leverage of 6 per cent by the end of March 2019, rising to 18 per cent and 6.75 per cent in March 2022. The FSA TLAC framework also notes that resolution should take place through a single point of entry, with any losses expected to be absorbed by the banks’ holding companies.

Japan has reached considerable progress on Principle 1 of the Principles for Cross-border Effectiveness of Resolution Actions – “Authorities should pursue a close alignment of resolution powers and tools with the FSB Key Attributes of Effective Resolution Regimes for Financial Institutions to facilitate the process of giving cross-border effect to resolution actions.” As reported in the Second Thematic Review on Resolution Regimes, Japan has introduced all but two resolution powers in accordance with the FSB Key Attributes of Effective Resolution Regimes for Financial Institutions. Continuity powers and bail-in remain lacking, according to the Review.

Implementation of the remaining eight Principles is to be further monitored throughout the entire compliance period (ending in July 2017).

Japan has taken a number of significant steps on the Basel III and TLAC standard prior but relevant to the compliance period, while progress on effective cross-border resolution regimes has been mediocre. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

**Analyst: Pavel Doronin**

**Korea: 0**

Korea has partially complied with the commitment on financial regulation.

---


In September 2016, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision issued reports on assessment of the Basel III risk-based capital regulations and liquidity ratio coverage regulations in Korea. The reports suggest that implementation of the risk-based capital regulatory framework is “largely compliant” with the Basel III. Specifically – 12 of the 14 components of the framework are assessed as compliant, while the remaining “definition of capital” and “transitional arrangements” components are assessed as “largely compliant” (second-highest grade) and “materially non-compliant.” With respect to liquidity ratio coverage, implementation of this regulatory framework in Korea is found compliant with the Basel III.

No Korean banks are on the FSB’s 2016 list of global systemically important banks (G-SIBs), which means TLAC standard related part of the commitment is not applicable to Korea.

Korea has reached considerable progress on Principle 1 of the Principles for Cross-border Effectiveness of Resolution Actions – “Authorities should pursue a close alignment of resolution powers and tools with the FSB Key Attributes of Effective Resolution Regimes for Financial Institutions to facilitate the process of giving cross-border effect to resolution actions.” As reported in the Second Thematic Review on Resolution Regimes, Korea has introduced all but two resolution powers in accordance with the FSB Key Attributes of Effective Resolution Regimes for Financial Institutions. Continuity powers and bail-in remain lacking, according to the Review.

Implementation of the remaining eight Principles is to be further monitored throughout the entire compliance period (ending in July 2017).

Korea has taken actions to implement Basel III, while mediocre progress on effective cross-border resolution regimes has been registered prior to the compliance period. TLAC standard related part of the commitment is not applicable to Korea. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

** Analyst: Pavel Doronin **

**Mexico: 0**

Mexico has partially complied with the commitment on financial regulation.

According to the Eleventh progress report on adoption of the Basel regulatory framework published by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision in October 2016, Mexico has to implement a number of the Basel III requirements in short-term perspective, namely: capital requirements for equity investments in funds (deadline: January 2017); capital requirements for Central Counterparties (CCPs) (deadline: January 2017), and some others.

---

On 2 December 2016, the Mexican National Banking and Securities Commission (CNBV) published the information of the third quarter of 2016 on liquidity coverage ratio of 44 banking institutions. During the period from July to September 2016 all Mexican commercial banks complied with this requirement, according to the CNBV data.\textsuperscript{2125}

According to the 2016 list of global systemically important banks (G-SIBs) published by the Financial Stability Board (FSB) on 21 November 2016,\textsuperscript{2126} Mexico is not home to any G-SIB, so the country doesn’t need to comply with the TLAC standard.

According to the BBVA (Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria) research of January 2016,\textsuperscript{2127} Mexico is on the way to adapt its resolution regime to the FSB requirements.

On 7 September 2016, the Bank of México, Mexican National Banking and Securities Commission (CNBV) and US Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) signed a cooperation agreement to exchange information on derivatives transactions between the US and Mexico as well as strengthen a supervision of institutions that act as central derivatives counterparties. The agreement is in line with the Mexican financial sector reforms aimed at promoting derivatives market transparency and liquidity as well as advancing supervision.\textsuperscript{2128}

Mexico has taken actions aimed at the timely, full and consistent implementation of the agreed financial sector reform agenda in Basel III framework, but no facts of effective cross-border resolution regimes implementation have been registered. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Elizaveta Safonkina}

**Russia: 0**

Russia has partially complied with the commitment on financial regulation.

According to the Action Plan (Road Map) on the Key Measures for the Development of the Financial Market of the Russian Federation in 2016-2018, in 2016 the Central Bank of Russia elaborated the Bank of Russia Regulation ‘On Calculation of the Net Stable Funding Ratio (Basel III)’ for “implementing internationally recognised approaches to the regulation of the banking sector in Russian law in full with due regard for the timeframes for their phased implementation stipulated by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision.” To clarify the approaches to calculating equity of credit institutions to prevent any sources of fictitious capital from being included in the calculation of equity it published the Bank of Russia Ordinance ‘On Amending Bank of Russia Regulation No. 395-P, dated 28 December 2012, ‘On the Methodology for Measuring Bank Capital and Assessing its Adequacy (Basel III)’, aimed at preventing any sources of fictitious capital from being included in the calculation of equity.\textsuperscript{2129}

\textsuperscript{2125} 97-2016 Nivel promedio del Coeficiente de Cobertura de Liquidez (CCL) de las 44 instituciones de banca multiple. http://www.gob.mx/cnbv/prensa/97-2016-nivel-promedio-del-coeficiente-de-cobertura-de-liquidez-cll-de-las-44-instituciones-de-banca-multiple?idiom=es.


No Russian actions in the area of effective cross-border resolution regimes have been registered during the compliance period.

Russia has taken actions aimed at the timely, full and consistent implementation of the agreed financial sector reform agenda in the area of Basel III framework. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

*Analyst: Mark Rakhmangulov*

**Saudi Arabia: +1**

Saudi Arabia has fully complied with the commitment on financial regulation.

On 16 September 2016, Moody’s published information that Saudi Arabia had complied with Basel III and have introduced liquidity coverage ratios.2130

On 26 September 2016, the G20 published “Growth Strategy Saudi Arabia.” It notes that Saudi banks were among the first international banks which met the Basel III capital, liquidity and leverage standards. Saudi Arabia Monetary Agency set up a dashboard of early warning indicators and a high-level Financial Stability Committee which will facilitate the monitoring of financial sector risks.2131

On 1 October 2016, the IMF noted that Saudi banks already comply with the Basel III capital, liquidity and leverage standards that international banks are slated to comply with by 2019.2132

There are no global systemically important banks in Saudi Arabia according to the FSB.2133

In 2016, the Financial Stability Board published the Second Thematic Review on Resolution Regimes which states that Saudi Arabia has no specific resolution regime and that the country has ongoing or planned reforms to its resolution regime. Saudi Arabia Monetary Agency has broadly-framed but far-reaching supervisory powers which have been used to prevent bank failures through managed sales and mergers or dilution of shareholders through recapitalization.2134

In 2016 the Saudi Arabian Monetary Agency led the national process of issuing a new Resolution Law, which was undergoing the formal legislative approval process. This law will apply to all financial institutions, including banks, finance companies and insurance companies. For all these institutions, the Key Attributes will be implemented, so that Saudi Arabia’s resolution regime is not only applicable to SIFIs, but also to smaller financial institutions.2135

Saudi Arabia has taken actions in accordance with Basel III requirements and improved its resolution regime. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Aydar Shakirov*

---


South Africa: 0

South Africa has partially complied with the commitment on financial regulation.


On 25-26 October 2016, the South African Reserve Bank hosted the tenth meeting of the Financial Stability Board (FSB) Regional Consultative Group (RCG) for Sub-Saharan Africa in Cape Town, South Africa. At the meeting promoting full, timely and consistent implementation of the international financial reforms; and addressing new risks and vulnerabilities were discussed. The members were also provided with an update on the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision’s Basel III reforms.\footnote{A new macroprudential policy framework for South Africa, South African Reserve Bank. Access date: 12 January 2017. https://www.resbank.co.za/Lists/NewsandPublications/Attachments/7547/Macroprudential-per-cent20policy.pdf.}

According to the 2016 list of global systemically important banks (G-SIBs) published by the Financial Stability Board (FSB) on 21 November 2016,\footnote{2016 list of global systemically important banks (G-SIBs). Access date: 23 November 2016. http://www.fsb.org/2016/11/2016-list-of-global-systemically-important-banks-g-sibs/.} South Africa is not home to any G-SIB, so the country doesn’t need to comply with the TLAC standard.

South Africa has taken actions aimed at the timely, full and consistent implementation of the agreed financial sector reform agenda in Basel III framework, but no facts of effective cross-border resolution regimes implementation have been registered. Thus, it has been awarded a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Irina Popova}

Turkey: 0

Turkey has partially complied with the commitment on financial regulation.

since December 2015), leverage ratio disclosure requirements (in force since December 2015) and domestic systemically important banks (D-SIB) requirements (in force since March 2016).2141

According to Basel Committee on Banking Supervision’s Assessment of Basel III risk-based capital regulations for Turkey, the country is compliant with all the key components of the Basel capital framework.2142

On 20 August 2015, the Banking Regulation and Supervision Authority of Turkey updated the Regulation on Liquidity Coverage Ratios, clarifying the rules for calculating liquidity coverage ratios of banks in Turkey. In March 2016, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision’s team for Assessment of Basel III LCR regulations found it compliant with the key components of the Basel capital framework.2143

According to the 2016 list of global systemically important banks (G-SIBs) published by the Financial Stability Board (FSB) on 21 November 2016,2144 Turkey is not home to any G-SIB, however additional supervisory expectations and disclosure obligations concerning this type of banks are in effect in the country.2145

Turkey has taken actions aimed at the timely, full and consistent implementation of the agreed financial sector reform agenda in Basel III framework, but no facts of effective cross-border resolution regimes implementation have been registered. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Irina Popova

**United Kingdom: +1**

United Kingdom has partially complied with the commitment on financial regulation.

On 1 November 2016 total-loss-absorbing-capacity (TLAC): There is a number of issues related to Minimum Required Eligible Liabilities (MREL) that are not set out in this Statement of Policy. These include reporting, disclosure and the treatment of institutions’ holdings of MREL liabilities. The Bank will continue to develop its approach to these issues — as well as its approach to the calibration of MREL within groups (internal MREL) — taking into account international standards including the FSB’s proposed guidance on internal TLAC due for consultation later this year. The Bank expects to provide further detail on a number of these issues in due course. As set out in the PRA’s policy statement on operational continuity in resolution, the Bank will also consider as part of this whether loss-absorbing capacity should be allowed within groups to ensure operational continuity.2146

The Commission has proposed to implement the FSB’s TLAC standard as a Pillar 1 requirement for G-SIBs, phased-in from 2019 to 2022 as per the FSB TLAC term sheet.

---

The current MREL framework will be retained as a Pillar 2 mechanism for resolution authorities to set additional loss-absorbency requirements for G-SIBs, or total loss-absorbency requirements for non-G-SIBs. To do this, the Commission has introduced a number of new definitions into the EU loss-absorbency framework and made several modifications to the criteria for eligible instruments.

The Commission has also decided to require the EU-based material subsidiaries of non-EU G-SIBs to pre-position TLAC-eligible liabilities at 90 per cent of the notional amount required for EU G-SIBs – the upper end of the internationally agreed range of 75 per cent-90 per cent for “internal” TLAC.

The Commission has proposed to harmonise the bank insolvency creditor hierarchy in relation to the ranking of holders of senior unsecured debt eligible to meet the BRRD rules and TLAC standard.\textsuperscript{2147,2148}

On 8 November 2016, the Bank of England announced new rules which ask banks to increase sufficient reserves to cover up losses so that, in case of their failure, they can bail-out themselves without taking taxpayers’ money and causing financial market disruptions. The rule is being implemented through the Minimum Requirement for own funds and Eligible Liabilities (MREL) policy, which is a requirement under the EU Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive. This works towards ensuring that the TLAC standard is met by UK G-SIBs.\textsuperscript{2149}

United Kingdom has taken actions aimed at the timely, full and consistent implementation of the agreed financial sector reform agenda in Basel III framework, effective cross-border resolution regimes, and addressing risks through the TLAC. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Elina Nizamova}

\textbf{United States: 0}

The US has partially complied with the commitment on financial regulation.

The US G-SIBs include Citigroup, JP Morgan Chase, Bank of America, Goldman Sachs, Wells Fargo, Bank of New York, Morgan Stanley.\textsuperscript{2150}

On 8 September 2016, the Federal Reserve Board released a policy statement detailing the framework the Board would follow in implementing the Countercyclical Capital Buffer macroprudential tool


aimed at increasing the financial system resilience with the help of capital requirements raising on internationally active banking institutions in case of the risk of above-normal losses elevation.\textsuperscript{2151}

On 18 September 2016, the Federal Reserve proposed modernized rules “…to increase the prospects for the orderly resolution of G-SIBs and to meet total loss-absorbing capacity and long-term debt requirements.”\textsuperscript{2152}

On 14 October 2016, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Board) adopted a final Policy Statement describing the framework which included Basel III requirements that “…the Board will follow under its Regulation Q in setting the amount of the US countercyclical capital buffer for advanced approaches bank holding companies, savings and loan holding companies, and state member banks.”\textsuperscript{2153}

The US has taken actions aimed at the timely, full and consistent implementation of the agreed financial sector reform agenda in area of Basel III, the total-loss-absorbing-capacity standard, but failed in taking actions on effective cross-border resolution regimes. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Irina Sedova}

\textbf{European Union: +1}

The European Union has fully complied with the commitment on financial regulation.

On 24 September 2016, the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2016/1712 supplementing the Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive was published. The Regulation determines regulatory technical standards which specify a minimum set of the information on financial contracts that should be contained in the detailed records and the circumstances in which the requirement should be imposed.\textsuperscript{2154}

On 23 November 2016, the European Commission proposed amendments to the capital requirement directive and regulation. The proposals, designed to reinforce banks’ ability to sustain potential shocks, amend such pieces of legislation as: 1) Capital Requirements Regulation and Capital Requirements Directive; 2) Bank Recovery and Resolution Directive and Single Resolution Mechanism Regulation.\textsuperscript{2155}

On 23 November 2016, the European Commission proposed a package of reforms which include a requirement for Global Systemically Important Institutions (G-SIs) to hold minimum levels of capital and other instruments which bear losses in resolution. This requirement, known as “Total Loss-Absorbing Capacity,” will be integrated into the existing Minimum Requirement for own funds and Eligible Liabilities system, which is applicable to all banks.\textsuperscript{2156}
The EU has taken actions in accordance with Basel III requirements and TLAC standard, and improved its resolution regime. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Aydar Shakirov*
15. Tax: Base Erosion and Profit Shifting

“We will continue our support for international tax cooperation to achieve a globally fair and modern international tax system and to foster growth, including advancing on-going cooperation on base erosion and profits shifting (BEPS)”

_G20 Leaders’ Communiqué: Hangzhou Summit_

**Assessment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>No Compliance</th>
<th>Work in Progress</th>
<th>Full Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>+0.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Background**

On 19 July 2013, the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) issued the Action Plan on Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS). On 6 September 2013, at the St Petersburg summit, the G20 Leaders committed to automatic exchange of information as the new global standard and fully supported the OECD’s work. Implementation details of the BEPS Action Plan developed thereafter constitute a BEPS Package with 15 Actions that equip governments with the domestic and international instruments needed to tackle BEPS. Countries now have the tools to ensure that profits are taxed where economic activities generating the profits are performed and where value is created. These tools also give businesses greater certainty by reducing disputes over the application of international tax rules and standardizing compliance requirements.

To expand the coverage of measures to tackle BEPS, the inclusive framework was developed, bringing together over 100 countries and jurisdictions to collaborate on the implementation of the OECD/G20 BEPS Package.²¹₅⁷

---

Commitment Features

This commitment requires G20 members to support international tax cooperation, including on BEPS.

According to the OECD, its members and G20 countries along with developing countries that participated in the development of the BEPS Package are establishing a modern international tax framework under which profits are taxed where economic activity and value creation occur. Work will be carried out to support all countries interested in implementing and applying the rules in a consistent and coherent manner, particularly those for which capacity building is an important issue.2158 Thus, in order to fully comply with this commitment, G20 members should take measures in two areas:

One: lead by example in implementing OECD recommendations on BEPS embodied in 15 BEPS Actions. BEPS action focus on the following issues:

Action 1 addresses the tax challenges of the digital economy and identifies the main difficulties that the digital economy poses for the application of existing international tax rules.

Action 2 develops model treaty provisions and recommendations regarding the design of domestic rules to neutralise the effects of hybrid instruments and entities (e.g. double non-taxation, double deduction, long-term deferral).

Action 3 sets out recommendations to strengthen the rules for the taxation of controlled foreign corporations (CFC).

Action 4 outlines a common approach based on best practices for preventing base erosion through the use of interest expense, for example through the use of related-party and third-party debt to achieve excessive interest deductions or to finance the production of exempt or deferred income.

Action 5 revamps the work on harmful tax practices with a focus on improving transparency, including compulsory spontaneous exchange on rulings related to preferential regimes, and on requiring substantial activity for preferential regimes, such as IP regimes.

Action 6 develops model treaty provisions and recommendations regarding the design of domestic rules to prevent treaty abuse.

Action 7 contains changes to the definition of permanent establishment to prevent its artificial circumvention, e.g. via the use of commissionaire structures and the likes.

Actions 8 – 10 contain transfer pricing guidance to assure that transfer pricing outcomes are in line with value creation in relation to intangibles, including hard-to-value ones, to risks and capital, and to other high-risk transactions.

Action 11 establishes methodologies to collect and analyse data on BEPS and the actions to address it, develops recommendations regarding indicators of the scale and economic impact of BEPS and ensure that tools are available to monitor and evaluates the effectiveness and economic impact of the actions taken to address BEPS on an ongoing basis.

Action 12 contains recommendations regarding the design of mandatory disclosure rules for aggressive tax planning schemes, taking into consideration the administrative costs for tax

administrations and business and drawing on experiences of the increasing number of countries that have such rules.

Action 13 contains revised guidance on transfer pricing documentation, including the template for country-by-country reporting, to enhance transparency while taking into consideration compliance costs.

Action 14 develops solutions to address obstacles that prevent countries from solving treaty-related disputes under MAP, via a minimum standard in this area as well as a number of best practices. It also includes arbitration as an option for willing countries.

Action 15 provides an analysis of the legal issues related to the development of a multilateral instrument to enable countries to streamline the implementation of the BEPS treaty measures, as well as the mandate to carry out that work in 2016. Thus, no actions at national level are expected from G20 members in this particular area.²¹⁵⁹

Two: Support countries interested in applying anti-BEPS rules. Particular elements of each of the BEPS Actions are described in relevant reports²¹⁶⁰ and summarized in the special Explanatory Statement.²¹⁶¹ Measures to support countries interested in applying anti-BEPS rules may include: membership in the Inclusive Framework on BEPS,²¹⁶² organizing different events on BEPS issues, for instance regional meetings and seminars, and public consultations; implementing programs aimed at capacity-building and exchange of experience.²¹⁶³

Full compliance requires member’s actions in line with both parts of the commitment. Actions in only one area indicate partial compliance

**Scoring Guidelines**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>G20 member neither makes progress in implementing domestic reforms consistent with the BEPS Package, nor supports countries interested in applying anti-BEPS rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>G20 member either makes progress in implementing domestic reforms consistent with the BEPS Package, or supports countries interested in applying anti-BEPS rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>G20 member makes progress in implementing domestic reforms consistent with the BEPS Package and supports countries interested in applying anti-BEPS rules</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Argentina: 0**

Argentina has partially complied with the commitment on taxes.

On 11 November 2016, the Government of Argentina stated that it was planning to develop its economy in accordance with the BEPS Package.²¹⁶⁴

On 16 November 2016, Argentina and Switzerland signed in Buenos Aires a joint agreement on automatic exchange of tax information, addressing, inter alia, BEPS issues.²¹⁶⁵

Argentina has made progress makes progress in supporting other countries interested in applying anti-BEPS rules, but no facts of implementing domestic reforms consistent with the BEPS Package have been registered. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

*Analyst: Angelina Khudoleeva*

**Australia: +1**

Australia has fully complied with the commitment on taxes.

Tax Laws Amendment (GST Treatment of Cross-border Transactions) Bill 2015 Draft is to ensure that digital products and other imported services supplied to Australian consumers by foreign entities (offshore intangible supplies to Australian consumers) are subject to goods and services tax (GST) in a similar way to equivalent supplies made by Australian entities.2166

According to Deloitte, “no action will be taken regarding Australia’s CFC regime, which is considered to be stronger than OECD standards.”2167

Australia had its Thin Capitalisation rules tightened before compliance period.2168

While Australia already includes anti-abuse rules in its tax treaties, its government pledged to incorporate relevant OECD’s recommendations into the treaty practice of the state.2169

On 1 January 2016, the Multinational Anti-Avoidance Law (MAAL),2170 which aims to tackle the artificial avoidance of Australian permanent establishments, came into effect.2171

In March 2016, the government released the Board of Taxation’s (BoT) final report on the implementation of anti-hybrid rules2172 and confirmed that it will introduce rules that are broadly in

---


line with the OECD Action 2 approach. The rules will apply to payments made on or after 1 January 2018 or six months after the relevant law is enacted, whichever is later.2173

As of December 2016, Australia’s transfer pricing legislation is being updated to specifically incorporate the latest OECD recommendations from Actions 8-10 of BEPS from 1 July 2016.2174

Australian Treasury has estimated BEPS problem of 4-10 percent of global corporate income tax revenue. According to its media release, further work on methodologies to measure progress is required2175

Mandatory Disclosure of Tax Act is passing the stage of consultation in the Australian Government.2176

Australia provides all the necessary information on Country-by-Country reporting (CbC) on the official cite of the Australian Taxation Office on the regular basis.2177

Australia is committed to binding arbitration2178

Along with other 86 countries, Australia is working on instrument to update bilateral treaties with BEPS outcomes.2179

In addition, Australia supports countries interested in applying anti-BEPS rules.

On 1 – 3 December 2016, Australian representatives participated in the International Taxation Conference 2016, Mumbai, India, which focused, inter alia, on supporting developing countries in implementing anti-BEPS rules.2180

On 7 December 2016, the double-taxation agreement between Germany and Australia entered into force.2181 Tax agreement between the Federal Republic of Germany and Australia was signed on 12

https://www.treasury.gov.au/-/media/Treasury/Consultations per cent20and per cent20Reviews/Consultations/2016/Implementing per cent20a per cent20diverted per cent20profits per cent20tax/Submissions/PDF/BDO.ashx.
2175 Base erosion & profit shifting (BEPS), RSM. Access date: 28 December 2016.
http://www.treasury.gov.au/-/media/Treasury/Consultations per cent20and per cent20Reviews/Consultations/2016/OECD per cent20Proposals per cent20Mandatory per cent20Disclosure per cent20for per cent20OECD per cent20Information/Key per cent20Documents/PDF/OECD_proposals_mandatory_tax_disclosure.ashx.
2179 Base erosion & profit shifting (BEPS), RSM. Access date: 28 December 2016.
November 2016. It includes such issues as the elimination of double taxation and the prevention of tax cuts and evasion.\(^{2182}\)

On 8-10 February 2017, Tax Institute of Australia will hold 2017 Financial Services Taxation Conference. The program is supposed to include speeches of leading experts and commentators from across the profession. Moreover, BEPS and international tax issues impacting on the financial services industry feature in the program, including sessions considering hybrids, branch attribution and transfer pricing.\(^{2183}\)

Australia has made efforts aimed at implementing reforms to tackle BEPS domestically and involved other countries in this process. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Ildar Khalilyulin

Brazil: 0

Brazil has partially complied with the commitment on taxes.

On 1 October 2016, Normative Ruling (NR) No. 1,658 of the Federal Revenue Service of Brazil took effect. It updates the Brazilian List of Countries with Favored Taxation and Privileged Tax Regimes. These updating results from a review carried out by the Federal Revenue Service in compliance with the institutional duty to update and improve normative acts, and takes into account purely technical and objective criteria. The NR may be considered an initial action by Brazil in the context of tax transparency and substance.\(^{2184}\)

On 21 October 2016, as part of the ongoing efforts to increase transparency in cross-border developments, Brazil, signed a Multilateral Agreement of Competent Authorities (MACA) for the Common Reporting Standard in Paris (CRS). This Agreement is supported by the Convention on Mutual Assistance in Tax Matters and reinforces the commitment to the implementation of the global standard for the automatic exchange of financial information for tax purposes. It is a significant advance in the BEPS implementation and in international tax cooperation.\(^{2185}\)

---


On 21 October 2016, Brazil signed the Multilateral Competent Authority Agreement (MCAA) for the automatic exchange of Country-by-Country (CbC) reports. It includes an annual report through which multinational groups should provide the tax administration of the jurisdiction of residence of their final controller with various information and indicators related to the location of activities, global allocation of income and taxes paid.\footnote{The Latest on BEPS – 24 October 2016, E&Y 24 October 2016. Access date: 12 January 2017. http://taxinsights.ey.com/archive/archive-news/the-latest-on-beps-24-october-2016.aspx.}


Brazil has made progress in implementing domestic reforms consistent with the BEPS Package, but failed to support other countries interested in implementing anti-BEPS rules. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Sofia Streitsova}

\textbf{Canada: 0}

Canada has partially complied with the commitment on taxes.

On 22 March 2016, Canada’s Federal Budget for 2016 was issued. The Budget included measures that would adopt treaty dispute resolution mechanisms (BEPS Action 14), minimum standards on harmful tax practices (BEPS Action 5), preventing treaty abuse (BEPS Action 6), and country-by-country (CbC) reporting (BEPS Action 13). The Budget also discusses application of the transfer pricing provisions found in the BEPS Action 8 through 10 recommendations.\footnote{Canada Announces BEPS Measures in 2016 Federal Budget, Thomson Reuters 30 March 2016. Access date: 12 January 2017. https://tax.thomsonreuters.com/blog/checkpoint/canada-announces-beps-measures-in-2016-federal-budget.}


On 7 September 2016, Minister of National Revenue Diane Lebouthillier emphasized the need of Canada’s participation in the Joint International Taskforce on Shared Intelligence and Collaboration (JITISC) network. The Minister pointed to the necessity of collaboration between Canada’s international partners, in order to find and prevent tax avoidance.\footnote{Government of Canada works with International Partners to Crack Down on Offshore Tax Evasion, Government of Canada 7 September 2016. Access date: 12 January 2017. \url{http://news.gc.ca/web/article-en.do?crtr.sj1D=1&crtr.mnthndVl=12&mthd=advSrch&crtr.dpt1D=450&nId=1121509&crtr.lc1D=1&crtr.tp1D=1&crtr.yrSt rtVl=2016&crtr.kw=1&crtr.dyStrVl=1&crtr.aud1D=1&crtr.mnthStrtVl=1&crtr.page=1&crtr.yrnVl=2016&crtr.dyndVl=31}.}
Canada is a member of Inclusive Framework on BEPS Composition, however, no substantial action to support other countries in implementing BEPS standards have been registered during the compliance period.\textsuperscript{2193} Thus, it receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Irina Popova}

**China: 0**

China has partially complied with the commitment on taxes.

On 29 June 2016, SAT issued a new regulation (Bulletin 42) to replace the rules in Circular 2 (Circular 2 is the tool through which the OECD transfer pricing guidelines are implemented in China) to the extent they relate to transfer pricing compliance (disclosure and documentation). It also includes a CbC reporting form, which shows how China has adopted the requirements of BEPS Action 13 and lays the foundation for the future exchange of CbC information.\textsuperscript{2194}

On 10 September 2016, the State Administration of Taxation (SAT) has issued the Administrative Measures on Tax Payment Credit, provided multiple taxation conveniences for selected taxpayers with Class A credit standing, and established the "black list" system, so that the honest taxpayers will proceed without hindrance while the dishonest can’t move a single step.\textsuperscript{2195}

China has made progress in implementing domestic reforms consistent with the BEPS Package, but no actions to support other countries interested in applying anti-BEPS rules have been registered during the compliance period. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Kirill Krivosheyev}

**France: +1**

France has fully complied with the commitment on taxes.

On 22-24 November 2016, the first regional meeting of the Inclusive Framework on BEPS for French-Speaking Countries supported by France was held in Tunis. This regional meeting was an integral element of the Inclusive Framework, enabling all countries and jurisdictions to discuss the implementation of the BEPS package on a regional basis, including the development of practical tools and to feed their perspectives into the global dialogue. The meeting brought together officials from Finance Ministries and Tax Administrations from Asia and Pacific countries, the OECD and other international organisations.\textsuperscript{2196}

According to the Transfer Pricing Forum report issued in September 2016, France is among the first countries of the OECD which implemented country-by-country (CbC) reporting norms in its own domestic legislation. The French tax code provides for some specific measures which were effective after 1 January 2016. This measure applies to French resident companies which meet specific requirements, including generation of an annual consolidated turnover of at least EUR750 million

---


France is also one of the countries that signed a multilateral competent authority agreement for the automatic exchange of CbC reports. According to the KPMG report published on 21 September 2016 “all new tax treaties entered into by France include substance and anti-treaty shopping provisions.”

On 29 September 2016, the French government approved a decree on the application of CbC reporting. The decree provides insight into the practical application of CbC reporting and introduces into French regulations certain provisions included in the BEPS Action 13.

France has made progress in implementing domestic reforms consistent with the BEPS Package and supported countries interested in applying anti-BEPS rules. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

**Analysts: Mark Rakhmangulov & Anastasiya Polvko**

**Germany: +1**

Germany has fully complied with the commitment on taxes.

On 22 September 2016, the federal government together with the Federal Ministry of Finance announced a package of measures for more transparency in shell companies. Federal Minister of Finance Schäuble presented a ten-point action plan against tax fraud, tricky tax avoidance and money laundering.

On 18 October 2016, Chancellor Angela Merkel and Panama President of Panama Varela Rodriguez agreed to enter into an information sharing agreement to fight against tax evasion, including BEPS. On 21 November 2016, the agreement was signed.

On 25 October 2016, German representatives participated in the Council’s meeting of the Ministers of Economy and Finance (ECOFIN). The European Commission made proposals on joint corporate

---

2197 Transfer pricing forum, Bloomberg . Access date: 6 December 2016

2198 The Global Tax Reset & BEPS (Base Erosion & Profit Shifting), Deloitte Access date: 7 December 2016


tax assessment base and consolidated corporate tax base. German Federal Government approved them.²²⁰⁴

On 7 December 2016, the double-taxation agreement between Germany and Australia entered into force.²²⁰⁵ Tax agreement between the Federal Republic of Germany and Australia was signed on 12 November 2016. It includes such issues as the elimination of double taxation and the prevention of tax cuts and evasion.²²⁰⁶

Germany has made progress in implementing domestic reforms consistent with the BEPS Package and in supporting countries interested in applying anti-BEPS rules. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Elizaveta Nekrasova*

**India: 0**

India has partially complied with the commitment on taxes.

According to Deloitte, India managed to reach progress in implementation of BEPS Actions 1, 5, 6 and 13.²²⁰⁷

India first introduced the General Anti-Avoidance Rules in the domestic tax law in 2012, but they have been deferred to become active from April 2017.²²⁰⁸

On 29 February 2016, the Indian government introduced an equalization levy on online advertising revenue earned from India by non-resident e-commerce companies, which became effective on 1 June 2016.²²⁰⁹

On 1 April 2016, India introduced a concessional regime for taxation of royalty income from patents at 10 per cent gross income.²²¹⁰


On 14 May 2016, the Indian Finance Act 2016 introduced the country-by-country (CbC) reporting requirement in the Indian transfer pricing regulations.\textsuperscript{2211}

On 29 October 2016, the amended bilateral tax treaty between Japan and India came into force, with strengthened provisions on exchange of tax information to prevent evasion.\textsuperscript{2212}

India has made progress in implementing domestic reforms consistent with the BEPS Package, but no actions to support other countries interested in applying anti-BEPS rules have been registered during the compliance period. Thus, India receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Evgeny Tsarik}

\textbf{Indonesia: 0}

Indonesia has partially complied with the commitment on taxes.

Action 3 (CFC provisions), Action 4 (Interest deduction – thin capitalization rule based on debt-to-equity approach), Action 6 (Prevent treaty abuse) have been covered by the amendments to the Indonesian tax legislation prior to the compliance period.\textsuperscript{2213}

Action 1 (VAT on business to customers digital services), Action 2 (Hybrids), Action 5 (Harmful tax practices), Action 7 (Permanent establishment status), Actions 8-10 (Transfer pricing), Action 11 (Methodology for data collection and analysis), Action 12 (Disclosure of aggressive tax planning), Action 13 (country-by-country (CbC) reporting), Action 14 (Dispute resolution) are yet to be addressed by the Indonesian authorities.\textsuperscript{2214}

As regards assistance on the tax issues to the developing countries, Indonesia has demonstrated its willingness to participate in this work through membership in the Inclusive Framework for BEPS Implementation and taking part in its inaugural meeting in the summer of 2016 in Kyoto,\textsuperscript{2215} as well as in the ad hoc group negotiating on the Multilateral Convention to Implement Tax Treaty Related Measures to Prevent Base Erosion and Profit Shifting.\textsuperscript{2216}

Indonesia has made progress in implementing domestic reforms consistent with the BEPS Package, but no actions to support other countries interested in applying anti-BEPS rules have been registered during the compliance period. Thus, Indonesia receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Pavel Doronin}

Japan:

Japan has partially complied with the commitment on taxes.

On 1 January 2016, legislation applicable to hybrid mismatch agreements entered into force in Japan. This legislation falls under the category of “best practice” in OECD classification.\textsuperscript{2217}

On 4 August 2016, the Japanese Tax Authority (JTA) issued Circular n. 35/E, providing extensive clarifications on the Japanese Controlled Foreign Companies (CFC) regime. The Circular also summarized the recent changes to the CFC rules introduced by 2015 and 2016 Budget Laws (Law n. 190/20141 and Law n. 208/20152) as well as by Legislative Decree n. 147/2015 (Internationalization Decree).\textsuperscript{2218}

On 22 December 2015, the budget law for 2016 was passed by the Italian Parliament.\textsuperscript{2219} The bill amended CFC rules, and also introduced Country-by-country reporting.\textsuperscript{2220} Italy has made progress in implementing domestic reforms in line with the BEPS Package, but no actions to support other countries interested in applying anti-BEPS rules have been registered during the compliance period. Thus, Italy receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Maria Strelnikova

Japan: +1

Japan has fully complied with the commitment on taxes.

Action 1 (VAT on business to customers digital services), Action 2 (Hybrids), Action 3 (CFC provisions), Action 4 (Interest deduction), Action 12 (Disclosure of aggressive tax planning, voluntary disclosure), Action 13 (Dispute resolution, Transfer pricing documentation) of the BEPS Action Plan have been covered by the amendments to the Japanese tax legislation prior to the compliance period (Transfer pricing documentation related rules to be promulgated on 1 April 2017 with the start of new financial year).\textsuperscript{2221} Action 5 (harmful tax practices) is not applicable to Japan since Japan is considered to have no harmful tax practices.\textsuperscript{2222}

Ongoing as of the compliance period are deliberations with respect to Action 6 (Prevent treaty abuse – the Government is currently updating Japan’s tax treaties with various partners), Action 7 (Permanent establishment status – Japan is committed to continue ongoing G20/OECD discussion on the issue), Actions 8 to 10 (Transfer pricing – Japan is committed to continue ongoing


G20/OECD discussion on the issue) and Action 14 (Dispute resolution – Japan is one of the countries committed to binding arbitration).\textsuperscript{2223}

On 29 October 2016, the amended bilateral tax treaty between Japan and India came into force, with strengthened provisions on exchange of tax information to prevent evasion.\textsuperscript{2224}

Japan has made progress in implementing domestic reforms consistent with the BEPS Package and supported countries interested in applying anti-BEPS rules. Thus, Japan receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Pavel Doronin}

**Korea: +1**

Korea has fully complied with the commitment on taxes.

Action 1 (VAT on business to customers digital services – foreign vendors must register with the Korean tax authorities for VAT purposes), Action 3 (CFC provisions), Action 4 (Interest deduction – thin capitalization rules have been strengthened by changing the 3:1 debt-to-equity ratio requirement to 2:1), Action 6 (Prevent treaty abuse – substance-over-from rule used to deny treaty benefits in treaty abuse situations), Action 13 (Transfer pricing documentation, CbC reporting – amendments included in the 2016 tax law), Action 14 (Dispute resolution – Competent Authority process available with treaty partners) have been covered by the amendments to the Korean tax legislation prior to the compliance period\textsuperscript{2225} or have been in place prior to the BEPS Action Plan.

Action 2 (Hybrids), Action 5 (Harmful tax practices), Action 7 (Permanent establishment status), Actions 8-10 (Transfer pricing), Action 11 (Methodology for data collection and analysis), Action 12 (Disclosure of aggressive tax planning) are yet to be addressed by the Korean authorities.\textsuperscript{2226}

As regards assistance on the tax issues to the developing countries, Korea has demonstrated its commitment to provide this assistance through membership in the Inclusive Framework for BEPS Implementation and taking part in its inaugural meeting in the summer of 2016 in Kyoto,\textsuperscript{2227} as well as in the ad hoc group negotiating on the Multilateral Convention to Implement Tax Treaty Related Measures to Prevent Base Erosion and Profit Shifting.\textsuperscript{2228}
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On 27 September 2016, the tax treaty between Korea and Hong Kong came into force, having specific clauses on prevention of fiscal evasion. Korea has made progress in implementing domestic reforms consistent with the BEPS Package and supported countries interested in applying anti-BEPS rules. Thus, Korea receives a score of +1.

**Mexico: +1**

Mexico has fully complied with the commitment on tax.

Mexico participates in the Inclusive Framework on BEPS.

On 26-30 September 2016, Mexico hosted the event on Transfer Pricing Documentation and Country-by-Country Reporting aimed at discussing the new guidance on transfer pricing documentation and country-by-country reporting as well as the revised guidance on safe harbors in the context of Action 13 of the BEPS Action Plan.

On 14 October 2016, the US Internal Revenue Service (IRS) announced that US taxpayers seeking unilateral Advanced Pricing Agreements (APAs) with Mexico for their maquiladora operations will not be exposed to double taxation as long as the intercompany pricing is under the framework to which the US and Mexican competent authorities have agreed in advance. The Mexican Tax Authority (SAT) will be notifying eligible maquiladora taxpayers with pending unilateral APAs about an election to apply the “Fast Track” methodology agreed in advance with the IRS, which will produce arm’s-length results.

On 17 October 2016, Mexican Tax Authorities published proposed regulations regarding the “additional information” that could be requested as part of the new transfer pricing obligations, which require Mexican taxpayers to submit a master file, local file and country-by-country (CbC) report. The proposed regulations were published by the Mexican Tax Ombudsman (Prodecon) as part of a public comment process. The proposed regulations are in line with the legislation enacted on 1 January 2016 that introduced new transfer pricing filings, as well as the new Chapter V of the OECD Transfer Pricing (TP) Guidelines (that incorporated the Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) Action 13 recommendations).

---


On 25 November 2016, Mexico adopted the Multilateral Convention to Implement Tax Treaty Related Measures to Prevent BEPS.\textsuperscript{2235}

On 28 November 2016, Mexico hosted the seminar “One year post-BEPS: The OECD, EU, USA and Mexico perspectives.” The event was organized by International Fiscal Association (IFA) Mexico, National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM) jointly with Amsterdam Centre for Tax Law (ACTL) of the University of Amsterdam, Texas A&M University School of Law. The participants of the seminar discussed anti-BEPS measures implemented by a number of countries of the world as well as EU, US and Mexico measures aimed at implementing BEPS Action Plan.\textsuperscript{2236}

Mexico has made progress in implementing domestic reforms consistent with the BEPS Package and supported countries interested in applying anti-BEPS rules. Thus, Mexico receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Elizaveta Safonkina}

**Russia: +1**

Russia has fully complied with the commitment on taxes.

According to the Section 11 of the Main Directions for Tax Policy in 2017 and 2018-2019 Period, published by the Russian Ministry of Finance on 30 November 2016, Russia will continue to conclude new agreements on avoidance of double taxation with other countries.\textsuperscript{2237}

On 12 December 2016, the Government of Russia signed the Multilateral Competent Authority Agreement on the Exchange of Country-By-Country Reports (CbC MCAA).\textsuperscript{2238}

On 1 January 2017, the Agreement between Russia and China on Avoidance of Double Taxation entered into force.\textsuperscript{2239}

Russia is a signatory of the Multilateral Competent Authority Agreement on Automatic Exchange of Financial Account Information and intends to implement first information exchange in September 2018.\textsuperscript{2240}

Russia has made progress in implementing domestic reforms consistent with the BEPS Package and supported countries interested in applying anti-BEPS rules. Thus, Russia receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Mark Rakhmangulov}


\textsuperscript{2239} List of International Agreements on Avoiding Double Taxation between Russia and Other Countries, Ministry of Finance of Russia 30 December 2016. http://minfin.ru/ru/performance/tax_relations/international/?id_57=117045&page_id=179&popup=Y&area_id=57

Saudi Arabia: 0

Saudi Arabia has partially complied with the commitment on taxes.

In 2016 the Convention on Mutual Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters entered into force for Saudi Arabia. Thus, the country expanded the number of its partners for tax information exchange and other forms of tax cooperation by more than 60 jurisdictions, including offshore financial center jurisdictions with which Saudi Arabia has no tax treaties in force.2241

On 31 August 2016, Deloitte reported that there were no changes to the tax laws specifically related to BEPS in Saudi Arabia. However, some anti-avoidance measures already exist in the country, including general anti-avoidance rule (GAAR), thin capitalization rules and concept of transfer pricing.2242

On 2 November 2016, Saudi Arabia signed the Multilateral Competent Authority Agreement on Automatic Exchange of Financial Account Information.2243

On 15 November 2016, the Memorandum of Understanding Regarding the Agreement between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia to Improve International Tax Compliance and to Implement FATCA (US Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act) was signed.2244

Saudi Arabia has taken measures to fight tax avoidance at the international level, but no facts of BEPS Actions domestic implementation have been registered. Thus, Saudi Arabia receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Aydar Shakirov

South Africa: 0

South Africa has partially complied with the commitment on taxes.

On 28 October 2016, the South Africa Revenue Service (SARS) adopted additional transfer pricing documentation requirements, under Section 29 of the Tax Administration Act, for South African companies with cross-border related-party transactions that exceed ZAR100 million each year in aggregate.2245

---


On 1 October 2016, a Special Voluntary Disclosure Programme (SVDP) was launched in South Africa. The SVDP was created for individuals and companies who have not in the past disclosed tax and exchange control defaults in relation to offshore assets.\textsuperscript{2246}

South Africa is a member of Inclusive Framework on BEPS, however, no substantial action to support other countries in implementing BEPS standards have been registered during the compliance period.\textsuperscript{2247} Thus, South Africa receives a score of 0.

**Turkey: 0**

Turkey has partially complied with the commitment on taxes.

On 16 March 2016, Draft Transfer Pricing Communiqué No.3 was issued by Turkish tax authorities, introducing new concepts as “location savings and other local market features,” “assembled workforce” and “group synergies.” These concepts are now have to be taken into account in transfer pricing analysis (Actions 9-10 of BEPS). It also introduced a three-tier documentation approach to transfer pricing recommended by Action 13.\textsuperscript{2248}

On 7 September 2016, the Law on Supporting Investments on Project Basis and Amending Certain Laws and Decree Laws (Law No. 6745) entered into force. Among other issues, it introduced a new withholding tax obligation covering e-commerce (Action 1 of BEPS).\textsuperscript{2249}

Turkey is a member of Inclusive Framework on BEPS Composition.\textsuperscript{2250}

On 16 December 2016, Turkey participated in the first regional meeting of the Inclusive Framework on Base Erosion and Profit Shifting in the region. This meeting gave the participants an opportunity to discuss the developments on the implementation of BEPS. Participants were also provided input to the work on the instruments aimed at meeting the specific needs of developing countries in implementing BEPS measures.\textsuperscript{2251}

Turkey has made efforts to implement actions to address BEPS domestically. However, no substantial action to support other countries in implementing BEPS standards have been registered during the compliance period.\textsuperscript{2252} Thus, Turkey receives a score of 0.

**Analyst: Irina Popova**

\textsuperscript{2246}Voluntary Disclosure Programme (VDP), South African revenue Service. Access date: 12 January 2017.
\textsuperscript{2247}Inclusive Framework Composition, OECD. Access date: 23 November 2016.
\textsuperscript{2250}Inclusive Framework Composition, OECD. Access date: 23 November 2016.
\textsuperscript{2252}Inclusive Framework Composition, OECD. Access date: 23 November 2016.
United Kingdom: +1

United Kingdom has partially complied with the commitment on taxes.

An amendment to the Memorandum of Understanding (MoU), originally signed on 2 April 2013, between the UK Department for International Development and the OECD, was made [date unknown]. The amendment made additional resources of GBP1,000,000. GBP500,000 will go to the OECD’s Tax and Development Programme and another GBP500,000 to the Global Forum on Transparency and Exchange of Information for Tax Purposes. The UK continued making payments within the relevant compliance period. In October 2016 and January 2017 a payment of GBP125,000 was made in each month, with half of that amount transferred to the OECD and half transferred to the Global Forum.2253 The UK is the largest voluntary contributor for financing for technical assistance activities.2254 The UK has also committed to conduct its first automatic exchange of information in 2017.2255

Legislation was enacted in September 2016 to implement G20/OECD rules to prevent hybrid mismatches (Action 2), including imported mismatches and mismatches involving permanent establishments. The rules will enter into force on 1 January 2017.2256

On December 2015, the UK Government issued a Policy paper: “Corporation Tax: tax deductibility of corporate interest expense,” which regulates spheres under Action 4 of BEPS (dedicated to interest deductions).2257

In September 2016, the UK updated its controlled foreign corporations (CFC) law which is categorized as a “best practice by OECD.”2258

2) No material changes are expected in the following areas of tax policy:

Reinforce CFC rules to make it more difficult for multinational companies based outside the UK to lead profits away from low-tax countries (to level the playing field between those enterprises and UK domestic businesses).2259

3) Immediate adoption in case work. The revisions to the OECD transfer pricing guidelines made by the final report on Actions 8-10 “Aligning Transfer Pricing Outcomes with Value Creation” have been enated into UK law.

---

2258 BEPS Actions implementation by country September 2016. Access Date: 15 December 2016.
United Kingdom makes progress in implementing domestic reforms consistent with the BEPS Package, had has supported countries interested in applying anti-BEPS rules through the Global Forum and OECD. Thus, the United Kingdom receives a score of +1.

**United States: +1**

The United States has fully complied with the commitment on taxes.

On 2 November 2016, the US Treasury Department and Internal Revenue Service (IRS) released final regulations (T.D. 9792) on issues arising under subpart F (special category of foreign source unearned income), “which is designed to prevent the deferral of passive or mobile income through the use of controlled foreign corporations (CFCs).”

On 4 November 2016, the US Treasury Inspector General (TIGA) issued recommendations on the IRS’s handling of transfer pricing issues improvement. The report included full access of Transfer Pricing Practice (TPP) employees to the Specialist Referral System and the Rules of Engagement implementation; adequate transfer pricing providing; comprehensive transfer pricing strategy development that includes outcome-related strategic goals.

On 7 November 2016, the US government reported that the US and Antigua and Barbuda signed a Model I IGA (Model 1 intergovernmental agreements – a part of Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act, aimed at facilitating domestic reporting and reciprocal automatic exchange of information); also IGAs with Panama and Georgia entered into force.

On 13 December 2016, the US IRS issued final tax regulations “under sections 6038A and 7701 that require wholly foreign-owned domestic disregarded entities to be treated as a domestic corporations, separate from their owners” with the aim of reporting, record maintenance, and associated compliance requirements.

On 16 December 2016, the US IRS released final regulations (TD 9803) under section 367 which “eliminate the favorable tax treatment of outbound transfers of foreign goodwill and going concern value.”

The US has made progress in implementing domestic reforms consistent with the BEPS Package and supports countries interested in applying anti-BEPS rules. Thus, the United States receives a score of +1.

---


European Union: +1

The European Union has fully complied with the commitment on taxes.

On 12 July 2016, the European Council Directive 2016/1164 was adopted. The directive sets out rules against tax avoidance practices and ensures that all companies operating in the EU pay their taxes where profits and value are generated. The directive aims to block some of the most dominant forms of base erosion and profit shifting. This legislation allowed Member States to implement the commitments they made under the OECD/G20 Base Erosion and Profit Shifting project. 2265

On 22 October 2016, the European Commission proposed measures to address a broad range of mismatches, including hybrid permanent establishment mismatches, imported mismatches, hybrid transfers and dual resident mismatches, both within the EU and in relation to third-countries. Like the measures in Anti-Tax Avoidance Directive, the rules in this new proposal are in line with the OECD BEPS approach. 2266

On 25 October 2016, the Council’s meeting of the Ministers of Economy and Finance (ECOFIN) was held. The European Commission made proposals on joint corporate tax assessment base and consolidated corporate tax base. 2267

On 10 November 2016, the European Commission launched a public consultation on the potential EU action on tax advisers and intermediaries who help their clients shift profits offshore for the purposes of avoiding tax. The Commission wants to get views on how a mandatory disclosure scheme for tax advisers could be put in place. Such rules would oblige intermediaries to give early information on schemes which could be viewed as aggressive or abusive planning for tax purposes and would reflect the goals of the OECD’s non-binding guidelines (BEPS Action 12) for the disclosure of aggressive tax planning strategies. 2268

On 22 November 2016, the European Commission presented the proposal for a new “European Consensus on Development,” which determines the EU actions in the area of development cooperation. In particular, it addresses assistance in the areas of tax evasion, tax avoidance and illicit financial flows, as well as the efficiency, effectiveness and fairness of tax systems. 2269

The EU has made progress in implementing domestic reforms consistent with the BEPS Package and supports countries interested in applying anti-BEPS rules. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Aydar Shakirov
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“We encourage members to significantly improve energy efficiency based on the specific needs and national circumstances of each member”

G20 Leaders' Communiqué: Hangzhou Summit

Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No Compliance</th>
<th>Work in Progress</th>
<th>Full Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Score</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background

The G20 leaders made their first commitment to develop energy efficiency and clean energy technologies at the 2009 London Summit. At the 2009 Pittsburgh Summit, the G20 leaders reiterated their commitment to stimulate investment in clean energy, renewables and energy efficiency, as well as to provide financial and technical support for such projects in developing countries. This commitment was reinforced at the 2010 Seoul Summit. At the 2011 Cannes Summit, leaders developed the commitment further by referencing the United Nations Secretary General’s Sustainable Energy for All initiative. At the 2013 St. Petersburg Summit, the leaders once again reaffirmed their commitment to cleaner and more efficient technologies, but also highlighted the importance of enhancing the efficiency of markets and shifting towards a more sustainable energy future.

At the 2014 Brisbane Summit the G20 regarded improving energy efficiency as “a cost-effective way to help address the rising demands of sustainable growth and development, as well as energy access and security.” They adopted an Action Plan for Voluntary Collaboration on Energy Efficiency, including “new work on the efficiency and emissions performance of vehicles, particularly heavy duty vehicles; networked devices; buildings; industrial processes; and electricity generation; as well as work

---

on financing for energy efficiency.” At the Hangzhou Summit the G20 Leaders committed to significantly improve energy efficiency based on the specific needs and national circumstances of each G20 member. The G20 adopted the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme.

**Commitment features**


The key areas for cooperation are the following:

1. Vehicles
2. Networked Devices
3. Finance
4. Buildings
5. Industrial Processes (Industrial Energy Management)
6. Electricity Generation (High-Efficiency Low Emissions – HELE)
7. Super-Efficient Equipment and Appliance Deployment initiative (SEAD)
8. Sharing Best Available Technologies (BATs) and Best Practices
10. Energy Efficiency Knowledge Sharing Framework
11. Energy End-Use-Data and Energy Efficiency Metrics

To achieve full compliance with this commitment the G20 member must take actions in at least 7 key areas.

**Scoring Guidelines**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Member does not take actions to improve energy efficiency in any key areas of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Member takes actions to improve energy efficiency in more than three but less than seven key areas of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>Member takes actions to improve energy efficiency in seven or more key areas of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Argentina: 0**

Argentina has partially complied with the commitment on energy efficiency.

On 15 September 2016, it was announced that Siemens would work with Argentina on infrastructure projects worth 5 billion euros USD5.6 billion. Plans for the next four to five years include the creation of highly efficient gas-fired power plants and wind power projects; automation of

---

rail transport systems to improve traffic flow; and works to make the municipal buildings of the city of Buenos Aires more energy efficient.\textsuperscript{274} Key areas 4 and 5: Buildings, Industrial Processes (Industrial Energy Management).

On 16 December 2016, the 1st National Day of Energy Efficiency that took place in Argentina. During the closing ceremony, Minister of Energy and Mining Aranguren stressed that "in the past energy efficiency was provided by individual efforts" and stressed that now it is a collective task and it "can be achieved through education and raise of awareness about energy saving among young people".\textsuperscript{275} Key area 8: Sharing Best Available Technologies (BATs) and Best Practices

On 26 December 2016, through a note addressed to Ministries under the National Executive Branch, the Under Secretary for Energy Saving and Efficiency of the Ministry of Energy and Mining requested active collaboration in the rational and efficient use of energy in 2,312 public buildings.\textsuperscript{276} Key area 4: Buildings

On 05 January 2017, a decree between the Ministry of Energy and Mining and the Ministry of Transport of the Nation was signed to provide the collaboration of all agencies so that companies in the transport sector could conform with the guidelines suggested for the responsible and efficient energy use.\textsuperscript{277} Key area 1: Vehicles

Argentina has made efforts aimed at improving energy efficiency at four key areas. Thus, Argentina receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Irina Popova}

\textbf{Australia: +1}

Australia has fully complied with the commitment on energy efficiency.

According to the Energy Efficiency Exchange related to the Department of Environment and Energy, the 2017 Energy Management Leadership Awards is expected to raise international awareness of the benefits of energy management and accelerate the uptake of clean energy technologies and practices.\textsuperscript{278} Key areas 5 and 8: Industrial Processes (Industrial Energy Management), ng Best Available Technologies (BATs) and Best Practices.


The Clean Energy Finance Corporation (CEFC) provides a range of financing options to help small businesses better manage their energy costs, while reducing their carbon emissions, which is proved by CEFC factsheet.\textsuperscript{2279} Key area 5: Industrial Processes (Industrial Energy Management).

On 8 September 2016, the 12 projects have been chosen as part of the Australian Renewable Energy Agency’s (ARENA) multi-million dollar large-scale solar round. They are expected to unlock almost USD1 billion of commercial investment and boost regional Australian economies.\textsuperscript{2280} Key area 6: Electricity Generation (High-Efficiency Low Emissions – HELE)

On 6 October 2016, an Australian state investment organization Clean Energy Finance Corporation (CEFC) and Commonwealth Bank have launched a new USD100 million Energy Efficient Equipment Finance program to provide lower cost finance for energy efficient assets.\textsuperscript{2281} Key area 3: Finance

On 10 November 2016, Australia ratified the Paris Agreement and the Doha Amendment to the Kyoto Protocol. According to the report, “The Australian Government’s action on climate change” by the Department of the Environment and Energy issued on 15 November 2016, “The National Energy Productivity Plan will reduce the amount of energy used for every dollar of economic activity by 40 per cent between 2015 and 2030. The Plan includes measures to make energy choices easier, drive innovation and more effective modern markets and improve the efficiency of appliances, equipment, buildings and transport.”\textsuperscript{2282} Key area 1: Vehicles

On 11 November 2016, a state organization the Australian Building Codes Board (ABCB) uploaded on its official website a handbook on improving buildings’ energy efficiency.\textsuperscript{2283} On the same date a registration for the ABCB’s 2017 NCC Information Seminars was opened.\textsuperscript{2284} Key area 4: Buildings

On 16 November 2016, the International Energy Agency (IEA) held its 18th Energy Efficient End-use Equipment (4E) meetings in Ottawa, Canada. As the Chair of the IEA 4E, Australia’s GEMS Regulator, Michelle Croker, led the meetings.\textsuperscript{2285} Key area 7: Super-Efficient Equipment and Appliance Deployment initiative (SEAD)

On 29 November 2016, the official site of an Energy Rating organization, a joint initiative of Australian, State and Territory and New Zealand Governments, informed than Equipment Energy Efficiency (E3) Program was considering the introduction of regulations in Australia to reduce the


energy consumption of pumps used in residential pools and spas ("pool pumps"). Key area 7: Super-Efficient Equipment and Appliance Deployment initiative (SEAD)

On 2 December 2016, the first funding agreement in the Australian’s Renewable Energy Agency’s (ARENA’s) program to drive down the cost and accelerate the rollout of big solar was signed. The new agreement commits up to USD8.9 million of ARENA funding towards Genex’s USD126 million Kidston Solar Project in North Queensland. Key area 6: Electricity Generation (High-Efficiency Low Emissions – HELE)

Australia has made efforts aimed at improving energy efficiency at seven key areas. Thus, Australia receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Ildar Khalilyulin

Brazil: 0
Brazil has partially complied with the commitment on energy efficiency.

On 11 November 2016, during the 22nd Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (COP22) it was reported that Brazil is the most advanced in reducing greenhouse gas emissions from deforestation, a financial incentive instrument for developing countries that obtain results from combating forest degradation and other actions in this area. Besides being a pioneer, Brazil will be an example in cutting forest emissions for the international community. Key area 3: Finance

On 17 November 2016, during the 22nd UN Conference of the Parties on Climate Change in Morocco (COP 22) the Brazilian government launched the Biofuturo platform, a mechanism to encourage the use of biofuels in Brazil and in the international market. The platform aims to attract the attention of the world to the development of second generation biofuels produced in Brazil. The initiative intends for promoting the reduction of emissions in the transport area and opens space for a totally new, low-carbon bio-economy, as it offers alternatives to fossil-based material. Key area 1: Vehicles

USD70,000 per year. The installed system symbolizes the commitment of the Brazilian government to the use of renewable energy sources, in addition to the water source. The project is the result of a Technical Cooperation Agreement between the MME and the Brazilian Solar Photovoltaic Energy Association for the installation of the first system of the Esplanade of the Ministries in Brasília, connected to the distribution network. Key area 4: Buildings

On 18 November 2016, the incentive to use mineral coal for electricity generation was vetoed. The article was approved by the Federal Senate a month ago. The veto was recommended by the

---

Environment Minister, Sarney Filho, in an open letter to the President of the Republic on October 20 2016. Among the justifications for the veto was the fact that coal is a non-renewable, highly polluting, expensive and responsible source of one-third of the world’s greenhouse gas emissions as well as stimulation the energy matrix that goes against international agreements (the Paris Agreement) which was signed by the country. Key area 6: Electricity Generation (High-Efficiency Low Emissions – HELE)

Brazil has taken actions to improve energy efficiency in four key areas of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme. Thus, Brazil receives a score of 0.

*Analyst: Sofia Streltsova*

**Canada: +1**

Canada has fully complied with the commitment on energy efficiency.

The Energy Efficiency for Industry program in Canada offers cost-shared assistance to industrial companies in implementing energy management projects. Currently the submission for financing is open for the projects that can be completed by 31 March 2017. Key area 5: Industrial Processes (Industrial Energy Management)

On 15 October 2016, first energy star day was held in Canada. The goal of this event was to “raise Canadians’ level of understanding and awareness of ENERGY STAR Canada and its instrumental role in helping Canadians be energy efficient.” Key areas 8 and 10: Sharing Best Available Technologies (BATs) and Best Practices, Energy Efficiency Knowledge Sharing Framework

On 18 October 2016, Canadian Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Natural Resources Kim Rudd, together with the US Department of energy launched a “new clean heat and power demonstration project.” This project generates power from biomass or fossil fuels. Key area 6: Electricity Generation (High-Efficiency Low Emissions – HELE)

On 2 November 2016, President of the Treasury Board Scott Brison announced government plans to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions by 40 per cent by 2030. The goal is planned to be reached through “strategic investments in infrastructure and vehicle fleets, green procurement, and support for clean technology.” Key areas 1 and 3: Vehicles, Finance.

---


On 3 November 2016, the Government of Canada announced additional loan guarantee support for the Lower Churchill projects in Newfoundland and Labrador. These projects aim at greenhouse gas emissions reduction in the provinces of Canada.  

2296 Key area 3: Finance

On 14-15 and 16-17 November Natural Resources Canada’s local energy efficiency partnership (LEEP) Technology 2-day Forum was held. The forum’s goal is to provide a time saving way for the industry to know about new technologies for high performance homes.  

2297 Key areas 4 and 10: Buildings, Energy Efficiency Knowledge Sharing Framework

On 15 November 2016, Canada hosted and participated in G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Program (EELP) Product Best Practice Policy Exchange Forum. The policy exchange provided chance for participants to discuss the newest developments in energy efficiency product policy from a range of countries.  

2298 Key area 8: Sharing Best Available Technologies (BATs) and Best Practices

On 29 November 2016, Government of Canada announced support for innovations in the forest sector to boost the design of more renewable materials in the production of a range of consumer items. This support is provided under the commitment to “address climate change, create new opportunities and markets for Canadian forest companies and sustain good middle-class jobs for Canadians.”  

2299 Key area 5: Industrial Processes (Industrial Energy Management)

On 5 December 2016, Canadian Minister of Natural Resources Jim Carr spoke about low-carbon transportation initiatives that aim to introduce cleaner fuels and vehicles. Minister Carr also announced that the government is planning to support AddÉnergie install fast-charging stations for electric vehicles at 25 Canadian Tire locations throughout Ontario by providing almost half of the total USD1.8-million investment for the stations.  

2300 Key area 1: Vehicles

Canada has taken actions to improve energy efficiency in seven key areas of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme. Thus, Canada receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Irina Popova

China: 0

China has partially complied with the commitment on energy efficiency.

On 12 October 2016, Deputy Group Leader of the China Energy Group at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL), Nan Zhou, participated in the foundation laying ceremony for the Sino-US Low Carbon Building and Community Innovation Experimental Center, which is the office building for the LBNL-Shenzhen IBR Joint Research Center, in Longgang District, Shenzhen city. It is a new exploration after the establishment of Shenzhen IBR office building in 2009. The Center is an experiment on net zero energy building, which will explore technology innovation in carbon

---


emissions control, environmental quality improvement and building fabrication, and will become a model for low-carbon lifestyle and green community system. Key area 4: Buildings

On 30 October 2016, German State Secretary Rainer Baake took part in the International Forum on Energy Transitions in Suzhou, China. During the conference, State Secretary Baake held bilateral talks with Chinese industry and government representatives. The topics ranged from grid expansion to power plant flexibilisation, storage technologies and renewable energy. Key area 8: Sharing Best Available Technologies (BATs) and Best Practices

On 26 November 2016 Shanghai Petroleum and Natural Gas Exchange (SHPGX), a national energy trading center, officially opened. Chairman of the National Development and Reform Commission, Xu Shaoshi, said that the platform is both an important achievement of China’s market reform of oil and gas prices, and strong support for further reforms. “Promoting the improvement and upgrading of the energy structure. By 2020 the energy consumption per unit of GDP shall drop by 15 percent.” Key area 5: Industrial Processes (Industrial Energy Management)

On 16 November, 2016 a national guideline on environmental improvements was approved by the State Council. China will improve environmental protection and restoration to ensure a greener, more sustainable development, according to the new guideline for environmental protection during the 13th Five-Year Plan period (2016-2020). “We are committed to a development path that delivers economic progress and environmental improvement,” Premier Li said. Key area 11: Energy End-Use-Data and Energy Efficiency Metrics

China has taken actions in four key areas of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Program. Thus, China receives a score of 0.

**Analyst: Kirill Krivosheyev**

**France: +1**

France has not complied with the commitment on energy efficiency.

In 2014, Energy Efficiency Plan for France was adopted. This plan sets targets and describes policies in major areas: transport, buildings, industry, agriculture, funding for high-performance equipment, heating and cooling efficiency and others. This plan is to be executed until 2020. This programme contains actions on several key areas of G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme: key area 1: vehicles, key area 3: finance, key area 4: buildings, key area 5: industrial processes (Industrial Energy Management), key area 7: super-efficient equipment and appliance deployment initiative (SEAD).

On 29 September 2016, Federal Minister of Transport Alexander Dobrindt and his French colleague, Alain Vidalies, launched the "German-French Initiative for Electromobility and Digitality"
in Munich. The aim of the initiative is to strengthen the cooperation between the two countries in order to promote innovations in the fields of e-mobility and automated driving.\textsuperscript{2307}

France and Mexico are leading members of the Energy Efficiency Finance Task Group (EEFTG). On 13 October 2016, the EEFTG published their 2016 Activity Report. The report highlights key achievements in the areas of engagement, policy and finance. The report shows progress was made in several of the key areas identified in the commitment features including on energy efficiency, knowledge sharing, sharing best available technologies and practices, and finance.\textsuperscript{2308}

On 28 December 2016, France submitted its long-term strategy in accordance with article 4 of the Paris Agreement to combat climate change. The strategy includes targets on several of the key action areas as outlined in the commitment features, including on energy efficiency for vehicles, reducing energy consumption and improving energy efficiency in buildings, catalyzing energy consumption management, cutting industry greenhouse gas emissions, and reduce production related energy emissions.\textsuperscript{2309}

On 3 January 2017, Minister of the Environment, Energy and Marine Affairs Ségolène Royal and Minister of the Economy and Finance Michel Sapin announced the launch of the process for issuing France’s first sovereign green bond. The action is intended to spearhead the country’s actions to protect the environment, in line with the 2015 Paris Agreement on the climate and the 2015 Act on the Energy Transition for Green Growth. The official government website specifies three major objectives to be achieved in this regard: Foster the development of the green bonds market, define the best framework for this market, ensure the Paris marketplace’s lead in terms of green finance.\textsuperscript{2310} This action corresponds to the key area 3 “Finance” of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme. On 24 January 2017, the first French sovereign green bond was issued in the amount of EUR7 billion with a maturity of 22 years. According to Agence France Trésor, the Green OAT 1.75% is the targets and longest-dated benchmark green bond ever issued.\textsuperscript{2311}

France has acted to improve energy efficiency in most key areas of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme. Thus, France receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analysts: Mark Rakhmangulov & Anastasiya Polovko}

\textbf{Germany: +1}

Germany has fully complied with the commitment on energy efficiency.

On 29 September 2016, Federal Minister of Transport Alexander Dobrindt and his French colleague, Alain Vidalies, launched the "German-French Initiative for Electromobility and Digitality" in Munich. The aim of the initiative is to strengthen the cooperation between the two countries in

---
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order to promote innovations in the fields of e-mobility and automated driving.\textsuperscript{2312} Key area 1: Vehicles

On 29 September 2016, the seventh session of the Indo-German Energy Forum took place. India is going to increase its power generation capacities by 100GW (photovoltaics), 60GW (wind) and 15GW (biomass) by 2022. To do this, India cooperate with Germany, also with its private sector, on the issues of renewable energy and grid stability.\textsuperscript{2313} Key area 8: Sharing Best Available Technologies (BATs) and Best Practices

On 7 October 2016, Federal Minister for Economic Affairs and Energy, Sigmar Gabriel, and Jordan’s Minister of Foreign Affairs, Nasser Judeh, signed the Joint Declaration to confirm their intent to establish an energy dialogue between Germany and Jordan. The Energy Export Initiative of the Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy is aimed at intensifying the cooperation between German small and medium-sized enterprises offering climate-friendly technologies and Jordanian energy companies.\textsuperscript{2314} Key area 10: Energy Efficiency Knowledge Sharing Framework

On 19 October 2016, the Federal Cabinet adopted the law on the redistribution of responsibility for nuclear waste management, which is devoted to the financial monitoring of the use of nuclear energy.\textsuperscript{2315} Key area 3: Finance

On 24 October 2016, the European Commission approved German’s funding scheme for cogeneration plants set out in the new Combined Heat and Power Act.\textsuperscript{2316} Key area 3: Finance

On 30 October 2016, German State Secretary Rainer Baake took part in the International Forum on Energy Transitions in Suzhou, China. During the conference, State Secretary Baake held bilateral talks with Chinese industry and government representatives. The topics ranged from grid expansion to power plant flexibilisation, storage technologies and renewable energy.\textsuperscript{2317} Key area 8: Sharing Best Available Technologies (BATs) and Best Practices

On 31 October 2016, the Federal Office of Economics and Export Control (BAFA) established the subsidy funds for investments in cogeneration. This is possible after the European Commission has approved the cogeneration legislation.\textsuperscript{2318} Key area 3: Finance

On 9 November 2016, the Federal Cabinet adopted the National Strategic Measures for Alternative Fuels Infrastructure, presented by the Federal Minister of Transport and Digital Infrastructure,


Alexander Dobrindt. The aim of this project is the acceleration of alternative drives.\textsuperscript{2319} Key area 1: Vehicles

On 14 November 2016, the Federal Cabinet adopted the climate protection plan 2050. The plan contains climate indicators for individual industries and thus provides a concrete orientation for strategic decisions in the coming years.\textsuperscript{2320} Key area 11: Energy End-Use-Data and Energy Efficiency Metrics

On 16-18 November 2016, Rainer Baake, State Secretary in the Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy, visited the 22nd United Nations (UN) climate conference. He met with high-level energy policy-makers to discuss strategies of decarbonizing the energy sector in order to attain the climate targets agreed in Paris. State Secretary Baake used his visit to talk with representatives of the Moroccan energy sector about their energy transition targets, and to head the meeting of the steering committee for the German-Moroccan energy partnership.\textsuperscript{2321} Key area 10: Energy Efficiency Knowledge Sharing Framework

On 25 November 2016, Roland Berger consulting company reported on the results of the investigation supported by the Federal Environment Ministry. This report assumed the opportunities offered by digitalization to industry, for example, significant CO2 savings potential.\textsuperscript{2322} Key area 5: Industrial Processes (Industrial Energy Management)

On 5 December 2016, young German companies and start-ups got opportunity to apply with their ideas for the German Energy Agency. The goal of the project is to establish an international sustainability network.\textsuperscript{2323} Key area 10: Energy Efficiency Knowledge Sharing Framework

On 12 December 2016, the Federal Network Agency invited tenders for solar energy equipment according to the Renewable Energy Act 2017. The tender relates to facilities with an installed capacity of more than 750 kilowatts.\textsuperscript{2324} Key area 6: Electricity Generation (High-Efficiency Low Emissions – HELE)

Germany has taken actions in eight key areas of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Program. Thus, Germany receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Elizaveta Nekrasova}

\textsuperscript{2319} Nationaler Strategierahmen für den Ausbau der Infrastruktur für alternative Kraftstoffe, Federal Ministry of Transport and Digital Infrastructure 9 November 2016. Access date: 25 December 2016

http://www.bmub.bund.de/presse/pressemitteilungen/pm/artikel/klimaschutzplan-2050-kabinett-beschliesst-wegweiser-in-ein-klimaneutrale-
deutschland/?tx_ttnews%5BbackPid%5D=1038cHash=09a7e727866fa0161e185f7a94146fffd

\textsuperscript{2321} State Secretary Rainer Baake calls for global energy transition at the UN climate conference in Marrakesh, Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy 16 October 2016. Access date: 25 December 2016.

http://www.bmub.bund.de/presse/pressemitteilungen/pm/artikel/digitalisierung-der-greentech-branche-lohnt-
sich/?tx_ttnews%5BbackPid%5D=1038cHash=b06334ef1d1b8ef97a7f2f99e51010220.

\textsuperscript{2323} Neue Ideen für die Energiewende gesucht, Federal Government 5 December 2016. Access date: 25 December 2016.
https://www.bundesregierung.de/Content/DE/Meldungen/2016/12/2016-12-05-dena-Energiewende-
Award.html.

https://www.bundesregierung.de/Content/DE/Meldungen/2016/12/2016-12-12-solaranlagen.html.
India: -1

India has not complied with the commitment on energy efficiency.

On 4 October 2016, the Asian Development Bank (ADB) set to provide USD500 million in financing for rooftop solar systems that can help the Indian government expand energy access using renewable energy. ADB provided the financing to Punjab National Bank - one of India’s largest commercial banks - which used the ADB funds to make loans to various developers and end users throughout India to install rooftop solar systems. The Government of India aims to increase the amount of energy sourced from solar rooftop systems to 40 gigawatts by 2022. This is part of a wider goal under the Jawaharlal Nehru National Solar Mission to increase its overall solar energy generation to 100 gigawatts by the same date.2325 Key area 3: Finance

On 4 October 2016, Energy Efficiency Services Limited (EESL) signed a deal with the Ministry of Urban Development to enhance energy conservation and ensure grid reliability. Under the agreement, EESL and the Ministry of Urban Development will supply and deploy the latest energy efficiency technologies at municipal level in cities selected to benefit from the country’s Smart Cities Mission - an initiative designed by the Indian government under prime minister Narendra Modi, to deploy smart city technologies to modernize the infrastructure of 100 cities to ensure quality of life in India.2326 Key area 4: Buildings

India has taken actions to improve energy efficiency only in two key areas of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Program. Thus, it receives a score of -1.

Analyst: Evgeny Tsarik

Indonesia: 0

Indonesia has partially complied with the commitment on energy efficiency.

In December 2016, the Directorate-General of New, Renewable Energy and Energy Conservation (DGNREEC) within the Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources of Indonesia announced the DGNREEC’s priorities for 2017,2327 which include:

- Construction of 35,000MW additional power capacity, and 25 per cent of it should be based on renewable energy power generation (having connection with the key area “Electricity Generation” of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme);
- Mandatory implementation of Business 20 (B20) standard in biofuel usage, which started in 2016, in the transportation and power plant sectors (having connection with the key areas “Vehicles,” “Electricity Generation” of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme);
- Enhancement of the development of the new and renewable energy based power plants by releasing feed-in-tariffs (having connection with the key area “Electricity Generation” of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme);
- Development of rural energy and rural electricity based on renewable energy, particularly through the Bright Indonesia Program (having connection with the key areas “Electricity Generation,” “District Energy Systems” of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme);

Establishment of the energy fund that will be allocated for renewable energy development (having connection with the key area “Finance” of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme).

Indonesia has taken actions to improve energy efficiency in four key areas of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Program. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Pavel Doronin

Italy: −1

Italy has not complied with the commitment on energy efficiency.

On 16 September 2016, the Ministry of Economic Development published a Decree that regulates the preparation and implementation measures concerning the improvement of the performance and the energy efficiency of buildings in the central public administration. The Decree includes such particular points as: the mode of financing; the methods and criteria for the identification and selection of interventions eligible for funding; the presentation of the proposed intervention and approval of program interventions; required technical assistance; the coordination, data collection and monitoring that is necessary to verify the state of progress of the program. Key area 7: Super-Efficient Equipment and Appliance Deployment initiative (SEAD)

On 17 November 2016, the Chief Executive Officer of the Joint-stock company Terna, Matteo Del Fante and CEO and General Manager of the Rete Ferroviaria Italiana (the owner of Italy’s railway network, being the infrastructure manager of the FS Italiane Holding and with an active participation of the State providing access to the Italian network) Maurizio Gentile, have signed a Letter of Intent with the aim of collaborating to identify and implement initiatives of common interest in the renewable-energy field in Italy. In particular, the agreement will see the two companies develop a project aimed at constructing photovoltaic plants that will feed RFI’s electricity consumption with clean energy. Key area 6: Electricity Generation (High-Efficiency Low Emissions – HELE)

November 2016 was dedicated to the theme of efficient energy in Italy. Various institutions, trade associations, public administration and schools were encouraged to organize at their offices or in the public squares different events, promotional activities, seminars, concerning a more conscious use of energy in the workplaces, at homes, in schools. The initiative is promoted by the Ministry of Economic Development and implemented by ENEA in the framework of the National Campaign “Italy in A-Class.” Key area 10: Energy Efficiency Knowledge Sharing Framework

Italy has taken actions to improve energy efficiency only in three key areas of G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Program. Thus, it receives a score of −1.

Analyst: Maria Strelnikova

Japan: +1

Japan has fully complied with the commitment on energy efficiency.

---


On 16 September 2016, Japan published “Energy Conservation Technology Strategy 2016” jointly formulated by the Agency for Natural Resources and Energy (ANRE) and the New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization (NEDO).2332 The strategy aims to effectively promote the research and development of energy conservation technologies. It specifies the fields of focus of the Japanese government in terms of promoting energy efficiency, including energy conversion/supply, home and business and transportation. The strategy was revised from the 2011 version to include broader concepts concerning energy management systems, e.g., Home Energy Management System and Building Energy Management System, bearing in mind the trends in Internet of Things and other new technologies. The paper also aims to advance research and development on energy efficient technologies and popularize its outcomes as an effort for achieving the goal of “realization of an advanced energy-saving society.” Key areas: 1 “Vehicles,” 4 “Buildings,” 5 “Industrial Processes,” 8 “Sharing Best Available Technologies and Best Practices,” 10 “Energy Efficiency Knowledge Sharing Framework.”

On 25 November 2016, it was announced that Japan joined a number of contributing countries donating an investment worth USD23 million to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)’s Climate Technology Centre and Network to promote accelerated development and transfer of climate technologies for energy efficient, low carbon and climate resilient development at the request of the developing countries.2333 “Finance,” “Sharing Best Available Technologies and Best Practices,” “Energy Efficiency Knowledge Sharing Framework.”

Japan has taken actions to improve energy efficiency in all key areas of G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Program. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Pavel Doronin

Korea: +1

Korea has fully complied with the commitment on energy efficiency.

Korea has a sound policy framework for energy efficiency with the “Energy Use Rationalization Act”2334 of 2010 at its core, energy efficiency policies developed by the Ministry of Trade, Industry and Energy2335 and implemented by the Korea Energy Agency.2336

Within this framework in 2016 Korea was implementing sectoral (industry, buildings, transport) energy efficiency programs, programs on market transformation for energy efficiency (labeling and

certificates), programs for financial support for energy efficiency, educational and public campaigns for energy efficiency.\textsuperscript{2337} These policy measures have connection with 7 key areas of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme: “Vehicles,” “Networked Devices,” “Finance,” “Buildings,” “Industrial Processes,” “Sharing Best Available Technologies and Best Practices,” “Energy Efficiency Knowledge Sharing Framework.”

On 25 November 2016, it was announced that Korea joined a number of contributing countries donating an investment worth USD23 million to the UNFCCC’s Climate Technology Centre and Network to promote accelerated development and transfer of climate technologies for energy efficient, low carbon and climate resilient development at the request of the developing countries.\textsuperscript{2338} This action by the Korean Government has connection with 3 key areas of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme – namely, “Finance,” “Sharing Best Available Technologies and Best Practices,” “Energy Efficiency Knowledge Sharing Framework.”

On 6 December 2016, it was announced that the government had plans to reduce 37 per cent of greenhouse gas emissions from the current levels by 2030, and specifically – 19.4 per cent in electricity generation and 11.7 per cent in industries.\textsuperscript{2339} “Industrial Processes,” “Electricity Generation.” It was also announced that the government had decided to expand research and development investment in clean energy from the current WON560 billion (USD478.1 million) per year to WON1.12 trillion starting from 2021.\textsuperscript{2340} “Finance,” “Sharing Best Available Technologies and Best Practices,” “Energy Efficiency Knowledge Sharing Framework.”

Japan has taken actions to improve energy efficiency in eight key areas of G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Program. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Pavel Doronin*

**Mexico: +1**

Mexico has fully complied with the commitment on energy efficiency.

In September 2016, second electricity market auction was organized in Mexico aimed at promoting use of renewable sources in the country.\textsuperscript{2341} In the second auction 98 per cent of total solar and wind energy enterprises installed for last 18 years participated. According to Mexican Secretary of Energy Pedro Joaquín Coldwell, 34 companies operating in renewable energy sphere will be established which will add 5,000 megawatts to current electricity generation capacity in Mexico in the next years.


The third auction will be held in April 2017. Key areas 3 and 6: Finance, Electricity Generation (High-Efficiency Low Emissions – HELE).2342

On 9 September 2016, Mexican Secretary of Energy Pedro Joaquín Coldwell and Executive Director of Mexican Agency for International Development Cooperation (AMEXCID) Gina Casar, inaugurated the meeting of the Commission of Connection of Mexico to the Electrical Interconnection System for Central American Countries (SIEPAC). The Commission will work to integrate the electrical markets of Mexico and the Central American countries through analysis of regulations etc. The event was attended by the Minister of Energy and Mines of Guatemala and the Secretary of Energy of Panama as well as senior representatives and executives of Central American regional electricity market bodies (CDMER, CRIE and EOR). The Commission engages Secretariat of Energy (SENER), National Energy Control Center (CENACE), Federal Electricity Commission (CFE) and AMEXCID Key area 10: Energy Efficiency Knowledge Sharing Framework.2343

On 30 September 2016, Mexico and Alberta, province of Canada, signed Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) aimed to strengthen cooperation, in particular in renewable energy. The MoU was signed by Mexican Secretary of Energy Pedro Joaquín Coldwell and Alberta Minister of Energy of Margaret McCuaig-Boyd. As Mr. Coldwell emphasized, Mexico and Canada would their experience in energy sphere regulation and consultation of indigenous peoples in energy projects. In the framework of the signed MoU consultations, workshops, conferences, exchanges and joint studies will be organized to promote cooperation between Mexican and Canadian companies and regulators Key area 10: Energy Efficiency Knowledge Sharing Framework.2344

France and Mexico are leading members of the Energy Efficiency Finance Task Group (EEFTG). On 13 October 2016, the EEFTG published their 2016 Activity Report. The report highlights key achievements in the area of engagement, policy and finance. The report shows progress was made in several of the key areas identified in the commitment features including on energy efficiency, knowledge sharing, sharing best available technologies and practices, and finance.2345

On 26 October 2016, the presentation of the progress of the Mexican Energy Reform took place. At the event Mexican Secretary of Energy Pedro Joaquín Coldwell said that up to date 78 per cent of proposed expansion of gas pipelines had been made (Mexican President Enrique Peña Nieto proposed to extend gas pipelines in the industrial zones of the country from 11,000 to 21,000 km by 2019). The total investment to these activities was USD12 billion. The expansion of gas pipelines contributed to a decrease of electricity generation costs and boosted growth of domestic industries.


with use cleaner energy sources. Key areas 6 and 9: Electricity Generation (High-Efficiency Low Emissions – HELE), District Energy Systems (DES).\textsuperscript{2346}

On 8 November 2016, Mexican Secretary of Energy Pedro Joaquín Coldwell inaugurated the new combined cycle plant based on natural gas and operated by company Iberdrola in Pesquería, Nuevo León state. The total investment to this project was USD251 million. At the second stage of its operations the plan will have a capacity of 1000 megawatts. The plant will provide electricity to the major manufacturing companies in the region. Key areas 5 and 6: Industrial Processes (Industrial Energy Management), Electricity Generation (High-Efficiency Low Emissions – HELE).\textsuperscript{2347}

On 17 October 2016, the Mexican Secretariat of Energy (SENER) announced a plan to collaborate with the Government of Mexico City to promote energy efficient projects. A guarantee fund of more than USD4 million will be created for the energy efficient projects in Mexico City. According to Pedro Joaquín Coldwell, installation of photovoltaic panels in corporate and public buildings as well as private houses could promote clean energy generation. Other projects include use of urban solid waste, installation of biodigesters as well as promotion of solar energy for water heating in hospitals. Key areas 3, 4 and 6: Finance, Buildings, Electricity Generation (High-Efficiency Low Emissions – HELE).\textsuperscript{2348}

On 16 November 2016, Mexican Secretary of Energy Pedro Joaquín Coldwell chaired the first ordinary session of the Consultative Council for Energy Transition (CCET) at which the three key instruments of the Energy Transition Law were presented aimed at defining targets and measures for Mexico to move to a low-carbon economy. First instrument is the document of the Transition Strategy to Promote the Use of Cleaner Technologies and Fuels containing a goal of 35 per cent of energy production from clean sources by 2024; 37.7 per cent by 2030 and 50 per cent by 2050. The Strategy also includes a target to reduce energy intensity from 2016 to 2030 by 1.9 per cent and from 2031 to 2050 by 3.7 per cent. The second instrument is the National Programme for Sustainable Energy Use (Programa Nacional para el Aprovechamiento Sustentable de la Energía – PRONASE) which includes the indicators to for the monitor national energy efficiency targets for the period 2016-2018. The third instrument is the Special Program for the Energy Transition which establishes four strategic objectives to increase generation of clean energy; to expand and modernize energy infrastructure; to promote technological development; and to improve access to clean energy for the Mexican citizens. In addition the Atlas of High Clean Energy Potential Zones was presented. The Atlas will be a useful tool for the investors in the clean energy projects in Mexico. The North American Renewable Integration Study (NARIS) was also presented aimed at analyzing coordinated planning and operations impacts under a high renewable energy scenario across North America. Key areas 6, 8 and 11: Electricity Generation (High-Efficiency Low Emissions – HELE), Sharing Best


Available Technologies (BATs) and Best Practices, Energy End-Use-Data and Energy Efficiency Metrics.2349

On 17 November 2016, Mexico and the European Union announced a project of geothermal systems research aimed at developing and applying new technologies in this field. The project will have a total investment of EUR20 million. The geothermal energy helps to diversify energy mix of the country and reduce carbon emissions. The consortium will bring together Michoacan University of Saint Nicholas of Hidalgo, National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM), National Institute of Electricity and Clean Energy (INEEL), Center for Scientific Research and Higher Education at Ensenada (CICESE) and Federal Electricity Commission (CFE) from the Mexican side and GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences with contributions from of more than 20 institutions from Belgium, France, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Italy, the Netherlands, Poland, Norway and the United Kingdom from the EU side.2350 Key areas 6 and 8: Electricity Generation (High-Efficiency Low Emissions – HELE), Sharing Best Available Technologies (BATs) and Best Practices

Mexico has taken actions to improve energy efficiency in nine key areas of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Elizaveta Safonkina**

**Russia: 0**

Russia has partially complied with the commitment on energy efficiency.

On 21 September 2016, the Russian Ministry of Energy publishes draft Russian Energy Strategy for the Period until 2035. It includes the aim of realizing potential for increasing energy efficiency. It provides for the following measures: development of relevant legislation, including introducing a ban on the production and use of energy ineffective facilities, buildings and technological processes; tax stimulation of the use of best available technologies by companies; financing of projects aimed at increasing energy efficiency from different sources; raising public awareness on the topic. However it does not contain any specific targets.2351

On 27 December 2016, President of Russia chaired the State Council meeting on Russia’s environmental development for future generations. The participants discussed Russia’s transition to a environmentally sustainable development. Russian President emphasized such issues as energy saving, and the preservation of forests, water and unique and said it was necessary “to carry out energy saving and ecological recovery programmes.” The report prepared by the State Council “justifies transition to eco-friendly sustainable development as a national strategic priority.” It proposed a package of solutions for attaining sustainable development in the medium and long term, including a set of measures for the development of renewable energy sources. Russian President reminded the participants that 2017 was declared the Year of the Environment, and environmental protection was included in the recently approved National Science and Technology Development Strategy as a

priority.\textsuperscript{[2352]} The strategy, adopted on 1 December 2016, mentions as one of the priorities of Russia’s science and technology development the transition to clean and resource efficient energy, increasing efficiency of production and processing of petroleum commodities, development of new sources, means of transportation and storage of energy.\textsuperscript{[2353]}

Russia has taken actions to improve energy efficiency in the a few areas of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Mark Rakhmangulov}

\textbf{Saudi Arabia: 0}

Saudi Arabia has partially complied with the commitment on energy efficiency.

On 4 September 2016, G20 Leaders endorsed the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Program which adds five new key areas, including District Energy Systems. It will be co-led by Saudi Arabia, China and Russia. Saudi Arabia has already made good progress in district cooling (DC) through: 1) establishing DC arrangements, under the existing Electricity Regulatory Authority; 2) drafting DC Perspective” covering licensing, technical and economic regulation issues and specifications for DC; 3) developing DC threshold standards and arrangement that new public buildings could champion DC; 4) identifying potential DC zones. Key area 9: District Energy Systems (DES)

On 1 October 2016, new regulations related to electric motors entered into force in Saudi Arabia. All electric motors under the scope of the Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) must meet the minimum energy efficiency rating IE3. The rating IE2 will no longer be accepted at the second stage. Electric motors of specific types excluded from the scope of the EER are now required to be registered with Saudi Standards, Metrology and Quality Organization by exporter or manufacturer and obtain Certificate of Exclusion.\textsuperscript{[2354]} Key area 1: Vehicles

On 31 October 2016, the King Abdullah Petroleum Studies and Research Center published the paper “Evaluating Building Energy Efficiency Investment Options for Saudi Arabia.” It states that since 2014 the Saudi government has required the mandatory installation of thermal insulation in walls and roofs for all new buildings as a condition to obtain a connection to the electricity grid. Saudi Arabia has introduced minimum energy performace standards (MEPS) for air conditioning, refrigerators, freezers and washing machines and is preparing regulations to phase out inefficient lighting.\textsuperscript{[2355]} Key area 4: Buildings

On 21 November 2016, the Saudi Arabian government announced that it will start a national program to optimize water and energy consumption. The program will review current incentives for the energy and water sectors. Saudi Arabia aims to reduce electricity and water subsidies by USD53 billion and reduce non-oil subsidies by 20 per cent by 2020.\textsuperscript{[2356]} Key area 3: Finance

\textsuperscript{[2352]} State Council meeting on Russia’s environmental development for future generations, President of Russia 27 December 2016. http://en.kremlin.ru/events/president/news/53602
On 15 December 2016, the Workshop “Energy Transitions / Utilities of the Future” was held in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Its objective was to determine the role of policy design in adopting renewable energy in a cost-effective manner and promoting new industries. Key area 10: Energy Efficiency Knowledge Sharing Framework

Saudi Arabia has taken actions to improve energy efficiency in five key areas of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Aydar Shakirov

South Africa: 0

South Africa has partially complied with the commitment on energy efficiency.

On 2 November 2016, the Cabinet of Ministers approved the publication of the Integrated Energy Plan (IEP) and the Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) for public comment and engagement. The purpose of the IEP is to “provide a roadmap of the future energy landscape for South Africa which guides future energy infrastructure investments and policy development.” Key area 3: Finance

On 2-4 November 2016, the Windaba Conference and Exhibition under the theme “Towards 100 per cent renewables” took place. It aimed at boosting government’s renewable energy initiatives. It brings together stakeholders involved in the wind energy value chain on the African continent and in South Africa in particular. Key area 8: Sharing Best Available Technologies (BATs) and Best Practices

On 4 November 2016, South African Energy Minister Tina Joemat-Pettersson launched the Ngwaabe Integrated Energy Centre (IeC) at Feta-Kgomo Greater Tubatse Municipality. The Centre is a community project aimed at enhancing access to energy in rural areas and is a one-stop energy shop owned and operated by a community Cooperative project. It provides energy solutions to communities, access to affordable, safe and sustainable energy services. Key area 9: District Energy Systems (DES)

On 8-9 November 2016, the 11th Annual 2016 Southern African Energy Efficiency Convention took place. It focused on a range of energy-related fields: energy engineering, efficiency improvement, facilities and building management, renewable and alternative energy, co-generation, power

---


generation, energy services and sustainability.2362 Key area 10: Energy Efficiency Knowledge Sharing Framework

South Africa has taken actions to improve energy efficiency in four key areas of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Irina Popova

Turkey: −1

Turkey has not complied with the commitment on energy efficiency.

In Turkey several policies on energy efficiency in buildings and industry are being implemented. “Improving Energy Efficiency in Building Sector” policy was launched in 2008 and requires buildings “to meet the minimum performance criteria and standards concerning architecture, heat insulation, heating and cooling systems and electrification.”

“Support Scheme for Energy Efficiency in Industry” was also launched in 2008 by Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources in order to lower CO2 emissions in industry.

Also on 27 February 2012 The Energy Efficiency Strategy 2012 – 2023 was approved by Turkey’s High Planning Council and set a long-term target of 20 per cent reduction in energy intensity by 2023 compared to 2011 figures. The strategy document provides a roadmap of energy-efficiency actions for all sectors of Turkey’s economy. It also identifies measurable, concrete policy activities necessary for reaching the targets.2363 This plan includes actions on several key areas of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme: key area 1: vehicles, key area 3: finance, key area 4: buildings, key area 5: industrial processes (Industrial Energy Management), key area 6: electricity generation (High-Efficiency Low Emissions – HELE)

Although Turkey has several working projects on energy efficiency, no actions in the area within the compliance period have been registered.

Turkey has not taken any actions to improve energy efficiency in any key areas of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme. Thus, it receives a score of −1.

Analyst: Irina Popova

United Kingdom: 0

The United Kingdom has partially complied with the commitment on energy efficiency.

On 23 October 2016, the government committed an additional GBP4 million to the Plug-In Van grant scheme extending the eligibility to larger electric vehicles. Electric trucks above 3.5 tonnes eligible for grants of up to GBP20,000. Scheme will help improve air quality in towns and cities.2364 Key area 1: Vehicles

On 9 November 2016, the UK and Indian governments announced the creation of a GBP7.4 million research fund established to improve energy demand reduction in the built environment… in an
attempt to “improve health and wellbeing and lower energy costs for building users.”

Key area 8: Sharing Best Available Technologies (BATs) and Best Practices

On 9 November 2016, British Deputy High Commissioner in Mumbai, Kumar Iyer said: “I am delighted to witness the collaboration between Sensus and MSEDCL. This is a great example of UK-India collaboration on enhancing energy security. This partnership aligns with the Government of India’s National Smart Grid Mission. The UK is committed to working closely with India on innovative technologies to help meet its electricity demand. With Sensus deploying its Advance Metering Infrastructure solution in Maharashtra, I am certain that it will help in improving access to electricity in India.”

“MSEDCL has been a leading utility in India in testing and deploying new technology in Electricity distribution. It gives us immense pleasure to implement communication technology of M/s. Sensus in the field of advance metering infrastructure on trial basis. We are hopeful that trial run will help us and establishing utility of the robust AMI solution of M/s. Sensus on affordable cost. We look forward to further advance this partnership resulting into mutually beneficial relationship,” said CMD MSEDCL, Sanjeev Kumar.

Key area 11: Energy End-Use-Data and Energy Efficiency Metrics

On 10 November 2016, Energy UK’s fourth Annual Conference – “Progress to our energy future” took place. During the conference industry-wide delegates met with government, energy experts and consumer bodies to discuss the “future energy mix, consumer engagement, technology and innovation and financing our future energy system.”

Key area 8: Sharing Best Available Technologies (BATs) and Best Practices

On 22 December 2016, UK Energy Statistics, Q3 2016 was published. According to it, “half of the UK’s electricity came from wind turbines, solar panels, wood burning and nuclear reactors between July and September.” This is the record number for the electricity generated from low-carbon sources.

Key area 6: Electricity Generation (High-Efficiency Low Emissions – HELE)

The UK has taken actions to improve energy efficiency in four key areas of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Elina Nizamova

United States: 0

The United States has partially complied with the commitment on energy efficiency.

On 22 November 2016, the Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, which is the part of the Exascale Computing Project and a major component of President Obama’s National Strategic Computing Initiative, declared that it was developing a computer program that would run on the next generation

---


of supercomputers with the aim of bringing “fusion energy closer to reality and has already succeed in computer codes combinations (called GENE and XGC), which provide a holistic view of the entire plasma volume.”

On 22-23 November 2016, the US Department of Energy’s Indian Energy Office held a Tribal Energy Program review meetings to enable tribes and Alaska Native villages to share their experience, successes and practices (to explore or deploy weatherization, efficiency of energy, and renewable energy technologies).

On 30 November 2016, the US Department of Energy’s (DOE’s) Bioenergy Technologies Office declared the Bioenergy Separations Consortium establishment — “a consortium of eight DOE national laboratories leading coordinated research to move cost-effective, high-performing separations technologies to market.”

On 5-6 October 2016, the Biorefinery Optimization Workshop, hosted by the US Department of Energy’s Bioenergy Technologies Office, was held in Chicago, Illinois. The aim was to advance the current capabilities, barriers, and opportunities understanding for integrated biorefineries working on biofuels, biochemicals, and bioproducts production.

On 11 October 2016, the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, a part of The US Department of Energy’s Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, leading petroleum refining technologies supplier W.R. Grace and leading pilot plant designer Zeton Inc. “built a unique pilot-scale facility that can produce biomass-derived fuel intermediates with existing petroleum refinery infrastructure.”

On 1 December 2016, the US Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, on behalf of the Bioenergy Technologies Office, announced the plan of “issuing a funding opportunity announcement for up to USD8 million for the Algae-Based Biofuels Development.”

The US has taken actions to improve energy efficiency in six key areas of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Irina Sedova

---


European Union: 0
European Union has partially complied with the commitment on energy efficiency.

On 9 September 2016, the European Commission leaders and Energy Ministers from 12 EU and Energy Community countries in Central and South-Eastern Europe signed infrastructure and regional cooperation agreements related to the European Commission Initiative on Central and South-Eastern European Gas Connectivity (CESEC). Ministers decided to move the CESEC’s cooperation into new areas, in particular to boost renewable energy and energy efficiency.2375 Key area 3: Finance

On 9 September 2016, the European Commission approved support for a Combined Heat and Power plant in Vilnius, Lithuania, under EU state aid rules. The project will get state aid granted by the Government of Lithuania. The Commission found that the project promotes energy efficiency with expected energy savings of around 40 per cent. The Commission concluded that the state aid would not lead to undue competition distortions and is in line with EU rules.2376 Key areas 3 and 5: Finance, Industrial Processes (Industrial Energy Management)

On 7 October 2016, European Commission Vice-President Šefčovič announced that the next EU steps in energy efficiency will include the revision and update of the Energy Efficiency Directive and the Energy Performance of Buildings Directive. The aim is to update the existing energy efficiency policy and legal framework to reflect the new energy efficiency target for 2030.2377 Key area 4: Buildings

On 12 October 2016, the Commission launched a new web portal "One-stop shop" which provides up-to-date information on EU policies such as climate change adaptation, mobility or circular economy that directly impact cities and urban areas. Cities can also get information on financing opportunities under the different EU funding instruments. It will help cities to address challenges, such as affordable housing, energy efficiency or accessibility.2378 Key area 10: Energy Efficiency Knowledge Sharing Framework

On 24 October 2016, Commissioner Arias Cañete gave a speech at the Business Europe Power Market Event. He stated that the EU will allocate 17 billion euros to energy projects targeting renewables, energy efficiency and smart meters deployment. The priority will be given to the building sector.2379 Key area 3: Finance

On 26 October 2016, the European Union announced new programs to support sustainable development in Latin America and the Caribbean. EUR9.2 million were allocated to the technical assistance program for sustainable energy in the Caribbean region. The assistance will be clustered in three main areas: 1) adopting regulatory frameworks that enable investments in new renewable energy

and energy efficiency technologies; 2) setting up financing mechanisms for the development of energy efficiency and renewable energy projects; 3) improving information and statistics. Key areas 3 and 8: Finance, Sharing Best Available Technologies (BATs) and Best Practices

On 27 October 2016, Commissioner Arias Cañete announced that the EU will create a Smart Finance for Smart Buildings Initiative in order to help finance renovation and retrofitting in largely inefficient housing stock. The Initiative will help remove the barriers in this sector, aggregate demand and de-risk investments. Key areas 3 and 4: Finance, Buildings

On 11 November 2016, the European Commission announced the investment plan for energy efficiency and innovation in Finland worth EUR170 million. It will support two innovative companies in Finland in the form of loans from the European Investment Bank. The first of them is a EUR150 million loan to SATO to construct new near-zero-energy buildings in urban areas around Finland. Key areas 3 and 4: Finance, Buildings

On 24 November 2016, European Commission Vice-President for Energy Union Maroš Šefčovič and Energy Minister of Ukraine, Ihor Nasalyk, signed a new Memorandum of Understanding on a Strategic Energy Partnership between the EU and Ukraine. The MOU will broaden the cooperation in all areas, including energy efficiency and renewable energy. Key area 10: Energy Efficiency Knowledge Sharing Framework

European Union has taken actions to improve energy efficiency in five key areas of the G20 Energy Efficiency Leading Programme. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Aydar Shakirov

---

17. Trade: Trade Costs

“We endorse the G20 Strategy for Global Trade Growth, under which the G20 will lead by example to lower trade costs”

_G20 Leaders' Communiqué: Hangzhou Summit_

### Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>No Compliance</th>
<th>Work in Progress</th>
<th>Full Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>+0.70</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Background

The G20 never mentioned the trade costs in the summit documents before the 2016 Hangzhou Summit. The G20 supported ratification and implementation of the Trade Facilitation Agreement (TFA) after its adoption in December 2013. At the 2014 Brisbane Summit the G20 Leaders committed to increase the “efforts to implement all the elements of the Bali Package, including those on agriculture, development, public stock holding as well as the prompt ratification and implementation of the Trade Facilitation Agreement.”

At the 2016 Hangzhou Summit the G20 committed to ratify the TFA by the end of 2016 and called on other WTO members to do the same.

### Commitment Features

At the G20 Hangzhou summit the G20 Leaders adopted the G20 Strategy for Global Trade Growth (SGTG). It consists of seven trade-related areas, including lowering trade costs. It mentions the

---

WTO Trade Facilitation Agreement (TFA) which aims to significantly lower global trade costs and positively impact on trade for development and the integration of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) into global value chains (GVCs). G20 members thus committed to further actions on reducing trade costs and encourage all WTO members to fully implement the TFA. G20 members also agreed that capacity building and technical assistance will be critically important to ensure developing countries most in need are able to meet this objective, and will continue to support efforts in this regard.

The activities aimed at reducing trade costs could include the following:

• efficiency of customs and border clearance;
• quality of trade and transport infrastructure;
• ease of arranging competitively priced shipments;
• competence and quality of logistics services;
• ability to track and trace consignments;
• frequency with which shipments reach consignees within scheduled or expected delivery times.\(^{2387}\)

The activity in the area of reducing trade costs in partner countries include:

• Access to trade finance;
• Tariffs, fees;
• Network infrastructure;
• Non-Tariff Measures;
• Transport infrastructure;
• Border procedures.\(^{2388}\)

To achieve full compliance with this commitment the G20 member must take actions to lower trade costs nationally and assist developing countries in lowering trade costs.

**Scoring Guidelines**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Member does not take actions to lower trade costs nationally AND assist developing countries in lowering trade costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Member takes actions to lower trade costs nationally OR assist developing countries in lowering trade costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>Member takes actions to lower trade costs nationally AND assist developing countries in lowering trade costs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Argentina: 0**

Argentina has partially complied with the commitment on lowering trade costs.

As of 25 December 2016, Argentina has not ratified the WTO Trade Facilitation Agreement (TFA).\(^{2389}\)

On 3 October 2016, negotiations between the President of the Argentina Mauricio Macri and President of Brazil Michel Temer were held in Buenos Aires.\(^{2390}\) The Joint Declaration on Dialogue

---


for Trade Facilitation and Productive Development between the Ministry of Production of Argentina and the Ministry of Industry, Foreign Trade and Services of Brazil was signed as well as the Joint Declaration on Simplification of Foreign Trade Procedures for Micro and Small Enterprises. According to the second Declaration, a permanent dialogue on simplification of foreign trade procedures for micro and small enterprises at the bilateral and MERCOSUR level will be launched. The parties also expressed their intention to implement measures to increase efficiency and reduce trade costs, particularly by promoting use of the Digital Certificate of Origin (DOC) by micro and small enterprises.

On 10-14 October 2016, Argentina as MERCOSUR member country, participated in the XXVIth negotiation round of the trade part of the EU-Mercosur Association Agreement in Brussels. The participants of the round discussed a wide range of trade and economic issues, including customs and trade facilitation, technical barriers to trade, sanitary and phytosanitary measures, trade defence instruments etc. The participants consolidated a draft negotiation text on customs and trade facilitation building on the commitments of the WTO Trade Facilitation Agreement.

On 14-15 November 2016, the first round of negotiation on extending the Economic Complementation Agreement (ACE 6) between Mexico and Argentina was held in Mexico City. The expansion of ACE 6 aims to modernizes the existing legal framework in the economic sphere between the countries as well as provide new opportunities for entrepreneurs of both countries in order to facilitate and increase bilateral trade. The amended Agreement also aims to extend tariff preferences including new goods. The next round of negotiation will be held in Buenos Aires, Argentina in the first quarter of 2017.

On 27 November 2016, Prime Minister of Japan Shinzō Abe visited Argentina and met with Argentinian President Mauricio Macri. The leaders in particular discussed the process of negotiations on an agreement on mutual assistance in customs matters as well as the bilateral investment agreement aimed at avoiding double taxation and promote business relationships.

Argentina has taken actions to assist developing countries in lowering trade costs but failed to lower trade costs nationally. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Elizaveta Safonkina

Australia: +1

Australia has fully complied with the commitment on lowering trade costs.

10 October 2016, changes were introduced into the fee schedule for trademarks filed after providing thus a reduction in the fees for obtaining trademark registration. While the registration fee will no longer apply to applications filed after 10 October 2016, the trademark application fees will increase from USD200 to USD330. The combined effect of these changes is to reduce the basic fees for

registering a trademark from USD500 per class to USD330 per class.2396 This fact is reflected in the Intellectual Property Legislation Amendment (Fee Review) Regulation 2016.2397

On 24 November 2016, the Australian government announced it would develop a freight and supply chain strategy for Australia’s future. “Australia’s freight demands are expected to grow by nearly 50 per cent between 2015 and 2030, and this strategy will assess the increasing demand for improved infrastructure networks as demand for products increase domestically and internationally,” Minister for Infrastructure and Transport Darren Chester said.2398

On 13 –14 December 2016, "Trade Facilitation Reform: A Business and Government Partnership" Conference was held in Sydney. It brought governments and businesses from the Indo-Pacific together to find ways to make trade more efficient.2399

According to Australian Aid Budget Summary published in May 2016,2400 in 2016–17, Australia’s trade facilitation investments will:

- support APEC developing economies with technical assistance and capacity building to
- encourage unilateral reform, promote open and transparent markets and deepen regional economic integration.
- support the International Labour Organization’s Better Work Programme, in partnership with the private sector, to help improve labour standards and reduce gender discrimination in apparel and footwear factories in developing countries;
- contribute to the Enhanced Integrated Framework of the World Trade Organization, to help least developed countries implement major trade-enabling reforms; support the Global Alliance for Trade Facilitation, in partnership with the private sector, to help developing countries move goods across borders more efficiently under the World Trade Organization’s Agreement on Trade Facilitation;

Total sum of investments is estimated in USD555.1 million.

Since 2012 Australia is supporting the Government of Laos’ trade facilitation and integration agenda to improve private enterprise opportunities and contribute to incomes and employment generation. It is also helping the Lao Government to simplify and improve the transparency of regulation for business and assisting Lao businesses develop growth plans, including those owned and managed by female entrepreneurs. The Australian government will provide an estimated USD40.7 million in total Official Development Assistance to Laos in 2016–17. This will include an estimated USD20.6 million in bilateral funding to Laos managed by the Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade.

---

benchmark for 2016-2017 is an additional 50 business activities approved by the Business Assistance Facility (including at least 30 percent from women owned businesses).\textsuperscript{2401} Australia has taken actions to both lower trade costs nationally and assisted developing countries in lowering trade costs. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Ildar Khalilyulin}

\textbf{Brazil: +1}

Brazil has fully complied with the commitment on lowering trade costs.

On 16 November 2016, it was reported that the operational costs of importing and exporting companies could be reduced by between 40 per cent and 60 per cent by voluntarily joining the Agro Authorized Economic Operator Program (OEA Integrated). The data were verified by Sweden, pioneer in the implantation of the system. The Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Supply will officially join the program on 13 December, coordinated by the Federal Revenue Service. The OEA Integrated is aligned with Agro+, a plan to reduce bureaucracy, simplify and modernize agribusiness. This program is an initiative of the International Customs Forum, launched in Brazil in 2014.\textsuperscript{2402}

On 10 November 2016, according to Permanent Delegation of Brazil to the WTO (14 October 2016), Brazil temporarily reduced (to 2 per cent) of import tariffs on 983 capital goods tariff lines and 47 informatics and telecommunications goods tariff lines, through the "ex-out" regime, as well as terminated on 6 October 2016 of anti-dumping duties on imports of glassine and other glazed transparent or translucent papers from France, Hungary, and Italy (investigation initiated on 19 April 2010. Provisional and definitive duties imposed on 2 June and 6 October 2011).\textsuperscript{2403}

On 13 October 2016, Brazilian government signed trade agreements with BRICS countries. During the 6th Meeting of BRICS Trade Ministers (Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa) in New Delhi, India, Minister Marcos Pereira signed a series of documents aimed at integrating trade and development of countries of the group. The agreement includes topics such as debureaucratization and trade facilitation, promotion of trade in services, cooperation between small enterprises, promotion of exports and greater coordination of the trade policies of the five countries.\textsuperscript{2404}

Brazil has taken actions to both lower trade costs nationally and assisted developing countries in lowering trade costs. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Sofia Streltsova}

\textbf{Canada: +1}

Canada has fully complied with the commitment on lowering trade costs.

On 30 October 2016, Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA) was signed between Canada and the European Union. Most of the agreement’s provisions are expected to enter into force


Canada has taken actions to both lower trade costs nationally and to assist developing countries in lowering trade costs. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Irina Popova}

\textbf{China: +1}

China has fully complied with the commitment on lowering trade costs.

On 29 September 2016, the State Council distributed Opinions on Promoting Steady and Sound Development of Foreign Trade. According to the Opinions, the Ministry of Commerce will work with General Administration of Customs, State Administration of Taxation, General Administration of Quality Supervision, Inspection and Quarantine, and State Administration of Foreign Exchange to incorporate China National Building Materials Group Corporation (CNBM), Ningbo Shimao Tong International Trade Corporation, Xiamen Justsun Supply Chain Corporation and Guangdong Well Full Corporation into the pilot enterprises of comprehensive service of foreign trade, so as to exploit the management modes that benefit the development of comprehensive service enterprises of foreign trade (hereinafter referred to as the comprehensive service enterprises).\footnote{Five Departments Including Ministry of Commerce Officially Launch the Pilot Work of Comprehensive Service Enterprisers of Foreign Trade, Ministry of Commerce of the People’s Republic of China 29.09.2016. Access date: 08 January 2017/ http://english.mofcom.gov.cn/article/newsrelease/significantnews/201611/20161101550215.shtml.}

On 28 September 2016, the State Administration of Taxation (“SAT”) issued the Announcement on Further Improving the Administration for the Export Tax Rebate (Exemption) of Comprehensive Service Enterprises in Foreign Trade Industry (“Announcement”) to improve the measures for the administration for the export rebate (exemption) of comprehensive service enterprises in foreign trade industry and boost the stability and development of foreign trade industry. “The tax department summarizes the classification standard and regulatory measures for the comprehensive service enterprises in foreign trade industry, and details the review and verification work and timeframe when different types of enterprises declare the export tax rebate.” The related leader of the SAT’s Goods and Services Taxation Department said. The Announcement has “reduced tax burden” and
“removed some restrictions” for foreign trade enterprises and created a more favorable business development.  

On 4 November 2016, the Vice Minister of Commerce Qian Keming jointly presided over the 10th China-Mauritius Joint Committee of Economics and Trade with the Minister of Finance and Economic Development of Mauritius Pravind Kumar Jugnauth. After the meeting, both sides signed a MOU to start feasibility research on China-Mauritius free trade agreement and announced to officially launch the joint feasibility research on the bilateral free trade agreement. Both sides agreed to carry out comprehensive studies on the fields about which they both are concerned as soon as possible. The joint feasibility research on the free trade agreement between China and Mauritius is the joint feasibility research on the free trade agreement carried out between China and African regions.

China has taken both actions to lower trade costs nationally and to assist developing countries in lowering trade costs. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Kirill Krivosheyev*

**France: +1**

France has fully complied with the commitment on lowering trade costs.

On 29 November 2016, the first economic conference between France and the Nordic countries was held. The representatives of the French government announced that gradual reduction of the corporate tax rate down to 28 per cent in 2020 is planned starting in 2017. The following taxes for small and medium enterprises (SMEs) will be brought closer to the European average: crédit d’impôt compétitivité emploi (CICE – tax credit for encouraging competitiveness and job creation); crédit d’Impôt Recherche (CIR – research tax credit) and crédit d’impôt innovation (CII – innovation tax credit).

On 30 October 2016, Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA) was signed between Canada and the European Union. Most of the agreement’s provisions are expected to enter into force in early 2017. It is expected that liberalization of trade in goods and services could boost Canada-EU trade by 20 per cent.

In November 2016, the Government of France donated EUR50,000 to help the poorest members of the WTO implement food safety, animal health and plant health standards. The objective is to help these countries participate more actively in global agricultural trade. WTO Director-General Roberto Azevêdo said: “France’s donation is very welcome. It will help developing and least-developed countries to implement food safety, animal health and plant health standards so that they can participate more effectively in world trade.”

---

2413 France donates EUR 50,000 to support food safety, animal/plant health standards and trade, WTO 23.11.2016. Access date: 8 December 2016 https://www.wto.org/english/news_e/pres16_e/pr785_e.htm
France has taken actions to lower trade costs nationally and assisted developing countries in lowering trade costs. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

*Analysts: Mark Rakhmangulov & Anastasiya Polovko*

**Germany: +1**

Germany has fully complied with the commitment on lowering trade costs.

On 15 December 2016, the Federal Minister for Development Gerd Müller, and the Minister for Economic Affairs and Energy Sigmar Gabriel, presented a new initiative aimed at promoting trade with Africa. The initiative includes the development of finance instruments, export credits and investment guarantees from the Federal Government.2414

On 28 October 2016, the Federal Network Agency asked the four German electricity transmission system operators to regulate the electricity transport capacities on the German-Austrian border. With the introduction of bottleneck management on the German-Austrian border by summer 2018, Germany is responding to demands from other neighboring countries and the recommendation of the European regulatory authority.2415

On 13 October 2016, the Federal Interministerial Committee for Export Credit Guarantees (IMA) made an important principle decision on the implementation of the new export strategy. It is planned to further develop the coverage of foreign supplies. At the same time, the IMA decided to extend the possibility of lowering the self-participation by three more years to improve export opportunities, particularly for small and medium-sized enterprises.2416

On 11 October 2016, State Secretary Matthias Machnig together with the Ukrainian Deputy Prime Minister Gennady Subko opened the Ukrainian Chamber of Industry and Commerce in Kiev, which is financially supported by funds from the German Federal Ministry of Economic Affairs and Energy. State Secretary Machnig together with Subko discussed the engagement of foreign companies in Ukraine in the framework of the High Level Group for Economic Cooperation.2417

Germany has taken actions to lower trade costs nationally and to assist developing countries in lowering trade costs. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Elizaveta Nekrasova*

**India: +1**

India has fully complied with the commitment on lowering trade costs.

On 23 September 2016 to 31 October 2016, India improved World Bank Logistics Performance Index. According to the World Bank’s Logistics Performance Index (LPI) report for 2016, India

---


ranked 35th among 160 countries. The LPI analyses countries across six components: (i) quality of trade and transport infrastructure, (ii) competence and quality of logistics services, (iii) efficiency of customs and border management clearance, (iv) ease of arranging competitively priced shipments, (v) ability to track and trace consignments and (vi) frequency with which shipments reach consignees within scheduled or expected delivery times. India reached significant improvement in all listed spheres, which allowed it to enhance its position.\(^{2418}\)

According to WTO Report on G-20 Trade Measures (mid-May 2016 to mid-October 2016) India has implemented temporary reduction of import tariffs (from 30 per cent to 10 per cent) on potatoes (HS 0701.90.00), (from 12.5 per cent to 7.5 per cent) on crude palm oil of edible grade and (from 20 per cent to 15 per cent) on refined palm oil of edible grade (HS 1511) (effective 23 September 2016). Moreover, on 3 October 2016 there was elimination of import tariffs on technetium-99m (HS 2844).\(^{2419}\)

On 15 to 17 October in Goa, India held the 8\(^{\text{th}}\) BRICS summit. During the summit, BRICS countries signed the Statute on the establishment of the Customs Cooperation Committee of BRICS countries. This event was an important step towards creating a legal and regulatory framework in the field of customs cooperation in the framework of the association.\(^{2420}\)

India takes actions to lower trade costs nationally and assists developing countries in lowering trade costs. Thus, it receives a score of + 1.

\textit{Analyst: Evgeny Tsarik}

**Indonesia: +1**

Indonesia has fully complied with the commitment on lowering trade costs.

On 20-21 September 2016, the first session of the Indonesia – European Union Comprehensive Economic Partnership Agreement took place in Brussels, discussing the issues of market access for trade in goods and services, customs and trade facilitation, technical regulations in technical barriers to trade, intellectual property rights, sustainable trade, policy transparency and others.\(^{2421}\)

On 22 November 2016, it was announced that Indonesia’s government would soon release the fifteenth economic policy package to maintain structural reform momentum, which is expected to include clauses on deregulation and infrastructure development\(^{2422}\) and hence might contribute to lowering trade costs.

On 17-21 October 2016, Indonesia took part in the 15th round of negotiations for the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) was held in China, covering the issues of trade in goods, trade in services, investment, rules of origin, intellectual property, competition, electronic commerce.  

On 3-4 November 2016, Indonesia took part in the second RCEP Intersessional Ministerial Meeting was held in the Philippines, covering the issues of trade in goods, trade in services, investment, as well as the way forward for future negotiations.

On 22 November 2016, it was reported that Indonesia’s government pledged to keep its market open for trade and investment and fight against protectionism as part of its commitment to the 2016 Leaders’ Declaration of the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC), and to continue pushing for economic reform to boost trade with Indonesia’s APEC partners.

On 8 December 2016, Indonesia’s Trade Ministry director general for international trade negotiation Iman Pamagyo announced Indonesia’s trade negotiation priorities for 2017, which include conclusion of comprehensive economic partnership agreements with European Union and Australia, RCEP agreement, and free trade area agreements with Peru and Chile.

On 13 December 2016, it was reported that Indonesia and India had signed the memorandum of understanding aiming to increase cooperation on trade standardization, which as assessed by the Indonesian President Joko Widodo as an important tool to help increase trade between the two countries and support greater economic cooperation.

Indonesia took actions to lower trade costs nationally and assisted developing countries in lowering trade costs. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Pavel Doronin

Italy: 0

Italy has partially complied with the commitment on lowering trade costs.

According to the Global Enabling Trade Report 2016 (developed by the World Economic Forum and the Global Alliance for Trade Facilitation), Italy became 36th in 2016 ranking and made significant progress to reach other advanced European countries. The improvement in border administration was the second widest globally. The overall quality of infrastructure has also

---


improved, driven by advancement of the air transport, of the road network and in the availability and use of ICTs.\textsuperscript{2428}

Italy has ratified Trade Facilitation Agreement.\textsuperscript{2429}

On 22 September 2016, Italian Ambassador to Iran Mauro Conciatori, in a meeting with Hormuzgan Governor General Jasem Jadari in Bandar Abbas, voiced Rome’s willingness to expand economic and industrial relations with Tehran. According to officials, cooperation will take place in, infrastructure, railway, airport construction and shipping among other issues.\textsuperscript{2430}

On 29 November 2016, Italian Deputy Minister for Economic Development, Ivan Scalfarotto and New Zealand Minister of Trade, Todd McClay, have jointly hosted a business event in Milan and committed to doubling two-way trade between the two countries. The parties stressed that one of the ways to reach the goal is to sign EU-New Zealand Free Trade Agreement.\textsuperscript{2431}

Italy has taken actions to assist developing countries in lowering trade costs but failed to lower trade costs nationally. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Irina Popova}

\textbf{Japan: +1}

Japan has fully complied with the commitment on lowering trade costs.

On 15 September 2016, the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry released “Basic Policies for Future-oriented Trade Practices.”\textsuperscript{2432} The focal areas of the policies highlighted by the ministry include strictly dealing with inappropriate actions by main subcontracting enterprises, disseminating and establishing desirable trade practices and other actions contributing to fair trade and improvement of added value for both main subcontracting enterprises and subcontractors, and making efforts for improving trade environments across whole supply chains.

On 17-21 October 2016, Japan took part in 15th round of negotiations for the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) was held in China, covering the issues of trade in goods, trade in services, investment, rules of origin, intellectual property, competition, electronic commerce.\textsuperscript{2433}
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\caption{Figure 1: Illustration of Trade Facilitation Agreement Facility}
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\caption{Figure 2: Flowchart of Trade Facilitation Agreement Facility}
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\caption{Figure 3: Diagram of Trade Facilitation Agreement Facility}
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\caption{Figure 4: Graph of Trade Facilitation Agreement Facility}
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\caption{Figure 5: Table of Trade Facilitation Agreement Facility}
\end{figure}

On 3-4 November 2016, Japan took part in the second RCEP Intersessional Ministerial Meeting was held in the Philippines, covering the issues of trade in goods, trade in services, investment, as well as the way forward for future negotiations.  

On 2 November 2016, during the visit of Japanese Prime Minister Shinzo Abe to Myanmar, it was announced that Myanmar would receive a more than USD10 billion worth aid package from Japan, part of which to be spent on infrastructure development and capacity building. In addition, November 2016 was marked by the final stage of the Myanmar – Japan joint project on automation of customs processes, specifically, Myanmar Automated Cargo Clearance System was switched to regular operations mode.

In November 2016, during the visit of Prime Minister Abe to Argentina, the countries announced the start of formal negotiations for a pact on mutual assistance in customs matters, as well as of informal consultations for concluding an agreement to help avoid double taxation in order to further enhance bilateral investment and economic exchanges.

Japan has taken actions to lower trade costs nationally and assisted developing countries in lowering trade costs. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Pavel Doronin

Korea: 0

Korea has partially complied with the commitment on lowering trade costs.

On 17-21 October 2016, Korea took part in the 15th round of negotiations for the RCEP was held in China, covering the issues of trade in goods, trade in services, investment, rules of origin, intellectual property, competition, electronic commerce.

On 3-4 November 2016, Korea took part in the second RCEP Intersessional Ministerial Meeting was held in the Philippines, covering the issues of trade in goods, trade in services, investment, as well as the way forward for future negotiations.

On 11 November 2016, an emergency meeting with the Ministry of Trade, Industry and Energy and other trade policy related ministries of Korea took place in Seoul to discuss trade issues arising from US President Elect Donald Trump threats to revise the US – Korea Free Trade Agreement (FTA) agreement. The meeting inspected the key pending US – Korea trade issues as part of an effort to strengthen the FTA execution mechanism, sought various solutions to those issues, such as

---

reinforcing bilateral and multilateral communication channels, reinforcing the public-private joint response system, and announced continuous and close monitoring of the US trade policy.

On 17 November 2016, it was announced that Korea had reached a free trade agreement with Nicaragua, Costa Rica, Guatemala, Honduras, El Salvador and Panama, having certain provisions for market access.\(^\text{2440}\)

With respect to assisting the developing countries in reducing trade costs, Korea is well-known globally as a major contributor to these processes. However, no new measures in this domain taken by Korea during and before the compliance period have been registered.

Korea has taken actions to assist developing countries in lowering trade costs but failed to lower trade costs nationally. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

**Analyst: Pavel Doronin**

**Mexico: +1**

Mexico has fully complied with the commitment on lowering trade costs.

On 26 July 2016, Mexico ratified the WTO Trade Facilitation Agreement (TFA).\(^\text{2441}\)

On 27-29 September 2016, the fifth round of negotiations on expanding the Economic Complementation Agreement No. 53 (ACE 53) between Mexico and Brazil was held in Mexico City. The discussion of the round focused on trade facilitation, removing technical barriers to trade and improving regulatory coherence. The review of the Agreement also aims to expand preferential tariffs as well as update and modernize the existing legal framework to stimulate economic operators to increase their commercial activities. The work on expanding the Agreement is carried out in line with Mexican strategy of trade integration with the Latin American countries. The fifth round of negotiations was attended by the private sector representatives.\(^\text{2442}\)

On 12 October 2016, Mexican Minister of Finance José Antonio Meade and US Secretary of the Department of Homeland Security Jeh Johnson held a working meeting to discuss progress on major bilateral customs initiatives aimed at trade facilitation and strengthening border security. The participants of the meeting emphasized achievements in implementing of the Cargo Pre-Inspection Program (Programa de Pre-inspección de Carga), a mechanism that already operates at Laredo and Texas airports as well as customs point in Mesa de Oway, Baja California state. José Antonio Meade and Jeh Johnson said that the program should be expanded and announced the launch of pilot project at the San Jerónimo Industrial Park in Chihuahua state for shipment computers and electronic equipment to the United States as well as air cargo to Mexico. José Antonio Meade and Jeh Johnson welcomed the progress in cross-border customs clearance and promoting security.\(^\text{2443}\)

On 27 October 2016, Mexico and Colombia signed a number of agreements in spheres of trade, consumer protection, regulatory policy, etc. on the occasion of the meeting between the Mexican

---


---
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President Enrique Peña Nieto and President of Colombia Juan Manuel Santos on the eve of the XXV Ibero-American Summit held in Cartagena.\textsuperscript{2444} In particular the Memorandum of Understanding between the National Bank for Foreign Trade (Banco Nacional de Comercio Exterior, BANCOMEXT) and Colombian Foreign Trade Bank (Banco De Comercio Exterior De Colombia, BANCOLDEX S.A.) was signed aimed at facilitating and increasing bilateral trade and investment flows.\textsuperscript{2445} In accordance with the MoU BANCOMEXT and BANCOLDEX S.A. will provide the Mexican and Colombian companies with financial and non-financial services in particular of export / import financing hereby contributing to decrease of trade costs.\textsuperscript{2446}

On 14-15 November 2016, the first round of negotiation on extending the Economic Complementation Agreement (ACE 6) between Mexico and Argentina was held in Mexico City. The expansion of ACE 6 which is in force since 1987 aims to modernizes the existing legal framework in the economic sphere between the countries as well as provide new opportunities for entrepreneurs of both countries in order to facilitate and increase bilateral trade. The amended Agreement also aims to extend tariff preferences including new goods. The next round of negotiation will be held in Buenos Aires, Argentina in the first quarter of 2017.\textsuperscript{2447}

On 22-25 November 2016, the second round of negotiations for modernising the trade pillar of the EU-Mexico Global Agreement was held in Mexico City following the first round held in Brussels, Belgium on 13-14 June 2016.\textsuperscript{2448} Mexico and the EU intend to include an ambitious chapter building on WTO Agreement provisions and recently concluded FTAs of each side to address technical barriers to trade (TBT) and provide regulatory coherence hereby facilitating trade.\textsuperscript{2449}

Mexico has taken actions to lower trade costs nationally AND assist developing countries in lowering trade costs. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Elizaveta Safonkina}

**Russia: 0**

Russia has partially complied with the commitment on lowering trade costs.

On 14 September 2016, a meeting of the working group on the implementation of Support of Access to Foreign Market and Export Action Plan (Roadmap) was held. Experts from the Federal Customs Service, the Ministry of Finance, the Ministry of Economic Development and other agencies concerned, as well as exporters and representatives of business associations discussed the implementation of individual items of the roadmap, including lowering trade costs. The main goals


of the updated roadmap include further improvement of export support mechanisms. The document was submitted to the Russian government for final approval.\textsuperscript{2450}

On 30 November 2016, the Russian government adopted the passport of the priority project on Systemic Measures of International Cooperation Development and Export. It is aimed at creating inductive environment for middle enterprises focused on exports and creating favourable regulation for exporters. The project will be implemented from November 2016 to December 2025.\textsuperscript{2451}

On 9 December 2016, at a meeting of the Presidium of the Russian Presidential Council on Strategic Development and Priority Projects the Government instructed the Ministry of Industry and Trade and other ministries and entities to develop a program of creating technical, regulatory, institutional and logistical environment conducive to increasing exports of small and medium enterprises (SMEs).\textsuperscript{2452}

Russia has taken actions to lower trade costs nationally but no action to assist developing countries in lowering trade costs have been registered during the compliance period. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Mark Rakhmangulov}

\textbf{Saudi Arabia: +1}

Saudi Arabia has fully complied with the commitment on lowering trade costs.

In 2016 the Ministry of Commerce and Investment announced that it will provide a service allowing Saudi manufacturers and exporters to print certificate of origin at the website coo.mci.gov.sa with no need to visit the ministry offices. The ministry will provide the certificate data electronically and ensure the validity and accuracy of the data contained in the certificate in order to facilitate procedures for the exporters.\textsuperscript{2453}

On 28 July 2016, Saudi Arabia ratified the WTO’s Trade Facilitation Agreement.\textsuperscript{2454}

On 19-20 October 2016, the Ministry of Commerce and Investment in cooperation with the WTO held the workshop “Trade facilitation” designed for international trade employees working in public and private sectors. The workshop was dedicated to the Trade facilitation agreement and its impact on facilitating exports and reducing trade costs.\textsuperscript{2455}

On 13 November 2016, the Ministry of Commerce and Investment held a workshop to discuss the prospects of the free trade agreement between the Gulf Cooperation Council and China. In

particular, the participants debated the draft chapters of the economic feasibility study signed by the Gulf Cooperation Council for this free trade agreement.2456

On 17 November 2016, the Ministry of Commerce and Investment held a meeting with representatives of retailers in Saudi Arabia in the context of "Partners 2030" initiative. The parties debated on facilitating trade procedures by automating them and speeding up the entry of goods from the ports.2457

Saudi Arabia has taken actions to lower trade costs nationally and assisted developing countries in lowering trade costs. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Aydar Shakirov**

**South Africa: +1**

South Africa has fully complied with the commitment on lowering trade costs.

On 26 September 2016, the Manifest Processing System (automated solution for the receipt and processing of prescribed reports in respect of international cargo to be imported into, or to be exported from South Africa) has been enhanced with paperless benefits.2458

On 14 October 2016, the automation of Provisional Payments for Customs Imports Declaration and Activation of Preferential Codes took place in South Africa to offer improved and efficient customs service.2459

On 10 October 2016, the Economic Partnership Agreement between the EU and five southern African countries - Botswana, Lesotho, Namibia, Swaziland and South Africa – entered into effect. It gives the first four countries duty-free, quota-free access to the EU while also benefiting South Africa which will have enhanced market access. The African markets will open partially to EU exports, providing their industries with the requisite intermediary goods.2460

On 15-17 October 2016, the 8th BRICS summit was held in Goa, India. During the summit, BRICS countries signed the Statute on the establishment of the Customs Cooperation Committee of BRICS countries. This event was an important step towards creating a legal and regulatory framework in the field of customs cooperation in the framework of the association.2461

---

On 17 October 2016, Updated Customs Provisional Payments external guides were issued. The purpose of the guides was to ensure uniform implementation of Customs procedures in the Customs declaration process.

South Africa has taken actions to lower trade costs nationally and assisted developing countries in lowering trade costs. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Irina Popova

Turkey: 0

Turkey has partially complied with the commitment on lowering trade costs.

On 11 November 2016, Minister of Customs and Trade of Turkey, Mr. Bülent Tüfenkci, and Chairman of the State Customs Committee of Belarus, Mr. Yuri Šenko, signed an Agreement on Mutual Trade Promotion System between the two countries.

On November 23-24 2016, VII International Forum on the Role of Customs Administrations on Promoting and Facilitating Trade among Silk Road Countries, was held in Aktau, Kazakhstan. On the sidelines of the Forum, bilateral meeting between the Turkey and Georgia were held. During the meetings cooperation at the border crossing points Sarp-Sarpi. The Project of Joint Use of Border Gates and other projects were discussed.

On 17-19 October 2016, Turkish Deputy Minister Mr. Fatih Çiftci represented the Ministry of Customs and Trade at the 7th Meeting of the Council of Heads of Customs Administration of Economic Cooperation Organization. The main issues discussed at the meeting included entry into force of the Agreement on Establishment and Operation of Economic Cooperation Organization (ECO) Smuggling and Customs Offences Data Bank and other issues and agreements connected with customs and trade facilitation.

Turkey has taken actions to assist developing countries in lowering trade costs but failed to lower trade costs nationally. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Irina Popova

United Kingdom: 0

United Kingdom has partially complied with the commitment on lowering trade costs.

On 1 March 2016, FoodTrade Eastern and Southern Africa (ESA) was launched. The ESA is a five-year trade enhancement and promotion programme focusing on staple food crops. It operates in nine East and Southern African countries. The programme works to “improve storage, inputs and service
markets, information and coordination mechanisms and policy and regulation with the aim to get more people trading in regional staple food markets. On 23 September 2016, Corridors for Growth program was launched by Department for International Development to increase Tanzania’s infrastructure capacity and trade opportunities. Project budget is GBP71,000,000. On 2 November 2016, the government announced an investment up to GBP1 billion to support trade and development in Colombia. The government’s export credit agency will provide up to GBP1 billion in support for UK trade with Colombia to support rural and post-conflict projects. On 24 November 2016, a list of suspension and quota applications round: 1 July 2017 was published. It provides a list and current status of applications for the 1 July 2017 round of the European Union temporary duty suspensions and tariff quotas scheme. This scheme allows “the duty free importation into the EU of raw materials, components and semi-finished products which cannot be supplied, or supplied in sufficient quantities, from EU or Turkish manufacturers and are used in a process to make another product.” The United Kingdom has taken actions to assist developing countries in lowering trade costs but failed to lower trade costs nationally. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

Analysts: Irina Popova and Anrey Shelepov

**United States: +1**

The United States has fully complied with the commitment on lowering trade costs.

On 16 November 2016, US Customs and Border Protection announced the process of preparing for installation systems, through which the trade community would report imports/ exports and the government would determine admissibility. The Automated Commercial Environment (ACE) aims at lowering trade costs nationally. It is being developed for the electronic importing and exporting of goods facilitation and trade processing modernization across all sectors.

On 22 November, the US government has contributed USD600,000 to encourage developing countries and least-developed countries participation in negotiations on global trade.

On 15 November 2016, Agriculture Secretary Tom Vilsack reported that the US Department of Agriculture’s (USDA) Foreign Agricultural Service (FAS) was “awarding USD200 million to more than 70 US agricultural organizations to help expand export markets for US farm and food products.

---


through the Market Access Program (MAP) and the Foreign Market Development (FMD) Program.\textsuperscript{2473}

On 21 September 2016, the US Department of Agriculture (USDA) accepted applications for projects funded under the Facility Guarantee Program (FGP). Deputy Under Secretary for Farm and Foreign Agricultural Services Jonathan Cordone reported at the US-Africa Business Forum in New York. The program provides credit guarantees to contribute to establishment or up graduation of facilities or infrastructure in developing markets, “enhancing the ability of those countries to import US agricultural commodities.”\textsuperscript{2474}

The US has taken actions to lower trade costs nationally and assist developing countries in lowering trade costs. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Irina Sedova}

\textbf{European Union: +1}

The European Union has fully complied with the commitment on lowering trade costs.

On 20-21 September 2016, the first session of the Indonesia – European Union Comprehensive Economic Partnership Agreement took place in Brussels, discussing the issues of market access for trade in goods and services, customs and trade facilitation, technical regulations in technical barriers to trade, intellectual property rights, sustainable trade, policy transparency and others.\textsuperscript{2475}

On 28 September 2016, the European Commission proposed to simplify and harmonize export control rules. The specific measures aim to: 1) optimize licensing processes, introduce EU General Export Authorizations, simplify the controls on technology transfers; 2) avoid divergent levels of controls throughout the EU; 3) prevent the misuse of dual-use items in relation to terrorism.\textsuperscript{2476}

On 30 October 2016, the EU and Canada signed the Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement between the EU and Canada (CETA). 99 per cent of import duties will be eliminated, saving European exporters of industrial goods and agricultural products more than EUR500 million per year. CETA is a landmark accord that includes ambitious chapters on sustainable development, labor and the environment.\textsuperscript{2477}

On 9 November 2016, the European Commission presented a proposal for a new method for calculating dumping on imports from countries where there are significant market distortions or where the state has a pervasive influence on the economy. The adoption of a proposed new anti-
dumping methodology would allow the EU to impose higher anti-dumping duties in some instances while respecting WTO rules.\textsuperscript{2478}

On 10 October 2016, the Economic Partnership Agreement between the EU and five southern African countries - Botswana, Lesotho, Namibia, Swaziland and South Africa – entered into effect. It gives the first four countries duty-free, quota-free access to the EU while also benefiting South Africa which will have enhanced market access. The African markets will open partially to EU exports, providing their industries with the requisite intermediary goods.\textsuperscript{2479}

On 14 October 2016, the European Union signed a three-year EUR380,000 deal with UNCTAD to support Central African countries in cutting the costs of their cross-border trade. The deal enables UNCTAD to help Central African countries comply with different trade regulations, including the Trade Facilitation Agreement.\textsuperscript{2480}

The European Union has taken actions to lower trade costs nationally and assist developing countries in lowering trade costs. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Aydar Shakirov}


18. Investment

“We endorse the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking, which will help foster an open, transparent and conducive global policy environment for investment.”

_G20 Hangzhou Leaders’ Communiqué_

**Assessment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>No Compliance</th>
<th>Partial Compliance</th>
<th>Full Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Background**

The 2016 Chinese presidency was marked by the first G20 attempt to “foster an open, transparent and conducive global policy environment for investment.” The G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking were endorsed by the G20 Trade ministers at the meeting in Shanghai on 10 July 2016^{2481} and later supported by the leaders at the Hangzhou summit.^{2482}

**Commitment Features**

The G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking document contains nine principles, which the G20 members pledged to uphold, namely:

1. Recognizing the critical role of investment as an engine of economic growth in the global economy, Governments should avoid protectionism in relation to cross-border investment.
2. Investment policies should establish open, non-discriminatory, transparent and predictable conditions for investment.

---


3. Investment policies should provide legal certainty and strong protection to investors and investments, tangible and intangible, including access to effective mechanisms for the prevention and settlement of disputes, as well as to enforcement procedures. Dispute settlement procedures should be fair, open and transparent, with appropriate safeguards to prevent abuse.

4. Regulation relating to investment should be developed in a transparent manner with the opportunity for all stakeholders to participate, and embedded in an institutional framework based on the rule of law.

5. Investment policies and other policies that impact on investment should be coherent at both the national and international levels and aimed at fostering investment, consistent with the objectives of sustainable development and inclusive growth.

6. Governments reaffirm the right to regulate investment for legitimate public policy purposes.

7. Policies for investment promotion should, to maximize economic benefit, be effective and efficient, aimed at attracting and retaining investment, and matched by facilitation efforts that promote transparency and are conducive for investors to establish, conduct and expand their businesses.

8. Investment policies should promote and facilitate the observance by investors of international best practices and applicable instruments of responsible business conduct and corporate governance.

9. The international community should continue to cooperate and engage in dialogue with a view to maintaining an open and conducive policy environment for investment, and to address shared investment policy challenges.\(^{2483}\)

To achieve full compliance with the commitment members are required to take actions in accordance with the abovementioned principles, i.e. government actions which may affect investment policy, and investors’ decision-making process should not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking. Partial compliance allows for members to take both conforming and contravening actions during the monitoring period.

To assess the compliance with this commitment the exhaustive analysis of members’ investment policies should be undertaken, with all actions checked against the set of principles applicable in each situation.

**Scoring Guidelines**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Member makes only investment-related policy decisions which contravene the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Member makes both investment-related policy decisions which contravene and those which conform to the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>Member makes only investment-related policy decisions which conform to the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Argentina: 0**

Argentina has partially complied with the commitment on investment.

On 1 November 2016, President Mauricio Macri defended a program that lets Argentines who possess USD500 billion of undeclared overseas assets avoid more severe tax penalties by bringing back the money and investing it in the funds. The goal is to provide vital capital for infrastructure,

agricultural and housing projects. This measure contradicts the principle: Regulation relating to investment should be developed in a transparent manner with the opportunity for all stakeholders to participate, and embedded in an institutional framework based on the rule of law.

On 14 November 2016, the agreement for a loan from the World Bank entitled "Flood risk management support project for the city of Buenos Aires" was signed by Argentine Finance Minister Alfonso Prat Gay, and Buenos Aires City Governor Horacio Rodríguez Larreta. This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 16 November 2016, a Public Private Partnership law aimed at lowering regulatory barriers to foreign investment in Argentine public works projects was given final approval by Congress, a step welcomed by the government as it seeks to pull the country out of recession. This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 23 November 2016, during a meeting, the leaders of Japan and Argentina confirmed that their countries will facilitate public-private policy dialogue for improving the business environment in Argentina. This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 23 November 2016, Roberto Viton, a partner at agricultural advisory firm Valoral, stated that Argentine agricultural reforms could help it regain some of its long-lost share in the global beef market, with increased investment in the sector and high availability of feed. This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

Argentina took both measures which conformed and those that conformed to the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking. Thus, it receives a score of 0.

**Analyst: Angelina Khudoleeva**

**Australia: +1**

Australia has fully complied with the commitment on investment.

On 26 November 2016, a media-release informing that “the Turnbull Government would implement changes under the foreign investment framework to allow foreign buyers to purchase an off-the-plan dwelling when another foreign buyer has failed to reach settlement” was published. This action matches such principles as recognizing the critical role of investment as an engine of economic growth in the global economy; transparency of regulation relating to investment; efficiency

---


of policies for investment promotion. This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 24 November 2016, the guidance note on tax conditions was released. It includes a template for reporting to the Foreign Investment Review Board on compliance with tax conditions and descriptions of tax condition forms themselves. This action matches such principles as non-discriminatory nature of investment policies; transparency of regulation relating to investment. This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 28 September 2016, Australian Securities and Investments Commission (ASIC) issued a revised policy and regulatory framework for charities that raise investment funds. The framework removes regulatory barriers to the issue of financial products while strengthening protection for public investors. The changes are aimed at ensuring the policy is consistent with the objectives of confident and informed investors and fair and efficient markets. This action matches such principles as non-discriminatory and protective nature of investment policies and dispute settlements; transparency of the regulation relating to investment; efficiency of policies for investment promotion. This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

Australia has made only investment-related policy decisions which conform to the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Ildar Khalilyulin

Brazil: +1

Brazil has fully complied with the commitment on investment.

On 24 November 2016, Secretary of Planning and Economic Affairs Marcos Ferrari stressed the importance of fiscal adjustment so that the country can overcome the economic crisis. In his opinion, "The fiscal adjustment will stabilize the macroeconomic environment, aligning expectations and allowing the resumption of growth with the resumption of investment and the generation of employment and income". The secretary stressed the need for approval and implementation of the New Tax Regime, under discussion in Congress, and the Pension Reform to guarantee an environment of fiscal stability and attraction of investments. Moreover, according to Marcos Ferrari, the review of the regulatory framework for telecommunications, under discussion in the National Congress, will create new opportunities to make investments in Brazil feasible.

On 12 September 2016, to attract the interest of investors, Moreira Franco guaranteed that the projects under the Investment Partnership Program (IPP) will be carried out with more transparency, reliability and legal certainty, which complies with the principle 2 of the G20 Guiding Principles for Investment Policymaking.

---

for Global Investment Policymaking. These measures and the PPI comply with all the principles of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 13 September 2016, the Investment Partnership Program (PPI) was created by the government to expand and strengthen the relationship between the state and private initiative. The main objective is to generate jobs and growth for the country through new investments in infrastructure and privatization projects. This program will strengthen legal security, regulatory stability and modernize governance. In practice, the project will create new business opportunities and help Brazil to resume growth. This Program complies with the principles 2 and 7 of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 16 October 2016, attending the BRICS Business Council meeting, President Michel Temer encouraged the business of the other countries of the group to invest in Brazil. In his speech, Michel Temer listed the measures that are being put in place by the federal government to improve the business environment: reducing in bureaucratic procedures and operating costs as well as ensuring predictability and legal certainty. Stable rules of the Investment Partnerships Program (PPI) which is approved by the Senate present investment opportunities in 34 initial projects in the areas of ports, airports, highways, railways, energy, oil and gas, which also will allow creating new jobs and enhance economic growth. Besides, the President Michel Temer said that the Federal Constitution of 1988 opened the opportunity for concessions to decentralize administration, which is for the PPI. These measures and the PPI comply with all the principles of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

Brazil has made only investment-related policy decisions which conform to the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Sofia Streltsova**

**Canada: +1**

Canada has fully complied with the commitment on investment.

On 19 December 2016, the federal government released new guidelines on national security reviews under the Investment Canada Act (ICA). The guidelines are part of a new transparency initiative intended to encourage foreign investment by providing investors more information about a) the types of transactions that may require a national security review, and b) the factors considered by the government when assessing national security risk. This measure complies with all the principles of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

---

Canada has made only investment-related policy decisions which conform to the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Irina Popova*

**China: +1**

China has fully complied with the commitment on investment.

On 8-9 September 2016 Vice Premier Wang Yang attended the International Investment Forum 2016 and the 19th China International Fair for Investment and Trade (CIFIT), where he gave speech, emphasizing that China should follow the "Innovation, Coordination, Green, Open and Sharing" development concept as well as the consensus of G20 Hangzhou Summit, consider CIFIT to play the role of a bridge and ground platform for organizing cross-border investment and cooperation, further exploit the growing openness of the economy, improve business environment in order to make it more suitable for foreign invested enterprises and accelerate the construction of the new open economy pattern, which is meant to promote the sustainable growth of international investment and trade by means of practical actions. This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 26-30 September 2016, the 12th round of the EU-China investment negotiations took place in Brussels. The talks focused on such areas as: definitions ("investment", "covered investment", "investor", "juridical person/enterprise", "national/natural person"); fair and equitable treatment/minimum standard of treatment; expropriation; performance requirements; domestic regulation; dispute settlement; sustainable development and the EU text proposals on state owned enterprises; procedural fairness in competition related procedures and standard setting. This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 8 October 2016, the National Development and Reform Commission and the Ministry of Commerce issued the Announcement No.22 defining that the scale of the special management measures of the foreign investment would be implemented according to the limited and banned regulations of the Catalogue for Guidance of Foreign Investment (2015 Revised), and encouraged regulations with stock rights and senior management. This action was aimed at implementing of the requirements of the Decision of the 22nd Conference of the 12th Standing Committee of the National People’s Congress, on Modifying Four Laws Such as the Law on Foreign-funded Enterprises of the People’s Republic of China, adopted on 3 September 2016. This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On November 30, 2016 the State Administration of Foreign Exchange of China stated that China will always support legitimate and authentic direct investments in other countries. Administration, with the help of related departments will struggle fallacious investment activities in order to assure...

---


validity and authenticity of foreign direct investments. This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

China has made only investment-related decisions which conform to the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Kirill Krivosheyev**

**France: +1**

France has fully complied with the commitment on investment.

On 4 October 2016, the second edition of Invest in France was published. Relevant events were held at 66 embassies to promote France’s appeal to local economic decision-makers. The goal of this initiative was to make economic decision-makers and opinion-shapers aware of France’s assets, reforms relating to competitiveness and measures taken to better welcome international investors. This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 3 November 2016, then French Prime Minister Manuel Valls launched “Choose Paris Region” project, a one-stop shop for simplifying procedures for foreign investors in Paris and Île-de-France region. The aim was to present a strong case for Paris as the natural choice for businesses looking for a gateway into Europe. This one-stop shop is part of a policy to boost appeal that France is actively implementing. This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

France has made investment-related policy decisions which conform to the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

**Analysts: Mark Rakhmangulov & Anastasiya Polovko**

**Germany: +1**

Germany has fully complied with the commitment on investment.

On 14 September 2016, the Federal Cabinet adopted the "draft law for the further development of the tax-based loss allocation for corporations". With this law, the Federal Government hopes to improve the conditions for capital investments and the further growth of companies. The new regulation will remove tax obstacles to the capital adequacy of companies. Companies that are dependent on the acquisition or the change of shareholders for their financing will be able to take account of unused losses, if they continue the same business operation after a change of ownership, what considerably improves their financing options. This action does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

---


On 29 September 2016, State Secretary in the Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy, Matthias Machnig, and State Secretary of Austria’s Federal Ministry of Science, Research and Economy Dr Harald Mahrer submitted EU Competitiveness Council joint proposals. The aim of the joint initiative from Germany and Austria is to safeguard access to finance for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). In many European Member States, and particularly in Germany, bank loans are still the main component of financing for SMEs, but there is a growing need for alternative funding sources, such as venture capital, especially for technology-oriented start-ups. This action does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 25 October 2016, the high-level policy seminar, co-organised by the OECD and the German Federal Ministry of Finance, was held. The event brought together policy-makers and academics to discuss financial openness and integration as well as risks to open capital movements. This action does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 3 November 2016, Sigmar Gabriel, Federal Minister for Economic Affairs and Energy, visited Hong Kong, where he attended the 15th Asia Pacific Conference of German Business (APK). The Asia-Pacific region is very attractive for German business, but to realize this potential the elimination of the barriers to trade and investment is necessary, so they are going to develop common rules on investment. This action does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 24 November 2016, Federal Minister Gabriel emphasized that stability in Germany could only be preserved by investing in sustainable competitive economy in the context of energy policy, digitization in particular of small and medium enterprises and the relief of local budgets. This action does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

Germany has made only investment-related decisions which conform to the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Elizaveta Nekrasova**

**India: +1**

India has fully complied with the commitment on investment.

On 1 October 2016, India’s Ministry of Corporate Affairs introduced a new e-form called "Simplified Proforma for Incorporating Company Electronically (SPICE)" by notifying the "Companies (Incorporation) Fourth Amendment Rules, 2016". The SPICE allows for all of the relevant documentation to be submitted online. The main objective is to provide speedy

---


incorporation-related services and streamline the process of corporate setup. This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 20 October 2016, the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) amended regulations in order to "further liberalise and rationalise the investment regime for foreign venture capital investors (FVCI) and to give a fillip to foreign investment in the start-ups". As per the amendment, FVCI will not require any approval from RBI and can invest in equity or equity-linked instruments or debt instruments issued by an Indian company in certain sectors (such as biotechnology, IT, nanotechnology) whose shares are not listed. Also, FVCI will not require any approval from RBI and can invest in equity or equity-linked instrument or debt instrument issued by an Indian 'start-up', irrespective of the sector in which the start-up is engaged. FVCI will, however, have to be registered with the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI). This measure does not violate any G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

India has made only investment-related decisions which conform to the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Irina Popova**

**Indonesia: +1**

Indonesia has fully complied with the commitment on investment.

On 10 November 2016, the Indonesian government released an economic policy package dubbed the e-Commerce Road Map (the 14th within the framework of a larger policy aimed at "maintaining structural reform momentum" and encouraging investment into Indonesia, which was launched on 9 September 2015). One of the stated goals of the package was to "provide certainty and ease of doing business in the use of e-commerce with strategic guidelines and direction in accelerating the implementation of electronic-based national trade system in the period of 2016-2019." As stipulated in the policy package the Indonesian government will provide tax incentives to local start-up investors, simplify permit obtaining process for e-commerce start-ups, and ensure equal treatment for foreign entrepreneurs investing in electronic commerce. This policy package does not contravene any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

Indonesia has made only investment-related policy decisions which conformed to the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Andrei Sakharov**

**Italy: +1**

Italy has fully complied with the commitment on investment.

---


On 21 September 2016, Italian Ministry for Simplification and Public Administration released the third plan of action on ‘Open Government in Italy’ initiative. One of the Plan’s objectives is to further enhance the OpenCUP Portal, which discloses the information on public investment projects in Italy. Targeted results of this objective include: facilitating access to and usefulness of the information provided by the Portal for all stakeholders, and increasing integration between OpenCUP and other ‘Open’ portals, maintained by the Italian government. This action aims to promote transparency of public investment, in full correspondence with the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 27-28 October 2016, Italian Agency for Territorial Cohesion, the public body accountable directly to the Prime Minister, organized a series of workshops, round tables and capacity building activities for authorities in charge of various aspects of investment environment. The consultations targeted both the officials working with the European commission and representatives of regional authorities form Campania to bring about stronger cohesion between government investment policy on both supranational and regional levels. The event was aimed at increasing the authorities’ capacity to manage structural funds’ programs, updating the European Investment Plan and discuss the issues relating to the use of financial instruments. This action promotes effectiveness of Italy’s investment policy, while improving its consistency with that of the European Union.

Italy has only made investment-related policy decisions conforming to the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Maria Strelnikova**

**Japan: +1**

Japan has fully complied with the commitment on investment.

No new investment policy measures (related to FDI, not specific to FDI) have been registered in Japan during the compliance period according to the UNCTAD-OECD Sixteenth Report on G20 Investment Measures and official online resources of the Japanese Government.

Japan has not made any investment-related policy decisions contradicting the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Pavel Doronin**

**Korea: +1**

Korea has fully complied with the commitment on investment.

On 17 November 2016, Korea reached an agreement for a free trade agreement with Nicaragua, Costa Rica, Guatemala, Honduras, El Salvador and Panama, having certain provisions for market
This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

Korea has taken no actions contradicting the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Pavel Doronin**

**Mexico: +1**

Mexico has fully complied with the commitment on investment.

On 2 September 2016, Mexico clarified the meaning of certain rules on foreign investment. The clarifications relate to the definition of the term “control” and to the registration procedure for foreign investment. This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 10 November 2016, ProMéxico, Mexican Government trust fund subordinate to the Secretariat of Economy, presented the specialized editions for businessmen and representatives of chambers of commerce in which various aspects of doing business in Mexico as well as Mexican companies’ activities abroad analyzed. One of the editions entitled “Mexico - a strategic destination for productive investment” is a roadmap aims to facilitate an access for new companies to the Mexican market, having responses to main questions which potential investors could have in this process. All editions are available for free download in electronic format from the ProMéxico web-site to provide potential investors with all information on investment in Mexico they need. This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 16-18 November 2016, Mexico organized the Cancún Forum 2016. More than 500 representatives of Mexican, Central American and Caribbean companies operating in agriculture, plastics manufacturing, construction, chemical, textile, automotive, machinery, information and communications technology (ICT) and many other sectors and export promotion agencies attended the event. The main objective of the Forum was to explore the barriers which the companies face in their export and investment activities and integration to the global value chains as well as to align the policies implemented by the national investment promotion agencies supporting the companies. This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 5-6 December 2016, Mexico organized “Green Solutions” event to discuss promotion of investment in renewable energy as well as national policy in green energy. The event was attended by
the representative of governmental authorities, businesses, academia working in the renewable energy sphere. The event engaged more than 80 companies of Korea, Finland, Italy, the United States, Spain, Venezuela, Guatemala, the Netherlands and the Dominican Republic with aim to hold B2B meetings.\textsuperscript{2521} This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

Mexico makes only investment-related policy decisions which conform to the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Elizaveta Safonkina}

**Russia: +1**

Russia has fully complied with the commitment on investment.

During 2016, Russian authorities implemented a comprehensive assessment of investment climate in Russia, including Russian regulations conformity with the provisions of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Policy Framework for Investment (PFI). Following the direct instructions from the Russian Government relevant Russian ministries and agencies, research and expert institutions and national business associations carried out the analysis of the PFI provisions with the aim of improving Russian investment climate where it does not conform to the PFI, including through the survey of Russian business community views. This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 13 October 2016, the workshop on Practical Aspects of Public-Private Partnership (PPP) projects implementation was held in the Russian Ministry of Economic Development. The participants from both public sector and private companies discussed the Russian regulation on PPP and recent developments aimed at improving the investment climate. The members of the Business Council of the UN European Economic Commission shared their experience on the topic.\textsuperscript{2522} This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

In November 2016, a special working group on conducting research on the basis of most relevant provisions of PFI was created. This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

In December 2016, the Russian Ministry of Economic Development prepared the report on the assessment of investment climate in Russia on the basis of the OECD Policy Framework for Investment (PFI).\textsuperscript{2523} This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

Russia has made investment-related policy decisions which conform to the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Mark Rakhmangulov}

---


\textsuperscript{2523} Департамент инвестиционной политики и развития частно-государственного партнерства, Ministry of Economic Development of the Russian Federation, http://economy.gov.ru/minec/about/structure/depinvest/index?WCM_PI=1&WCM_PageSize.ba829c0043dd990bb210b6ca0b86d358=40
Saudi Arabia: +1
Saudi Arabia has fully complied with the commitment on investment.

No investment-policy measures were introduced in Saudi Arabia during the compliance period.

During the monitoring period Saudi Arabia has not made any investment-related policy decisions which violated the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Aydar Shakirov

South Africa: +1
South Africa has fully complied with the commitment on investment.

No investment policy measures were introduced in South Africa during the compliance period.

During the monitoring period Saudi Arabia has not made any investment-related policy decisions that violated the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Irina Popova

Turkey: +1
Turkey has fully complied with the commitment on investment.

On 7 September 2016, the Law on Supporting Investments on Project Basis and Amending Certain Laws and Decree Laws (Law No. 6745) entered into force. The most significant article is dedicated to a project-based investment incentives package that provides financial support for innovative, technology-oriented, R&D focused, high value-added projects that also help to reduce foreign dependency. Projects seeking support under the new law must be in conformity with the Turkish government’s targets set forth in national development plans and annual programs, and also with those specifically promoted by the Ministry of Economy. 2524 This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 29 September 2016, Turkey published secondary legislation intended to encourage investment (The General Communiqué on Stamp Tax Law, Serial No. 60), outlining further details for a recent law which significantly loosened stamp tax obligations and notary fees for a range of documents. Notable new exemptions include stamp tax no longer being applied to documents regarding share transfers in joint stock or limited liability companies. Furthermore, copies of papers subject to proportional stamp tax are no longer subject to stamp tax. 2525 This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 9 October 2016, Turkish Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources has introduced details for operation, investments and license procedures regarding large-scale renewable energy resource areas (“Investment Areas”). Investment Areas can be located on public or private property and are intended to promote efficient use of renewable energy resources. The concept was introduced into legislation

---

in 2005, but not widely adopted. These latest detailed provisions are intended to encourage allocation of Investment Areas to investors, to enable fast utilization of energy investments, supporting production and/or purchase of high-tech components in Turkish renewable energy facilities.\(^{2526}\) This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

Turkey has made only investment-related policy decisions which conform to the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Irina Popova}

**United Kingdom: +1**

The United Kingdom has fully complied with the commitment on investment.

On 10 November 2016, Chancellor Phillip Hammond, International Trade Secretary Liam Fox, and Northern Powerhouse Minister Andrew Percy presented the Northern Powerhouse investment projects portfolio to the Chinese delegation during the eighth UK-China Economic and Financial Dialogue. The portfolio contains 13 North England-based projects worth GBP5 billion of opportunities to Chinese, as well as any other interested international investment. “Investors from abroad know that the North has some of the world’s best and most talented entrepreneurs and businesses, and today we’re showcasing 13 oven-ready opportunities to them which could create thousands of jobs for years to come,” commented Minister Percy.\(^{2527}\) This action by the UK government represents its openness for engagements with foreign investors, and its recognition of the critical role of international investment as an engine of economic growth. This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

The United Kingdom has made only investment-related policy decisions which conform to the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Andrei Sakharov}

**United States: +1**

United States has fully complied with the commitment on investment.

On 29 September 2016, the Federal Communications Commission issued a report and order that “simplifies the foreign ownership filing and review process for broadcast licensees.” The rule restricting direct possession of a broadcast station to US citizens or to entities in which non-US citizens own no more than 20 per cent, did not change, but rules on indirect ownership were adjusted by expanding “the rules developed for foreign ownership reviews for common carrier and certain aeronautical licensees to the broadcast context.”\(^{2528}\) This measure does not violate any G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking and makes US investment policy more coherent with them.


On 2 December 2016, the US President banned the acquisition of Aixtron, a German semiconductor producer, by a Chinese company on the base of national security concerns. Aixtron technology can be used to produce products including light-emitting diodes, lasers and solar cells, and can have military applications in satellite communications and radar. Northrop Grumman Corp., a major US defense contractor, is among its customers. Grand Chip is a special purpose investment owned by investors in China, some of whom have Chinese government ownership. The proposed acquisition was to be funded in part by Sino IC Leasing Co. Ltd., which belongs to an industrial investment fund established by the Chinese government to develop the country’s integrated circuit industry.\(^{2529}\) Although this measure seems to be violating some of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking (mainly “open, non-discriminatory, transparent and predictable conditions for investment”), it can be justified by the sixth principle: “Governments reaffirm the right to regulate investment for legitimate public policy purposes.” As this measure was undertaken with national security concerns, it does not violate G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

The United States has made only investment-related policy decisions which conform to the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Irina Popova*

**European Union: +1**

The European Union has fully complied with the commitment on investment.

On 14 September 2016, the European Commission proposed to extend the European Fund for Strategic Investments, in particular to bring the initial three-year period (2015–2018) with a target of 315 billion euros to half a trillion euros investments by 2020.\(^{2530}\) This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 14 September 2016, the European Commission announced a new European External Investment Plan which provides for the boosting of investments in Africa and EU Neighborhood countries. The Plan’s budget totals EUR3.35 billion and focuses on supporting guarantees of private investment. It will help mobilize up to 44 billion euros of investments. The Plan consists of 3 parts: 1) combining existing investment facilities with a new guarantee within the new European Fund for Sustainable Development; 2) enhancing technical assistance for broader policy environment to support public authorities and companies in partner countries; 3) fostering good governance, fighting corruption, removing barriers to investment and market distortions.\(^{2531}\) This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 26-30 September 2016, the 12th round of the EU-China investment negotiations took place in Brussels. The talks focused on such areas as: definitions (“investment”, “covered investment”, “investor”, “juridical person/enterprise”, “national/natural person”); fair and equitable treatment/minimum standard of treatment; expropriation; performance requirements; domestic regulation; dispute settlement; sustainable development and the EU text proposals on state owned enterprises;


procedural fairness in competition related procedures and standard setting.\textsuperscript{2532} This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 30 October 2016, the European Union and Canada signed the Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement. It ensures a high level of protection for investors and preserves the governments’ right to regulate and pursue legitimate public policy objectives such as the protection of health, safety and the environment.\textsuperscript{2533} This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

On 22 November 2016, the European Commission started the Start-up and Scale-up Initiative. It adds a new focus on venture capital investment, insolvency law and taxation. In particular, it allows for the improved access to finance through the Pan-European Venture Capital Fund of Funds launched by the European Commission and the European Investment Bank Group.\textsuperscript{2534} This measure does not contradict any of the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking.

The European Union has not made any investment-related policy decisions which violated the G20 Guiding Principles for Global Investment Policymaking. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Aydar Shakirov}

19. Corporate Governance

“We support the effective implementation of the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance”

G20 Leaders’ Communiqué: Hangzhou Summit

Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>No Compliance</th>
<th>Partial Compliance</th>
<th>Full Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Union</td>
<td></td>
<td>+1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Background

The Principles of Corporate Governance were first developed by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) in 1999, and subsequently updated in 2004 and 2015.2535 The 2015 revision, the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance were approved at the meeting of G20 Finance Ministers and Central Bank Governors in Ankara on 4-5 September 20152536 and endorsed by the leaders at the Antalya summit on 15-16 November 2015.2537

Corporate governance is defined by the OECD as “Procedures and processes according to which an organisation is directed and controlled. The corporate governance structure specifies the distribution of rights and responsibilities among the different participants in the organisation – such as the board, managers, shareholders and other stakeholders – and lays down the rules and procedures for decision-making.”2538

---

Commitment Features
The 2015 G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance document contains six main principles:

1. Ensuring the basis for an effective corporate governance framework
   The corporate governance framework should promote transparent and fair markets, and the efficient allocation of resources. It should be consistent with the rule of law and support effective supervision and enforcement.

2. The rights and equitable treatment of shareholders and key ownership functions
   The corporate governance framework should protect and facilitate the exercise of shareholders’ rights and ensure the equitable treatment of all shareholders, including minority and foreign shareholders. All shareholders should have the opportunity to obtain effective redress for violation of their rights.

3. Institutional investors, stock markets, and other intermediaries
   The corporate governance framework should provide sound incentives throughout the investment chain and provide for stock markets to function in a way that contributes to good corporate governance.

4. The role of stakeholders in corporate governance
   The corporate governance framework should recognise the rights of stakeholders established by law or through mutual agreements and encourage active co-operation between corporations and stakeholders in creating wealth, jobs, and the sustainability of financially sound enterprises.

5. Disclosure and transparency
   The corporate governance framework should ensure that timely and accurate disclosure is made on all material matters regarding the corporation, including the financial situation, performance, ownership, and governance of the company.

6. The responsibilities of the board
   The corporate governance framework should ensure the strategic guidance of the company, the effective monitoring of management by the board, and the board’s accountability to the company and the shareholders.  

To achieve full compliance members are required to uphold all the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance in conducting their policies regarding corporate governance practices. The assessment implies assessing government actions (including those taken before the compliance period) or currently endorsed local set of corporate governance rules against the principles corresponding to appropriate issue areas.

---

Scoring Guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>Member makes only corporate governance-related policy decisions and/or upholds existing set of corporate governance rules which contravene the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Member makes both corporate governance-related policy decisions which contravene and those which conform to the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+1</td>
<td>Member makes only corporate governance-related policy decisions made during the monitoring period and/or upholds existing set of corporate governance rules which conform to the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Argentina: +1

Argentina has fully complied with the commitment on corporate governance.

During the period of 1990-2000 the following laws were adopted: Foreign Investment Law (Law N° 21382), National Securities Commission (Law N° 22169), Corporate Bonds Law (Law N° 23576), Mutual Funds Law (Law N° 24083), Private Pension Funds Law (Law N° 24241), New Central Bank Chart (Law N° 24144), Trust Funds Law (Law N° 24441), New Penal Law on Taxes (Law N° 24769), Tight Budget Constraint Law, Investors Protection Bill. These laws still function. These laws conform to all the 2015 G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance.

On 22 March 2016, Argentina’s insurance regulator lifted rules that had weighed on insurance companies for several years. The changes included lifting restrictions on the amount of foreign-currency assets insurers could hold, allowing them to better protect the value of their portfolios against any further devaluation of the peso. This measure conforms to all the 2015 G20/Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Principles of Corporate Governance.

On 18 August 2016, Argentina proposed the law that would change the way the country prosecutes malfeasance. The legislation would seek to incentivize corporations to crackdown on corruption themselves by expanding liability to cover businesses as a whole. This law conforms to all the 2015 G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance.

Argentinean corporate governance regime and recent relevant policy decisions conform to the 2015 G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Angelina Khudoleeva*

Australia: +1

Australia has fully complied with the commitment on corporate governance.

On 17 March 2016, Australian Securities and Investment Commission (ASIC) provided a guide for securities issuers who are required to prepare and lodge a disclosure document under Ch 6D of the Corporations Act 2001. It helps stakeholders understand the ASIC’s interpretation of procedural

---

aspects of Ch 6D.2543 This action covers the principle of disclosure and transparency. The taken measure does not break any principles.

On 11 September 2016, the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority (APRA) released a paper on risk culture, noting that the global financial crisis revealed major shortcomings in the way the financial services sector managed risk. The prudential regulation body made the point that these shortcomings were an issue of deficiencies in institutions’ attitudes towards risk.2544 This action covers such principles as the role of stakeholders in corporate governance; disclosure and transparency; the responsibilities of the board. The taken measure does not break any principles.

On 28 September 2016, ASIC issued a legislative instrument. According to it, a company that was party to a deed of cross guarantee at the end of the relevant financial year does not have to comply with a set of requirements of Part 2M.3 of the Corporation Act 2001 in relation to the financial year. In addition, the directors of a company referred to in subsection (1) do not have to comply with the requirement under section 317 to lay reports before the AGM of the company following the relevant financial year.2545 This action covers the principles of disclosure and transparency; responsibilities of the board; ensuring the basis for an effective corporate governance framework. The taken measure does not break any principles.

Overall, an ASIC’s website offers multifaceted view of corporate governance issues, including external sources like ASX, Governance Institute of Australia.2546 Particularly, the given field is regulated through the Corporations Act 20012547 and a set of regulatory guides. Moreover, Australian Standard for Corporate Governance of Information and Communication Technology (2005) exists. Apart from named acts, in 2014, the Australian Stock Exchange (ASX) issued the 3rd edition of Corporate Governance Principles and Recommendations,2548 which are in force as of December 2016. However, these principles are to be applied only to the securities listed on the ASX. Nevertheless, they cover all the G20/OECD key principles like the responsibilities of the board, order of disclosure and transparency and others.

Australian corporate governance regime and recent relevant policy decisions conform to the 2015 G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Ildar Khalilyulin

Brazil: +1

Brazil has fully complied with the commitment on corporate governance.

Brazilian Federal Law 6,385/1976, “Corporation Law,” regulates the securities market and the Brazilian securities and exchange commission (Comissão de Valores Mobiliários, CVM). Under this
law corporations must adhere to the various rules, regulations and guidance opinions issued by the CVM, among which:

• Ordinance 358/2002, which addresses the disclosure requirements and use of relevant information of the corporation;
• Ordinance 361/2002, which regulates tender offers of Brazilian listed corporations, including delisting offers, hostile offers and sale of control offers;
• Ordinance 400/2003, which regulates the public offer for the distribution of securities, including the disclosure and control of inside information before the offer period;
• Ordinance 480/2009, which contains the requirements for a corporation to obtain registration with the CVM and thus be listed. It also establishes annual, quarterly and periodic financial reporting and other continuing obligations, and imposes additional obligations on directors, officers and controlling shareholders;
• Ordinance 481/2009, which regulates proxy solicitations and information that must be disclosed to shareholders on matters to be voted in shareholder meetings.2549

This law and its rules comply with the 1st, 3rd and 5th principles of the 2015 G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance.

The new Code of Best Practices of Corporate Governance was published in 2016 by the Brazilian Institute of Corporate Governance (IBGC) in order to contribute to the creation of better governance systems within organizations, with a view to improving their performance and longevity. Changes and innovations will fulfill the role of making the Brazilian organizational and institutional environment stronger and fairer, as well as more accountable and transparent. This Code contains general structure and basic principles of corporate governance, defines shareholders’ rights, rules for protection of their interests, ownership functions, effective mechanisms for the prevention and settlement of disputes as well as corporate governance structure is clearly stated in this Code in order to ensure the strategic guidance of the company, the effective monitoring of management by the board, and the board’s accountability to the company and the shareholders.2550 This Code complies with the all principles of the 2015 G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance.

The IFRS Standards were incorporated into law and regulations. Brazil has already adopted the IFRS Standards for all companies whose securities are publicly traded and for most financial institutions whose securities are not publicly traded, for both consolidated and separate (individual) company financial statements.2551 The adoption of such Standards is in compliance with the 1st and 5th principles of the 2015 G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance.

Brazil upholds existing set of corporate governance rules which conform to the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Sofia Streltsova**

**Canada: +1**

Canada has fully complied with the commitment on corporate governance.

---


Corporate governance practices in Canada are shaped by legal rules and best practices promoted by institutional shareholder groups, the media and professional director associations such as the Institute of Corporate Directors (ICD). Sources of legal rules include provincial corporate statutes, securities laws and rules, stock exchange requirements and the common law, as well as a wide variety of other regulatory statutes, regulations and policies.2552

On 1 June 2015, the Canadian Extractive Sector Transparency Measures Act was proclaimed into force. The legislation’s stated purpose is to ‘implement Canada’s international commitments to participate in the fight against corruption through the imposition of measures applicable to the extractive sector’, and it requires Canadian businesses involved in resource extraction to file and make publicly available reports on certain types of payments made to both domestic and foreign governments.2553

In February 2016, the Canadian Securities Administrators announced fundamental amendments to the takeover bid regime in Canada. These changes are expected to affect the market practice in connection with shareholder rights plans, the structure of white knight transactions and the ways in which boards of directors in Canada respond to hostile bids.2554

On 28 September 2016, the Canadian federal government introduced Bill C-25: An Act to amend the Canada Business Corporations Act. The stated objectives of the proposed amendments are: to reform the process for electing directors of certain corporations; modernize communications between corporations and their shareholders; and require disclosure of information respecting diversity among directors and senior management. The bill introduces amendments that will “increase shareholder democracy and participation, support the push to increase women’s participation on corporate boards and in senior management, and improve corporate transparency and business certainty while reducing regulatory burden.”2555

Canada’s set of corporate governance rules and related policy decisions conform to the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Irina Popova**

**China: +1**

China has fully complied with the commitment on corporate governance.

The Chinese corporate governance institutional framework provides for shareholders’ equal participation in corporate governance through ensuring “equal voting power, low participation costs, enquiry and inspection rights, proposal right and rights for cumulative voting.”2556


Related-party transactions of majority shareholders are regulated through the following mechanisms: withdrawing voting rights from shareholders in a related-party guarantee, prohibiting loans to the related parties and the duty to compensate the damage which was caused in related-party transactions if there were such.²⁵⁵⁷

In case of a violation of medium and minority shareholders’ rights, instruments to ensure effective compensation include shareholders’ rights to request the confirmation of the resolutions of general shareholder meetings’ and board meetings as null and void and thereby revoke them. Shareholders could also demand compensation for damage incurred due to the actions of controlling shareholders, compensation for the damage done by company’s directors or executives, and have a right to file derivative suits in case the company’s interest is damaged by any other person.²⁵⁵⁸

According to the Article 20 of the Company Law, companies have no legal personality status. Thus, if a shareholder of a company evades the payment of his debts by abusing the independent status of legal personality or the shareholder’s limited liabilities he shall bear joint liabilities for company debts.²⁵⁵⁹

Creditors may execute their rights of guarantee. According to the Guarantee Law, participating in such economic activities as buying, selling, borrowing, possessing, transporting goods, or outsourcing entails guaranty initiation through “assurance, mortgage, pledge, lien or down-payment to ensure the fulfilment of their rights as debtors.”²⁵⁶⁰

Any creditor is entitled to the subrogation rights. Article №73 of the Contract Law of China states that in case one of the debtors delays in exercising its creditor’s right towards a third person, thus causing harm to the creditor, the latter could file a petition to the People’s Court for subrogation and all the expenses for subrogation by the creditor will be paid by the debtor.²⁵⁶¹

Any creditor is able to file a petition to the court in order to cancel debtors’ actions regarding handling abnormal assets. According to the Article №74 of the Contract Law, the debtor waives its creditor’s right against a third party or assigns its property without reward, thus causing harm to the interests of the creditors, the latter will be able to file a petition to the People’s Court demanding cancellation of the debtor’s act. If there is a situation in which the debtor appoints the price of its property at a low level and this is evidently unreasonable, thus causing harm to the creditor, and the assignee is considered to be aware of this situation, the creditor is also able to file a petition to the

People’s Court demanding cancellation of the debtor’s act. All expenses incurred by the creditor while exercising his right to cancel will be paid by the debtor.2562

The Civil Procedure Law of China (Adopted at the Fourth Session of the Seventh National People’s Congress on 9 April 1991) provides that debtors are entitled to the right to initiate a civil procedure at the Court. Before the proceedings or during the civil trial, protective measures, debtors may apply for, include: seizure, closure and freezing of assets.2563

According to the Company Law of China (Entered into force on 1 July 1994), shareholders’ have the following self-benefit rights: the right to demand the dispersion of the dividends, the right to demand the dispersion of residual assets, the right to convey shares and the right to enquire share purchases. Shareholders’ co-benefit rights consist of the following: the right to vote, the right to represent another shareholder and to assign somebody as a representative, the right to demand or convokve shareholders’ general meetings, and also proposal rights, enquiry rights, the right to cancel or confirm the annulment of the decisions taken during a shareholders’ meeting, the right to cancel or confirm the annulment of the decisions of the Board, and also inspection rights and rights for cumulative voting.2564

According to the Article 107 of the Company Law, a shareholder has a right to attend general shareholders’ meetings by proxy, who can provide the statement on behalf of the shareholder. Article №9 of the Code of Corporate Governance of Listed Companies in China also states that shareholders have a right either to be personally present at the shareholders’ meetings or to nominate a proxy to vote on their behalf. Both ways of participating in shareholders’ meeting and voting result in a same way from the legal perspective.2565

The Code of Corporate Governance of Listed Companies states companies should respect the rights of its stakeholders and provide compensation in case their legitimate interests are violated. 2566

The Code of Corporate Governance of Listed Companies elaborates that as well as ensuring continuous growth and maximum stakeholder interests, listed companies also should be committed to ensuring community welfare, protecting the environment, addressing charity issues and be concentrated on their own public responsibilities. 2567

Legislative bodies of People’s Republic of China and other regulatory institutions and organizations have attributed great importance to developing the disclosure of corporate information, and

intensively supported its improvement in terms of quality and transparency. To some extent, progress has been attained by forming an Omni-directional and multi-tier information disclosure framework. The legislations that mold the basis of the framework are complemented by regulatory acts, for example relevant administrative laws, ministerial norms and directions. The directions are compliant with international practices concerning normative principles, operational specifications and methods of disclosure. Generally, listed companies have improved the extent of information disclosure every year, increasing the accuracy, range and depth of the information being disclosed.\footnote{Corporate Governance of Listed Companies in China (Self-Assessment by the China Securities Regulatory Commission), Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development 22.09.2011. Access date: 08 January 2017 http://www.oecd.org/china/corporategovernanceoflistedcompaniesinchina.htm.}

In 2008, China’s State-owned Assets Supervision and Administration Commission (SASAC) issued a regulation that strongly encourages state-owned organizations to follow clear CSR practices and inform about CSR activities. In spite of the fact that this regulation is not binding, SASAC has a lot of impact on the business sphere, and this directive shows China’s government’s commitment to corporate social responsibility.\footnote{Global Trends in Corporate Governance, Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu India LLP. 01 December 2016. Access date: 08 January 2017. https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/in/Documents/risk/Corporate Governance/in-risk-global-trends-in-corporate-governance-4Dec2015-noexp.pdf.}

China’s set of corporate governance rules and related policy decisions conform to the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Kirill Krivosheyev}

\textbf{France: +1}

France has fully complied with the commitment on corporate governance.

On 30 March 2016 the Autorité des Marchés Financiers (AMF) of France published a comparative study on corporate governance codes in ten European countries where France was considered the only country where codes were drawn up by bodies representing issuers. France was also named the only country where the market regulator published every year a precise monitoring report including individual quotes on good and bad practices.\footnote{Corporate governance : publication of a comparative study of codes in ten European countries, Autorité des marchés financiers 30.03.16. Access date: 8 December 2016. http://www.amf-france.org/en_US/Reglementation/Dossiers-thematiques/Societes-cotees-et-operations-financieres/Gouvernement-dentreprise/Etude-comparee--Les-codes-de-gouvernement-d-entreprise-dans-10-pays-europeens.html}

On 22 September 2016, the French National Assembly adopted a draft law aimed at giving shareholders a binding vote on executive pay. The Law on Transparency, the Fight Against Corruption and Economic Modernization, also known as the Sapin 2, was introduced in 2015 and triggered an increase in shareholders’ power.\footnote{France to Introduce Binding Pay Votes In Some Form, Glass Lewis 22.09.16. Access date: 8 December 2016 http://www.glasslewis.com/france-introduce-binding-pay-votes-form/}

On 4 October 2016, France adopted anti-bribery policies providing for the threat of prosecution if employees bribe government officials seeks to place the same kind of obligations on French businesses. This law also requires one of the procedures of the company to be a whistleblower hotline so employees can report suspected misconduct.\footnote{How France is toughening anti-corruption laws, Thomson Reuters 04.11.16. Access date: 8 December 2016 http://blog.financial.thomsonreuters.com/france-toughening-anti-corruption-laws/}
On 17 November 2016, the Autorité des Marchés Financiers (AMF) published its annual report on corporate governance. The AMF noted that French companies dedicate increased resources invested in this matter regarding time, resource allocation and development of new monitoring tools. Also, it highlighted the methodological transparency of indicators, which appeared to be a strong point for French issuers, even if their comparability between issuers could be improved further.\footnote{2573}

France has made corporate governance-related policy decisions during the monitoring period and upheld existing set of corporate governance rules which conform to the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analysts: Mark Rakhmangulov & Anastasiya Polovko

Germany: +1

Germany has fully complied with the commitment on corporate governance.

The German Corporate Governance Code, adopted in 2002 and updated on a regular basis (last update – 24 June 2014) has a legal effect in accordance with the Stock Corporation Act.\footnote{2574} The Code specifies management and supervision procedures for German listed companies. It also provides recommendations and suggestions on international and national standards for good and responsible corporate governance. Despite the fact that its provisions are not mandatory, failure to comply with them should be explained and the reasons behind it disclosed in the annual declaration of conformity.\footnote{2575}

The Code also aims to reflect best practices of corporate governance, in order to “enhance transparency and comprehensibility of the German corporate governance system, strengthen investors’ confidence, clients, employees and the general public in the management and supervision of German listed companies.”\footnote{2576}

On 16 September 2016, the nominees for the Corporate Social Responsibility Prize of the Federal Government were approved.\footnote{2577}

On 26 September 2016, Institute for Ecological and Economic Research and the German Association of Enterprises evaluated the corporate social responsibility (CSR) reports from the 150 largest German companies. 79 reports corresponded to the requirements for full reporting. State Secretary Albrecht highlighted the growing importance of good CSR reporting on entrepreneurship. When the company’s management and employees are involved in the reporting process, this raises...
the awareness of sustainability as an important future topic for the entire company and promotes innovation.\textsuperscript{2578}

On 31 October 2016, The German Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology issued a brochure aimed at creation of favorable climate for future entrepreneurs. The brochure offers numerous information and practical tips for entrepreneurs and their successors.\textsuperscript{2579}

On 16 November 2016, the Cabinet adopted a bill that expands the control rights of the members of the self-governing bodies. Information, reporting and recording obligations will be extended. This helps to avoid irregularities.\textsuperscript{2580}

On 25 November 2016, the German Consumer Dispute Resolution Act was released. According to it, companies must disclose whether they participate in consumer dispute resolution. In addition to the individual procedures, companies can also actively set up new branch-specific dispute centres.\textsuperscript{2581}

Germany’s set of corporate governance rules and related policy decisions conform to the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. Thus, it receives a score of +1

\textit{Analyst: Elizaveta Nekrasova}

\underline{India: +1}

India has fully complied with the commitment on corporate Governance.

Corporate governance in India was guided by Clause 49 of the Listing Agreement before the introduction of then Companies Act of 2013.\textsuperscript{2582} As per the new provision, the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) Guidelines authorized some modification in the Listing Agreement to enhance transparency in the transactions of listed companies and to empower minority stakeholders to affect the decisions of the management.\textsuperscript{2583} These amendments became effective on 1 October 2014.

In accordance with the requirements of the Companies Act of 2013, Section 149 (1) “all listed companies (with some exceptions) and every other public company having a paid up share capital of INR100 crore or more, or, turnover of INR300 crore or more must have at least one woman director.”\textsuperscript{2584} Moreover, SEBI had authorized that the assignment of woman directors to the board

must turn out no later than 1 April, 2015. If the listed companies don’t meet the requirements before 1 April 2015, stock exchanges are forced by SEBI to impose monetary penalties starting from June 30 2015, at the same time that additional penal measures would be accepted for companies remaining in default.\textsuperscript{2585}

In the Union Budget 2015–2016, the government announced the establishment of the Bank Boards Bureau to improve governance in the public sector banks. The Bank Boards Bureau was established based on the recommendations of the “Gyan Sangam,” a conclave of public sector banks and foreign investors organized in the beginning of 2015. The Bank Boards Bureau, which commenced its functioning on 1 April 2016, was established to strengthen the risk management practices undertaken by the public sector banks.\textsuperscript{2586}

On March 30, 2015, the National Stock Exchange of India Limited (NSE) organized a seminar on “Board Evaluation: An Imperative for Corporate Governance” in Mumbai. The broad objective of this seminar was to familiarize the directors of its listed companies - who constituted the bulk of the audience - with the relevance of board evaluation exercises, the various options available for the same, and the associated implementation issues.\textsuperscript{2587}

India’s set of corporate governance rules and related policy decisions conform to the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Evgeny Tsarik}

\textbf{Indonesia: 0}\n
Indonesia has partially complied with the commitment on corporate governance.

Firm operations are basically covered by the Law No. 40 of 2007 on Limited Liability Companies (“Company Law”), having provisions for establishment of a company, capital issues, management and governance of a company, shareholders’ rights and meetings, major corporate actions (such as mergers, consolidations, spin-offs and acquisitions) and the dissolution and liquidation of companies.\textsuperscript{2588} In addition to the Company Law, public companies are governed by Law No. 8 of 1995 on Capital Markets (“Capital Market Law”), covers issues such as minority protection issues, insider trading, market manipulation, fraud and conflict-of-interest transactions.\textsuperscript{2589}

In addition, public companies must also follow the regulations issued by the Financial Services Authority (Otoritas Jasa Keuangan, OJK), as well as the listing rules of the Indonesia Stock Exchange, providing the framework for the work of audit committees, non-affiliated directors, independent commissioners, dealing with conflict-of-interest transactions, material transactions and conservative processes for major corporate actions.\textsuperscript{2590}

\begin{footnotesize}
\textsuperscript{2587}An Imperative for Corporate Governance, NSE 30.03.2015. Access date: 27 December 2016. https://www.nseindia.com/research/content/res_panel_1_30032015.pdf.
\end{footnotesize}
In 2006, Indonesia’s National Committee on Governance issued the Code of Good Corporate Governance, providing “reference points for all companies in Indonesia” and “setting a minimum standard” for companies in their implementation of corporate governance practices.\(^{2591}\) The Code is not a regulation and hence non-binding in its nature, aiming to provide fundamental guidance for companies with respect to the issues general principles of corporate governance, business ethics and code of conduct, shareholders and stakeholders rights and participation, organs and management of the company, etc.\(^{2592}\)

Implementation, though, is traditionally a weak point of Indonesia’s corporate governance practices, due to Indonesia being a “principle-based,” “civil law” jurisdiction\(^{2593}\) and a non-binding nature of the Code. To improve the consistency with the framework and transparency of corporate governance practices, in 2014 OJK released the Indonesia Corporate Governance Roadmap and jointly with the International Finance Corporation of the World Bank Group the Indonesia Corporate Governance Manual.

The purpose of the Roadmap is to strengthen the supervising role of company boards, increase the quality of disclosure and company transparency, and the protection of the rights of shareholders and stakeholders.\(^{2594}\) It also introduces the “comply-or-explain” approach to corporate governance\(^{2595}\) contrasting with earlier practices of voluntary implementation of the guidelines.

The Manual\(^{2596}\) is benchmarked against internationally accepted principles of corporate governance, including the OECD Principles of Corporate Governance.\(^{2597}\) The Manual is positioned as “a cornerstone for the implementation of good corporate governance in Indonesia” has, among others, provisions for shareholders rights and participation in management, the responsibilities of the board, disclosure and transparency, etc.\(^{2598}\)

In November 2015, OJK enacted Rule No. 21/POJK.04/2015 on the Implementation of Public Companies’ Corporate Governance Guidelines and issued Circular Letter No. 32/SEOJK.04/2015 on Public Companies’ Corporate Governance Guidelines, which impose a legal obligation on public companies to implement the Guidelines or, if any of these recommendations have not been implemented, disclose in their annual reports from 31 December 2016 the reason for non-

---


\(^{2597}\) Previous edition of the OECD Principles.

implementation or any alternative actions taken instead,2599 i.e., legally enforce the “comply-or-explain” approach to corporate governance. The Guidelines contain recommendations on:

- Increasing the value of general meetings of shareholders;
- Increasing the quality of communications between public companies and their shareholders or investors;
- Strengthening the membership and composition of the boards of commissioners, improving the quality of implementation of their duties;
- Strengthening the membership and composition of the boards of directors, improving the quality of implementation of their duties;
- Certain policies for stakeholders participation, including a policy to prevent insider trading, an anticorruption and anti-fraud policy, a whistleblowing policy and a policy for giving long term incentives to members of the board of directors and employees;
- Improving disclosure.

Indonesia’s framework for corporate governance has headline and content matchings with the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance,2600 whereas implementation of this framework by business still leaves a lot to be desired. It is reflected, namely, in the Asian Corporate Governance Association “Corporate Governance Watch 2016” assessment, ranking Indonesia eleventh out of 11 Asian countries.2602 Moreover, the framework for corporate governance in Indonesia needs to be updated in accordance with the latest edition of the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance, which is to be further monitored throughout the whole compliance period (ending in June 2017). Thus, Indonesia receives a score of 0.

**Analyst: Pavel Doronin**

**Italy: +1**

Italy has fully complied with the commitment on corporate governance.

In June 2011, the Italian Corporate Governance Committee was set up by the issuers and investors associations (ABI, ANIA, Assonime, Confindustria and Assogestioni), as well as the Italian Stock Exchange (Borsa Italiana S.p.A.). The main objective of the Committee is to promote the good corporate governance of Italian listed companies, that has to be pursued either by a constant alignment of the Corporate Governance Code for Listed Companies with best practices and through other initiatives which would enhance the credibility of the Code.2603

---


On 5 December 2011, the new edition of Corporate Governance Code of Listed Companies was presented in Milan. «The Corporate Governance Code sets out the best practices of corporate governance, in line with the main international market experience; such practices are recommended by the Committee to all listed companies and they have to be applied according to the comply or explain principle, requiring the explanation of the reasons for any omitted compliance to one or more recommendations set forth by the principles or the criteria of the Code».

On 9 July 2015, the Corporate Governance Committee, promoted by the most important Italian business associations (i.e., ABI, ANIA, Assonime and Confindustria), the association of institutional investors (i.e., Assogestioni) and the Italian stock exchange (i.e., Borsa Italiana S.p.A.) with the goal of supporting best practices of corporate governance for Italian listed companies, approved several amendments to the Corporate Governance Code (the “Code”). These amendments intend to reflect developing best practices in corporate governance and cover different areas, including corporate social responsibility, the risk profile of the company, the system of internal control and risk management, the role of the Nomination Committee and the compensation of the Statutory Auditors. The new provisions, besides providing some helpful guidance and clarifications on various points, mainly focus on the area of risks, with an emphasis on the risks that can affect the sustainability of an issue.

On 20 July 2016, The Italian Corporate Governance Committee held a meeting. The Committee pointed out “the importance of the issuers’ and the investors’ need for the stability and the clarity of the self-regulatory framework, also in order to foster an even more substantive compliance with the most recent revisions of the Code. Furthermore, the Committee highlighted the need to investigate issues concerning small and medium enterprises (SMEs) corporate governance, the enhancement of the board of directors’ role, the procedures for the appointment of the directors and relations with shareholders – in order to identify areas of improvement in corporate governance practices.”

On 1-2 December 2016, the Italian Corporate Governance Conference that was hosted by Italian Corporate Governance Committee took place in Milan. Participants discussed such issues as corporate governance and long-term decisions; how environmental, social and governance issues affect the performance of investment portfolios by institutional investors; the opportunities stemming from the challenges posed by “integrated governance.”

Italy’s set of corporate governance rules and the related policy decisions conform to the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

_Analyst: Maria Strelnikova_

Japan: 0

Japan has partially complied with the commitment on corporate governance.

---


The G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance\textsuperscript{2608} (further stated as the G20/OECD Framework) comprise 6 items.

Principle 1 of the G20/OECD Framework – “Ensuring the basis for an effective corporate governance framework” – has been implemented in Japan through 3 main measures. First, corporate governance reform was granted the highest-level political support by making it an important element of the “the third arrow” of Abenomics (structural reforms for growth). Japan’s corporate governance reform has been implemented by introduction of Japan’s Stewardship Code (Principles for Responsible Institutional Investors)\textsuperscript{2609} in 2014 and Japan’s Corporate Governance Code\textsuperscript{2610} (guiding corporations in establishing corporate governance structures that are more closely aligned with the global norms). Both Codes are examples of soft legislation applying “comply-or-explain” principle (i.e. “either comply with the principles, or explain why you cannot do so in your personal circumstances”), and are positioned as “the two wheels of a cart such that the sustainable growth of companies will be promoted by both sides of investors and companies.”\textsuperscript{2611} To monitor compliance with the two Codes and further improve corporate governance Japan’s Financial Services Agency and Tokyo Stock Exchange have jointly established the “Council of Experts Concerning the Follow-up of Japan’s Stewardship Code and Japan’s Corporate Governance Code.”\textsuperscript{2612} The key to implementation of the Codes is inspiring all economic actors to share the aim and spirit of the principles they consist of. This turned out to be a very efficient strategy – as of December 2015, nearly 2,500 Japanese companies had submitted corporate governance reports in accordance with the Corporate Governance Code, out of which 78 percent had reported their compliance with 90 percent of the Code’s principles.\textsuperscript{2613}

Principle 2 of the G20/OECD Framework – “The rights and equitable treatment of shareholders and key ownership functions” – is clearly reflected in Japan’s Corporate Governance Code Section 1 “Securing the Rights and Equal Treatment of Shareholders” and Section 5 “Dialogue with Shareholders,” though correspondence between the two is not complete. Both have provisions for protecting basic shareholders’ rights (elimination of impediments to exercising shareholders’ rights, explanation of the strategy to shareholders, effective participation in management through general meetings, etc.), the rights of minority and foreign shareholders, related party transactions, anti-takeover measures, etc. As for absent correspondence, Japan’s Corporate Governance Code has no provisions on efficient and transparent functioning of markets for corporate control.

Principle 3 of the G20/OECD Framework – “Institutional investors, stock markets, and other intermediaries” – is partly reflected in Japan’s Stewardship Code. Both have provisions for disclosing by institutional investors of their governance and voting policies, managing the conflicts of interest. A


Principle 4 of the G20/OECD Framework – “The role of stakeholders in corporate governance” – is clearly reflected in Japan’s Corporate Governance Code Section 2 “Appropriate Cooperation with Stakeholders Other Than Shareholders,” though correspondence between the two is not complete. Both have provisions for granting respect towards the rights of stakeholders and reporting about illegal or unethical (inappropriate) practices without compromising the rights of whistleblowers for doing this. However, the correspondence in Japan’s Corporate Governance Code is missing for the provisions in the G20/OECD Framework for redress for violation of the rights of stakeholders, permissions to develop mechanisms for employee participation, access to information for the purposes of participating in the governance processes, and the necessity to complement the corporate governance framework with frameworks on insolvency and enforcement of creditor rights.

Principle 5 of the G20/OECD Framework – “Disclosure and transparency” – is clearly reflected in Japan’s Corporate Governance Code Section 3 “Ensuring Appropriate Information Disclosure and Transparency,” though correspondence between the two is not complete. Types of information to be fully disclosed are almost identical in both frameworks. In the G20/OECD Framework those include financial and operating results; company objectives and non-financial information; major share ownership, including beneficial owners, and voting rights; remuneration of members of the board and key executives; information about board members, including their qualifications, the selection process, other company directorships and whether they are regarded as independent by the board; related party transactions; foreseeable risk factors; issues regarding employees and other stakeholders; governance structures and policies. In Japan’s Corporate Governance Code those are financial standing and operating results; non-financial information, including business strategies and business issues, risk and governance, company objectives (e.g., business principles), business strategies and business plans; basic views and guidelines on corporate governance based on each of the principles of the Code; board policies and procedures in determining the remuneration of the senior management and directors; board policies and procedures in the appointment of the senior management and the nomination of directors and kansayaku candidates; explanations with respect to the individual appointments and nominations. Likewise, mostly similar are the provisions of both frameworks on the quality of disclosed information and auditing. However, Japan’s Corporate Governance Code, unlike the G20/OECD Framework, has no specific mentioning of “equal, timely and cost-efficient access to relevant information by users” (though it does not seem critical).

Principle 6 of the G20/OECD Framework – “The responsibilities of the board” – is clearly reflected in Japan’s Corporate Governance Code Section 4 “Responsibilities of the Board,” though correspondence between the two is not complete. Both have provisions for certain key functions of the board (strategy, budget, oversight, appointments, remuneration, etc.), adequate engagement of independent directors, access to information, training of the board, etc. Japan’s Corporate Governance Code has no specific mentioning of the principles of operation of the board, such as acting on “informed basis, in good faith, and in the best interest of the company” (though it does not seem critical).

2614 Statutory auditor.
Japan has significantly improved its performance on key parameters associated with corporate governance. However, Japan’s policies on corporate governance and performance by companies still have a distance to go to achieve full compliance with the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. Thus, Japan receives a score of 0.

Analyst: Pavel Doronin

Korea: 0

Korea has partially complied with the commitment on corporate governance.

Korea’s first attempt to improve chaebol-system based corporate governance was taken in 1999 in response to the advice from the International Monetary Fund in the aftermath of the 1997 Asian financial crisis. It resulted in issuing by the Corporate Governance Service of a non-binding “Code of Best Practices for Corporate Governance” (the “Code”), further revised in 2003 and unchanged since then. The “Code” has headline and content matchings with the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance, namely with respect to the issues of rights and equitable treatment of shareholders, stakeholders participation, functioning and responsibilities of the board, and disclosure.

However, Korea’s corporate governance practices are widely recognized as outdated, with Korea ranking last out of 61 countries in a recent survey by the Swiss-based International Institute for Management Development and eighth out of 11 Asian countries as assessed by the Asian Corporate Governance Association. This finds its reflection in a long-known issue of “Korea discount,” meaning that the value of the Korea-originating companies and their products is assessed by the global markets lower their true potential due to obsolete practices of running businesses.

In recent months the Korean government has been showing its decisiveness to enhance the framework for corporate governance and revise the “Code” (including owing to the impetus received from the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance modified in 2015). It is planned that the updated “Code” will oblige businesses to make public the reasons for non-compliance with the “Code” (comply-or-explain principle). It is also expected that a “stewardship code,” i.e., a voluntary set of behavioral principles institutional investors should follow, will be introduced in Korea under the new framework of corporate governance.

The work on enhancing the corporate governance framework has already started with passing of the “Act on Governance of Financial Companies,” effective since 1 August 2016, which has brought

---


stricter qualifications for outside directors and introduced a regular review system on the qualification of controlling shareholders.\textsuperscript{2622}

It is notable that the presidential crisis in Korea “can spur corporate governance reform at Korea Inc.,” giving the potential successor of President Park “a mandate for sweeping political and corporate changes.”\textsuperscript{2623}

Korea’s policies towards corporate governance have a distance to go to achieve full compliance with the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance (to be further monitored throughout the entire compliance period ending in July 2017). Korea, however, is demonstrating its willingness to work in this direction, and the odds are in favor of Korea’s practices’ on corporate governance better compliance with the G20/OECD framework. Thus, Korea receives a score of 0.

\textit{Analyst: Pavel Doronin}

\textbf{Mexico: +1}

Mexico has fully complied with the commitment on the corporate governance.

The General Law of Business Organisations (GLBO) is the statute governing mercantile entities. The most common or used forms of Mexican mercantile entities are the sociedad anónima (SA) and the sociedad de responsabilidad limitada (S de RL). The GLBO was adopted in 1934. In 1997 the Mexican Code of Best Practices of Corporate Governance (the Code) was adopted. The best practices of corporate governance provided for in the Code cover not only publicly held companies (PHCs) but also non-publicly held companies (NPHC) and even non-profit entities. The Code was updated in 2016. The provisions of the Code are not mandatory. The corporate governance best practice codes, including the Cadbury, Greenbury and Hampel Reports (the United Kingdom), the Vienot Report (France) and the Código de las Sociedades Cotizadas (Código Olivencia) (Spain) laid the basis for the Code as well as the OECD corporate governance rules.

Starting from 2001 a number of Laws were adopted, namely the Stock Exchange Law (the Exchange Act), the Banking Institutions Law (the Banking Act), the Law Ruling Financial Groups and the Investment Funds Law making several of the recommendations of the Code mandatory.

Regulations by the CNBV also contain corporate governance matters related to PHCs and other financial entities.

As for December 2016, best practices of corporate governance are mandatory for banks, broker dealers, personal holding companies (PHCs), financial group holding companies and investment funds in Mexico.

According to the rules of the Mexican Stock Exchange (BMV) all information concerning the fulfillment of the best practices of corporate governance described in the Code shall be disclosed to the investors.

Mexico was one of the first countries in Latin America that adopted a best practice corporate governance code.

Now Mexico works on inclusion of mandatory best practices of corporate governance in the GLBO.\textsuperscript{2624}


On 8-9 September 2016, Mexico participated in the 2016 Meeting of the Latin American Corporate Governance Roundtable held in San José, Costa Rica and shared its experience in developing, monitoring and use of voluntary corporate governance codes as well as legal and regulatory requirements for disclosure.\footnote{2625}

On 8-9 September 2016, the VI Private Capital Update Forum was organized by the Mexican Association of Private Capital (AMEXCAP) with contribution from Mexican Capitalization and Investment Fund for the Rural Sector (FOCIR). The Forum aimed to bring together financial sector decision makers and representatives of consulting firms, funds and law firms with experience in corporate law to discuss the best regulatory and corporate governance practices in companies.\footnote{2626}

On 15 September 2016, the amendment to the General Corporations Law (“LGSM”) came into effect introducing the Simplified Stock Company (“Sociedad por Acciones Simplificada or SAS”). The SAS was created to enable individual entrepreneurs to incorporate a company in a much faster procedure through an online application without cost and a need of a public notary. This type of company can be approved within 24 hours online through the electronic system established by the Ministry of Economy of Mexico.\footnote{2627}

Mexico has made only corporate governance-related policy decisions made during the monitoring period and/or upheld existing set of corporate governance rules which conform to the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. Thus, Mexico receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Elizaveta Safonkina}

\section*{Russia: +1}

Russia has fully complied with the commitment on corporate governance.

In July 2016, Russian Prime-Minister signed the order on improving the corporate governance in Russian companies related to the protection of rights of minority investors. The new regulations include the following provisions:

- Increasing transparency of the Russian companies ownership structure, the protection of rights of minority investors during transaction with the conflict of interest, reorganization, increase of registered capital and concentration of considerable portfolio of shares by specific investors;
- Settlement of the issues related to control of financial and operational activities of companies and the responsibility in case of infliction of losses to the companies;
- Increasing effectiveness and transparency of management in the companies, including in the public sector.\footnote{2628}

The new regulation is expected to increase the rank of Russia in the Minority Investors Protection index within the World Bank Doing Business rating.

\begin{itemize}
\item \footnote{2624} Corporate Governance 2017. Mexico. Published on Monday, 12 December 2016. \url{http://latinlawyer.com/reference/topics/69/jurisdictions/16/mexico/}.
\item \footnote{2625} The 2016 Meeting of the Latin American Corporate Governance Roundtable. Date of access: 25 November 2016. \url{http://www.oecd.org/daf/ca/LART-2016-Key-Highlights-and-Conclusions.pdf}.
\item \footnote{2626} FOCIR participa en el VI Foro de Actualización de Capital Privado organizado por la Asociación Mexicana de Capital Privado (AMEXCAP). Date of access: 26 November 2016. \url{https://www.gob.mx/focir/articulos/focir-participa-en-el-vi-foro-de-actualizacion-de-capital-privado-organizado-por-la-asociacion-mexicana-de-capital-privado-amexcap?idiom=es}.
\item \footnote{2627} Mexico. Corporate Governance 2016. Date of access: 26 November 2016. \url{http://www.iclg.co.uk/practice-areas/corporate-governance/corporate-governance-2016/mexico}.
\item \footnote{2628} Правительство защитило миноритарных инвесторов, Ministry of Economic Development for the Russian Federation, 7 May 2016 \url{http://economy.gov.ru/minexc/about/structure/banking/20160705}.
\end{itemize}
In March 2016, when discussing draft Guidelines for the Development of the Russian Financial Market in 2016–2018 the Russian Prime-Minister emphasized that the Government would continue to “improve the mechanisms of corporate governance of joint stock companies and protect the rights of minority shareholders.” 2629

In May 2016 the Board of Directors of the Bank of Russia approved the Guidelines for the Development of the Russian Financial Market in 2016–2018. One of the goals of the document is “enhancing investor appeal for the equity financing of public companies via improved corporate governance.” According to the Guidelines the Bank of Russia plans to implement measures to refine the principles of corporate governance and to introduce them into the practice of public companies in order to “raise the efficiency of enterprises and the attractiveness of the domestic financial market to both internal and external investors.”

Russia has made corporate governance-related policy decisions during the monitoring period and upheld existing set of corporate governance rules which conform to the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. Thus, Russia receives a score of +1.

**Analyst: Mark Rakhmangulov**

**Saudi Arabia: +1**

Saudi Arabia has fully complied with the commitment on corporate governance.

The Corporate Governance Regulations dated 12 November 2006 is the key document in the area of corporate governance in Saudi Arabia. The Regulations generally apply to joint-stock companies listed on the Saudi Arabian capital market. The rules of the Regulations were initially not mandatory, however since January 2009 listed companies were required by the Capital Market Authority (CMA) to comply with certain rules of the Regulations for the purpose of enhancing transparency and protecting shareholders’ rights. These selected mandatory rules require the directors’ annual report to include information about compliance with the Corporate Governance Regulations; the composition of the board of directors and the balance between executive and non-executive directors, and the other joint-stock companies directors holding a seat in its board of directors; a brief description of the composition of committees formed by the board of directors, such as audit, nomination and remuneration committees; the details of compensation and remuneration paid to the chairman, board members and the highest-paid five executives; any punishment, penalty or restrictions imposed on the company by any regulatory, executive or judicial authority, and the annual review of the effectiveness of its internal audit. In line with its efforts towards boosting transparency in the Saudi capital market, the CMA adopted other amendments to the mandatory rules of the Corporate Governance Regulations in 2009 and 2010. The purpose of these amendments was to clarify the definition and selection criteria of an “independent member,” and disclosures required in relation to conflict of interest and remuneration of board members. 2630

On 14 March 2016, the Ministry of Commerce and Industry started implementing the new Corporate Law designed to increase the companies’ value, reduce procedure costs and stimulate business initiatives. The new system provides the appropriate framework for practice of fair corporate

---


governance principles in terms of transparency and disclosure and allows for a more important role of the shareholders’ associations and boards of directors in shaping the corporate strategies.\textsuperscript{2631}

On 6 April 2016, the Ministry of Commerce and Investment announced that the officials of 12 joint stock and limited liability companies were referred to the Bureau of Investigation and Public Prosecution because of violating the Corporate Law. The violations included non-compliance with the mandatory rules and regulations such as non-revealing the amendments occurred in the company’s contract and recorded in the Commercial Registration, non-disclosure of the transactions in which officials had a personal interest, delay in issuing the annual budgets, lack of commitment to provide the shareholders or partners with the annual reports on the companies’ activities, preventing partners from having access to company’s documents.\textsuperscript{2632}

On 11 April 2016, the Ministry of Commerce and Industry called on the public to express opinions, views and suggestions on the Draft of Non-Profit Corporate Law. The important objectives of the draft are the following: addressing the endowment issues; supporting the public sector in achieving sustainable and comprehensive development targets; developing the regular environment of the non-profit work.\textsuperscript{2633}

On 17 April 2016, the Ministry of Commerce and Industry issued a number of circulars on the protection of the minority shareholders’ rights. These circulars comply with the current corporate law and aim to raise transparency and disclosure of companies operating in the country: 1) Circular No. 24230 obliges all Board of Directors members of joint stock companies not to be engaged in any activity that would compete with the company’s business or do it only after obtaining a license from the company’s General Assembly; 2) Circular No. 24233 recommends all joint-stock companies to include in their boards of directors people who meet the necessary requirements on experience, competence and honesty; 3) Circular No. 24231 concerns all limited liability companies distributing profits among their partners; 4) Circular No. 24232 obliges all limited liability companies to hand over invitations to their partners to attend assembly meetings not later than 30 days prior to the date of the meeting.\textsuperscript{2634}

On 26 April 2016, the Ministry of Commerce and Investment and the Capital Market Authority published the draft Corporate Governance Regulations and invited the public to submit comments on them. In the Draft the agencies reiterated the importance for companies to adopt clear, efficient and sound decision-making processes which help protect shareholders and stakeholders.\textsuperscript{2635}

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{2634} MCI Has Issued A Number Of Circulars To Improve The Indicators Of Protecting The Minority Shareholders’ Right And To Enhance The Competitive Environment In The Kingdom, Ministry of Commerce and Investment 26.04.2016. Access Date: 21 December 2016. https://mci.gov.sa/en/MediaCenter/News/Pages/26-04-16-02.aspx.
\item \textsuperscript{2635} Key Highlights Of The Draft Saudi Arabian Corporate Governance Regulations, Mondaq 17.05.2016. Access Date: 29 December 2016. http://www.mondaq.com/saudiarabia/x/491962/Corporate+Governance/Key+Highlights+Of+The+Draft+Saudi+Arabian+Corporate+Governance+Regulations.
\end{itemize}
Saudi Arabia’s set of corporate governance rules and related policy decisions conform to the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Aydar Shakirov

**South Africa: +1**

South Africa has fully complied with the commitment on the corporate governance.

Corporate governance in South Africa is regulated by both hard and soft law. Hard law is represented by Companies Act of 2008, which contains major principles and norms in these sphere. It includes provisions on formation, administration and dissolution of companies, enhanced accountability and transparency, public offerings of company securities, fundamental transactions, takeovers and offers, business rescue and compromise with creditors. These spheres are regulated by principles which correspond to G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance.

Major piece of soft legislation is King’s Report which contains the best practices of corporate governance and supplements and compliments Companies Act. Listed companies whose shares are present at Johannesburg Stock Exchange have to fulfill the requirements disclosed in King’s report. Principles and goals of King’s Reports intersect greatly with G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance and in some cases coincide with them.

Also there is the Code for Responsible Investing in South Africa (CRISA) which came into effect in 2012 and required companies to apply the principles of King Report and, if not, to explain why the principles are not applied. CRISA applies to institutional investors as asset owners, (pension funds and insurance companies) as well as to service providers of institutional investors (asset and fund managers). CRISA encourages companies to apply sound governance principles through investee companies’ share ownership.

South Africa upholds existing set of corporate governance rules which conform to the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Irina Popova

**Turkey: +1**

Turkey has fully complied with the commitment on corporate governance.

On 3 January 2014, major piece of legislation regulating corporate governance in Turkey came into effect. The new Corporate Governance Communiqué among other issues sets the main principles of corporate governance. These principles regulate:

1. Shareholders’ rights and their protection.

The major components here are facilitation of exercise of shareholders’ rights, right to obtain information, regulation of voting and minority rights, payment of dividends and transfer of shares.

---


2. Public disclosure

Under this section of principles two issues are disclosed: company’s website and annual reports.

3. Stakeholders’ rights and scope of participation

This set of principles regulates Corporation’s policy on stakeholders, human resources policy of the corporation, relations with customers and suppliers, ethical rules and social responsibility.

4. Board of directors and its functions and responsibilities.

This section sets the principles in the following aspects: function of the board of directors, structure of the board of directors, procedure of board of directors meetings, committees formed within the structure of the board of directors, audit committee, corporate governance committee, nomination committee, remuneration committee, financial rights provided for members of the board of directors and executives.2640

Thus principles of corporate governance in Turkey coincide with the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance.

Turkey upholds existing set of corporate governance rules which conform to the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

Analyst: Irina Popova

United Kingdom: +1

The United Kingdom has fully complied with the commitment on corporate governance.

The United Kingdom Corporate Governance Code, adopted in September 2014, specifies provisions relating to the role of the board, division of responsibilities between the running of the board and the executive responsibility for the running of the business, chairman’s responsibilities, duties of non-executive directors, composition and appointment of the board, the amount of time directors commit to their responsibilities, human resource development, election of directors, financial and business reporting standards, risk management and internal control, audit committee composition and responsibilities, procedures on executive remuneration, dialogue with stakeholders, and general meetings procedure. The Code is based on a well-defined list of main principles divided into five sections: leadership, effectiveness, accountability, remuneration, and relations with stakeholders.2641 None of the abovementioned principles, and regulations, established by the Code, were found to be in contradiction to the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance.

On 29 November 2016, the Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy launched a public consultation on measures to strengthen corporate governance. The measures proposed by the government body included: strengthening the corporate governance framework for the UK’s largest privately-held companies, determining which types of companies need to strengthen stakeholder voices and how best the opinions of workers and customers can be better heard in the boardroom,

and increasing shareholder influence over directors’ remuneration, including increasing transparency and simplifying and strengthening long-term incentive plans.\textsuperscript{2642}

The United Kingdom maintains a set of corporate governance rules which conforms to the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Elina Nizamova}

**United States: +1**

United States fully complied with the commitment on corporate governance.

In the US corporate governance is regulated on the level of states. Each of them has its own corporate law, with Delaware General Corporation Law being one of the most important and influential one as more than 50 per cent of all publicly-traded companies in the United States have chosen Delaware as a place for their registration.\textsuperscript{2643}

However, there are two major pieces of federal legislation which contain important provisions on corporate governance principles.

On 30 July 2002, Public Law 107–204 or Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX Act) was adopted to protect investors from fraudulent accounting activities by corporations. The SOX Act mandated strict reforms to improve financial disclosures from corporations and prevent accounting fraud.

The two main provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act required senior management to “certify the accuracy of the reported financial statement” and “establish internal controls and reporting methods on the adequacy of those controls.” In the SOX Act also outlined requirements to IT-departments regarding electronic records and their storing.\textsuperscript{2644}

This act became a major step towards transparency and introduced strict disclosure principles and requirements. It fully corresponds with the fifth G20/OECD Principle of Corporate Governance and does not violate the others.

On 21 July 2010, the Dodd–Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd–Frank) was signed into federal law. Title IX “Investor Protections and Improvements to the Regulation of Securities,” Subtitle G “Strengthening Corporate Governance” of the act served to improve the protection of shareholders and stakeholders’ rights and corporate governance principles in general. It provides that the Securities and Exchange Commission can “issue rules and regulations that include a requirement that permit a shareholder to use a company’s proxy solicitation materials for the purpose of nominating individuals to membership on the board of directors.” The company also has to tell investors the reason “why the same person is to serve as chairman of the board of directors and chief executive officer, or why different individuals are to serve as chairman of the board of directors and chief executive officer.”\textsuperscript{2645}

This act improves the protection of rights of shareholders and stakeholders and is compliant with the first and the fourth G20/OECD Principle of Corporate Governance and does not violate the others.

The United States has made only corporate governance-related policy decisions made during the monitoring period and/or upholds existing set of corporate governance rules which conform to the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. Thus, the United States receives a score of +1.

*Analyst: Irina Popova*

**European Union: +1**

The European Union has fully complied with the commitment on corporate governance.

The EU corporate governance strategy was clearly defined in two action plans, published by the European Commission in 2003 and 2012. These long-term action plans are aimed at developing corporate governance practices, increasing competitiveness, and developing sustainability among European companies. The EU Action Plan 2003 established 4 pillars for corporate governance reforms: 1) Modernizing the board of directors – boards should comprise a balance of executive and nonexecutive directors so that no individual or group of individuals can dominate decision making; a sufficient number of independent directors should be elected to the board of companies to ensure that any material conflict of interest involving directors will be properly dealt with; European listed companies should disclose their remuneration policy and remuneration details of individual directors in their annual report; there should be collective responsibility of all board members for both financial and nonfinancial reporting; 2) Enhancing corporate governance disclosure – all listed companies in the EU should include in their annual report a comprehensive corporate governance statement covering the key elements of their governance structures and practices; 3) Strengthening shareholders’ rights – shareholders should have similar rights throughout the EU; 4) Coordinating corporate governance initiatives in member states. The EU Action Plan 2012 envisages new provisions for reporting on board diversity, risk management, and executive remuneration as well as for improving the quality of corporate governance reports, especially explanations made under the comply-or-explain framework.\(^{2646}\)

On 22-23 September 2016, the Annual Conference on European Company Law and Corporate Governance was held in Trier, Germany. Its key topics: case law and legislation; the Fourth Anti-Money Laundering Directive and the Market Abuse Regulation; sustainable companies and European corporate governance; employee co-determination rules across Europe; the role of foreign employees in codetermination in the light of case C-566/15 Erzberger.\(^{2647}\)

On 28 September 2016, the European Commission proposed a new interinstitutional agreement on the lobby transparency register. The Commission announced that it will not give an extension to its own ban on high-level officials meeting with unregistered lobbyists and that it will simplify the financial disclosure requirements on lobby consultancies and streamline the definition of lobbying used in the register. The proposed new register will be mandatory but not legally-binding.\(^{2648}\)

On 27 October 2016, the 19th European Corporate Governance Conference was held in Bratislava, Slovakia. The key topic of the conference was “Digitization and Transparency” and their impact on

---


On 21 November 2016, the European Banking Authority (EBA) published additional information related to the application of the proportionality principle to the remuneration provisions laid down in the Capital Requirements Directive in response to a request for advice from the European Commission. The additional information is a follow-up to the Opinion on the application of proportionality issued in December 2015.\footnote{EBA provides overview on the proportionate application of remuneration requirements across the EU, European Banking Authority 21.11.2016. Access date: 21 December 2016. http://www.eba.europa.eu/-/eba-provides-overview-on-the-proportionate-application-of-remuneration-requirements-across-the-eu.}


The European Union upholds existing set of corporate governance rules which conform to the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. Thus, it receives a score of +1.

\textit{Analyst: Aydar Shakirov}